

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Steven Frantz, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000790


---

## ORDER

---

On May 27, 2025, this court issued an order striking Appellant's amended record on appeal because it failed to include all items designated by the parties and included several items not designated by the parties. This court ordered Appellant to file a second amended record on appeal in compliance with Rule 210 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules within ten days of the date of the order. On July 7, 2025, Appellant filed a document titled "Appellant's Required Filing of a Record on Appeal Brief in Support." This document does not comply with Rule 210 because it does not include any items designated by the parties. *See* Rule 210(c), SCACR (explaining that "[t]he [r]ecord on [a]ppel shall include all matter designated to be included by any party under Rule 209," and "shall not . . . include matter which was not presented to the lower court or tribunal").

On July 15, 2025, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the appeal, or in the alternative to strike Appellant's July 7, 2025 filing. After careful consideration, we grant Respondent's motion to dismiss. Remittitur will issue in accordance with Rule 221 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.



J.

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

**FILED**  
**Aug 19 2025**

cc:

Steven Frantz

Andrew Douglas Powell, Esquire

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire