

## Singleton, Mary C.

---

**From:** Kitchings, Jenny  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 14, 2025 11:37 AM  
**To:** Court Of Appeals Filings  
**Subject:** FW: Letter submitting a courtesy copy of Appellant's motion to exceed and supplement  
**Attachments:** 1. Teslenko v. Kocsis - Motion to Exceed (Jul 28) (Aug 11).pdf; 2. Teslenko v. Kocsis - Supp. Motion (Aug 13) .pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

---

**From:** Williams, H. Bruce <[hwilliams@sccourts.org](mailto:hwilliams@sccourts.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 14, 2025 11:35 AM  
**To:** Kitchings, Jenny <[jkitchings@sccourts.org](mailto:jkitchings@sccourts.org)>  
**Subject:** FW: Letter submitting a courtesy copy of Appellant's motion to exceed and supplement

---

**From:** O. T. <[osenochen@gmail.com](mailto:osenochen@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 14, 2025 11:26 AM  
**To:** Williams, H. Bruce <[hwilliams@sccourts.org](mailto:hwilliams@sccourts.org)>; Williams, H. Bruce <[hwilliams@sccourts.org](mailto:hwilliams@sccourts.org)>  
**Subject:** Letter submitting a courtesy copy of Appellant's motion to exceed and supplement

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

LETTER TO THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS  
PROVIDING A COURTESY COPY OF MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT AND SUPPLEMENT

August 14, 2025

The Honorable Chief Judge H. Bruce Williams  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Email: [hwilliamsj@sccourts.org](mailto:hwilliamsj@sccourts.org)  
Email: [hwilliams@sccourts.org](mailto:hwilliams@sccourts.org)

RE: Teslenko v. Kocsis, Appellate Case No. 2024-001734

Dear Honorable Chief Judge Williams,

I respectfully submit this courtesy copy of my July 28 motion to exceed page limit and August 13 supplement; both filed with the Clerk's Office and still pending without action. My amended initial brief is due today, August 14. My brief exposes the system of coordinated fraud in the lower courts. I am a disabled pro se appellant compelled to

proceed without counsel against the continuous clerical obstruction protecting this fraud. Due to the number and seriousness of the issues involved, my brief cannot be presented within the default page limit, which prompted my July 28 request for permission to exceed this limit. Seventeen days later, no ruling has been issued, and my brief is due today. This creates a procedural trap—my brief already exceeds the default limit, as I reiterated in my supplement filed yesterday.

Trimming my brief today to fit the page limit will erase issues essential to this appeal and any future review, but filing it as is—without an order granting my motion—will lead to its automatic dismissal. Thus, my appeal has now effectively been decided by procedural default rather than on the merits.

I fully respect the traditional filing process and have complied with all applicable procedural rules. However, the unresolved status of this motion, coupled with my disability and the imminent deadline, has created an immediate barrier to appellate review. If my motion is not granted by end of day today, the appeal will effectively be foreclosed by procedural obstruction.

I am grateful for your time and consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Olga Teslenko

Pro se Appellant

Olga Teslenko

100 Fountain Pointe Ln, Unit 103,

Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29579

Telephone: (510) 388-2780

Email: [osenochen@gmail.com](mailto:osenochen@gmail.com)

Dated: **August 14, 2025**

Attached:

1. Motion to Exceed Page Limit;
2. Supplement to Motion to Exceed Page Limit.