

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Paul David Hess, APRN-BC, Respondent-Appellant,

v.

Morphis Pediatric Group of Lancaster, P.A.; Elizabeth J. Morphis, M.D.; Gregory M. Alexander, CPA; and Moore Beuston and Woodham, LLP; Defendants,

Of whom Morphis Pediatric Group of Lancaster, P.A. and Elizabeth J. Morphis, M.D. are Appellants-Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2022-001589


---


## ORDER

---

Appellants-Respondents' motion to exceed the page limit is granted and the petition for rehearing is accepted as filed. The motion to strike is denied and Respondent-Appellant's reply is accepted as filed.

After careful consideration of the petitions for rehearing, the court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petitions for rehearing are denied.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Charles Franklin Thompson, Jr., Esquire

David Eliot Rothstein, Esquire

Ryan Lewis Beasley, Esquire

The Honorable DeAndrea G. Benjamin

**FILED**  
**Sep 22 2025**