

A TRUE COPY

APR 29 2024

C. Rena Thomason
CLERK OF COURT

Janice Wolk Grenadier
Certified ADA Advocate & Mediator
15 W. Spring St.,
Alexandria, VA 22301
202-368-7178
ADAAdvocate4@gmail.com

April 26, 2024

Judge Heath P. Taylor
100 South Main Street,
Anderson, SC 29624
(803) 535-2187
864-260-4053
htaylor@sccourts.org

RECEIVED
Sep 22 2025
SC Court of Appeals

RE: Case No. 2022 CP 0400592 Linda Kennedy et al vs. Lake Hartwell RV Resort & Cabins LLC et al

Dear Judge Taylor,

My name is Janice Wolk Grenadier. I am a Certified ADA Advocate who specializes in the courts ensuring that litigants are treated fairly.

The ADA Advocate is not a lawyer and this should not be construed as Legal Advice or Legal Representation - but, a Natural Attempt at ensuring both sides are presented to the court and considered for the BEST INTEREST OF JUSTICE

An ADA Advocate can not ensure the outcome of a case and or her services, they can only do their best to create a fairer outcome.

An Advocate can notify a Court that she will be working as an ADA Advocate due to the Legal Abuse by all appearances from the documents reviewed in the Clerk's office of the Court with the finding of the questionable behavior of the Judge, the Court, and or others.

No one is immune from violations of the ADA this includes judges.

This information that is entered into the Record can suggest an advocate is appropriate. That "The Appearance of Justice is Just as Important as Justice itself".

The documents the ADA Advocate can find in review can show an injustice to the living, to the deceased, and or the heirs. The SCHEMES of this and other courts disenfranchise litigants, and families from Justice while protecting a powerful lawyer with many "Ole Boy" Network connections.

The State and the United States Constitution are our strongest advocates.

The cases can be and are extremely disheartening when the family, litigants, and the docket, the documents speaking for themselves are being denied, Justice.

Abuse matters, as all across America we have seen the "Me too" movement. The fact a Judge is asked to recuse for Bias and then another Judge would then empower the recused Judge's ruling is always questionable. The appearance and the documents usually show the denial of any and or all meaningful discovery, with subpoenas usually being quashed after being filed. Again we look at the "Appearance of Justice is just as important as Justice itself.

I was asked to review this case as it appears the Plaintiff has some physical health issues that required her to request an extension of time.

The time was denied to Plaintiff who is already *Pro Se*, even with her making the doctor's notes available to the court.

The Court is usually tolerant of health issues, especially after COVID. Health issues are not something we can control and an extra 30 days will rarely make a difference to a case.

I would like more information as to why the extension of time was denied before a report is done.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

/s/ Janice Wolk Grenadier

Janice Wolk Grenadier