

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

TCC of Charleston, Inc., Petitioner-Respondent,

v.

Concord and Cumberland, LLC, Concord & Cumberland HPR, Leo Hall, Diane Hall, Bea H. Smith, Margaret C. Pope, William D. Foster, Jr., Gene G. Foster, Mattison J. MacGillivray, Teresa MacGillivray, Pamela L. Vaughn, Nelia A. Patricio, Trustee of the Nelia A. Patricio Revocable Trust Agreement, Stuart D. Reeves, Edward T. Strom, Barbara K. Henderson, James R. Clarke, Paul A. Brim, Robert K. Seidl, Jennifer M. Seidl, Robert Kenneth Seidl, II, M. Bert Storey, Thomas R. Mather, Edward T. Strom, 304 Concord & Cumberland, LLC, Marion M. Simpson f/k/a Marion Moore McDonald Simpson, Kathy Gardner, Gregory J. Gardner, Freeman Waterfront Properties, LLC, Jo-Ann Cooper, Betty Y. Segal, Robert M. Levin, and Bonita K. Levin, Donald D. Leonard, Betty L. Beatty, Mattellen, LLC, and Thomas R. Debnam, Trustee of the Trust Agreement of Thomas R. Debnam, Respondent-Petitioners.

Appellate Case No. 2025-001715

ORDER

The requests for an extension to serve and file the petitions for writ of certiorari are granted and the time is extended for twenty days until September 18, 2025. Please refer to the order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina dated July 16,

2014 (<http://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=963>)¹, regarding any further extension requests in this matter.

FOR THE COURT

BY Patricia A. Howard
CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina
August 29, 2025

cc: W. Siau Barr, Jr.
F. Cordes Ford, IV
Edward D. Buckley, Jr.
Russell Grainger Hines
William Cole Shannon
Henry E. Grimball
Robert Andrew Walden
Jaan Gunnar Rannik
Michelle N. Endemann
The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings

¹The Order provides that, in cases where a party seeks review of a decision of the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, a party "may be granted extensions totaling no more than twenty (20) days during the proceedings before this Court." Extensions beyond twenty (20) days are permitted based only on "extraordinary circumstances such as illness or other circumstances beyond the control of the movant [that] warrant the granting of the extension. The parties are warned that the press of other business is not an extraordinary circumstance which will warrant the granting of an extension."