

From: [Alisha Murray](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: 2025-001231 : Stay/Surety bond denial request/ abeyance concerns.
Date: Friday, August 15, 2025 9:44:24 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

Good morning, Emily. Per our conversation is requested information. I was under the impression that a stay was not granted for Latausha. Judge Hood ordered a stay provided 2 surety bonds were paid and , \$500.00 a month if her belongings remained in the home. The home has been vacant over 4 years and Latausha has not resided there since November of 2019. If order was not followed in 5 days, a writ would be issued by the magistrate court for Latausha to move. The order was issued on July 14-25. Time was given until the 29th of July. A writ was filed on the 1st of August. Latausha's set out was scheduled for the 4th of August. However, due to the weather forecast and rain, the Sheriff's department scheduled set out for August 14th, 10 days after scheduled set out date. On 14 August the deputies arrived and set out began. Latausha was not present. At approximately 1300, Latausha and her daughter came to residence. Latausha was up set telling me to stay out of , "her home' accusing me of stealing her belongings. She called her boyfriend down and they put all of the belongings that I had just paid someone to remove back into the home. She locked the door and left. I had contacted the sheriff's department when she arrived yesterday afternoon because I have an active RO on Latausha. I was contacted back by Lt. Ginyard and told that he would get back with me after he checked into some things. I was notified last night that, Latausha had been granted a stay until 12 September. I thought that her stay request had been denied. Was she granted a stay? Should set out have been halted by the magistrate court? I am confused with the order. This is the second time that set out has been lifted by the court. Both times the orders have been lifted, active set out was occurring. Below is the message I received from, Lt. Ginyard regarding stay/ set out:

Hello Alisha I just finished my meeting with Judge Hollins and after looking into this situation a little further it was determined that she was granted a 30day motion to stay granting her the opportunity to obtain an attorney from Aug 12, to Sep 12, 2025. Motion was filed on 8/4/2025 there will not be another Writ issued you will have to wait for the next hearing or court date for the determining factor sorry for the inconvenience!

I look forward to hearing from you. In addition, Latausha has not paid any portion of surety. Thank you.

V/R,
Alisha