

RECEIVED

Sep 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

Case No.: 2024-001241

THE MATTER OF JASON BOYLE, Appellant

MOTION TO RECONSIDER DENIAL OF REFERRAL TO DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Appellant, Dr. Jason M. Boyle, respectfully moves this Court to reconsider its denial of his Motion for Referral to Disciplinary Counsel.

1. America Is a Land of Laws

This is America. We are a nation of laws, not of men. And the law here is clear.

2. The Undisputed Fact

Judge Danny Singleton sentenced Appellant to jail under an order **with no case number**. The Attorney General's Office itself submitted this order into the record in its motion to dismiss.

Such an act is void on its face. To deprive a citizen of liberty under a non-existent case number is not error — it is misconduct that strikes at the foundation of due process.

3. The Clear Law

- **Rule 501, Canon 2.15(B), SCACR:** “A judge having knowledge that another judge has committed a violation of this Code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness for judicial office **shall** inform the appropriate authority.”
- **Rule 407, Rule 8.3(a), SCACR:** “A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects, **shall** inform the appropriate professional authority.”

“Shall” means must. There is no discretion.

4. The Broader Misconduct

The violations of **Attorney Jim Logan, Judge Lawton McIntosh, and Attorney General's counsel Andrew Powell** are alone egregious enough to demand mandatory reporting. Each acted in ways that raise serious questions about honesty, impartiality, and professional fitness. But

Judge Singleton's violations — unlawful incarceration, orders without case numbers, and retaliation against protected speech — go even further. His misconduct is worse, and it leaves this Court with no lawful choice but to report.

5. The Court's Duty

This Court, having knowledge of these violations, is bound by Rule 501 and Rule 407 to refer them to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel. Declining to do so contradicts the plain command of our rules and undermines public confidence in the judiciary.

6. The Absence of Reasoning

The Court's prior order denying referral provided no explanation. Where rules impose a mandatory duty, a bare denial without reasoning raises more questions than it resolves. Appellant respectfully requests that, if the Court again declines referral, it state the legal basis for disregarding the plain command of Rule 501 and Rule 407. Without such explanation, the denial itself undermines the transparency and integrity of this Court.

7. Judicial Accountability in South Carolina

More than a year has passed, yet this matter remains unresolved. The ongoing stigma and harm I face are not the result of any failure on my part to pursue relief, but rather from the absence of accountability within the judicial system itself. When courts decline to correct the errors of their peers, justice is delayed and the injured party is left without remedy. Respectfully, this Court has both the authority and the responsibility to ensure that such harm does not continue unchecked. Reconsideration is therefore essential to bring resolution and restore confidence in the fairness of these proceedings.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Appellant respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Reconsider its denial of referral, and
2. Discharge its mandatory duty under Rule 501 and Rule 407 by referring this misconduct to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.

Respectfully Submitted, this September 6, 2025.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jason Boyle". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

DR. JASON MICHAEL BOYLE, Ph.D., Appellant

750 Mourning Dove Ln. Seneca, South Carolina 29678

jasonboyle03@gmail.com

+256-785-945-074

RECEIVED

Sep 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Case No.: 2024-001241

THE MATTER OF JASON BOYLE, Appellant

MOTION TO RECONSIDER DENIAL OF REFERRAL TO DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this reply was delivered to the following parties:

1. Jim Logan: logan@loganandjolly.com
1805 N Boulevard
Anderson, SC. 29621
2. AG Attorney, Andrew Powell: andrewpowell@scag.gov
Office of the Attorney General
PO Box 11549
Columbia, SC. 29211
3. AG's Office, Susan Spencer: susanspencer@scag.gov
4. AG's Office, Grace Sommer: gracesommer@scag.gov

Respectfully Submitted, this September 6, 2025.



DR. JASON MICHAEL BOYLE, Ph.D., Appellant.

750 Mourning Dove Ln. Seneca, South Carolina 29678
jasonboyle03@gmail.com