

U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Main
Washington, D.C. 20530

RECEIVED
Aug 05 2025
SC Court of Appeals

201 Executive Center Drive, Suite 150, Columbia, South Carolina 29210 (803)253-4192

Re: Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro se V Hanahan Police Department
C/A no. and Jurisdiction: 2022-CP-08-02508, Berkeley CP
Appellate Case No.2023-001837

(Benjamin Crump attorney at Law)

Black Lives Matter

Court@bencrump.com

COMPLAINT FORM: 642096-BDG 15-3-40 Exceptions as to persons
under disability

COMPLAINANT: Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro se

RESPONDENT: Hood Law firm/The City of Hanahan/& Berkeley County officials /South
Carolina court of appeal

(Mayor Christie Rainwater)
1255: Yeamans hall Rd.
Hanahan Sc 29410

Via email South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street, Columbia, SC 29201
803-734-1890
E-filing: ctappfilings@sccourts.org
Catherine S Harrison, Chief Deputy Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeal Post Office Box 11629

Cc[Via E-mail
Ms. Elloree A Gains Esquire
Hood law firm
172 Meeting Street,
Charleston, SC 29401
Email: info@hoodlaw.com
Mr. Evan M Sobocinski, Esquire

Reply; report number is 642096-BDG U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division

2 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA STATE ETHICS COMMISSION COMPLAINT FORM

To Whom this may concern this is a Detailed version of 2 complaints I am filing against all parties listed & I plan on getting the City of Hanahan Public officials to give a signature that will acknowledge their lack of wanting to challenge the evidence:

I submitted a copy of everything but they refused to address it by meeting with me & offering me some form of relief in the form of a settlement.

they feel I can not represent myself because I am Black & Mentally disabled so I don't have any form of civil rights that protect me from not receiving my payment & I must pay Mr. Ben Crump to get the Settlement but I do not trust Attorneys, so I do not know if Hood law firms Power will prevent Mr. Crump from taking this case so I will be representing myself Pro se when I file at the United States district court for a new trial until I know for a fact that Mr. Crump will take this case.

August 12 at 6 pm I will be at the City of Hanahan public meeting to get the signature that says Hood law firm has submitted their reply to this complaint & they refuse to speak to

Me & I will also get a signature from Hood law firm saying they have received the evidence The Amended final brief & everything else their response is everything they sent to the courts they refuse to speak to me because I am black & mentally disabled so they feel like the City of Hanahan officials do: (I have no rights)

Do to my current mental health disabilities because of the false arrest & discriminations I require time to edit & consolidate all the evidence hopefully Mr. crump will take this case if I can't settle with the City of Hanahan or Hood law firm.

COMPLAINT FORM

COMPLAINANT: Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se

RESPONDENT: Hood Law firm/the City of Hanahan/Berkeley County/South Carolina court of appeal

ADDRESS: (Mayor Christie Rainwater)

1255: Yeamans hall Rd.

Hanahan Sc 29410

ADDRESS: Hood law firm

172 Meeting Street,

Charleston, SC 29401

T ITLE:) Hood law firm:(legal Malpractice) Gross negligence

(Disregard of Civil Rights Violated for malicious reasons)

TITLE: The City of Hanahan Officials & Berkeley County court officials (Mental disability discrimination) (legal Malpractice) Gross negligence

Disregard of Civil Rights Violated for bias reasons

T ITLE: The South Carolina Court of appeal (Mental discrimination)

15-3-40 Exceptions as to persons under disability

How does this section apply?

- The time of the disability is not part of the time limit for starting the action.
- The disability cannot extend the time limit by more than five years, except for infancy.
- The action must be brought within one year after the disability ends.
- For example, if property damage occurs when the owner is under 18 or insane, they have one year to file a lawsuit after they turn 18 or are (declared sane.)

*(see) Charleston County Mental Health DSM-IV Diagnosis 05/30/2023
Legacy spec popn: PSYCHIATRICALY DISABLED*

07/15/2024 DSM-IV Psych Diagnoses: 296.80-Unspecified bipolar and related disorder

300.00-Unspecified anxiety disorder

301.7-antisocial personality disorder

780.52 Insomnia disorder

298.9 Unspecified psychosis not due to a Substance or Known physiological condition

312.89-Conduct Disorder unspecified onset

296.34-Major Depressive Disorder, Recurrent, Severe with Psychotic features

Rules 38 - Jury Trial of Right, S.C. R. Civ. P. 38

Exhibit (a)

1. **Hand Written Request for a Jury Trial October 24,2022**
2. **Complaint (LDF) Media Statement April 4,2022**
3. **Transcript of Court Proceedings Plaintiff Statement to the Judge**
4. **Judge McCoy's 10/18/23 Order, Filed October 18,2023**
5. **Complaint, Filed October 17, 2022, with Exhibits**
6. **Indictment/Warrant Status Change Form, Dated 1/9/2020**
7. **Bond Order, dated 1/2/2019**
8. **City of Hanahan Police Department, dated 8/14/2018**
9. **City of Hanahan Police Department Report, dated 8/14/2018**
10. **Defendants Motion to Dismiss, Filed November 14,2023;**
11. **Defendants Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgement, filed July 5,2023 with exhibits**
12. **Transcript of Motion hearing, July 10,2023 see Designation filed 10/9/24**

13. C/A No 2:20 3567-BHH Report and Recommendation, issued 7/13/2021
14. C/A/No 2:20 3567 Order, issued 8/17/21
15. C/A/No 2:20 -CP-08-01987 Judge Youngs Order, issued 8/15/22;
16. : Omnibus Motion Dated 4-28-2018 Hand Written Letter Complaining about Berkeley County Refusal to grant a preliminary hearing
17. **Proof of disability Discharge Date: 07/15/2024**
18. Hand Written letter dated March/26-2018 to Solicitor of case complaining about My Disabilities & Not receiving a Preliminary hearing
19. **1 Bond Reduction Order dated August 15, 2019**
20. Section 63-7-10.: Child welfare service principles; purpose.
21. Section 22-5-320. : Defendant's demand for preliminary investigation
22. . Coercive control - South Carolina 2019-2020 Bill 5271
23. Section 8-14-60: Filing false or fraudulent statement or report
24. 18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant
25. Section 16-3-910. Kidnapping
26. **Proof of Emotional Distress Damages;**
27. **Proof Loss of Housing & Government Assistance including Medical Insurance**
28. Letter from City of North Charleston Housing Authority dated February 24,2025
29. State v. Smith, 359 S.C. 481
30. Conflict of interest rules **18 U.S.C. § 208 with implementing regulations at 5 C.F.R. § 2635.402.** Essentially, these rules prohibit you from taking official action in a particular matter involving any entity in which you, or someone whose interests are imputed to you, have a financial interest. Dec 27, 2019

If there is a finding of probable cause, the following documents become public record: the complaint, the response (If any) by respondent, and the notice of hearing. If a hearing is to be held, the final order and all exhibits become public record. If no hearing is held following a finding of probable cause, the final disposition of the matter becomes public record.

X

X

Personally appeared before me who, first being duly sworn, says that he/she has read and knows the contents of the above complaint and that the allegations contained therein, are true and correct to the best of his/her own knowledge, except for those matters therein based upon information and belief, and as to those he/she believes them to be true.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this

_____ day of ____, ____

Complainant Signature

Notary Public for South Carolina

My Commission expires

SEC-7 (Revised 3/2022)

REPLY TO: 201 Executive Center Drive, Suite 150, Columbia, South Carolina 29210 (803)253-4192

ELECTRONIC COPIES WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

C102form

Dear: @ Catherine S Harrison & Hood law Firm &
(The City of Hanahan Officials) Since Hood Law firm represents all parties involved & the Court of appeal failed to accommodate my Mental disabilities just to take my Court fees

COMPLAINT: United States Department of Justice
642096-BDG

The theme of the discernable argument & Complaint The City of Hanahan failure to Settle in spite of Pure gross negligence because of My Mental disabilities created from the Malicious prosecution

The respondents were allowed to get away with Violating multiple Ethics detailed in

Exhibit (B) The Amended brief

Witnesses:(include Doctors from Charleston County Mental health)

The Key Witness is The Chief of Hanahan police

Who personally told me: (The Former officer been had (loss her Badge)

1# Evidence that the Courts was never informed. When their attorneys used the same False statement, the officer used as a reason not to settle

A: As well as violating the Fourth amendment right to trial

By The City of Hanahan allowing their (unethical attorneys to withheld evidence)

B: The City of Hanahan has allowed Emotional trauma to continue because of My lack of legal compacity Now its up to the Ethics Commission to get Justice

Complainant: (Hood Law firm refuse to discuss the case because of my Skin Color & mental disabilities.) Both Judge Young & Judge McCoy Works for Berkeley County Whom is a Party in this Civil Matter so the Right to trial should have not been Violated.

But the South Carolina attorneys Stick together as well as their judges so I have to leave it up to the higher Authorities to get My right to trial.

For Future representation allow this Complaint to elaborate & be concise of the details in the Amended Brief so it can be edited Hopefully By (Benjamin Crump attorney at Law

But I under stand Mr. Crump is also an Attorney & Hood Law firm is Very Powerful so he might be afraid to Challenge Hood law firms' power so I am prepared to File with the District court Pro se.

I have submitted a Copy of Everything concerning **15-3-40**

So, the Statue of limitation is Suspended the amended brief Contains evidence that the South Carolina court of appeal won't allow on the record so I am using it for a new law suit at the district court as well as Complaints to the States department of Justice & ethics commission.

To expedite this matter, I will be requiring a signature from Hood law firm that they have read all evidence submitted & all litigation that they submitted has no evidence to challenge my Amended brief.

I am requesting relief of a settlement from the City of Hanahan from the South Carolina State Reserve for their Gross negligence & discrimination.

I am willing to drop all complaints against all parties involved including Hood law firm & Berkeley County in return.

I have already tried to resolve with the City of Hanahan

But they feel unless I have a Powerful attorney like Mr. Crump Black Mentally Disabled lives don't matter, I will attempt to set up a meeting With Hood law firm let this letter be the proof that I tried & that they refuse to meet with me because I am Black & Mentally Disabled. I will contact them by phone next week after I have completed the Complaints to Both departments, I am Collecting evidence for a Class action Discrimination Law suit for Black mentally disabled Men & legal Malpractice by Hood Law firm that includes Conflict of interest using FALSE Statement & withholding Evidence of the officer's Gross negligence that Cost her Badge being taken as well as offering \$1000 to settle while I was Mentally disabled in a mental hospital.

I am making sure other Black mentally Disabled Victims understand their Right to representing themselves Pro se because South Carolina attorneys are Working together with their corrupt judges

I have attempted to resolve this Matter with the City of Hanahan but they stand behind Hood law firm that feels Mentally Disabled Black lives don't matter unless they have a Attorney.

My Civil rights have been violated; my freedom was taken away from me, as well as my Home I am Struggling Mentally because of this case & I want Mr. Crump to make sure I receive Payment for each day it takes to settle this case I am seeking \$1000,00.

This is consolidated information that supports the Argument
(The Basic Theory of the Amended Brief)

Since Hanahan Police department has handled the officer's Gross negligence internally

(Compensation should not be a Discussion) if I am forced to pay for legal representation, I want the City of Hanahan, Hood Law Firm & every official to be Held Accountable for their Gross Negligence as Well as Discrimination towards Black mentally Disabled Victims

I will attempt to Call Hood Law Firm with in the Next 30 days while I take the Time to Edit my Complaint for the United States Department of Justice & The South Carolina ethic's Commission I am Thankful for Chief Richards help & I feel he Should Be the New Mayor of Hanahan as Well as Mr. Crump Should Be the New Governor of South Carolina I will use all my Connections with Every police officer & all Black Lives Matter victims to Encourage Mr. Crump to be the Next Governor of South Carolina

Respectfully, Humbly Submitted Muhammad N

Wilson Pro se

8082 rivers Ave North Charleston SC 29406

creyolab@gmail.com 843-468-3432

disability Discrimination & Gross Negligence by Defendants Hood Law Firm, (City of Hanahan Officials) & (Berkeley County Official's), And (The South Carolina Court of appeal)

(For Use by The South Carolina Ethics Commission)

642096-BDG

Case No. 2022 CP-08-02508
Appeal No. 2023-001837

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se

plaintiff,

Vs,

Hood law Firm, City of Hanahan, Berkeley County, & the South Carolina Court of appeal

Defendants,

For future Representation by Mr. Ben Crump official Complaint: **Universal Citation:**
SC Code § 15-3-60 (2024)

When two or more disabilities shall coexist at the time the right of action accrues the limitation shall not attach until they all be removed.

#1 The Court of appeal dismissed the appeal based on the Record of appeal Not being filed Proper, When I asked for Clarification & time to Process the information but they refuse to Acknowledge any Evidence I submitted because I am representing myself Pro Se

(Since The South Carolina Courts believe that Mentally Disabled Black Lives Don't Matter)

#2 the Court of appeal won't acknowledge the new Evidence Submitted of the Inadmissible evidence, Brady Violations & Conflict of Interest

(3# I would like to put everything on Record for Both the Supreme Court & District Court)

I Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro se hereby swears to the United States Department of Justice Civil Rights Division & The South Carolina Ethics Commission)

The following facts under penalty of perjury." August 14 2018, while living in Hanahan South Carolina I contacted their police by use of 911 emergency call because I was at the time

psychiatrically disabled suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD

July 26 2025 after years of this case being pushed through the defendants Judges I gave the defendants 30 days to speak to me or set up a time or date but only the Chief of Hanahan Treated me like a victim & a human they refused to speak to me because I am representing myself pro se they are forcing me to reach out to Mr. Crump & pay him more money that I do not have & I am currently indigent because of the Court of appeal & Berkeley County judges' refusal to acknowledge any evidence submitted because Berkeley County is being represented by hood law.

Because I am Black & Mentally disabled Hood Law firm knows no South Carolina Attorney will take this case Mr. Crump only takes cases that attacks Police officers so I am trying to Defend Myself pro se but the City of Hanahan is hiding behind Hood law firm who wont settle or speak to me because they feel Mentally disabled Black lives only have Value if we can get an attorney to take our case.

This Complaint is for Every potential Victims of the Former Hanahan Police Officer Ellen lanphere , & Berkeley County Judges Young & McCoy.

While I take the time to edit & file another complaint with The South Carolina Ethics Commission I will be taking the time to try to settle with Hood Law firm I do not trust Attorneys & I do not wish to get Mr. crump or no attorney involved I can not afford to pay 40% I have already invested too much Money & Time.

(I have Witnesses & Documentation from Dorchester/Charleston County Mental health to corroborate everything

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a mental health condition that can develop after a person experiences or witnesses a traumatic event. These events are often life-threatening or involve severe injury. While it's normal to have stress reactions after a traumatic event,

PTSD symptoms persist and interfere with daily life for an extended period.

(Reason for Dispute) I had witnessed Child abuse in the form of neglect & physical Abuse but I also suspected the mother was Sexually abusing her Own Daughter the 9-year-old Victim) so I made the choice to not take the law in my own hands,

I was seeking Not only help for the daughter the 9-year-old Victim but for myself because I was supposed to be receiving treatment

Malicious Prosecution Evidence Subsequently I was falsely arrested for Breach of peace & received a Mental Emotional break down on the body cam footage I have lacked the legal compacity ever since by former Hanahan police officer then using a false Statement detained me Falsely at Berkeley County jail for a ransom of \$75,000 under the Legal custodian statue Violating my Civil Rights.

Berkeley County provided me with ineffective, incompetent council I complained & wanted to represent myself pro se

(They dismissed the charge saying the victim was deceased)

The Amended Brief Contains Consolidated Evidence of Everything Defendants Hood law firm, City of Hanahan & Berkeley County officials did & their use of Berkeley County judges to prevent the Preliminary hearing & right to trial in front of impartial non bias peers

Hood law firm presents The City of Hanahan Police Department, The City of Hanahan officials, Berkeley County officials

Major Conflict of Interest Judges are not supposed to hear any case their employers involved in

I am in the process of healing so I am in a sane state of mind to consolidate & debrief the complaint

(Hood law Firm) Violated Brady laws of Disclosure that The Former officer Ellen Lanphere was terminated as a Police officer for the Gross negligence she displayed in my malicious false arrest under the Constitution laws mandated I deserve compensation

The defendants offered me 1000 during mediation at the federal district court while I was in the mental hospital suffering from their damages and lacked the legal compacity state law requires the victim to be sane during time of filing law suit or complaint

Key points to remember:

- **Stare decisis:**

(c) While courts generally follow the doctrine of "stare decisis" (meaning to stand by things decided), which encourages adherence to precedent, this principle can be overcome in cases of serious judicial misconduct, where upholding the previous decision could undermine public confidence in the legal system.

- **Burden of proof:**

To overturn a decision based on judicial misconduct, the party seeking to do so must present compelling evidence demonstrating the misconduct and how it affected the outcome of the case.

- **Factors considered:**

(d) Courts will evaluate the severity of the alleged misconduct, whether it was intentional or negligent, and the potential impact on the fairness of the original proceedings when deciding whether to overturn a decision.

Examples of judicial misconduct that might lead to overturning a decision:

- **Bias or prejudice:** A judge exhibiting clear bias towards one party in the case.
- *Exhibit (a) Proof of Emotional Damages (see) Charleston County Mental Health DSM-IV Diagnosis 05/30/2023 Legacy spec popn: PSYCHIATRICALY DISABLED*
- 07/15/2024 DSM-IV Psych Diagnoses: 296.80-Unspecified bipolar and related disorder
 - 300.00-Unspecified anxiety disorder
 - 301.7-antisocial personality disorder
 - 780.52 Insomnia disorder
 - 298.9 Unspecified psychosis not due to a Substance or Known physiological condition
 - 312.89-Conduct Disorder unspecified onset
 - 296.34-Major Depressive Disorder, Recurrent, Severe with Psychotic features

- **Exhibit: (b)**

- : Hood Law Firm was engaging in private discussions with both Judge Young & Judge McCoy at Both Judgements Hood Law Firm was observed by the Plaintiff holding a private discussion after the plaintiff was leaving

- **(a) Ex parte communication:** A judge engaging in private discussions with one party without the knowledge of the other.
- **(b) Failure to disclose conflicts of interest:** Not disclosing a personal connection to a party in the case.
- **(c) Improper influence:** A judge being influenced by outside factors that could affect their decision.
- Is a Brady violation illegal?
- This duty is breached regardless of whether that information is withheld intentionally or unintentionally. If a Brady rule violation is discovered during trial, the court can either declare a mistrial or prohibit the prosecution from using unfavorable evidence which could be discredited by the withheld information.

A Brady violation, while typically associated with criminal cases, can also have implications for civil cases where government agencies or public entities are involved. Essentially, it's about the government's duty to disclose exculpatory evidence, meaning evidence that could be favorable to the opposing party, even if it weakens their case.

In addition, if the judge believes the withholding of evidence was particularly egregious, the prosecution may face charges of prosecutorial misconduct. If you suffered damages due to their actions, you might even be able to file suit for malicious prosecution

June 5 let the Record Show I just received the Information Hood Law Firm Failed to Submit the Former Police Loss Her Badge Due to Her False Statement

Exhibit (c)

Legal Requirements of a Brady Violation

To establish a Brady violation in court, **three key requirements must be met**. These requirements form the foundation for any Brady claim and help courts determine whether a defendant's due process rights have been violated. Let's examine each requirement in detail to understand what prosecutors must disclose and what defendants must prove.

1. Favorable Evidence to the Plaintiff Muhammad n Wilson Pro Se

The prosecution must have withheld favorable evidence that is either

- **Exculpatory Evidence** – directly supports the innocence of the defendant
- **Impeaching** – challenges the credibility of the case or the key [witness of the prosecution](#)^[5].

For instance, if a witness statement or [DNA evidence](#)^[6] could have exonerated a defendant from wrongdoing, but the prosecution failed to disclose it, that would be Brady material

2. Hood Law firm Withheld Evidence

The prosecution must have omitted the evidence disclosure to the defense. This occurs either:

- **Intentionally:** The prosecution deliberately withholds evidence.
- **Unintentionally:** Evidence is missed or not presented because of carelessness.

Regardless of purpose, the concealment of evidence that may influence the outcome of the trial is a Brady right.

3. The Suppressed Evidence Caused Prejudice

The defendant has to prove that the **outcome of the trial would have been different if the evidence had been disclosed.**

§(A) Civil actions may only be commenced within the periods prescribed in this title after the cause of action has accrued, except when, in special cases, a different limitation is prescribed by statute. **36.105 Definition of “disability.”**

(a)

(1) *Disability* means, with respect to an individual:

(i) A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual; (ii) A record of such an impairment; or (iii) Being regarded as having such an impairment as described in paragraph (f) of this section.

(2) *Rules of construction.*

(i) The definition of “disability” shall be construed broadly in favor of expansive coverage, to the maximum extent permitted by the terms of the ADA. (ii) An individual may establish coverage under any one or more of the three prongs of the definition of disability in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the “actual disability” prong in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section, the “record of” prong in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, or the “regarded as” prong in paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of this section. (iii) Where an individual is not challenging a public accommodation’s failure to provide reasonable modifications under § 36.302, it is generally unnecessary to proceed under the “actual disability” or “record of” prongs, which require a showing of an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity or a record of such an impairment. In these cases, the evaluation of coverage can be made solely under the “regarded as” prong of the definition of “disability,” which does not require a showing of an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity or a record of such an impairment. An individual may choose,

however, to proceed under the “actual disability” or “record of” prong regardless of whether the individual is challenging a public accommodation’s failure to provide reasonable modifications.

(b)

(1) *Physical or mental impairment* means:

(i) Any physiological disorder or condition, cosmetic disfigurement, or anatomical loss affecting one or more body systems, such as: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, respiratory (including speech organs), cardiovascular, reproductive, digestive, genitourinary, immune, circulatory, hemic, lymphatic, skin, and endocrine; or (ii) Any mental or psychological disorder such as intellectual disability, organic brain syndrome, emotional or mental illness, and specific learning disability.

The "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine is a legal principle that extends the [exclusionary rule](#), meaning that evidence obtained through illegal or unconstitutional means is inadmissible in court. Not only is the illegally obtained evidence itself excluded, but also any evidence derived from it (the "fruit"). This doctrine aims to deter police misconduct and uphold constitutional rights.

It is Unfortunate that The State Of South Carolina Won't Accept That Black lives matter

Since I am but 1 of many cases of Mentally Disabled Black Men Falsely Arrested & never compensated for the Former Hanahan police I am Attempting to Get Black Lives Matter involved

Due to the City of Hanahan Gross Negligence they allowed the Attorneys to Use Conflict of Interests & Judicial Misconducts to Cover up the Brady Violations Rule 37.

I request under Procedural Fairness & Procedural Law that the City of Hanahan please Schedule a time & Date to discuss a settlement offer

I request the city uses their Mandatory authority Mandated to avoid wasting the Hierarchy of Authority court time the Final brief includes information that (Black Lives Matter) would assume the Police department is responsible for when they clearly did their Job internally

Please Let the court record Show that all Parties are Aware of my Mental health disabilities,

Subsequently only the Respondent Hanahan Police Department Chief has displayed Honor by Disclosing the Evidence that Hood law Firm has been Hiding from all the Courts that the Officer lost her Badge because of Her false arrest made on me.

Question presented will the Court Ignore the Brady Violations? In 3 Separate Courts The Federal Court, Berkeley County & The Court of appeal was not aware that the Officer had loss her Badge & the Statement Hood law Firm was using was illegal & Inadmissible in Court as well as the (Conflict of interest & judicial Misconducts) (not to mention) this Entire Case is Built off the Former Police officer false Statement DEEMED Inadmissible in Court.

What Hood Law Firm Don't Know is what I know & the Chief of Hanahan Police Knows the Child has already Corroborated my statement of Abuse by Her mother Not Only Did Hood Law firm use inadmissible Evidence; it was also illegal Evidence subsequently the Officer statement was Part of the reason for her losing her Badge.

Based on the Forgoing Partnership With the respondent's Chief I am only seeking Compensatory damages from the City of Hanahan for Their City Gross Negligence. I do not wish to seek any sanctions unless they continue to allow their Attorneys to Use unethical tactics to delay the inevitable

Subsequently Hood law Firm don't represent Mayor rainwater best interest Mayor rainwater I pray your city accept that falsely arrested Black Mentally disabled lives matter, Hood Law firm Knows That South Carolina Attorneys Only like to Make Money off Blacks if we are breaking the Law.

Hood Law Firm has & The City of Hanahan has no Sympathy & believe because I am representing myself Pro se they will use my Mistakes to get away with Not paying me, just like So many other Victims that are Black & Mentally Disabled it is unfair that the mayor pays Hood law Firm using the City of Hanahan Funding.

This case has destroyed My life & I do not wish to Fight any more I do Not Wish to File at the district Court Or allow this case to go to the Supreme Court

I only wish that the City acknowledge their mistakes also give me a Fair offer enough for my Pain, suffering Court fees also to relocate & invest in My musical Endeavors with the Police departments

(List of Everything Hood Law Firm Has Done to delay Payment & all Courts allowed)

#1 using inadmissible evidence to violate the Right to trial

#2 Multiple Brady Violations

#3 Conflict Of interest

#4 Bad misrepresentations Of Their Clients

#5 legal Malpractice & OPENLY discrimination towards Blacks with mental disability

Question Presented Is Hood Law Firm & the City of Hanahan above the Law?

Do you feel falsely arrested Black Lives Matter if they have mental Disability's?

Do you feel that the Higher Courts should Get Involved?

Settlement Debt: Eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine

Appellant /Plaintiff based this amount off the number of hours held for the ransom of (\$75,000 inside of Berkeley County Jail) the Conflict-of-interest element is not only did Berkely county profit off Hanahan Police Departments False arrest they provided ineffective incompetent counsel though Berkeley County public defender office exhibits will prove my argument

Respondents Hanahan Police Department Initially Falsely Imprisoned Appellant /Plaintiff is seeking relief for \$ 1000,00 per each hour the debt begins with the first 5 Hours held knowing they had no probable cause then it ends the date Appellant /Plaintiff paid Berkeley County the Ransom a total of 8789 hours

Plus (\$25,000 paid for amount for Berkley County Ransom)

Hood Law Firm Currently Represents Both Parties: Hanahan police Department & Berkeley County.

*Hood Law firm has been aware that the Appellant /Plaintiff is Mentally disabled because of the Records & Notifications of being placed in a Mental Hospital Faculty during Mediation Hood Law Firm offered \$1000,00 for **Settlement Debt.** eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine because Hood Law Firm knew of the Conflict of interest & other Attorneys Would discriminate against Appellant /Plaintiff but still used unethical behavior to take advantage of the Appellant /Plaintiff inability to receive Legal representation*

Hood Law firms Misrepresentation of Hanahan police Department & Berkeley County.

Has created an increase in Appellant /Plaintiff Emotional Distress

Because of the Symptoms created because of this case Emotional Distress the Damages have increased including loss of housing & Government Health Benefits that allowed the Appellant /Plaintiff to receive mental health treatment for the severe trauma from being false arrested 5 years 7 months or 2,058 days Appellant /Plaintiff relief option is \$1000,00 per Day not Hour total amount \$3,500,000 plus punitive damages

Punitive Damages: *Court fees & Appellant /Plaintiff pays \$400,00 per week currently at intown suites per week*

52 weeks total amount \$20,800,00 total spent on housing because of this case Not Included is Appellant /Plaintiff Requirement For long term Treatment the Settlement amount will be used to repair & replace Everything Appellant /Plaintiff Lost Because of This Precented case

(Hanahan police Settlement Debt. eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine)

Wherefore, based on the foregoing, new evidence submitted by

15-3-40 Exceptions as to persons under disability

The appellant has consolidated indisputable evidence that is impossible to challenge in any court of law

The amended final brief is confusing because of all of the conflict of interest & misrepresentation of Hood law firm.

#1 The Right to fair trial for the amount of 8,708,003

. **Section 15-3-40:** Exceptions as to persons under disability.

(B). Rules 38 - Jury Trial of Right, S.C. R. Civ. P. 38

The Court of appeal has shown that the state of South Carolina does not feel Black Lives Matter based on mistakes Made by Hood Law firm

If Hood Law Firm would not have used inadmissible Evidence what case would the respondents have?

#2 (Conflict of interest & Judicial misconduct)

Berkeley County allowed Hood law firm to get away with Conflict Interest.

If the Statue of limitation is suspended until I am seen by a doctor what is the argument about?

#3 do The City of Hanahan &

(Other Law Enforcement Officials believe that all Black lives matter)

If Hood Law Firm Knows the officer made a False arrest, why do they not understand Mentally disabled Black lives.

I am trying to avoid working with (Black lives Matter) activists in order to protect the integrity of my Allies in Law Enforcement & the Court thanks to Charleston County Judge warring I have More respect for Judges.

Relief: The City of Hanahan attorneys Should make me an offer for a settlement for the 8786 hours held in Berkeley County jail

For a total of 366 days.

emotional distress issue

This case was supposed to go to trial for the original amount of \$1000,00 per hour plus punitive damages see proof of Emotional damages Charleston County mental health

Hood Law Firm believes Black Mentally Disabled Men Should Not receive Compensation Because no Attorney is representing them if that's not Racist, I don't Know what is.

#6 (Validated Gross Negligence & Discrimination by Hood Law firm)

If the Appellant was White & the Victim was White, I suspect Hood Law firm would have No problem Paying any Settlement.

I used the state vs Susan Smith to show how important kid's lives are of every color Do the Court of appeal feel the City of Hanahan Mayor Rain Water Should be Complicit with not disclosing valuable Evidence to every Court just to avoid a impartial non bias Jury Hood Law firm is Full of Cowards who hide behind litigation when they are afraid of a trial that will expose their Corruption

#7 (Protecting the Public Perception of the Law)

(Due to the Chief of Hanahan police Rick Gebhardt corporation)

By Disclosing the information about the Former Hanahan Police officer Ellen lanphere losing her badge (due to the false statement & Victim testimony)

Exhibit:(a) The "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine, a legal principle, dictates that evidence obtained indirectly from illegal searches or seizures is inadmissible in court, just as the original tainted evidence (the "poisonous tree") is. This rule is an extension of the [exclusionary rule](#), which prohibits the use of illegally obtained evidence. The core idea is that if the initial evidence is tainted, any evidence derived from it is also tainted.

(Based on the foregoing there is no Evidence that Challenges any settlement)

How do the Court of appeal & Mayor rain water Expect the Citizens to obey & respect the law when the *Other Law Enforcement Officials don't enforce their own laws?*

•

Respondent (Rick Gebhardt) (Chief of Hanahan; Showed the Plaintiff/Appellate Compassion for the Emotional Distress, by offering me some Relief that the Officer don't have her badge;

He is an Honorable Man I personally feel who do not Stand for Corruption: Thankfully God has placed a real Man of God as the Chief.

The City of Hanahan Finance department is in charge of The South Carolina Reserves Fund if the City Offer me a Large enough Settlement, I am willing to Guarantee in their agreement to Allocate Funds through There Schools & various Police department listed

I am Trying to receive treatment at a Mental health Hospital subsequently because of this case & Hood Law Firms Legal Malpractice, Gross Negligence & hate towards Mentally Disabled Black witnesses I can't afford treatment so let the Record Show I have Been Homeless since this Case & the Court fees are Money, I should be using to get a place to Stay & I am Suffering I Require Housing & relocation away from everything

(3) **Hanahan police Settlement Debt.** eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine

- (a) *Appellant /Plaintiff based this amount off the number of hours held for the ransom of (\$75,000 inside of Berkeley County Jail)*
- (b) *Conflict of interest created BY (Hood Law Firm) forcing me to represent myself in Title II covers all activities of State and local governments regardless of the government entity's size or receipt of Federal funding
To ignore the ADA thinking its okey because I am representing myself Black & Mentally Disabled only supports discrimination.*

The Consolidated Evidence Proves Gross Negligence & discrimination Based on the Brady Violations that the Chief Disclosed he will be the Star Witness at Trial & I will be Requesting that Mayor Rain water be asked to testify to allowing the Brady violations, Conflict of interest as well as using inadmissible evidence

Hood law Firm has hypocritically complained about the only reason for not paying a Black mentally disabled witness to a 9-year-old child being abused mentally Physically & Suspected Sexually

1# a mentally disabled black witness who lacks the legal compacity improper filing of the record on appeal

Valid Information that Challenges Judge Mc Coy & Judge Young Order To dismiss & violate the Right to trial

- **Judicial Misconduct Exhibit (a)Recusal Requirements**
- An official with a disqualifying conflict of interest may not make, participate in making, or use his or her position to influence a governmental decision.
When appearing before his or her own agency or an agency subject to the authority
 - (a) *is Unbarred & exempt From Statue of Limitations & has proven multiple forms of Gross Negligence, conflict of interest judicial Misconduct: all 4 Parties involved have liability claim in the Emotional*

*THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of
Appeals
FROM Berkeley
County
Judge Jennifer B McCoy*

Case No. 2022 CP-08-02508

Appeal No. 2023-001837

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se

Appellant,

V.

Hanahan Police Department & Other Law Enforcement Officials

Respondent,

PROOF OF SERVICE

*I certify that I have served the City of Hanahan and Berkeley County attorneys Hood law firm **COMPLAINT FORM15-3-40** Exceptions as to persons under disability by Gmail, on August,5, 2025 addressed to the attorney of record, Hood Law Firm attorney of record,*

Cc[Via E-mail

Ms. Ellore A Gains Esquire

Hood law firm

172 Meeting Street,

Charleston, SC 29401

Email: info@hoodlaw.com

Mr. Evan M Sobocinski, Esquire

(The City of Hanahan officials)

Nancy.grigsby@cityofhanahan.com

*Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson in Town Suites 8082 Rivers Ave, Room 309
North Charleston SC 29406 creyolab@gmail.com 843-468-3432*

Judge Jennifer B McCoy

Case No. 2022 CP-08-02508

Appeal No. 2023-001837

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se

Appellant,

V.

Hanahan Police Department & Other Law Enforcement Officials

Respondent,

Certificate of service

*The undersigned certifies that on this 5th day of august 2025 Muhammad N Wilson Pro se that I have served Personally by Gmail the **COMPLAINT FORM15-3-40 Exceptions as to persons under disability** in an attempt to settle the City's Gross Negligence & Discrimination allegation*

Cc[Via E-mail

Ms. Ellore A Gains Esquire

Hood law firm

172 Meeting Street,

Charleston, SC 29401 Email: info@hoodlaw.com

(The City of Hanahan officials)

Nancy.grigsby@cityofhanahan.com

Muhammad N Wilson Pro se

In Town Suites 8082 Rivers Ave, Room 309

North Charleston SC 29406 (843)-468-3432 creyolab@gmail.com