

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Professional Financial Services, Respondent,

v.

Tremaine Golson a/k/a Tremaine D. Golson and Brittney L. Greene a/k/a Brittney L. Golson, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000397


---

## ORDER

---

On June 4, 2025, Appellants filed a record on appeal. On June 6, 2025, Respondent filed a motion objecting to the record on appeal and seeking an order from this court requiring Appellants to file a corrected record on appeal that contains the final order granting Respondent's motion for summary judgment, arranges the documents as set out in Rule 210(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, and is paginated. Appellants did not file a return; however, on June 10, 2025, Appellants filed a motion to amend the record on appeal, explaining the record on appeal contained outdated addresses and inconsistent formatting that did not align with Rule 210 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Respondent did not file a return. After careful consideration, we grant Respondent's motion objecting to the record on appeal and Appellants motion to amend the record on appeal. We strike the record on appeal filed June 4, 2025, and order Appellants to file an amended record on appeal within ten days of the date of this order. *See* Rule 210(c), SCACR ("The Record on Appeal shall include all matter designated to be included by any party under Rule 209 and shall comply with the requirements of Rule 267. The Record shall not, however, include matter which was not presented to the lower court or tribunal. Matter contained in the Record on Appeal shall be arranged in the following order: the title page, index, orders, judgments, decrees, decisions, pleadings, transcript, charges, and exhibits and other materials or documents. Each page of the Record on Appeal shall be numbered consecutively beginning with the index.").

On July 16, 2025, Appellants filed a motion to reduce the amount of the bond imposed by the circuit court. Respondent filed a return opposing the motion.<sup>1</sup> After careful consideration, we deny the motion to reduce the amount of the bond.

  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

**FILED**  
**Aug 06 2025**

cc:

Tremaine D. Golson

Brittney L. Golson

John Sanford Kay, Esquire

---

<sup>1</sup> The court also received a reply, but it was filed out of time and contains deficiencies. Nevertheless, the court considered the filing.