

**LETTER TO CLERK'S OFFICE REGARDING SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR EXPANSION OF TIME
AND PAGES WITH NEW INFORMATION THAT HAS ARISEN.**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Sep 24 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Linda Kennedy and Marsha Fink, Appellants

v.

Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC., a/k/a Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Campers and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Management, a/k/a Chris Vellanti, a/k/a/ Christopher Vellanti; Christopher Vellanti, as a Member and Personally; Yvonne Goldman, as a General Manager and Personally; Frank Pellegrini; Fritzie Maroto; Jennifer Burdette; Marsha Stamm; Allen Riha; Ray Grenier; Grant Ferrendelli; and Charles Carpenter, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000859

Appellants object to the current above-referenced style of the case

September 24 and 25, 2025

Dear Clerk of Courts, Jenny A. Kitchings and Emily Heid, Case Manager:

Please note we are objecting to this fraudulent style of the case that is not accurate but promotes a Defense Argument not consistent with the law as the case was successfully amended by court order. We have done so officially already, but whenever we remember, we also want to place this disclaimer in any writings so as not to acquiesce. Please also note that this is not proof read due to ADA qualifying disabilities not allowing for such at this time.

Yesterday, Ms. Jenny A. Kitchings, and Dr. Kennedy discussed an expansion of time and pages based on new physical health emergencies discussed in urgent letters and on the phone filed by Dr. Fink. On September 24, 2025, we were instructed that we needed to do a motion and a stay would be placed on the file until a fair and unbiased finding could be made by the Judges.

We let Ms. Kitchings know that these were emergencies and that is why they came by letter and we appreciated the consideration given. Dr. Kennedy said she was about 60% back to health with her kidneys, but was still having problems including her legs still swelling a little which was a sign of toxic fluid backup not being processed by blocked kidneys. She reported she was up and typing and reading at about a 60% basal rate for having other disabilities also . As also known by the Court, we are both suffering additional injuries that qualify under the ADA, with limb and eye problems from the abuses perpetrated by the RACKETEERS state actors and agents in the lower court, and Dr. Fink being on seizure medication that make it impossible for either of

us to work nonstop and without normal amounts of sleep and rest as discussed many times with medical documentation of these matters. In spite of all issues being documented including causation by the Court's bad acts, the lower court still accused us of lying and continually ignored the reports, even though we could not fake objective tests, CT Scans, MRI's and so forth by medical professionals we have never met before.

Mcintosh would only accept any medicals proofs at the last Unconstitutional event of predetermined outcomes, and still called us liars publicly, with medicals in hand, i.e., part of the RACKETEERING cover-up among these corrupt Judges and their agents who stole our award and so forth, Murdaugh-style with the predetermined outcome on an extremely meritorious case with Defendant admissions of their and the Judges wrong doing in the underlying case, and all their actions are on appeal.

We are also reporting an unfortunate new situation on this date, that Dr. Kennedy's legs are again starting to collect more liquid at a significant rate again like they did before the actual kidney stones blockage emergency at the end of August 2025, and it is more than a negligible amount that may be expected from healing kidneys with a significant hydronephrosis in each kidney, along with sustained flank pain that has never gone away after surgery on both kidney areas (perhaps from swelling), and that something may still be wrong with the Kidney process, along with other signs/symptoms causing concern, after Dr. Kennedy suffered from the original traumas and surgery in both kidneys due to her forced delay in treatment, while trying to meet these short deadlines we cannot meet as physically limited adults suffering from ADA protected and qualifying physical disabilities, like the Kidney situation and others mentioned caused by the lower-level Judges themselves, along with more physically qualifying disabilities that limit our time to work, and dealing with a massive amount of the sheer volume of evidence and issues needing categorizing, review of specific quotes/records, and finishing the initial appeal brief in this matter for a fair and proper quality presentation and review for the Courts to provide a meaningful Due Process standard.

We are mailing today, the Motion we discussed by phone earlier today on September 23, 2025, although very simply written due to us unable to extend this much limb/eye time to write and read on the computer so we can save our limited endurance for our actual appeal work we are trying to complete through these foreseeable ADA issues we have warned this and the underlying court about regularly.

Please as discussed, you will initiate a stay and allow these Judges time to fairly and reasonably give us what are considered by your office liberal and reasonable timeframes and page limits and we expect they will be more in line with the Murdaugh appeal Response of the Attorney General's office, that we cited on the phone and in the Motion. The Motion is not artfully done but sufficient to get this reasonable request honored by law. Further, please give us sufficient

time to adjust and finish, even if the Judges would wrongfully give us little if any extra time/pages so we can try to finish with meaningful Due Process without further harming us physically.

The mailing will include proofs of services and a \$50 money order as discussed also, to your location. We also note a recent finding of a filing from your office discussing part of this letter this morning, which documents part of what we discussed already, with our writing being a bit more inclusive of topics. Note we sent letters due to the situation and urgency and what we are sending as a motion is less than artful, but under the circumstances should be sufficient.

Not proofed due to physical limitations addressed often and not repeated in full here.

Thank you.

Dr. Marsha Fink

Dr. Linda Kennedy