

Aug 13 2025

Cayce Brainard
PLAINTIFF(S)

Dream Investment Realty
DEFENDANT(S)

SC Court of Appeals

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

On August 12, 2025, an in-person hearing to Set an Appeal Bond was held. Sharon Chapman appeared as the pro se Respondent, and the pro se Appellant, Cayce Brainard did not appear. Judge Coble heard Respondent's argument prior to ruling. After consideration, the Bond continues as is, in accordance with the exact requirements from Judge Scott's ruling on March 14, 2025, which set the Bond at \$196 per month. See Judge Scott's Order for the payment terms.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/12/2025 .

Dream Investment Realty for Dream Investment Realty
Cayce Brainard for Cayce Brainard
Dream Investment Realty for Dream Investment Realty
Cayce Brainard for Cayce Brainard

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Cayce Brainard VS Dream Investment Realty

Case Number: 2025CP4001380

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Daniel Coble, 2774