

RECEIVED

Sep 22 2025

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Tony Hill](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Tony Hill](#)
Subject: Past letter from ADA ADVOCATE REGARDING KIDNEY STONES -URGENT
Date: Saturday, September 20, 2025 10:57:52 PM
Attachments: [ada letter.pdf](#)

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Kennedy and Fink v LHRVRC, ET AL

NOT PROOFED DUE TO ADA HANDICAPS

We understand the office is busy but **we need to have a new due date** w Dr. KENNEDY about 60% better now and doing light work on the case to help me as I cannot do this entire appeal w out her and she is an interested party.

A PRIOR kidney stone attack happened around June 2024 and I discussed the harsh treatment the Racketeering Judges gave us while giving vacation time freely to the defense in open hostility and signaling to us that the court will continue to be extremely biased against and physically punish us and will create a way for us to lose no matter what we do w this very meritorious case. The Judges could not even by law preside over the case as the defendants identifies them as actively working as defendants in the underlying case w the defendants which was brought to our attention by defendant admissions and by others also after we initially filed the case.

In that kidney stone matter where Dr. Kennedy was passing 3 stones at once but could not get an expansion of time as the doctors needed them out the next day w hydronephrosis occurring...we hired an ADA advocate who wrote an opinion letter we filed regarding Genetic kidney stones being a qualifying event under ADA guidelines.

We are attaching that here and can hire her to again do a more encompassing opinion if needed since there are a lot of ADA issues being violated if these health matters and related matters are ignored.

Further we have given new reasons for a larger extension of time and pages needed based on new information as what this court allowed the AG TO RECEIVE IN THE MURDAUGH MATTER that shows selective prosecution to not do the same for us as we have more evidence...more issues including constitutional issues and fraud on the court by the court in collusion w other state actors, lawyers, allianz and so forth, and than Murdaughs straight forward matters would need to present.

We need to know 1. Is there a stay on the present unconstitutional deadline that obviously does not allow us due process and equal protections under the circumstances, and **2. When will these matters be heard because we have a deadline coming up soon and Dr. Kennedy has not been able to do much and is now working at about a 60% of capacity clip which will not allow us to be completed nor will we have enough pages to provide REAL DUE PROCESS as cutting the pages in our case and not in others is both selective prosecution and attempts to not allow for our issues to be fully pled and heard. We have well over 6,000 pages of evidence to cover here.** We also note the ag office ignored the courts

deadlines/pages at will w out penalty even though they were given great extensions and could have gotten more as the court was extra friendly to them.

We need to hear about increased page limits and due dates comparable w the murdaugh case for great causes shown.

3. Can we get a communication in the file about the stay while these issues are being addressed as we do not intend to default by procedural manipulations like what the lower court continually attempted in an extremely criminal manner.

Thank you

Dr. Marsha Fink

Dr. Linda Kennedy