

RECEIVED

Aug 15 2025

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Milton G. Kimpson, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2025-000397

Professional Financial ServicesRespondent,

v.

Tremaine Golson a/k/a Tremaine D. Golson and Brittney L. Greene
a/k/a Brittney L. Golson Appellants.

RESPONDENT'S RETURN TO
MOTION TO FOR EXTENSION

John S. Kay
Hutchens Law Firm LLP
240 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 400
Columbia, S.C. 29210
Phone: (803) 726-2700
john.kay@hutchenslawfirm.com
Attorney for Respondent

Brittney L. Greene aka Brittney L. Golson
7628 Stone Street
Columbia, S.C. 29209
greene.brittney@yahoo.com

Tremaine Golson aka Tremaine D. Golson
7628 Stone Street
Columbia, S.C. 29209
greene.brittney@yahoo.com

RETURN TO MOTION TO REDUCE SUPERSEDEAS BOND

This case involves the repossession of a 2014 GMC Yukon vehicle (the “Vehicle”) in which the Appellants, Tremaine Golson and Brittney L. Greene, have appealed the trial court’s order of March 14, 2025, granting the Respondent, Professional Financial Services, summary judgment on its cause of action for breach of contract. In its final order, the trial court further authorized the repossession of the Vehicle from the Appellants and granted the Respondent’s request for a monetary judgment in the amount of \$18,514.00, with interest accruing after April 30, 2024. On July 15, 2025, Judge Kimpson issued his Order setting the amount of the appeal bond at \$18,514.00. The Appellants filed their Motion for Extension on August 8, 2025. The Respondent objects to the Appellants’ Motion for Extension as it appears to request that this Court grant an extension of time to comply with Judge Kimpson’s Order of July 15, 2025. This Court previously denied the Appellants’ motion to reduce the amount of the bond, but vacated the May 8, 2025 Order issued by Judge Kimpson and ordered that a new hearing on the bond matter take place to consider whether § 18-9-130(A)(1) of South Carolina Code (2014) is applicable to the monetary judgment ordered by the circuit court and section § 18-90-150 of the South Carolina Code (2014) for the repossession judgment. In its Order on July 15, 2025, after a virtual hearing on July 11, 2025, the trial court set the set the bond amount at \$18,514.00.

The Respondent does not object to the Appellants’ seeking an extension of time to prepare and file the Record on Appeal. However, the Appellants have also asked for an extension of time as to “...actions related to the stay pending appeal” and, for “adequate time to address the bond requirement and preserve their rights on appeal.” The Appellants have been aware of a bond requirement since May of 2025 and have had adequate time to prepare for having to post the bond required by the circuit court. It is clear that the Appellants want to delay the matter

further by not posting a bond and then trying to appeal the July 15, 2025, order issued by Judge Kimpson and seeking another stay rather than posting the required bond.

For these reasons, the Respondent requests that the Court deny Appellants' Motion to for Extension as it pertains to the Supersedeas Bond and the requirement of the circuit court that the bond be posted no later than August 1, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,


/s/ John S. Kay

John S. Kay, S.C. Bar #7914
HUTCHENS LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 8237 (29202)
240 Stoneridge Dr., Suite 400
Columbia, SC 29210
P.O. Box 8237
Columbia, S.C. 29202
803-726-2700 (ext. 2705)
Email: John.kay@hutchenslawfirm.com
*Attorney for Respondent Professional Financial
Services*

August 15, 2025