RECEIVED
Aug 15 2023
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY
General Sessions Court
The Honorable J. Derham Cole, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2019—001570

State of South Carolina……Respondent,

vs.

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle……Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL

Volume II (pp. 501–879)

Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Attorney for Appellant Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle

Julianna E. Battenfield
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
South Carolina Office of the Attorney General
1000 Assembly Street, Suite 500
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211-1549
Attorney for Respondent

INDEX

Volume I (pp. 1–500)

ORDERS

September 5, 2018 Order Denying Immunity……. 1

September 16, 2019 Order Denying Motion to Reconsider Sentence…….. 5

April 7, 2022 Order Denying Motion for New Trial……. 6

PRETRIAL MATTERS

Immunity Hearing Transcript (Tr. 1, 2, 4-86), August 29, 2018, for *State of South Carolina v. Lorenzo Guillermo Daniel Calderon and Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle*, Indictment Nos. 2017GS425449 and 2018GS424411, In the Court of General Sessions of Spartanburg County, South Carolina

Opening Statements on Motion for Immunity ……. 16

Kevin Stiens
Direct by Monier Abusaft…… 16
Cross -examination by Derrick Bulsa…… 19
Redirect by Monier Abusaft……. 22

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle
Direct by Richard Vieth……. 23
Cross-examination by Monier Abusaft…….. 39
Cross-examination by Derrick Bulsa ……. 41

Harvey Owens
Direct by Derrick Bulsa…… 62
Cross-examination by Monier Abusaft…… 71

Argument on Motion for Immunity…… 77

TRIAL

Trial Transcript (Tr. 1, 4, 8, 13-85, 99-110, 118, 120-156; 160-169; 183-188; 190-191; 201-227, 230-232; 243-252; 259-260; 267-274; 280; 289-330; 334-362; 376-455; 458-462; 467; 471; 474-475; 491-625), Transcript of Record, September 10-13, 2018, *State of South Carolina v. Lorenzo Guillermo Daniel Calderon and Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle*, Indictment Nos. 2017GS425449 and 2018GS424411, In the Court of General Sessions of Spartanburg County, South Carolina

Pretrial Conference and Motions……. 101

Voir Dire…… 117

Jury Sworn…… 159

Opening Statements
- By State…… 168
- By Appellant's Counsel……. 172

Testimony

James Owens
- Direct by State……..……. 174
- Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 184
- Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 184
- Redirect by State…….. 185

Jeannie Burnett
- Direct by State……..……. 186
- Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 188

Justin Kyle Rector
- Direct by State……..……. 189

Jeffery McCallister
- Direct by State……..……. 194

Tammy McCallister
- Direct by State……..……. 202
- Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 206
- Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 206

Donald Greene
Direct by State……..……. 207

Debbie Greene
Direct by State……..……. 209
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 211

Stephanie Brewster
Direct by State……..……. 212
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 221
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 223

Jerry Taylor
Direct by State……..……. 224
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 229
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 231

James Rush
Direct by State……..……. 234
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 240

Hunter Sizemore
Direct by State……..……. 242
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 260
Redirect by State…….. 262
Recross by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)…… 265
Redirect by State…….. 267
Recross by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)…… 268

Kukila Wallace
Direct by State……..……. 272

Harvey Owens
Direct by State……..……. 282
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 292

Maria Calderon
Direct by State……..……. 293
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 299
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 300
Redirect by State…….. 303

Brittni Gossett
Direct by State……..……. 305
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 307

Thomas Edward Darnell
Direct by State……..……. 309

Chad Smith
Direct by State……..……. 313
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 326
Redirect by State…….. 327

Catherine Leisy
Direct by State……..……. 329

Dr. John David Wren
Direct by State……..……. 335
Cross -examination by Appellant's Counsel……. 341
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 349
Redirect by State…….. 357
Recross by Appellant's Counsel…… 362
Recross by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)…… 362
Redirect by State…….. 363

Brittni Gossett
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…….. 364

Devin Ruttle
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…….. 366
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 390
Cross-examination by State…….. 396
Recross by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)…… 437
Recross-examination by State…….. 441

Brianna Ivester
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…….. 444
Cross -examination by Monier Abusaft (Co-Defendant's Counsel)……. 446
Cross-examination by State…….. 448

Erica Scruggs
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…….. 451

Maria Calderon
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…….. 458

Jury Charges
Request to Charge……. 454
Trial Court's Ruling…….. 471
Charge to Jury…… 522

Closing Arguments
by Derrick Bulsa for the State…… 473
by Monier Abusaft for Co-Defendant……..……. 487

Volume II (pp. 501–879)

by Richard Vieth for Appellant……. 503
Reply by Derrick Bulsa for the State……. 519

Verdict & Sentencing
Verdict of the Jury..……. 557
Sentencing…….. 573

TRIAL EXHIBITS & OTHER MATERIALS OR DOCUMENTS

State's Exhibit 1…….. 588

State's Exhibit 3…….. 589

State's Exhibit 6-7…….. 590

State's Exhibit 12-17…….. 592

State's Exhibit 19-39…….. 598

State's Exhibit 41-48…….. 619

Indictment Nos. 2017GS425449 and 2018GS424411…….. 627

Sentencing Sheets for Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle…… 631

POST TRIAL MOTIONS

Motion for New Trial on Grounds of Juror Disqualification…….. 633

Memorandum in Support of Motion for New Trial on Grounds of Juror Disqualification…. 635

New Trial Hearing Transcript (Tr. 1-127), May 12, 2021, *State of South Carolina v. Lorenzo Guillermo Daniel Calderon and Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle*, Indictment Nos. 2017GS425449 and 2018GS424411, In the Court of General Sessions of Spartanburg County, South Carolina

Opening Statements on Motion for New Trial ……. 655

Testimony

Rick Vieth
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…..……. 657
Cross -examination by State…….……. 668
Redirect by Appellant's Counsel…… 679
Recross by State……. 683

Bunty Desor
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…..……. 684
Cross -examination by State…….……. 703
Redirect by Appellant's Counsel…… 710

Travis Mimms
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…..……. 713
Cross -examination by State…….……. 722
Redirect by Appellant's Counsel…… 732

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle
Direct by Appellant's Counsel…..……. 740
Cross -examination by State…….……. 752
Redirect by Appellant's Counsel…… 755

Argument on Motion for New Trial……. 757

POST-TRIAL EXHIBITS & OTHER MATERIALS OR DOCUMENTS

Affidavit of Rick Vieth…..……. 777

Affidavit of Travis Mimms……. 781

Form 235 Juror Information Section for Nysha Jefferies…….. 784

Spartanburg Circuit Court Form 235 Juror Information Response Packet for September 10, 2018 term of General Sessions Court……. 785

Spartanburg County Clerk of Court's Juror Venire/Worksheet for September 10, 2018….. 819

Emails between Appellant's Counsel, Trial Counsel for the State, and Judge Cole on July 21, 2020, May 4, 5, and 7, 2021, May 11 and 12, 2021, and May 25, 2021……..…. 849

Photograph from Facebook account of Nysha Jefferies with inscription wishing Janie Jefferies, her mother, a happy birthday on February 17……. 873

Photograph posted on September 23, 2011 to Facebook account of "Janieindependent Jefferies" with inscription indicating photograph is of her "oldest daughter"……. 874

Photograph posted on May 10, 2020 to Facebook account of Janie Jefferies with inscription wishing a happy Mother's Day to "my beautiful daughter Nysha Jefferies"…….. 875

Photograph posted on Facebook account of Nysha Jefferies on December 10, 2020, the anniversary of Charles Rosemond, Sr.'s death……. 876

Appellant's Exhibit No. 1 to Motion for New Trial, USB with File of Nysha Jeffries On Stage 12-2-2010 Video File to be filed separately pursuant to Rule 210(f), SCACR

Appellant's Exhibit No. 1(a) to Motion for New Trial, USB with File of 1-14-2011 Nysha Jeffries Video File to be filed separately pursuant to Rule 210(f), SCACR

APPELLATE MATTERS

Notice of Appeal served September 12, 2019…… 877

Certificate of Counsel……..…. 879

Let's remember that Lorenzo was never charged with having an illegal weapon. It's not a charge. He's never charged with that.

And the only thing that makes -- and we keep harping on -- Mr. Bulsa keeps harping on the idea that he had -- that there was an illegal firearm. But what he really means to say is that Devin didn't go down to the Palmetto Armory and spend eight hours getting a C.W.P. on Saturday.

No doubt. Once he took the car out -- once he took the gun out of the glove compartment, that was illegal, but doesn't make him somehow immoral, right? Doesn't make him one of those people that, sir, you're a felon, you've had some kind of violent crime on your history, you cannot own a weapon. He just hasn't got a C.W.P. And as you saw before, those guns were in a glove compartment the way that you carry a gun properly in a vehicle.

Remember that this big rifle has nothing to do with Lorenzo, but it really has nothing to do with Devin either. It's just put in there to intimidate you, to make you think that he's some criminal kingpin. That's -- that's just a modified pistol. Guns by themselves don't make you a bad person, doesn't matter what the solicitor says. If that were true, we wouldn't have officers all in this room with guns.

Hunter Sizemore. The state -- the state calls Hunter

Sizemore. They thought for sure. They thought that he was going to say something the way that they wanted it said. When he didn't, they started coming down the hill at him. He didn't agree that Lorenzo was doing all of this yelling. He didn't agree with that. He didn't agree that somehow Lorenzo was acting belligerent. Again, it doesn't matter. That's before the fact. But don't let that be glossed over.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, don't let the state get something for nothing. Don't let them by merely presenting the bad picture take away the freedom of Lorenzo Calderon.

When I met Lorenzo's mother -- she's the one that's been sitting there in the second row with a black shirt -- she looked me up and down. She said young man --

MR. BULSA: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. Not in evidence.

MR. ABUSAFT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you heard the state, you heard the solicitor, talk about community, people coming together, law and order. Implication is that community -- that somehow serving community is putting these young men in jail.

The law and the order don't -- the highest law and order in this country and this constitution, the constitution says the government can't put someone in a

cage for nothing. They can't get something for nothing.

Ladies and gentlemen, Lorenzo Calderon is a son of South Carolina. I'm honored to represent him. His life has been in my hands, and now I give it to you. Thank you.

THE COURT: Does any juror wish to take a short break before we continue? Anyone?

(No response.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Vieth.

MR. VIETH: May it please the Court.

Mr. Foreman, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm the last to talk at this stage of the process, but then the solicitor will get to come back up and speak again.

I know you've heard a lot, and a lot of what's been said, I didn't really want to repeat, but I didn't know what everybody was going to argue until I was preparing for my opening. So you're going to hear some things that you've heard before, but when you talk about cocounsel, we're really not cocounsel. We're at the same table and we represent people that are involved in a similar incident. But not a lot of communication, because there are two different issues.

I told you back when we started that I wasn't going to be one of those lawyers to jump up and cross-examine a bunch of people, that a lot of the facts were not in dispute. And we went through a lot of witnesses that I

didn't have any questions for because the facts really were not in dispute.

There are some issues that when they're not in dispute, the good news is you can't rest on speculation. You can't sit there and say we're going to find someone guilty because I think this is what happened. I can't find someone guilty because it sounds like it could have happened that way. That's not -- that's not justice. Justice is to find proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

That's tough when you have someone who is deceased, to make a decision where you're saying, gosh, should we let someone go or should we punish them just because. You know better than that. You don't punish just because. You punish because guilt is proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

And that proof -- I've tried a lot of civil cases over the years, and the example is sometimes given as the blind-lady scales of justice where you say you have to tilt the scale to prove your case.

In a civil case you're fighting against who ran the red light in the traffic accident or if you're having a medical malpractice case or any civil case, basically the burden is what was said on that screen, preponderance of the evidence, to be like me taking this sheet of paper and tilting the scale. That person wins because you have tilted the scale in the preponderance of the evidence.

But when you're in criminal court and someone's freedom is at stake that burden is beyond a reasonable doubt. And that's where you almost have to take this and this and put it on this and tilt that scale. It's not -- it's not anywhere else but that.

So you've got to look at the facts, not the speculation, when you reach a verdict in this case. There's been a lot of evidence in this case.

One of the things that makes it clear to me on facts is -- and I've got -- I don't like to use notes. I'd rather not. But there have been so many witnesses that have testified, I'd be foolish not to do it and just act cool, but I'm going to go through what I know is going to be facts.

Officer James Jones -- Owens said that it was a clear day, there was an open gate, parking area, nothing to block the view. There were houses everywhere.

So when you apply common sense you say, oh, this is a perfect day to commit a murder. It's clear. It's daylight. Houses are everywhere. This is a perfect day. I can't be caught. Don't make any sense why he would pick that opportunity to do it with all of those houses around. You've got a bunch of retired people just sitting on the porch, a lot of things. And he could have gone up into that gate that was open if he wanted to kill somebody and

just pull up there and shoot them and drive right back off. Why would you go park the car and get out to go see somebody when you've got clear access to just take your car and say there's the guy, let's just go get rid of him and run up in the parking lot and shoot him and get back out of there? That would make more sense to me if I was -- had malice aforethought. Not that you go in a daylight, clear area, park your car and get out. And that's where Devin says, look, he waved at me, we've had -- we knew there were some issues between Lorenzo and Dalton.

Now, let me. You've seen this courtroom has been full most of the week. If they had any witnesses to say anything other than what we've presented, they would have brought them up here.

When Devin says I didn't know Dalton, I didn't have an argument with Dalton, surely the state would have put people up there that says Dalton and him don't get along, Devin and him had been fighting forever, they've got a possible -- been violent with each other.

They didn't put up anything like that. So you can take that as the only uncontradicted evidence of that issue. But there was zero conflict between Devin and Dalton, no evidence that you can consider, because no other evidence was presented. And they would have had if there had been some dispute. Trust me. With all of the kids

that were testifying and different things being said.

So we know there's no -- no I-wonder-who-to-believe issue. We know Devin had no problem with Dalton. He just knew that Lorenzo and Dalton had some issues to the extent one day on Easter, around that time, he saw the conflict pop up. He saw Dalton purportedly. Could have been a knife; could have been a gun. We don't know if there was any. He just said he saw him with a hand behind his back. Lorenzo got out of the car with a gun. Dalton took off. They drove off.

Now, he said at that point I knew -- I did not want to have anything happen in my family or my kid or me by him thinking I'm connected with Lorenzo if they're fighting over something.

So, and maybe Dalton felt the same way. Maybe Dalton didn't want to have an argument with Devin to the point when they saw each other that day and he waved at him, he recognized the car, it was stopped. And we can go into that. But some of those facts are not disputed.

James Rector said, you know, he saw Dalton walking home and had a hoody on. I think by saying that my guy had a hoody on, let's say that implies guilt. But that's an era that young people live in today. They wear a hoody now when it's August. I guess they've got them on. So that's irrelevant except for trying to show off some speculation

here.

Tammy McCallister said it took about, in her opinion, around less than five minutes. We agree with that. Devin agreed with that. It didn't take long for him to go up there and put his hands up to talk to him, and all of a sudden hell broke loose, whatever lack, for lack of a better word. Knife is pulled and he gets into a self-defense situation.

The issue on that is going to be if you believe he went to see Dalton to do bodily harm, then it's not self-defense. He has to not have gone for that.

But what evidence is there that says he went to do bodily harm? There's none. And I know the prosecutor will come back and say, well, the deceased can't talk. And I appreciate that issue. But you have to connect the dots and say why would Devin do this when they had no dispute with each other, no argument.

So doesn't it make sense to say that he went up to talk and maybe Dalton thought he was coming up for violence, maybe Dalton was mad at him. We don't know. That'll be an issue that will probably rest with everybody in this courtroom to say I wonder what happened. But you can't wonder and speculate. You've to go on the evidence you have to work with.

Now, when the evidence came in from the car, I agree

that when you're looking to try to prove something you might as well bring in the whole dog and pony show, and they did. They brought in all of these bullets and this gun down here that you'll have back in evidence.

They're trying to say, well, someone has gotten all of this, he must be a murderer. That's just a great way to get y'all to think negatively. It's not illegal to have them. Don't mean that you're a killer to have it. He had a right to have them.

Now -- and this is the first I heard about it, was about 45 minutes ago, potentially they're alleging that maybe Devin was smart enough to say, golly bum, I think I'll shoot him and then drop the knife and act like it was self-defense. That one got to me to think that they're trying to insinuate now that maybe Devin dropped this knife, smart enough to think we'll put that down and then I can raise up and shoot.

If that's believable, I can't win this argument at all, but that's not logical. That knife that y'all have back in that room was the knife that Dalton had that spurred the whole thing on.

You look at it again with some common sense, and you've seen -- gosh, unfortunately, you've seen police officers on the news have to fire a weapon at someone that's pulled a knife. You see it a lot, unfortunately.

It doesn't mean you have to wait until the person is right beside you before you pull that knife to protect yourself.

Distance is not that big of an issue. You wouldn't see officers do that when people pull a knife if it wasn't for fear. And when they pull that weapon, the first thing Devin does is try to fire a warning shot that don't even go off. And then he fires another shot and then one that is just almost randomly fires. The way he kinda talked about it, it's almost like I just fired without even really aiming it.

And I think the bullet that entered him would be consistent with something like that. If you were hostile and went up to kill somebody, you shoot them in the face, you shoot them in the heart. If they carry a business, as they say this happened, you don't shoot them here and where Dr. Wren said an arm had to be up. That gives you not speculation. It gives you reason to say he had the knife like Dalton -- like Devin said.

And when you get in that situation of saying I felt I was in imminent danger when he pulled -- when he was coming at me with this knife, that I think a reasonable person would have done the same thing and fire in self-defense, then you have a right to protect yourself.

There's even a law out there that says a person can be acting lawfully even if he is in unlawful possession of a

weapon in self-defense.

So even though he shouldn't have had that weapon out of the car without the permit, you can do that. You can protect yourself without worrying about all of that.

The issue becomes do you pull -- one of the issues, do you pull this knife to stave off a bullet, or do you pull the gun to stave off the knife? What is pulling the knife going to do to thwart a bullet? And that's where you can start using logic and saying if you've got a conflict is the knife protecting you from a gun or is the gun protecting you from the knife. And that's where, again, common sense and experience comes into play.

It's uncontradicted however that what happened, happened. You don't have to believe Devin. That's what I told you Monday. Credibility is an important issue in this case.

I don't know whether an 18, 19 or 20 -- I don't know what a 40 or 50 year-old would do when something like that happened. But I can pretty much feel that someone that young would be scared to death after it happened, take off, run, and if you got rid of stuff.

And one thing he did do, he called three people and told them what happened -- Maria, the mother of his child and his mother. And he basically said someone came after me with a knife, I shot him, I'm wondering one time I

messed up, maybe. I mean, it just was a bad set of facts. But is it murder beyond a reasonable doubt? If so, where is the evidence, where is the evidence of murder beyond a reasonable doubt?

The issue of bringing -- well, they're not married, but if we were saying -- it must be adultery. He had a child with one and had sex with another. That goes with that gun over there.

If you want to believe that person, they're looking for any boat on the lake to say we want to find -- let you find him guilty because of appearances, because he appears to have more than one woman sometimes, because he appears to have guns, then that must mean he's guilty. You're smarter than that.

This jury was selected carefully to use the evidence that you are given, not that you perceive, to determine the outcome of this case.

I don't understand why even someone would think you should take a sunny day on a street like that with all of those houses and say this is the perfect time to commit a murder. It just doesn't fit, sense.

And there are mistakes that are made in all of these cases. Jerry Taylor testified. He said it looked like two black people. Then he said I made a mistake, I looked at the dark hair of the driver, so I assumed that. It could

have been the same with Maria saying took care of business as I opposed to I messed up or take care of it.

You can't put all of that stuff and say everyone was right or you'd be thinking two black people did it, at least from the first statement that Mr. Taylor said. He was just trying to be honest. Then he came back a week later and said I made a mistake, I need to correct that, I really wasn't paying attention to who was in the car as much as I was the car itself. True. But those things can happen.

Sizemore, we know, did say that Lorenzo did yell something at him. He didn't know -- at Dalton. But he didn't know what it was. And that he got out of the car.

There is zero evidence, ladies and gentlemen, that Devin and Lorenzo knew that they were meeting there. I'm sure with all of the search warrants and all of that taken care of, the cell phones and everything else, we would have had a bunch of records showing that they were communicating about let's hook up.

He gets his car out of the ditch, fills it up with gas so he can go see the mother of his child and goes. Why wouldn't -- that's another issue. Why wouldn't Lorenzo just get in Devin's car when they filled it up with gas and took it out of the ditch if they were going to go together? That's another thing that makes common sense. If they were

going to do something together, conspire to do something together, they would have just gotten in the same car when he got the gas. No. He's heading off to go get his wife, his girlfriend, to go out to eat. The mother says, yeah, that's true because we were looking for a babysitter.

And, yes, it might have been a bad coincidence. I don't know. But he gets out of the truck, and Dalton is coming back to go meet with -- I mean, Devin is going back to meet with Dalton. But once he picks his friend up, he don't want his friend getting out of the car to go see Dalton. And he said that.

I knew they had issues, I didn't want him to be part of this, so I just parked and was going to go speak to him, trying to calm -- get everything resolved. And he said, well, just go ahead and take this just in case. And I said why didn't you take your own gun, and he said because I wasn't really even thinking of taking one, he just gave me one to pacify and put in my -- my waistband. And then after that that's what happened.

So it's the elements of self-defense I think we have proven, and I don't think they can be disproved. No one is saying he went up there as an aggressor. He said he went up there to talk to him after he got way down. And I had him stand right here and say how did his hands go, and he said I had my hands out to talk.

The knife was pulled. He fired a warning shot, fired another shot walking backwards. The bullet, the empty shell, is there that's closest to the item No. 4, which is where blood was. And after -- the one that didn't go off is closest. And then there's the other one, and then there's the other one. And then he'd gone out to get in the car and get the heck out of there.

Was he using, I would say, common sense? You don't know what would happen in common sense in that kind of scenario but is he -- was -- did he make an error in judgment the way he left and the way he got rid of a gun and the way he got rid of his clothes? Of course he did. But does that mean he's a murderer or just scared to death of what this thing is going to turn out to be?

He called his mother and said, look, I want to see my kid, giving money to my girlfriend for the kid. I know I'm in trouble. But that don't mean it's an intentional killing. It just means there had been a huge error here. And I don't know if he's deceased or not, but I'm happy.

And that's basically the evidence you have in this case. Anything else, as you say, I don't believe that, I don't have any other evidence but I'm just not going to believe that. So I'm just going to find murder for the heck of it. And I know that's not what this jury is going to do.

There's a -- I was thinking about this the other day too. I think even the solicitor has some issue of reasonable doubt. And I want -- I didn't think about it until about a week ago. But I want to show you something.

Where are the indictments?

All right. This is kind of interesting to me. The indictments that you get to take back to your jury room along with all of this evidence that's going to go back --

MR. BULSA: Your Honor, I've got a matter of law.

(Bench conference held off the record in the presence of the jury but out of the hearing of the jury.)

MR. VIETH: Just to bring up, they've charged him with murder and -- and when the judge gave you some instructions Monday he said these indictments will be back in the room with you. So it wasn't anything that you didn't have access to.

But he gets indicted by the grand jury, I think, November the 2nd of 2017. Let's see. No. It was true billed October 27th. So true bill is when the grand jury meets and they say we've got sufficient evidence to at least charge somebody. So that was, you know, about a month later it goes to the grand jury for murder.

Okay. August 24, three weeks ago, we get a new indictment, and my client has to get arraigned for unlawful possession of a pistol. All right. He gets charged --

June, July, August -- 15 months for this after murder is charged.

Now, Mr. Bulsa brought up something about 9-1-1. I've got another analogy. I look at the violation of the pistol as a summer storm. I look at murder as Hurricane Florence.

This has got a lot more penalty, a lot more issues and a lot more freedom being gone than a possession of a pistol.

So why did they overkill it? They want to make sure that they get a conviction on something.

MR. BULSA: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Go ahead.

MR. VIETH: They want to make sure they get a conviction on something, but why did they wait that long?

We'll admit to this. You go back and just write guilty on unlawful possession. He did. And the judge wants to give him time on it, he can do that. He had that. He admitted that he had the pistol without a permit and took it with him. So this will take no time to deliberate.

But it seems strange to say why we need to put this little tidbit out there unless we have some concern we may lose this one. And I think that to me gives you some pause to think.

Ladies and gentlemen, it is better to let a hundred

guilty people go free than convict one innocent person. That's what you're going to decide.

If you have any doubt you've got to let him go. Even if the facts aren't what you want to believe, you've got to go with the facts you have. You can't speculate, go out tonight and say, well, this is what we think really happened. That's not the way you find someone guilty of murder.

Now, voluntary manslaughter. I guess we need to touch on that briefly. That would be where there's almost a confrontation, and a confrontation would be an argument where maybe self-defense isn't applicable, that he wasn't trying to retreat or he -- in those factors, aggressor and all of that. But we don't -- we don't really think it's voluntary manslaughter either. We really think this is a self-defense case. The evidence doesn't disprove it.

We don't have the burden to prove it. And these things right here just tend to let me wonder why would they want to make sure they got something in the pot and come back with that indictment.

So it's been a pleasure representing Devin. All of these were tough. It's tough for lawyers, very tough for jurors. You're the ones that's going to make the ultimate call, and it'll be a call that lives with him for a long time. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Any juror need a break before we continue with the state's reply?

(No response.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bulsa.

MR. BULSA: I'm not quite sure where to begin because apparently I've been put on trial. Mr. Bulsa, why did you send that second indictment to the grand jury? Because three weeks ago we learned that the defense was going to be self-defense. We didn't know what the defense was going to be because the defendant said I wasn't on Foster Street.

The state's position of the law is, is if you go to a place, confront somebody armed with a weapon, you are not without fault. You can't be without fault if you go armed, if you are illegally armed with a firearm. We thought it was because we didn't do it. We present the case. I wasn't there.

MR. ABUSAFT: Objection, Your Honor. Misstatement of the law previously.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. BULSA: That's the way the state feels this case has gone. God help us if you cannot walk down your street on your way home in broad daylight, and you get shot down dead. That's what Dalton was doing. That's just it.

This is upsetting to me; it's upsetting to the family that their young son was walking down the street, killed in

a community center parking lot, and all you have to hear is this man who fled the scene in a car driven by this man, and they want you to believe that he had to do it, he had to kill him, in fact, he had no way around it.

I can sit here and pull out every little thing they said. I sat here writing all of these notes.

If you are firmly convinced that the defendant acted with malice aforethought -- not premeditation, not that he had a plan when he went up there -- but that he went up there and he confronted him and something went wrong and he pulled the trigger and Dalton died, it's not premeditation. A plan is not required; motive is not required. There's a lot of things we don't know because Dalton is not here to tell you.

We know that he fled. He drove him away and told the police that he didn't drive him away. He told the police he sat in the car the whole time. We know that's not true because the car moved from where they supposedly parked it as it was backing up.

They took their knowledge of crime investigation from television shows and said they wiped the bullets down because they didn't want fingerprints on that in case Mr. Calderon did something criminal. It just so happened to be the gun that he did something criminal with.

That's all the evidence. He lies and tells his

friend, his girlfriend. Mr. Vieth brought up the sex. Now he wants to hid behind it.

What happened in that car before, we can't prove it. What happened afterwards, we know he drove him away, we know everything was disposed of, we know they stayed together, we know they were good friends, we know Lorenzo had problems with Dalton, and we certainly know that Dalton fled when a gun was pulled according to them on Easter when he was trying to flee on May 5th of 2017 and got shot in the side under the arm. It's not self-defense. It's murder. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to take a short break for the benefit of myself and you, I hope, and certainly the court reporter because she's taking everything down.

So we'll take that short break, and then we'll conclude with the final instruction on the law and then your deliberations.

So please go to your jury room right now, but don't discuss the case. I'll bring you back in just a few minutes to continue with the instructions.

(The following takes place outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: We'll be at ease for 15 minutes.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury in, please.

(The following takes place in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you of course have heard and seen all of the evidence to be presented in the trial of this case, and you've also heard the final summations of the lawyers; and therefore it now becomes my duty and obligation to instruct you on the law that's applicable to the cases. And then you'll be asked to go back and to begin with your deliberations.

Through that process you'll examine the evidence, you'll determine the facts where you can from the evidence presented. Upon deciding the facts, you'll apply the law that I will have provided you, and you will determine whether or not a defendant's guilt has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

It is your exclusive prerogative to determine what facts are as they relate to the allegations made. You do that through your own common-sense examination and evaluation of all of the testimony and other evidence received during the course of the trial.

You 12 jurors alone will decide what weight, value and effect to give to any particular testimony or other evidence in the case. Your sole objective and duty is to render a fair and impartial decision based upon the

evidence presented, based upon the law that's applicable in arriving at your decision.

As you know, in this case we have two defendants. Mr. Ruttle has two separate charges contained in two separate indictments.

One indictment charges Mr. Ruttle with having committed the crime of murder. That indictment alleges that Mr. Ruttle did here in Spartanburg County on or about May the 5th of 2017 wilfully and with malice aforethought kill one Dalton Moore by shooting him and that he died as a proximate result of that gunshot wound.

The second indictment as against Mr. Ruttle charges the unlawful possession of a pistol, the state alleging that on or about May the 5th of 2017 Mr. Ruttle did unlawfully carry about his person a pistol in violation of a particular code section.

The remaining indictment charges Lorenzo Calderon with the crime of accessory after the fact to a felony, and that indictment alleges that Mr. Calderon did here in Spartanburg County on or about May the 5th of 2017 knowing the commission and completion of a felony -- in this case the felony is alleged to have been murder -- by the principal felon -- that being Devin Ruttle -- that Mr. Calderon did aid, harbor and assist Mr. Ruttle to escape detection, arrest or punishment or otherwise avoid the

consequences of the crime.

Now, as to those allegations and as to each of the charges contained therein each of these defendants have entered a plea of not guilty.

And as I told you, that plea of not guilty places upon the state the burden of proving the allegations that they have made by way of each of these indictments, the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the crimes that have been alleged against each of the separate defendants; and therefore the burden is upon the state to establish a defendant's guilt to the satisfaction of you 12 jurors beyond a reasonable doubt before any verdict could be rendered as to any of the separate indictments.

The burden is never upon a defendant or a person accused of a crime to prove that they are not guilty or to prove that they are innocent because in some cases that might not be possible. The burden is always upon the state because they've made the accusation and they've brought the charge to establish the accused's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

You are further instructed that it is a vital, important and cardinal rule of law that every defendant in a criminal trial, no matter how great or serious the offense might be for which that person stands charged, that person shall always be presumed innocent of that charge.

That presumption of innocence remains with any defendant, as it does with these defendants, from the time that you are -- from the time that he is placed under arrest and throughout the course of the criminal process and even throughout the course of the actual trial in the case.

That presumption of innocence will be with each of these defendants even as you go back into your jury room to begin with your deliberations after I provide you with this instruction.

That presumption of innocence will be with each of those defendants in that jury room, and it'll be with them forever unless you 12 jurors determine that a defendant is no longer entitled to that presumption of innocence.

In other words, after you have carefully considered all of the evidence received during the trial of the case and from that evidence you have been able to determine what the facts are as they relate to particular allegations in an indictment, and after deciding the facts you apply the law that I will have provided you, if you 12 jurors unanimously determine that a guilt -- that a defendant has been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt as to a particular charge, then that defendant would no longer be entitled to that presumption of innocence as it relates to that charge. But it is only if, unless and until you are

satisfied of a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant would no longer be entitled to that presumption of innocence.

Now, the state does have the burden of proving a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That does not mean however that the state has to prove a defendant's guilt beyond all doubt or beyond any possible doubt, but it does require the state to prove the defendant's guilt to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt.

The term reasonable doubt should be given its plain and ordinary meaning. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would cause a reasonable person, after giving appropriate deliberation and consideration to all of the information provided, to hesitate to act upon that information.

The defendant in a criminal trial is entitled to any reasonable doubt that arises from the evidence or lack of evidence in a case, and if upon any factual issue essential to a finding of a verdict of guilty you have some reasonable doubt as to how that issue should be resolved, it would be your duty to resolve that reasonable doubt in favor of the defendant.

Now, while there are various forms of evidence such as testimony, photographs, documents, charts and other types of physical exhibits, there are really only two types of

evidence, and either or both of those types of evidence may be used independently or in combination to prove a fact in question. But the two types of evidence are direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who testifies from actual knowledge of that fact. It is testimony by a person who has perceived the existence of a fact by means of their senses, and then they come into court and they testify as to what they have previously perceived. Direct evidence proves the existence of a fact directly and does not require any deduction or inference in order to establish that fact.

Circumstantial evidence, on the other hand, is the proof of some other fact or a set of facts which taken either singly or collectively may prove the existence of a fact in question as a necessary consequence, that is through a deduction or an inference.

An inference is simply a deduction of fact that may logically and reasonably be drawn from the proof of some other fact or set of facts. In other words, it's a fact not proven by the direct testimony of a witness based upon their personal perception, but it is a conclusion which might reasonably be drawn from the proof of other facts.

In other words, you may infer that a particular event occurred or that a particular fact exists based upon the

proof of sufficient factual circumstances which would reasonably warrant your arriving at a particular conclusion.

The commission of a crime and any particular element necessary to prove a crime may be proven by direct evidence or by circumstantial evidence or by a combination of both direct and circumstantial evidence.

The law makes no distinction between the weight or value to be given to direct evidence as opposed to circumstantial evidence. However, to the extent that the state relies upon circumstantial evidence in order to establish the commission of a crime, all of the circumstances proven must be consistent with each other and when taken together point conclusively to the guilt of the accused beyond a reasonable doubt.

If these circumstances merely portray the defendant's behavior as suspicious, then that proof is insufficient and it fails.

The burden rests with the state, regardless of whether the state relies upon direct evidence or circumstantial evidence or a combination of both of those types of evidence, to prove a defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt. There are

very few things in this world that we know with absolute certainty, and in criminal cases the law does not require proof that overcomes every possible doubt. And if, based on your consideration of the evidence in this case, you are firmly convinced that a defendant has been proven guilty of a crime for which he now stands charged, you must find that defendant guilty of that particular crime.

And if, on the other hand, you think there is a real possibility that the defendant is not guilty of a crime for which he is charged, then you must give him the benefit of that doubt and find him not guilty of that charge.

As I told you, you are the sole judges of the facts in this case. And you are therefore necessarily the sole judges of the credibility and the believability of the witnesses' testimony that has been provided during the course of this trial. And you 12 jurors alone will decide what weight, value and effect to give to any particular witness' testimony, as well as the other evidence received during the trial of the case.

But as you are the sole judges of the facts in the case, you are therefore necessarily going to be required to evaluate the credibility or the believability of each of the witnesses that have testified during the course of this case.

In determining the credibility or the believability of

a particular witness' testimony you should consider a number of factors that I'm going to list for you.

First of all, you should consider the demeanor of the witness. That is how the witness appeared to you as the witness testified from that witness stand. Was the witness straightforward in responding to questions, or was the witness hesitant or evasive in responding to questions that were asked of the witness.

Simply put, did the witness appear to you to be telling the truth and to have knowledge of the facts to which that witness has testified.

You should also consider whether or not the testimony of a witness is consistent, or is it inconsistent with that witness' own testimony provided here in court or consistent or inconsistent with other statements made by that same witness, whether in court or outside of court.

You should also consider whether or not the testimony of a witness is consistent or is it -- or is it inconsistent with other witnesses' testimony or other evidence received during the course of the trial.

You should also consider how the witness came to know the facts to which that witness has testified. In other words, what was that witness' opportunity to perceive the existence of those facts to which that witness has testified by having previously used his or her senses, and

then what is that witness' ability to be able to come into court and to accurately recollect to you as to what they have previously perceived.

You should also consider whether or not a witness has any bias or prejudice or interest in respect to the case. In other words, do you find that a particular witness would testify one way or another to help or hurt one side or the other. And you may consider any interest that a witness might have in the outcome of the case if you determine that that witness does have an interest in the outcome and you find that that interest would bear upon that particular witness' credibility.

You should also consider whether or not the testimony of a witness is strengthened, or is weakened by other testimony or other evidence received during the course of the trial.

Now, while lay witnesses are generally limited to testifying only to facts within their own personal knowledge or experience or perception and are not ordinarily allowed to give opinions as it relates to any matter in question, certain witnesses who have special education or training or experience as it relates to a particular subject matter may be considered as an expert in that field. And when that person is qualified as an expert that witness may give testimony in the form of an opinion

as it relates to the facts of the matter, which is the subject of these particular cases.

Their conclusions and opinions are evidence to be considered by you along with all of the other testimony and other evidence in the case in arriving at your decisions.

If you find that the opinion of an expert is not based upon sufficient education or training or experience or a proper consideration of the facts that relate to this particular case as you find those facts to be, then you may reject that opinion in its entirety or you may accept it in part and reject it in part, just as you may do with any other witness who has testified during the trial of the case.

You as the finders of the fact and you as the judges of the credibility of each of the witnesses who have testified are permitted to believe as much or as little of what a witness has testified to as you deem is appropriate. And therefore you may believe everything that a witness testified to; you may choose to believe none of it. You may believe some portion of a witness' testimony and reject some other portion of that same witness' testimony.

In a given case you could believe one witness as opposed to several, or several as opposed to one. But whatever your good judgment and common sense tells you is the most believable and credible testimony is the testimony

you should accept, and you should reject any other testimony or evidence that you find not to be credible or believable.

Again, your sole objective is to simply render a fair and impartial decision based upon the evidence presented and the facts as you determine those facts to be and then your application of the law as I will have given it to you.

Now, the same law that provides that you are the judges of the facts also provides that I am the judge of the law, and that simply means that nobody is going to tell you how to arrive at your determination of fact in this case.

You do that, as I've already stated, through the exercise of good judgment and common sense conscientiously applied to the testimony and the evidence received during the course of the trial.

Under your oath as a juror, however, you must accept the law as I provide it to you as being the law that you are to apply in the case. In other words, you're never to concern yourself with what you thought the law was before you came to serve as a juror this week or what you think the law ought to be.

You must simply under you oath as a juror accept the law as I provide it to you, and then you take that law and you apply it to the facts as you 12 jurors determine those

facts to be based upon your common sense examination and evaluation of the evidence.

Now, in this case, as you know, we have two defendants and we have three separate indictments. You will be considering each defendant and each separate indictment separately. You'll consider the evidence as it relates to the particular allegations in a particular indictment against a particular defendant, and then you'll apply the law that I will have provided you as it relates to that particular charge set forth in the indictment.

I'm simply going to begin with the charges, indictments, charging Mr. Ruttle with the crimes of murder and unlawful possession of a pistol. Then I'll follow that by charging you the law as it relates to the offense of accessory after the fact which has been brought against Mr. Calderon.

The crimes of murder and unlawful possession of a handgun and accessory after the fact to a felony are all statutory offenses, and that just means that they're codified in the Code of Laws. And that means that every year the legislature meets from January to June.

During the course of those sessions they pass a number of laws and rules and regulations that govern our conduct in a variety of ways. Some of those laws are penal statutes or criminal penalty statutes. And it just means

that they pass a law. That law perhaps prohibits us from engaging in a certain type of conduct in violation of the statute. And if we are found to have engaged in that conduct in violation of the statute, then we're subjected to some form of punishment or penalty because of that violation.

But understand your obligation and duty as jurors is never to determine your verdict based upon any punishment or penalty that might be imposed as a result of any decision that you make.

You don't determine punishment; you don't determine penalty; and you're to consider that in any way in your deliberations or in your determination as to whether or not a defendant's guilt has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Your sole obligation and duty is to make that determination as to whether or not a defendant's guilt has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and you do that without regard to the consequence of your decision.

The first indictment, as I say, that I will address is that charging Mr. Ruttle with the crime of murder.

Murder is defined in Section 16-3-10 of the South Carolina Code of Laws as the killing of any person with malice aforethought, either expressed or implied -- the killing of any person with malice aforethought, either

expressed or implied.

In order for you to find the defendant Devin Ruttle guilty of the crime of murder it would be necessary that the evidence in this case establishes to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, Mr. Ruttle, did commit some act or acts which proximately caused the death of the decedent -- in this case that would be Dalton Moore -- and that the killing was done with malice aforethought.

Malice as an essential element of the crime of murder is a state of mind connoting an ill will and having the intent to do harm.

An intent means the state of a person's mind which directs his actions towards a specific object or goal. Intent would include those consequences which represent the very purpose for which an act is done, as well as those consequences which are known to be substantially certain to result, whether actually intended or not.

Malice is a technical term importing wickedness and excluding just cause or legal excuse. It is something which springs from depravity, from a heart devoid of social duty and fatally bent on mischief. It is a state of mind indicating an extreme disregard for or an extreme indifference to human life.

Malice may be likewise defined as a state of mind

which indicates a formed purpose and design to do a wrongful act under circumstances that exclude any legal right to do it.

Malice as an essential element of the crime of murder may be expressed or it may be implied. The words expressed or implied malice do not mean different kinds of malice but merely the manner in which malice may be shown to have existed, that is to say either proof of malice by direct evidence or proof of malice by circumstantial evidence, which implies and infers the existence of malice.

Malice may be expressed as where there is an expressed threat to kill or a lying in wait or where the circumstances show directly that an intent to kill was entertained by the killer.

Malice may be inferred, though no expressed intent to kill is proven by direct evidence, where the facts and circumstances which have been proven by the evidence in this case satisfy you beyond a reasonable doubt that malice was present in the mind of the killer at the time that any unlawful homicide took place.

The existence of malice may be inferred from acts, declarations and conduct of the killer, as well as from any other circumstances shown to have existed at the time of the event, as often the state of a person's mind can only be proven by circumstantial evidence.

Malice as an essential element of the crime of murder does not require necessarily proof of an ill will or a hatred towards the particular individual who is killed, nor does it require proof of an actual or a specific intent to take human life, but rather it means the existence of a state of mind accompanying conduct signifying a general malignant recklessness and an extreme disregard for or an extreme indifference to human life.

So even if the facts proven are sufficient to raise an inference of malice, such an inference would simply be an evidentiary fact to be taken into consideration by you 12 jurors along with all of the other evidence received during the trial of the case, and you shall give such inferences such weight, if any, that you determine they should receive, if any.

The state is required to prove malice, just as it must prove any other essential element of the crime charged, and it is for you 12 jurors to determine whether or not the evidence in this case establishes malice beyond a reasonable doubt.

It's also essential that there exist not only malice but that the malice be malice aforethought. The law does not require that malice must exist for any appreciable length of time before the commission of an act proximately causing a fatal result. Indeed, it may be conceived at the

very moment that the fatal act is committed.

It is sufficient in the law as long as the state does prove beyond a reasonable doubt both the existence of malice and the commission of an act or acts by the defendant, Mr. Ruttle, which proximately caused the fatal result.

You must determine whether or not malice aforethought existed based upon your consideration of all of the evidence received during the course of the trial, as well as any reasonable inferences that might be derived from that evidence.

Now, while the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a killing occurred and it was accompanied by the element of malice aforethought in order to establish the crime of murder, it is not necessary that the state prove any motive for such a killing.

Motive is not an essential element of the crime of murder which must be proven, although on some occasions there may be evidence relating to such a fact introduced during the trial of the case.

You are further instructed that an indictment charging a defendant with the crime of murder may also include the lesser offense of voluntary manslaughter, even though that lesser offense is not actually set out in the indictment itself.

In other words, a jury may find the defendant guilty of a lesser included offense where the evidence in the case warrants such a finding.

A defendant who has been charged with the crime of murder may be found guilty of only the lesser included offense of voluntary manslaughter if the jury determines that the evidence in the case warrants such a finding, whether or not that lesser included offense is actually alleged in the indictment itself.

Voluntary manslaughter is defined in the law as the unlawful killing of another human being which is committed in a sudden heat of passion provoked by a sufficient legal provocation -- the unlawful killing of another human being which is committed in a sudden heat of passion provoked by a sufficient legal provocation.

The law recognizes that sudden heat of passion arising out of exasperation, rage, anger, sudden resentment, fear or terror may for the time being affect the self-control of an individual temporarily disturbing the ability to properly reason creating an uncontrollable impulse to do violence and therefore reduces the crime from that of murder to that of voluntary manslaughter provided that the sudden heat of passion arose from a sufficient legal provocation.

Fear induced by an attack or an aggressive and

threatening act may cause a person to act in sudden heat of passion, but it must be fear resulting from a sufficient legal provocation which causes the person to lose control and to create in the person an uncontrollable impulse to do violence.

The law does not reduce from murder to voluntary manslaughter every homicide that is committed in the heat of passion. It must be the heat of passion justly excited by a sufficient legal provocation. If a sufficient legal provocation does not exist, then the killing, even committed in the heat of passion, is murder and not voluntary manslaughter.

The exercise of a legal right by a person can never be considered a sufficient legal provocation for the commission of an unlawful homicide.

The sudden heat of passion aroused by a sufficient legal provocation which may reduce a homicide from that of murder to that of voluntary manslaughter need not dethrone reason entirely or shut out knowledge and volition, but it must be such heat of passion provoked by such acts or circumstances that would naturally disturb the ability of an ordinary reasonable person to properly reason rendering his mind incapable of a cool reflection and producing what according to human experience may be described as an uncontrollable impulse to do violence.

In determining whether an act resulting in the death of another was impelled by heat of passion aroused by a sufficient legal provocation or by malice aforethought, you should take into consideration all of the surrounding circumstances and conditions which accompanied the event.

Now, in this case with respect to the crime of murder there has been presented evidence relating to what is known in the law as the defense of self-defense.

A defense to a criminal charge is a reason based upon the law or the facts or both as to why the person charged with an unlawful homicide should not be found guilty.

As it relates to the defense of self-defense, the law recognizes the right of every person to defend himself from death or from sustaining serious bodily injury, and to do this a person may use such force as is reasonably necessary even to the point of taking human life under the appropriate circumstances.

The right of self-defense is founded upon necessity, either actual or reasonably apparent necessity. And it is a complete defense to a charge of an unlawful homicide. The existence of self-defense entitles a person charged with the commission of an unlawful homicide to a verdict of not guilty.

Now, although a defendant may raise the defense of self-defense, the burden of proof is not on the defendant

to prove the existence of such a defense because, as I've previously instructed you, the burden is always upon the state to prove the defendant's commission of the crime alleged against him beyond a reasonable doubt, and this burden that the state has would therefore necessarily require that the state prove beyond a reasonable doubt the absence of self-defense.

In your consideration of the existence or absence of self-defense you are instructed that there are four basic elements which must be present in order for self-defense to be established in a particular case.

First, it must be shown that the defendant was without fault in bringing on the immediate difficulty which necessitated the use of deadly force against another resulting in his death.

The defendant cannot by his own conduct provoke, initiate or otherwise through his own fault bring about a difficulty and then claim the right of self-defense in the use of deadly force in repelling an attack caused by his own provocation.

Secondly, you are instructed that every person is entitled to defend himself or herself against death or the infliction of reasonably anticipated unlawful serious bodily harm and in doing so may use such force as is reasonably necessary even to the point of using deadly

force. However, every assault or every attack would not justify the use of deadly force or extreme force in order to defend against it.

You are instructed that where deadly force is employed in response to an assault or to an attack it must be shown that at the time that deadly force was employed the defendant was actually in imminent danger of losing his life or sustaining serious bodily injury, or that the defendant actually and reasonably believed that he was in imminent danger of losing his life or sustaining serious bodily injury, the term imminent danger meaning an immediate or present danger and not a past or future danger.

As to the third element you are instructed that if the defense is based upon a belief of imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, then it must be shown not only that the defendant had such a belief but that the defendant's belief was reasonable. In other words, that a reasonably prudent person of ordinary firmness and courage would have entertained the same belief if that person had been acting under the same or similar circumstances as established by the evidence in this case.

If the defendant was in actual imminent danger, then it must be shown that the circumstances were such as would warrant a reasonably prudent person of ordinary firmness

and courage to act as the defendant acted in order to save himself from death or serious bodily injury.

A deadly force in repelling an assault or an attack is only appropriate when necessary and may only be exercised when the defendant is in actual imminent danger of losing his life or sustaining serious bodily injury or when the defendant entertains a reasonable belief that he is about to suffer death or sustain serious bodily injury.

Now, the law of self-defense encompasses preventative action taken to protect one's self from sustaining bodily injury provided such action is taken in anticipation of imminent danger of bodily injury and such action is in response to an immediate threat.

The defendant has the right to act upon appearances. He may be mistaken. The law does not hold someone to a refined assessment of the actual danger as might be accomplished having an adequate time to properly reflect, provided however that he has acted as a person of ordinary reason, firmness and courage would have acted or should have acted in meeting the appearance of the danger.

In other words, he does not have to wait until the aggressor gets the advantage, for one always has the right to act under the law of self-preservation and to prevent an assailant from getting the advantage over him.

There is however a requirement of objectivity. The

belief of the necessity to act must be reasonable. The circumstances must be such that a reasonable and prudent person of ordinary firmness and courage acting under the same or similar circumstances as established by the evidence in this case would have entertained the same belief as the defendant and been warranted in acting as the defendant did in this case.

As to the fourth element, it must be shown that the defendant had no other means of avoiding the danger of losing his life or sustaining serious bodily injury other than to act as he did in those particular circumstances, because, as I have stated, the use of deadly force in defending one's self against any attack is founded upon necessity. And therefore it is a person's duty to avoid the use of deadly force and the taking of human life where it is possible to avoid such action if such action can be taken without increasing the danger to one's self.

The defense of self-defenses does not exist if any one of the required elements is absent or if any one of the required elements has been disproven.

Now, as I have previously stated, where there has been presented evidence relating to the defense of self-defense, the state has the burden of disproving self-defense in order to prove the defendant guilty of an unlawful homicide, whether that be murder or whether that be

voluntary manslaughter.

This does not however require the state to disprove each and all of the four elements in order to disprove the existence of self-defense. The state will have met its burden of disproving the existence of self-defense if the state disproves beyond a reasonable doubt any one of the required elements necessary to the existence of self-defense.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt after considering all of the evidence received during the trial of the case, including any evidence relating to the issue of self-defense, then it would be your duty to resolve that reasonable doubt in favor of the defendant and to find him not guilty.

If, on the other hand, you have no reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt after considering all of the evidence, including any evidence relating to the issue of self-defense, then it would your duty to find the defendant guilty of any unlawful homicide that has been proven to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt based upon my instruction already provided you as it relates to the elements of the crimes of murder and voluntary manslaughter.

The second indictment relating to Mr. Ruttle charges him with the unlawful possession of a pistol, and South

Carolina Code Section 16-23-20 provides that it is unlawful for anyone to carry about the person any handgun, whether concealed or not, except where specifically authorized by law to possess and carry about his person a handgun under specific circumstances provided for by statute.

I am now going to instruct you on the law as it relates to the indictment charging Mr. Calderon with the crime of accessory after the fact to a felony.

An accessory after the fact to a felony is one who knows that the principal offender has committed and completed a felony and assists that principal offender with the intention of facilitating his escape from arrest, conviction or punishment.

The mere presence of a defendant where a crime has occurred or the mere association by a defendant with someone who has committed the crime is insufficient proof that the defendant has committed any crime.

Evidence that a person is present at the time a crime is committed is not in and of itself sufficient proof that the person has committed any crime.

In order for the defendant, Lorenzo Calderon, to be found guilty as an accessory after the fact to a felony it must be proven that a felony has been committed by the defendant, Devin Ruttle, and you are instructed that the crimes of murder and voluntary manslaughter are designated

and classified as felonies under the law of this state.

Secondly, it must be proven that Lorenzo Calderon had knowledge or reasonable grounds to believe that Devin Ruttle committed the felony.

Thirdly, it must be shown that Lorenzo Calderon did harbor, aid or assist Devin Ruttle.

And, lastly, that he did so with the intent and purpose of assisting Devin Ruttle in escaping or otherwise avoiding detection, arrest, apprehension, conviction or punishment for the crime committed.

Even though it may be shown that a defendant charged with accessory after the fact to a felony has in some way rendered aid or assistance to the principal felon, he is not guilty of being an accessory after the fact unless it be further shown that he rendered that aid and assistance with the intent and purpose of assisting the felon in escaping or otherwise avoiding the consequences of his crime.

Ladies and gentlemen, you are further instructed, and as I told you at the outset of this trial, and I emphasize to you, the fact that a defendant does not take the witness stand or testify during the trial of a case is not a fact or circumstance that may be considered by the jury in any way whatsoever, nor may it be held against a defendant in the slightest degree at all.

As I've stated, the state has the burden of proving a defendant's guilt reasonable doubt.

The burden is never upon a defendant to prove that he is not guilty or to prove that he is innocent because in some cases that might not be possible. And therefore you may not draw any conclusion nor draw any inference from the fact that a defendant does not testify during the trial of the case. You may not allow that to weigh in the slightest degree against that defendant, and you may not consider it or even discuss it while you are engaged in your deliberations, and it may not enter as a factor into any decision that you render.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I am not in any way concerned with what your decisions are, but I do tell you that the verdict as to any indictment has to be unanimous. All of you must be in agreement.

Now, with regard to each of the separate indictments, you have two -- well, actually with the murder indictment you'll have three potential verdict forms. With the other two indictments you'll have two potential verdict forms.

With regard to the indictment charging Mr. Ruttle with the crime of murder, you will have three possible verdict forms, and those three possible verdict forms are guilty, which means guilty of the crime in the indictment, which is murder, or guilty of voluntary manslaughter if you find

that he's only been found guilty of the lesser included offense, and the remaining potential verdict form is not guilty if you find that the state has failed to prove him to be guilty of either the unlawful homicides of murder and/or voluntary manslaughter.

As to the indictment charging Mr. Ruttle with unlawful possession of a handgun, two potential verdict forms are guilty and not guilty.

With respect to the indictment charging Mr. Calderon with accessory after the fact to a felony, again, you have two potential verdict forms. Those verdict forms are guilty and not guilty. But as -- as accessory after the fact to a felony -- in this case murder or voluntary manslaughter -- requires proof of murder and/or voluntary manslaughter.

If the jury were to find the defendant, Mr. Ruttle, not guilty of any unlawful homicide, then you must find Mr. Calderon not guilty of accessory after the fact, because accessory after the fact requires that the felony be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, as you know, when you go back to deliberate the foreperson will be presiding over those deliberations simply to ensure that they are carried out in some orderly fashion, but all of you are expected to participate in those deliberations, because the decision

that is rendered by the jury must be the unanimous and consensus opinion of all 12 jurors.

Now, Mr. Cole, as you know, you are going to be called upon to preside over the deliberations. You will also have in the jury room the three indictments.

As I've already told you, these indictments are not evidence of anything. They don't prove anything. They are simply there to serve as the verdict forms with respect to each of the separate charges.

On the back of each indictment, Mr. Foreman, you'll see in the lower left-hand corner the word verdict. It's beneath that word that you're going to indicate the jury's unanimous decision as it relates to that particular charge contained in that particular indictment.

Once you have indicated the jury's unanimous decision as to that particular indictment, you will sign your name as the foreperson and place the appropriate date on it. You are the only jury that needs to sign the verdict forms.

Now, during deliberations there may come a time where you have questions about the evidence. You may even desire to have something else brought to the jury room that you think might assist you in resolving a factual issue or resolving the case. But please understand that I can't comment upon the facts. I cannot comment upon the evidence. And no additional evidence may be introduced now

that the case has been closed and it's going to be submitted to you for your deliberations.

So I'll have the lawyers and the court reporter verify all of the exhibits which have been introduced during the trial, and so all of those exhibits should be back there in the jury room before you begin your deliberations.

If there's not something there that was introduced, you let me know. We'll try to determine why it's not there with you. But every item of evidence that was introduced should be in the jury room with you.

No additional evidence can be received, as I say. So even if there's something else out there that you think would be helpful, it can't come in. It cannot be introduced; it cannot be received; and you can't have it. So no need to inquire of me about whether or not you can have any additional evidence.

If you have a question about the evidence, I can't respond to those questions, but if you have a question about testimony and you think that question can be answered by having a witness' testimony reheard, replayed, in whole or in part, I can bring you back into the courtroom to have a witness' testimony reheard.

I don't have transcripts of the witnesses' testimony for you to take back, but I can bring you back into the courtroom for you to listen to the testimony of a witness

if you wish to in order to resolve some factual issue that you may have should you have one.

I am however permitted to provide you a reinstruction on the law or an explanation as to some point of law or a clarification of some point of law should you need one.

So if you have a question about the law that you are to apply, I am permitted to answer those questions, and I'll be happy to. I can provide you with a reinstruction, an explanation or a clarification, whatever you might need.

Mr. Foreman, when you go back to preside, if at any time something arises that needs to be brought to my attention, you or a fellow juror has some issue that you wish for me to address, if you'll write it on a piece of paper, give it to the bailiff, he'll provide it to me and I'll respond accordingly.

If you want to smoke during deliberations, you can, but you have to go outside for that purpose. And you are instructed that deliberations have to stop if any juror is absent for any authorized purpose. So if somebody is out, then the deliberations have to stop, and they may only resume when all 12 jurors are present so that all may participate in those deliberations.

Mr. Foreman, after you and your fellow jurors have reached your decisions and after you have indicated those on the back of the indictments and signed your name as the

foreperson, you'll knock on the door and let the bailiff know that you have arrived at unanimous decisions, and then we'll bring you back to receive your verdicts.

Now, while you may give the evidence to the bailiff, you will hold on to the verdict forms. I will receive those from you after you and your fellow jurors return to the courtroom for us to receive that decision. So you simply hold on to the verdict forms and do not give those to the bailiff.

Now, I think that probably covers everything, but if it does not I'm sure one of these lawyers will let me know that they feel like I should have provided you with some additional information. And if that's the case, I'll bring you back and provide you with that additional instruction. And if that's not the case, then I'll simply send word by way of the bailiff that you may begin with your deliberations. And in that event he'll bring or she will bring to the jury room all of the exhibits which have been introduced.

And to you, Mr. Foreman, they will also bring the indictments which will serve as the verdict forms.

Now, I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman and Ms. Brady to remain here in the courtroom with me. I'll ask the 12 primary jurors to please retire to your jury room. But do not begin deliberations until I send you word to do so.

(Whereupon, the jury retired to deliberate at 4:10 p.m.)

(Whereupon, the alternate jurors were excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Are there any exceptions taken to the instruction or requests for additions to the instruction by the state?

MR. BULSA: No, sir.

THE COURT: By the defendant Ruttle?

MR. VIETH: No, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By the defendant Calderon?

MR. ABUSAFT: No, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask y'all to verify the exhibits, please, before they go back.

(Pause.)

THE COURT: All right. All of the exhibits are accounted for?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

MR. VIETH: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Send them back. Tell the jury to begin deliberations and notify us when they have concluded.

Mr. Abusaft.

MR. ABUSAFT: Your Honor, during the state's closing, Your Honor, I objected to the admission of -- they admitted

or his argument regarding Mr. Calderon's knowledge beforehand and the amalgamation of before and after the fact. I just wanted to put that on the record, Your Honor. I understand that you've already denied that request.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll be at ease while the jury is deliberating.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: I understand the jury has reached a verdict. We are ready to receive it?

MR. BULSA: Yes, sir.

MR. VIETH: Yes, sir.

MR. ABUSAFT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Bring them in.

(Whereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom at 5:45 p.m. to report its verdict.)

THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, have you and your fellow jurors reached unanimous decisions on each of the separate indictments?

THE FOREPERSON: We have.

THE COURT: Have you reflected those decisions on the indictment, verdict form?

THE FOREPERSON: I have.

THE COURT: Did you sign your name?

THE FOREPERSON: I did.

THE COURT: Date it?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Please hand those up by way of the bailiff.

You may publish the verdicts.

THE CLERK: Indictment No. 2017-GS-42-5449, the State of South Carolina, County of Spartanburg, the State vs. Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, indictment for murder, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant guilty. Signed by the foreperson, Casey Cole, 9/13/2018.

Indictment No. 2018-GS-42-4411, the State of South Carolina vs. Devin Ruttle, indictment for unlawful possession of a pistol, we, the jury, find the defendant guilty. Signed by the foreperson, Casey Cole, 9/13/2018.

Indictment No. 2017-GS-42-5423, the State vs. Lorenzo Guillermo Daniel Calderon, accessory after the fact, we, the jury, find the defendant guilty. Signed by the foreperson, Casey Cole, 9/13/2018.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, if this is your verdict and still your verdict, please raise your right hand.

(Whereupon, all jurors responded in the affirmative.)

THE CLERK: So say you all.

THE COURT: Are there any other matters to be addressed with the jury present?

MR. ABUSAFT: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like individually

polled.

MR. BULSA: May we approach on that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You can.

(Bench conference held off the record in the presence of the jury but out of the hearing of the jury.)

THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I will pose a question to you, after which I will ask you to stand individually and respond to my question, the question being is this your verdict and still your verdict.

Juror No. 54, is this your verdict and still your verdict?

THE COURT: Please answer out loud.

THE JUROR: Oh, yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 184, is this your verdict and still your verdict?

THE JUROR: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 109, is this your verdict and still your verdict?

THE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 108, is this your verdict and still your verdict?

THE JUROR: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 92, is this your verdict and still your verdict?

THE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 38, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 44, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 63, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 28, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 136, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 171, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes.
THE CLERK: Juror No. 7, is this your verdict and still your verdict?
THE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
THE CLERK: Your Honor, the jury has been polled.
THE COURT: All right. Any other matters we need to address with the jury present?

MR. BULSA: No, sir.

MR. VIETH: No, sir.

MR. ABUSAFT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon, the trial jury was excused.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Abusaft, are you ready for sentencing as to Mr. Calderon?

MR. ABUSAFT: Yes.

Well, Your Honor, before we move on I renew all my motions. I renew -- ask for a -- ask for a new trial, withstanding the verdict, and renew all my previous objections, renew my motion for a mistrial and renew my motion for recusal.

THE COURT: Those are denied.

MR. ABUSAFT: We are ready for sentencing.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bulsa, anything further on behalf of the state?

MR. BULSA: Yes, Your Honor.

He's also pleading to an additional count, possession of cocaine base during the traffic stop, connected to this case. He was found with just under a half a gram of cocaine base.

Is there any -- does the victim want to speak?

Your Honor, Mr. Calderon had a juvenile conviction or adjudication from 2016 for possession of a controlled

substance.

THE COURT: All right. Yes, ma'am.

THE VICTIM'S MOTHER: Your Honor, I appreciate you for letting me be able to say something, and I wanted to say it today instead of tomorrow, or individual, but I want to say I know in my heart that if they could take it back, they would. I know that, because we don't want to face things in our life for the actions that we've done in the past. And I know that Dalton would have been your best friend, but I just want to give them in light of my day -- can I?

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

THE VICTIM'S MOTHER: Okay. I wake up in the mornings. His bed is not made. His bed has pillows under it.

So when I creep through the door and the light from the window is shining in as if he's laying in there, I go in his room. I hug the pillows, and I smell the cloth that I have sprayed the morning before. And every morning I spray it so I can get a scent of my son.

I go to his -- he has a T.V. and has a spread that was made for his funeral, and I kiss it. And above that -- above that spread of his picture is a thing, a shirt, that he wore that says strong. And that's what I ask God to make me to be each and every day to face what has happened.

And then I kiss his picture, and then I go out and

tell him I love him. But the fact about kissing that picture, kissing that spread, I will not feel the warmth of his face. I can't hug him and get the scent of him.

But you'll be able to -- your parents. You still have a chance and an opportunity in life. Do not take it for granted, because you almost have lost everything.

You have a life. He doesn't have one any more. And I have to learn to live my life living without him.

Press forward and do not let what's happened now that's affected you from then -- you move forward. Okay. I'm not -- I'm not angry. I'm not, because I love you. I do.

And I know their parents is wanting you to have mercy on them, but I know that justice is being served, because I don't want you to feel sorry for me or have mercy for me. I just want Dalton to have justice. That's all.

MR. BULSA: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

MR. BULSA: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Abusaft.

MR. ABUSAFT: Your Honor, there's not much more I can educate you about this case. You've been here the entire time. It's been three days to hear the facts, what happened in this particular scenario.

I ask that you take -- I ask that you -- whatever

sentences that you craft, you look at Mr. Calderon's age, how old he was then, how old he is now, his relative lack of any -- his lack of any criminal history as far as being an adult, you take into account what we know about young people and their lack of impulse control and that he -- he is not the person, obviously, that took Dalton Moore's life. So we'd ask that you take those things into consideration.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Calderon, do you want to add anything?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I also have an indictment, 2017-05423. That's the indictment that charges -- excuse me -- 2017-05422. That's the indictment that charges you with possession of crack cocaine --

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- occurring on the same date, May 5th of 2017. It's been indicated to me that you wish to plead guilty to that charge. Is that true?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you understand what the charge is?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did Mr. Abusaft explain to you that it carries up to three years in jail and a fine of $5,000?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That sentence can be run concurrently or consecutively with any other.

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did he go over the indictment with you and explain to you what the state would have to prove?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you tell him everything you know about the facts?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did y'all determine whether or not you had a defense to it?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.

THE COURT: Did he explain your rights to remain silent?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: To confront and examine witnesses in court and to have a jury trial on this charge just like you did on the other?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand those rights?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you wish to give them up in order to plead guilty?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Anybody promise you anything that caused you to make that decision?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.
THE COURT: Have you been forced or pressured by anybody?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.
THE COURT: You're doing so freely and voluntarily?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Are you guilty of it?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And you're 19?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: How far in school?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: To the eleventh grade.
THE COURT: Ever been married?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.
THE COURT: Ever had a child?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.
THE COURT: Ever had a job?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Doing what?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: Construction.
THE COURT: All right. Anything else you want to tell me?

DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.
THE COURT: Did you tell me whether you had gotten your G.E.D. or not?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: Yes, sir. No, sir, I do not.
THE COURT: Sir?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: I do not, sir.
THE COURT: You do not have it?
DEFENDANT CALDERON: No, sir.
THE COURT: All right. On Indictment 2017-05423, that's the indictment for accessory after the fact to a crime of murder, wherein you have been found guilty by the jury, the Sentence of the Court is you, Lorenzo Guillermo Daniel Calderon, be confined to the South Carolina Department of Corrections for a period of 15 years.
That sentence is suspended upon the service of five years and the payment of $100 plus the costs and assessments.
You are placed on probation for five years. Conditions of that probation, you are to have no contact with any victim's family member. You're to have no contact with Hunter Sizemore. You are to participate in the mental health evaluation to determine if there are any issues that need to be addressed. You're to pay $500 for your appointed lawyer. You are to complete substance abuse, submit to random drug and alcohol testing. And you are to

be under home detention supervision pursuant to the Spartanburg County Home Detention Program for the first year of your release on probation.

Indictment 2017-05422, possession of crack cocaine, three years.

Give him credit for any time he's entitled to pursuant to 24-13-40.

You have a right to appeal the verdict of the jury and the sentence of the Court. You must file any notice of your intent to appeal those decisions within ten days of today's date.

MR. ABUSAFT: Is that concurrently, Your Honor?

THE COURT: They are.

MR. ABUSAFT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Tomorrow afternoon at 2:30?

MR. VIETH: Tomorrow afternoon at whatever time you say.

THE COURT: 2:30. Court is in recess until 2:30.

END OF PROCEEDINGS SEPTEMBER 13, 2018

(Proceedings September 14, 2018)

THE COURT: All right. We are here in the matter of the State vs. Devin Ruttle.

Mr. Bulsa.

MR. BULSA: Your Honor, the defendant has indicated he wished to plead guilty to three additional indictments. Those are drugs found in the vehicle that he was driving at the time of this stop related to this, to the murder case.

Indictment 2017-5446 is possession with intent to distribute marijuana first offense; 2017-5447, possession of controlled substance. That would be Xanax. 2017-5448, possession of less than with one gram of methamphetamine.

THE COURT: All right. You of course are Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle.

Mr. Ruttle, in addition to the sentences that must be imposed on Indictments 2018-04411 and 2017-05449, I also have been handed three additional indictments.

The solicitor has indicated that you wish to enter pleas of guilty to those three charges.

Indictment 2017-05446 charges you with possession with intent to distribute marijuana.

The state alleges that also occurred on May the 5th of 2017. They allege on that date that you did possess some quantity of marijuana and that at the time you possessed it you intended to distribute it.

If convicted, found guilty or plead guilty to that charge you could receive a sentence of five years in jail and a fine of $5,000.

Indictment 2017-05448 charges you with possession of crack cocaine.

The state alleges that -- excuse me -- possession of methamphetamine. The state alleges that occurred also on May the 5th of 2017, and they allege on that date that you possessed some quantity of methamphetamine.

If convicted or if you plead guilty to it you could receive an additional sentence of up to three years in jail and a fine of $5,000.

The remaining indictment is 2017-05447, and it charges you with possession of Alprazolam, being a Schedule IV drug, also occurring on May the 5th of 2017.

They allege on that date you possessed a Schedule IV drug, you weren't authorized to do so. That carries up to six months in jail and a fine of $1,000.

Those sentences may be run concurrently or consecutively, one with the other. They may be run concurrently or consecutively with any other sentence you receive as to the convictions for murder and unlawful possession of a firearm.

Do you understand the charges contained in these three additional indictments?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Have you had plenty of time to talk to Mr. Vieth about those charges?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: He's been over the indictments with you?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Did he tell you what the state would have to prove before you could be convicted?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: He told you what kind of sentences could be imposed if you were?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Did you tell him everything you know about the allegations made against you in those indictments?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And did you discuss those with Mr. Vieth?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Did y'all determine whether or not you had a defense to any one or more of these charges?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you have one?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: No, sir.
THE COURT: Did he explain to you that just like in the case of the charges for which you've been convicted, you have the right to remain silent? You have a right to

confront the witnesses that offer evidence against you. And you have a right to have a jury trial and to have a jury decide if you're guilty. Do you understand those?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead guilty you give those rights up?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is that what you wish to do?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Has anybody forced you into it?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Pressured you into it?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: No, sir.

THE COURT: You made that decision on your own?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You're satisfied with it?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you're 21 years old. How far did you go in school?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: High school diploma.

THE COURT: You've never been married.

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: No, sir.

THE COURT: You have been married or not been married?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: I have not.

THE COURT: Have not been married, but you have a

child.

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir. I do.

THE COURT: And what was your last employment?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: I worked with metal, scrap metal.

THE COURT: All right. Listen to what the solicitor tells me about these charges.

MR. BULSA: Thank you, Your Honor.

When the vehicle stop was made the officer smelled an odor of marijuana in the car, did a search of the vehicle and found inside an orange cloth bag that was in the driver's floorboard.

It had some Xanax pills and some bags of marijuana and also some bags of mushroom and also had the -- had a bag containing methamphetamine.

There was also a book bag in the back seat, and inside the -- that book bag there was a Bible box that contained some bags of marijuana and also some other bags of mushrooms.

Your Honor, the weight of the marijuana was approximately 285 grams, totaling 11 bags. The Xanax pills, the Alprazolam, there were 162 of those. And the methamphetamine was approximately one gram.

THE COURT: Okay. You heard what he told me.

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you agree or disagree?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: I agree.
THE COURT: Do you still want to plead guilty?
DEFENDANT RUTTLE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. I'll accept your guilty pleas. Anything further on behalf of the state?
MR. BULSA: No, sir, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ruttle, I'll hear from you and Mr. Vieth.
MR. VIETH: Your Honor, before I address the Court, I think I'm going to do it a little backwards and let the family that wants to speak, speak first, and then I'll do it final.
So I'm going to let Ms. Scruggs -- Erica -- the mother to address the Court.
Erica, if you will, come up here, please.
State your name and tell the Court anything you want to tell them.
ERICA SCRUGGS: Erica -- Erica Scruggs. I'm Devin Ruttle's mother. I had him when I was 16 years old.
He is a good kid. According to the charges and according to the things that he had gotten into around the time of the occurrence that happened -- prior to that he was a -- a -- a good kid. He was enrolled in Spartanburg Technical College taking er -- ergonomics -- ergo. It's robotic engineering. I can't pronounce the word. I

apologize.

But as far as -- but as far as him trying to be an adult on his own, paying for his house on his own, and then Brianna, his girlfriend, getting pregnant, and then him losing his job, I think the stress of all of that is probably what -- what led us here today.

He got sidetracked. He -- he made a mistake, and I feel like everybody in this courtroom makes mistakes. He erred and normalcy.

But he also has potential to be a good part of the community. I feel like he has potential and can contribute to our community. He is not a throw-away person, because, I mean, he has helped me. I'm his mother. I'm supposed to be the one essentially helping him in a sense. But he's helped me in times when I've needed help. And he has a genuinely good heart.

I feel like the enemy -- if anybody in this room believes in the Bible -- had a hold of him at -- at the time. Society, the culture that we live in today, the music that the children are listening to, is horrible, is absolutely horrible.

And I just ask that you and everybody in here please readily forgive as much as you would want God to readily forgive you for your sins, because no one's sin is greater than the next.

And I know. I know he's been found guilty of murder. I understand that. But I also know that I've always taught him to be responsible for his actions and be accountable for his actions.

So when he spoke, he told the truth. He never lied and put it on Zo. He never lied and said he didn't do it and he never lied and said it was somebody else. He never lied about anything when he spoke. He told the truth.

And for whatever reason, the jury didn't believe him, and I have to accept that. But I know he was telling the truth. He knows his heart, and that's part of the reason he didn't take the plea, because we talked to him. And I said, "Devin, you know your heart. God knows your heart. You know your intentions and God knows your intentions. So you go -- we'll go to trial, we'll go to trial on it." And that's fine. And for whatever reason, the jury didn't believe him, but it was on the jury.

So I ask for you, sir, Judge Cole, for -- for mercy. That's all I have to say.

He erred tremendously. He understands he erred tremendously judgment-wise but he's always -- he's always taken an account and responsibility for the things that he's ever done in life. I've always taught him if you've done wrong, you admit it, you -- you pay consequences and then we move forward from there.

But -- but he has potential. He can contribute to society. He graduated from high school. He's got a baby. He has hope -- he has hope.

THE COURT: Is there anything else?

ERICA SCRUGGS: No, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

MR. VIETH: His father. State your name, please.

BUNTY DESOR: Bunty Desor.

Sir, everybody's been devastated by what has happened, and as I stand before you today I -- I mean, I literally have a folder here of all I can present to you of all of the things that Devin has achieved. I mean, here's his high school diploma.

And I am a pastor of a church. Devin grew up in a Christian home, a Godly home. We prayed together. We went to church together. He was involved in youth activities.

Devin worked hard. He had aspirations to go to college and aspirations to even sign up for the Marines.

And/but also too, Your Honor, my son even had privileges of going on missionary trips to different places in the world, and he had such a -- he has such a calling on his life, just a great young man.

And of course as a father, you know, we've had ups and downs just as -- in relationship, but overall my son is a -- is a good young man who -- who works hard and who has

a -- who has a love for the Lord and has a love for other people.

And, Your Honor, I know beyond a shadow of a doubt that my son never meant to intentionally hurt anybody that night.

Like I said, he's -- he was heavily involved in our youth group at our church. He's -- he's not one of these kids that are out there on the streets with -- with no kind of good home. He has a good, good home and a stable home.

And Devin's aspiration to go to the Marines changed because he got a tattoo and he thought he couldn't get into the Marines. He tried to get into college. In fact, he was on the brink of going into college that -- that upcoming semester with the mechatronics there at Spartanburg Community College.

And his heart also was to get back involved with different missions that we're doing as a church. And of course he got involved with Lorenzo Calderon, and it's apparent even through what the state has presented that the -- the real rift was between Lorenzo and between Dalton, never between Devin and Dalton.

Your Honor, I honestly believe as a U.S. citizen that it's our constitutional right to defend ourselves as -- as citizens. I think that the courts should have took into consideration the mitigating -- other mitigating

circumstances which were not allowed to be entered in because of the nature of the jury trial being that, and not condescending, because I don't think that this should justify anything, but the decedent's past history, he was on probation for armed robbery. They wouldn't let us admit that into the Court, Your Honor. They -- he had pulled a knife on a female cashier-clerk at a Wal-Mart. That wasn't admitted. Your Honor, his -- the drugs in his system when he passed, that wasn't admitted, Your Honor.

And I think that if those variables were admitted in front of a jury, then the outcome of this trial would have been entirely different.

I'm not saying that Dalton should have died for any of those reasons. It breaks my heart for Dalton and also for this family behind me that Dalton has passed. But they're well aware, just like I'm well aware, that both of our kids, they had rough edges around them, you know, and they -- they made bad choices in life.

But at the end of the day there was a knife on the scene, and it is our constitutional right as U.S. citizens to defend ourself if we are being attacked.

Your Honor, if I was being attacked with a knife, then, sir, I would defend myself. I would defend myself. And if I had to choose my life over somebody else's life that was attacking me, then I'd have to choose my life.

Your Honor, I am a man of God. I love the Lord. I believe in justice; I believe in righteousness; I believe in -- I believe that if my son committed this act out of malice and forethought and evil intentions, then I believe that today, Your Honor, you should give him the maximum sentence. But I honestly feel like he did not get a fair shake at a trial, Your Honor, because of some of the things that were not being able to be admitted into court.

He is -- he is a valuable, tremendous asset to the community, and I -- I promise you, sir, that if you have mercy on him today, as soon as he is back in our care that he will have the best of anything that this world has to offer and we'll assure that he has a prosperous future.

So I ask you today, Your Honor, have mercy on my son.

And I on behalf of the courts and also Devin apologize to you, Tracy. I apologize to you. I'm so sorry that you lost your son.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

MR. VIETH: Is there anyone else wanted to address?

Your Honor, this courtroom has stayed pretty packed. So you've got people, interest on both sides.

The jury has spoken. There was a disappointing verdict, but it is a credibility case, and I'm sure the courts can speculate on this is what happened versus that's what happened. And that's why you have 12 people on a

jury, to make a determination. You might get 12 more in a jury box next week and be a different outcome. But this is the outcome that we have.

Now I come to you about the sentencing, Judge. And I want to explain to you everything that happened, because the Court is aware that there was an offer of voluntary manslaughter and I don't -- and I don't want him to be punished for not taking it.

He -- he generally has a family that discusses everything with him. I don't know if he independently would have taken it or not, but for consensus I stay out of what should be done. I do not want someone coming back and saying I would have done this but for my lawyer or I wouldn't have done this but for my lawyer.

So I think ethically my job is to get the best offer on the table. We tried to do that. We made efforts to get to a 15-year negotiated plea in the whole negotiations of the case, and that would have been a plea.

When it wasn't something the solicitor could do -- and I respect the solicitor's office and the family of the decedent while he's saying they want more time than that -- Devin was really concerned that even if he pled he may get the maximum under voluntary, which is 30.

He -- those were the issues that they just have to deal with. And as Mr. Bunty said about prayer or whatever

to decide what he thinks is in his best interest, the family believed him on self-defense.

I think we had a very triable case with a knife being on the ground. It wasn't something where he said I thought it was a knife and turned out to be a cigarette lighter. I mean, this is a real-world, potential self-defense.

It's also potential that he and Lorenzo got in the car and said there's that guy, let's take care of business and ran up and shot him.

Like I argued with the jury, I don't see why it would be in the daytime, houses all around and a driveway they could drive up in, but that didn't matter to the jury in their deliberations.

But on the sentencing issue, I'd hope the Court does not say because you've exercised your constitutional right to a trial by a jury I'm going to punish you for doing that.

There was his -- I don't know if it's a pod mate or a roommate or whatever it was. In the pods right before the trial started his father sent me something that said this gentleman got 30 years in prison.

Well, he thought that -- Devin thought that he got the maximum of the penalty that you imposed. And when I looked at it I said no, no, no, no. He didn't plead to voluntary manslaughter. He pled to murder, home invasion. And that

was in the news apparently. That's the article where the Court sentenced this gentleman for murder, home invasion around 5:00 a.m. in the morning, February 11th of 2017. Woman was in the house. Gunman entered with intent to commit robbery. Several shots fired and so forth.

And he pled to murder. He had a criminal history. "Smith will serve every day of Circuit Judge Cole's prison sentence. He is not eligible for parole or early release. His criminal history includes convictions for burglary, accessory after the fact of a felony, false information to the police, grand larceny, possession of a weapon by a convicted felon."

So when he sent this saying he would have taken the plea but he thought this was a voluntary-manslaughter plea when he first saw it and he got the max, it -- it wasn't. But it does give some impetus to ask this Court to give you what you gave this gentleman that had a prior criminal history that is not good and my client has no criminal history whatsoever.

Every case is different, but to give someone life for something like that for going to trial as opposed to 30 with a bad criminal history because you accepted responsibility, I think would be just unfair. He has no -- no criminal history at all.

He does have a 1-year-old child.

I do -- did write down that if he got 30 years he's not going to be out until somewhere around 2038. He's going to be 51 years old. He's going to have a child that's going to be 31 years old. And that's if you give him 30 years.

And based on other -- this plea, particularly, where the home invasion, prior history and he thought it -- he thought it was 30 years and manslaughter and that's why he was scared to take it, he shouldn't be punished worse for going to trial.

He has, like I say, no prior record, and he knows he's gone until he's in his 50s. I know the Court can say, no, he's gone until he comes out in a pine box and give him life, but that -- for two minutes or three minutes of stupidity, of driving a car and going up that hill and making a terrible mistake, whether it was self-defense or not, bad judgment, I think 30 years is very appropriate when considering the totality of everything that we presented to the Court.

Devin, anything you want to add?

DEFENDANT RUTTLE: I just ask for mercy, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on behalf of the state?

MR. BULSA: I just want to remind Your Honor that the case Mr. Vieth brings before you, the Mr. Smith case,

Mr. Smith was not the shooter in that case and he did accept responsibility.

THE COURT: Well, you know, it was a triable case. No question about that. There was differing facts that were presented. The jury could interpret that evidence in different ways. They could infer different facts from the evidence presented.

Mr. Ruttle fully testified and presented to the jury his -- his position in the case, but I can't reject or ignore the fact that the jury disregarded that, or at least they didn't believe it. They found beyond a reasonable doubt not only that he did not act in self-defense but he didn't act in heat of passion. He acted with malice aforethought.

And as Mr. Desor says, if a person kills someone with malice aforethought, they should get the maximum sentence. It's not inappropriate. It's just not inappropriate.

It's not because he goes to trial. Everybody has a right to go to trial. I don't punish anybody for going to trial.

The state has the burden. That's what they're supposed to do. If they want to bring an accusation, they've got to prove it. In this case they did apparently. The jury unanimously found that he committed the crime of murder. And he was the one that fired the shot. He was

not an accomplice.

MR. VIETH: But/and --

THE COURT: You can tell me anything you want to.

MR. VIETH: Well -- sir?

THE COURT: You can tell me anything you want to.

MR. VIETH: Well, the only thing I was going to say is the legislature makes the laws and gives you that latitude of 30 to life. And so each case is based on its merits. But murder is murder. And someone can get 30 and someone can get life. You have a lot of discretion.

THE COURT: Indictment 2017-05447, that's the indictment for possession of a Schedule IV drug, Sentence of the Court is you be confined to the Department of Corrections for six months.

Indictment 2018-04411, unlawfully carrying a pistol, wherein you have been convicted by the jury, Sentence of the Court is you be confined to the Department of Corrections for a period of one year.

Indictment 2017-05448, possession of methamphetamine, Sentence of the Court is you be confined to the South Carolina Department of Corrections for a period of three years.

Indictment 2017-05446, possession with intent to distribute marijuana, Sentence of the Court is you be confined to the South Carolina Department of Corrections

for a period of five years.

Indictment 2017-05449, an indictment for murder, wherein you have been found guilty by the jury of that offense, the Sentence of the Court is you be confined to the South Carolina Department of Corrections for the period of your natural life.


You have a right to appeal the verdict of the jury and the Sentence of the Court. You must file your notice of intent to appeal those decisions within ten days of today's date. Mr. Vieth will assist you with that.

END OF REQUESTED TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD


Hot Spot
Convenience Store
Battleground Rd
Battleground Rd
Battleground Rd
Cannons Campground Rd
Foster St
State Rd S-42-268
Cowpens
Elementary School
State Rd S-42-268
Cannons Campground Rd
Cowpens Middle School
Cowpens Library
State Rd S-42-268
Dollar General
110
29
29
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
1

Cowpens Middle School
Foster St
State Rd S-42-268
157 - McCallisters
163 - Greenes
167 - Goodes
171 - Brewster
187 - Taylors
Timken Center
Pickens Ct
Marion Ct
Google

STATE'S
EXHIBIT
FENGAD 800-631-6989



PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S EXHIBIT
6


7
6
5
4
2
1
3
PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S EXHIBIT
7

5
STATE'S EXHIBIT
NGAD 800-631-6889




PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
13



EXHIBIT
14

595



STATE'S EXHIBIT 15

PENGAD 800-631-6989


STATE'S
EXHIBIT
16

STATE'S
EXHIBIT
FENGAD 800-631-6989



Cowpens
05/05/17 6:40:47p





Cowpens
05/05/17 6:45:19p





STATE'S EXHIBIT
21
PENGAD 800-631-6989



STATE'S EXHIBIT 22

PENGAD 800-631-6989

601

STATE'S EXHIBIT
23
PENGAD 800-631-6989

10
11
12
13
STATE'S EXHIBIT
PENGAD 800-631-6989



STATE'S EXHIBIT 25



STATE'S EXHIBIT 26

PENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S
EXHIBIT
PENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S EXHIBIT
28
PENDAD 800-631-6989
2

3
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
PENGAD 800-631-6989

4
STATE'S EXHIBIT
PENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S
EXHIBIT
21
PENGAD 800-631-6989
6

7
STATE'S EXHIBIT
32
PENSAD 800-631-6989
SCH221BK


STATE'S EXHIBIT
33
PENDAD 800-631-6989
LUGER
115GR FMJ
Gain

STATE'S EXHIBIT
34

PENSAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S
EXHIBIT
PENDAD 800-631-6989

AMERICAN
9MM LUGER
Gain
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
36
PENGAD 800-631-6989

MONARCH
9MM LUGER
LACQUER COATING
STEEL CASE
115GR FMJ
Gain
PENGAD 800-631-6
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
37


PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
38

INTEX
PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S
EXHIBIT
37

PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S EXHIBIT
41


Outdoor PRODUCTS
STATE'S EXHIBIT
42
PENDAD 800-631-6989

bubblesmaria
2 weeks ago from Camera Roll



STATE'S EXHIBIT
43

PENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S EXHIBIT 44



PENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S EXHIBIT 45 LR



PENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE'S EXHIBIT 46



PENGAD 800-631-6989
STATE'S EXHIBIT
47



Foster St
Google

STATE'S
EXHIBIT
FENGAD 800-631-6989

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on OCT 27 2017, the Grand Jurors of Spartanburg County present upon their oath:

MURDER

The Defendant, Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, did in Spartanburg County on or about May 5, 2017, feloniously, willfully, and with malice aforethought, kill one Dalton Dywer Moore, by shooting him and the victim died as a proximate result thereof, all in violation of Section 16-3-0010, 0020, Code of Laws of South Carolina, (1976, as amended).

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

Deputy Solicitor

WITNESSES

1. SENTENCE/BANDS
2. BROUGHT/ENDED
3. CARD PULLED
4. INDEXED
5. CHECKED WARRANTS
6. CHECKED SIGNATURE
7. ASSESSMENT AND FINE CARD MADE
8. TRAFFIC VIOLATION COPY

COMPUTER Darby Owens
COMPUTER

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2017A4210101623

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

True Bill

QE

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: OCT 27 2017

VERDICT

Guilty (murder)

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date: 9/13/2018

DOCKET NO. 17-GS-42-5449

The State of South Carolina

County of Spartanburg

Barry Barnette, *Solicitor*

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

OCT 30 2017

TERM

THE STATE
vs.

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle

Indictment for

MURDER

SC Code 16-03-0010, 0020
CDR Code 116
Class FEL-EXM

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
2017 NOV -2 AM 11:42
M. HOPE BLACKLEY

628

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on AUG 24 2018 the Grand Jurors of Spartanburg County present upon their oath:

UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF A PISTOL

That Devin Ruttle did in Spartanburg County on or about May 5, 2017 unlawfully carry about his person a pistol in violation of §16-23-20 & 16-23-50(A)(2) of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

DEPUTY SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

SLED J. HARVEY OWENS

1. SENTENCE MADE ☐ COMPUTER
2. REPORT ENDED ☑
3. CARD PULLED ☑
4. INDEXED ☑
5. CHECKED WARRANTS ☑
6. CHECKED SIGNATURE ☑ COMPUTER
7. ASSESSMENT AND FINE CARD MADE ☑
8. TRAFFIC VIOLATION OOP ☐

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

Direct Indictment

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

True Bill

AUG 24 2018

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date:

VERDICT

Guilty

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date: 8/13/2018

DOCKET NO. -
18-GS-42- 4411

The State of South Carolina

County of Spartanburg

Barry Barnette, Solicitor

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

AUG 27 2018

TERM

THE STATE
vs.

Devin Ruttle

Indictment for

UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF A PISTOL
SC Code: **16-23-20, 0050 (A) (2)**
CDR Code: **0044**
Class **MIS-C**

2018 AUG 29 PM 2:15

630

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)
STATE VS.)
Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle)
AKA:)
Race: WHITE Sex: M Age: 21)
DOB: [redacted] SS#: [redacted])
Address: 129 Rosecrest Rd)
City,State,Zip: Spartanburg, S.C. 29303)
DL#: [redacted] SID#:)
*CDL Yes☐ No☐ CMV Yes☐ No☐ Hazmat Yes☐ No☐

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2017GS4205449
A/W#: 2017A4210101623
Date of Offense: 5/5/2017
S.C. Code § : 16-03-0010; 16-03-0020
CDR Code #: 0116

SENTENCE SHEET

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was ☒ CONVICTED OF or ☐ PLEADS
TO: Murder

in violation of § 16-03-0010; 16-03-0020 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0116
☐ NON-VIOLENT ☒ VIOLENT ☐ SERIOUS ☒ MOST SERIOUS ☐ Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) ☐ §17-25-45

The charge is: ☒ As Indicted, ☐ Lesser Included Offense, ☐ Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury. _____ (defendant's initials)
The plea is: ☒ Without Negotiations or Recommendation, ☐ Negotiated Sentence, ☐ Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:
BULSA, DERRICK — SCB15264 (SC Bar#) — Defendant — Vieth, Richard W. Attorney for Defendant — SCB05711 (SC Bar#)

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the ☒ **State Department of Corrections,** ☐ **County Detention Center,**
for a determinate term of life days~~/months/years~~ or ☐ under the **Youthful Offender Act** not to exceed _____ years
and/or to pay a fine of $ _____; ~~provided that upon the service~~ of _____ days/months/years and/or payment
of $ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance ~~is suspended with~~ **probation** for _____
months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.
☒ CONCURRENT or ☐ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____

☐ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDOC
☐ The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922,it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

A CERTIFIED COPY
CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
BY: C. Poole D.C.
DATED 9-17-19

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

☐ **RESTITUTION:** ☐ **Deferred** ☐ **Def. Waives Hearing** ☐ **Ordered** **PTUP** _____

Total: $ _____ plus 20% fee: _____ $ _____

_____ days/hours Public Service Employment

Payment Terms: _____
☐ Set by SCDPPPS _____

Obtain GED ☐
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp. _____
May serve W/E begining _____
Substance Abuse Counseling ☐
Random Drug/Alcohol testing ☐
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of $ _____ beginning _____
$ _____ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other: _____

Recipient: _____

*Fine:		$
§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)		$
§14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	$100	$ 100.00
§14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	$100	$
§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	$12	$
§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	$25	$
Proviso (Public Def/Probation)	$500	$
§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	$25	$ 25.00
§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	$150	$
§50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	$50	$
§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	$40/ea	$
3% to County (if paid in installments)		$ 3.75
TOTAL		$ 128.75

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires $500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk C. Poole
Court Reporter: L. Moffitt

Presiding Judge
Judge Code: 2053
Sentence Date: 9-14-18

SCCA/217 (04/2018)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)
STATE VS.)
Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle)
AKA:)
Race: WHITE Sex: M Age: 21)
DOB: [redacted] SS#: [redacted])
Address: 129 Reserest Rd)
City,State,Zip: Spartanburg, S.C. 29303)
DL#: [redacted] SID#:)
*CDL Yes☐ No☐ CMV Yes☐ No☐ Hazmat Yes☐ No☐

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2018GS4204411
A/W#: 2018GS4204411
Date of Offense: 5/5/2017
S.C. Code § : 16-23-0020; 16-23-0050(A)
CDR Code #: 0044

SENTENCE SHEET

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was ☒ CONVICTED OF or ☐ PLEADS
TO: Unlawful carrying of pistol

in violation of § 16-23-0020; 16-23-0050(A) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0044
☒ NON-VIOLENT ☐ VIOLENT ☐ SERIOUS ☐ MOST SERIOUS ☐ Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) ☐ §17-25-45

The charge is: ☒ As Indicted, ☐ Lesser Included Offense, ☐ Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury. _____ (defendant's initials)
The plea is: ☒ Without Negotiations or Recommendation, ☐ Negotiated Sentence, ☐ Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: DeRBulsa — BULSA, DERRICK
SCB15264 — SC Bar#
Defendant
Rich Vieth — Vieth, Richard W. Attorney for Defendant
SCB05711 — SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is commited to the ☒ **State Department of Corrections,** ☐ **County Detention Center,** for a determinate term of 1 ~~days/months/years or~~ ☐ under the **Youthful Offender Act** not to exceed — years and/or to pay a fine of $ —; ~~provided that upon the service of~~ — days/months/years and/or payment of $ —; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; ~~the balance is suspended with~~ **probation** for _____ ~~months/years~~ and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

☒ CONCURRENT or ☐ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____

☐ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDOC.

☐ The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922,it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

☐ **RESTITUTION:** ☐**Deferred** ☐**Def. Waives Hearing** ☐**Ordered** **PTUP** _____

Total: $ _____ plus 20% fee: _____ $ _____

Payment Terms: _____
☐ Set by SCDPPPS _____

Recipient: _____

*Fine:		$
§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)		$
§14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	$100	$ 100.00
§14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	$100	$
§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	$12	$
§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	$25	$
Proviso (Public Def/Probation)	$500	$
§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	$25	$ 25.00
§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	$150	$
§50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	$50	$
§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	$40/ea	$
3% to County (if paid in installments)		$ 3.75
TOTAL		$ 128.75

_____ days/hours Public Service Employment

Obtain GED ☐
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp. _____
May serve W/E begining _____
Substance Abuse Counseling ☐
Random Drug/Alcohol testing ☐
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of $ _____ beginning _____
$ _____ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other: _____

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires $500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk C. Poole
Court Reporter: Moffitt

Presiding Judge
Judge Code: 2053
Sentence Date: 9-14-18

SCCA/217 (04/2018)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG The State, v. Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, Defendant.	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT Indictment Nos.: 2017-GS-42-05449 & 2018-GS-42-04411 **MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL ON GROUNDS OF JUROR DISQUALIFICATION**

COMES NOW Defendant, Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle (hereinafter "Devin"), by and through his undersigned counsel, who hereby, with permission of the Court of Appeals, moves this Court to grant Devin a new trial due to a juror's intentional concealment of material information plainly and specifically requested during voir dire.

This Motion is based on the grounds that subsequent to Devin's trial and sentencing, Devin, with the assistance of his family, discovered that Juror 92 failed to respond to multiple clear and unambiguous questions regarding school and church connections Juror 92 had to Devin. Juror 92's non-disclosure was intentional, i.e., unjustified, and it wrongfully denied 1) the trial court the ability to determine whether a for cause ground existed to strike Juror 92, and 2) Devin and his counsel the right to intelligently exercise Devin's right to peremptory challenges. State v. Woods, 345 S.C. 583, 590-91, 550 S.E.2d 282, 285 (2001) (holding defendant was entitled to new trial "[b]ecause Juror B failed to respond to questions on voir dire which clearly applied to her, and because her concealment deprived [defendant] of information material to his intelligent use of peremptory challenges"). Consequently, Juror 92's unjustified concealment of material information during voir dire wrongfully denied Devin his inviolate

Constitutional right to a trial by an impartial jury, and as such is anathema to justice and requires Devin be granted a new trial. State v. Coaxum, 410 S.C. 320, 328, 764 S.E.2d 242, 245-46 (2014) (holding that "[i]n the face of a juror's intentional nondisclosure of pertinent information during voir dire…the party need not show prejudice, as the bias against the moving party is inferred and prejudice from the moving party's inability to strike the juror is apparent").

WHEREFORE, DEFENDANT RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS that his prior convictions be vacated and that he be granted a new trial in the above-captioned case. Defendant will further offer in support of this Motion a memorandum of law which is submitted herewith, arguments of counsel, supporting affidavits that undersigned counsel is working with affiants to safely execute and will submit to the Court as soon as the affidavits are executed and received, and such other materials as may be properly submitted in support of Defendant's motion. Defendant further requests that a hearing be held to fully develop the record concerning Juror 92's misconduct during voir dire. McCoy v. State, 401 S.C. 363, 371, 737 S.E.2d 623, 627 (2013) ("[E]valuating the merits of a juror misconduct claim is a fact-intensive inquiry, which is most appropriately conducted after a hearing.")

I SO MOVE:

s/Christopher T. Brumback
Christopher T. Brumback
Spencer D. Langley
Brumback & Langley, LLC
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
(864) 414-9097
(866) 728-1205 (Fax)
chris@brumbacklangley.com
spencer@brumbacklangley.com

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG The State, v. Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, Defendant.	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT Indictment Nos.: 2017-GS-42-05449 & 2018-GS-42-04411 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL ON GROUNDS OF JUROR DISQUALIFICATION**

Defendant, Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle (hereinafter "Devin") hereby, with permission of the Court of Appeals, moves the Court to grant Devin a new trial due to a juror's intentional concealment of material information plainly requested during voir dire. This Motion is based on the grounds that subsequent to Devin's trial and sentencing, Devin, with the assistance of his family, discovered that Juror 92 failed to respond to multiple clear and unambiguous questions regarding school and church connections Juror 92 had to Devin, thereby denying 1) the trial court the ability to determine whether a for cause ground existed to strike Juror 92, and 2) the parties the right to intelligently exercise their rights to peremptory challenges. Consequently, Juror 92's unjustified concealment of material facts during voir dire wrongfully denied Devin his inviolate Constitutional right to a trial by an impartial jury, and as such is anathema to justice and requires Devin be granted a new trial.

Facts Concerning Voir Dire

At the commencement of voir dire, and just prior to introducing and presenting Devin, his co-defendant, defense counsel, the solicitors, and the potential witnesses, the court unequivocally

sought for potential jurors to disclose "any connection whatsoever" with anyone involved in the trial, stating:

> [T]he purpose of the introductions is to find out if you have any connection whatsoever with anybody that's involved in the trial of this case or any members or their respective offices or any members of their respective families. I need to know if you have any connection by blood or by marriage, do you have any connection through work, school or church, have you met them, do you socialize with them, or do you know them in any fashion whatsoever, whether personally or through a family member…I'll, of course have the—each of the defendants introduced. I'll have the lawyers who will be introduced. Each of the witnesses to testify in the case will be introduced in order to find out if you have any connection with any of them so that we can make that determination and determine whether or not any connection that you may have would have any bearing upon your decision….

Trial Tr. p. 31, l. 22—p. 32, l.16 (emphasis added). In addition to requesting potential jurors disclose "any connection," including any through school or church, to anyone involved in the trial, the court specifically inquired whether any potential juror, their parent, spouse, child, or sibling "attend or have [] ever attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center." Trial Tr. p. 43, ll. 3—9. At the conclusion of voir dire, and after introducing all the people involved with the trial, the court, after again asking all witnesses and related family members in the gallery, which included Devin's father who is the pastor of New Life Deliverance Worship Center, to stand and face the jury panel, sought for the potential jurors to disclose if any potential juror thought they had seen, recognized, knew, or had "any connection" to the witnesses or related family members. Trial Tr. p. 56, l. 21—p. 57, l. 16.

Despite several jurors responding affirmatively to the court's requests for potential jurors to disclose "any connection" to any of the parties, attorneys, witnesses, or their families, which resulted in potential jurors disclosing even attenuated connections, including one potential juror

disclosing that she knew the mother of Devin's attorney "years ago," Juror 92 did not disclose her various connections to Devin and his family through both school and church, or the fact that Juror 92 had regularly attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center and its weekly youth group meetings between 2010 and 2014. Trial Tr. p. 39, ll. 9—16. At the time Juror 92's name was drawn, Devin had seven (7) peremptory challenges remaining. By Juror 92 failing to disclose the connections she had to Devin, her worship and participation at New Life Deliverance Worship Center, and her having gone to high school with Devin, Juror 92's intentional concealment violated Devin's rights to trail by a fair and impartial jury. State v. Coaxum, 410 S.C. 320, 328, 764 S.E.2d 242, 245-46 (2014) (holding that "[i]n the face of a juror's intentional nondisclosure of pertinent information during voir dire…the party need not show prejudice, as the bias against the moving party is inferred and prejudice from the moving party's inability to strike the juror is apparent").

Legal Argument

I. Voir dire is an essential tool to protecting the fundamental right to a fair trial by an impartial jury, and as such "[j]uror concealment of material facts during voir dire is anathema to justice"[1]

Because a criminal defendant's liberty is at stake, both the United States and the South Carolina Constitutions provide rigorous protections to the inviolate right to a fair trial by a competent and impartial jury. U.S. Const. Amends. VI and XIV; S.C. Const. Art. I § 14. "[V]oir dire can be an essential means of protecting this right," State v. Tucker, 423 S.C. 403, 815 S.E. 2d 467, 471 (Ct. App. 2018) (quoting Warger v. Shauers, __ U.S. __, 135 S.Ct. 521, 528-29 (2014)), as it is the parties' only opportunity to "examine[]" "[t]he suitability of an individual for

[1]Long v. Norris & Assocs., LTD., 342 S.C. 561, 578, 538 S.E.2d 5 (Ct. App. 2000).

the responsibility of jury service." Tanner v. United States, 483 U.S. 107, 127 (1987). Accordingly, to protect the rights of both parties to an impartial jury the "trail court must conduct voir dire of the prospective jurors to determin[e] whether the jurors are aware of any bias or prejudice against a party, as well as to 'elicit such facts as will enable the [the parties] intelligently to exercise their right of peremptory challenge.'" Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 327, 764 S.E. 2d at 245 (quoting State v. Woods, 345 S.C. 583, 587, 550 S.E.2d 282, 284 (2001)) (second change in original). It is axiomatic that for voir dire to function properly as an essential means of protecting the right to a fair trial by an impartial jury, "[f]ull knowledge of all relevant and material matters that might bear on the possible disqualification of a juror is essential to a fair and intelligent exercise of the right of counsel to challenge either for cause or peremptorily." Long, 342 S.C. at 573, 538 S.E.2d at ___ (quoting 47 Am. Jur. 2d Jury § 191 (1995)) (emphasis added). "[T]rial judges and attorneys cannot fulfill their duty to screen out biased jurors without accurate information." Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 327, 764 S.E.2d at 245 (citation omitted; change in original); see also State v. Gulledge, 277 S.C. 368, 370, 287 S.E.2d 488, 490 (1982) (holding "[w]here the trial judge grants counsel's request that the judge ask a particular question on voir dire, counsel is entitled to a truthful answer to the question") (emphasis added); Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 327, 764 S.E. 2d at 245 ("Should jurors give false or misleading answers during voir dire, the parties may mistakenly seat a juror who could have been excused by the court, challenged for cause by counsel, or stricken through the exercise of a peremptory challenge.").

Necessarily, the "voir dire oath mandates that a prospective juror tell the entire truth. A juror's lack of honesty and candor during voir dire is a violation of his oath, as well as a barrier to a party's efforts in identifying potential jurors who harbor a bias" Long, 342 S.C. at 578, 538

S.E.2d at __ (emphasis added). Where jurors fail to respond to particular voir dire questions or provide false or incomplete responses, the parties are wrongfully denied the "intelligent[] exercise [of] their right of peremptory challenge." Woods, 345 S.C. at 587, 550 S.E.2d at __ (quoting Gulledge, 277 S.C. at 370, 287 S.E.2d at 490); Long, 342 S.C. at 573, 538 S.E.2d at __ ("It is the duty of every potential juror to make true and full disclosures during voir dire because counsel is entitled to rely on the answers in determining whether to exercise a peremptory strike.") (emphasis added). Consequently, "[j]uror concealment of material facts during voir dire is anathema to justice." Long, 342 S.C. at 578, 538 S.E.2d at __. Accordingly, in the event of juror misconduct arising from a juror's concealment of information during voir dire, a new trial is required "when the court finds the juror intentionally concealed the information, and that the information concealed would have supported a challenge for cause or would have been a material factor in the use of the party's peremptory challenges." Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 328, 764 S.E.2d at 246 (holding that in the face of a juror's intentional concealment the moving party "need not show prejudice, as the bias against the moving party is inferred, and prejudice from the moving party's inability to strike the juror is apparent"); see also McCoy v. State, 401 S.C. 363, 371, 737 S.E.2d 623, 627 (2013) ("[E]valuating the merits of a juror misconduct claim is a fact-intensive inquiry, which is most appropriately conducted after a hearing.").

II. Devin is entitled to a new trail because Juror 92 failed to respond to questions on voir dire which clearly applied to her, and because her concealment deprived Devin of information material to his intelligent use of peremptory challenges

As dictated by the South Carolina Supreme Court in Woods, "the first inquiry in the juror disqualification analysis is whether the juror intentionally concealed the information during voir dire." 345 S.C. at 587, 550 S.E.2d at 284. "[I]ntentional concealment occurs when the question

presented to the jury on voir dire is reasonably comprehensible to the average juror and the subject of the inquiry is of such significance that the juror's failure to respond is unreasonable." Id. at 588, 589, 550 S.E.2d at 284 (holding that a juror's failure to disclose information is "intentional" where it is "without justification"). Reviewing the transcript of voir dire, it is patently clear that each of the above-noted inquires posed by the trial judge was reasonably comprehensible. The straightforward and unambiguous presentation of the inquiries in question is directly attributable to the trial judge's clear and repeated point of utilizing simple and commonplace language, concepts, and sentence structure that is readily accessible and understood by the common man. Initially the trial judge unambiguously sought a response from any juror that had "any connection whatsoever," including any through "school or church", to anyone involved in the trial. Trial Tr. p. 31, l. 22—p. 32, l.16. Although the initial general request for the disclosure of any connection through school or church should itself have elicited a response from a juror who attended the same high school or church as a party, attorney, or witness, the trial judge followed the general church inquiry up with a pointed inquiry as to whether any potential juror "attend or have [] ever attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center," where Devin's father was the pastor and where Devin attended church and was involved in weekly youth group meetings. Trial Tr. p. 43, ll. 3—9 (emphasis added). Finally, at the conclusion of voir dire, after having all related family members in the gallery, which included Devin's father, stand and face the jury panel, the trial judge sought for the potential jurors to disclose if any potential juror thought they had "seen", "recognize[d]", "kn[e]w", or had "any connection" to any of the related family members. Trial Tr. p. 56, l. 21—p. 57, l. 16. Thus, even if it were reasonable to claim that the plain and simple language of the preceding voir dire

inquiries was somehow not reasonably comprehensible, the trial judge showed the potential jurors the people about whom it was inquiring before asking whether the potential jurors had "any connection" with or had even merely "seen" any of the related family members. Id. (emphasis added).

It being readily apparent that the specific questions presented to the jurors on voir dire were reasonably comprehensible to the average juror, the Court's inquiry into whether the Juror 92's non-disclosures were intentional, i.e., "without justification," turns to whether "the subject of the inquiry [was] of such significance that the juror's failure to respond [was] unreasonable." Woods, 345 S.C. at 588, 550 S.E.2d at 284. As to the question of significance, the analysis is not about the importance of the subject to the case at hand, but rather about whether the subject of inquiry was memorable, i.e., significant, enough that it would be unreasonable for a juror to allegedly have forgotten the experience. Id. (quoting Missouri Supreme Court's formulation of the intentional concealment analysis in Williams By & Through Wilford v. Barnes Hosp., 736 S.W.2d 33, 36 (Mo. 1987), which states the significance prong of the analysis as whether "the prospective juror actually remembers the experience or that it was of such significance that his purported forgetfulness is unreasonable"); cf. State v. Sparkman, 358 S.C. 491, 498, 596 S.E.2d 375 (2004) ("Unintentional concealment, on the other hand, occurs where…the subject of the inquiry is insignificant or so far removed in time that the juror's failure to respond is reasonable under the circumstances.") (citation omitted).

With regard to the subject of the voir dire inquiries at issue, each of the questions posed by the trial judge focused on "school" and "church."[2] Regardless of varying opinions on whether the four years of high school are an enjoyable social and academic experience or a form of cruel and unusual punishment, it certainly cannot be said that high school is an insignificant experience that is easily forgotten. Furthermore, in the case of Juror 92, she had only just finished high school, having graduated from Spartanburg High School in 2016, only one year after Devin graduated therefrom, and only two years prior to the trial of this case. Further compounding the unreasonableness of Juror 92's failure to disclose her connections to Devin through school and church is the fact that Juror 92 not only attend Spartanburg High School with Devin, but also attended church and weekly youth group at New Life Deliverance Worship Center where Devin's father was and is the pastor and Devin attended church and weekly youth group meetings at which he operated the soundboard, music, lights, and video for services and youth group meetings. Moreover, Juror 92's attendance at services and weekly youth group meetings at New Life Deliverance Worship Center was not a one time occurrence that might

[2] The trial judge's first inquiry, which immediately preceded Devin being introduced and standing to present himself to the jury, specifically directed jurors to reflect on "school" and "church" and to disclose to the court "any connection whatsoever," to Devin or anyone else involved in the trial. Trial Tr. p. 31, l. 22—p. 32, l.16. The second and third inquiries also concerned the subject of church in that Devin attended and participated in services at New Life Deliverance Worship Center, the church founded by Devin's father and where Devin's father is still the pastor. See Trial Tr. p. 43, ll. 3—9; p. 56, l. 21—p. 57, l. 16.

excuse a juror's forgetfulness. Rather, Juror 92 regularly attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center over an extended three (3) year period from 2010 to 2013.[3]

Additionally, on the interrelated note of the unreasonableness of Juror 92's failure to disclose that she thought she had seen, recognized, knew, or had "any connection" to Devin's father after he and Devin's other family members were presented to the jurors for their inspection, Devin's father, being the pastor during the entirety of the three (3) years that Juror 92 regularly attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center, was the object of Juror 92's, as well as the rest of the congregation's, attention on a weekly basis as he lead church services. Thus, we are again not talking about a juror failing to remember a person she saw once in passing decades ago, but instead allegedly failing to remember the pastor of the church at which she regularly attended services and youth group over a three (3) year period in the recent past. Gray v. Bryant, 298 S.C. 285, 379 S.E.2d 894 (1989) (granting new trial due to juror failing to disclose on voir dire examination that she "had been treated by the respondent on at least one occasion") (emphasis added); cf. State v. Sparkman, 358 S.C. 491, 496, 596 S.E.2d 375, ___ (2004) (holding a juror's failure to disclose information on voir dire examination was unintentional because the juror's "attack occurred approximately forty years ago—a lapse of time that we believe renders [the juror's] failure to response reasonable.").

---

[3] After Devin and his family learned that Juror 92 was in fact the same person who went to high school with Devin and attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center, Devin's father reviewed photos and video from New Life Deliverance Worship Center's archives and discovered that Juror 92 appears in at least one photograph and two videos from New Life Deliverance Worship Center's services. Undersigned counsel has copies of the photo and video and will be submitting them to the Court electronically or by other means acceptable to the Court.

Given both the recency of the subjects in question and the fundamental and lasting impact that school and church have in people's lives, it is patently unreasonable that Juror 92 failed to disclose 1) that she had a connection to Devin through school, 2) that she had a connection to Devin through church, 3) that she attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center, and 4) that she thought she had seen, recognized, knew, or had "any connection" to Devin's father, the man who was her pastor for a period of three (3) years. Woods, 345 S.C. at 589-90, 550 S.E.2d at 285 (holding juror's failure to disclose a three (3) year relationship with the solicitor's office in response to a voir dire question that "unambiguously sought a response from any juror having a business association with any of the attorneys trying the case" constituted intentional concealment of information on voir dire).

Thus, given that the questions asked by the trial judge on voir dire were reasonably comprehensible and the subjects thereof were of such significance that Juror 92's failure to respond was unreasonable, Juror 92's non-disclosure constituted an intentional concealment and dictates that the court determine "if the information concealed would have supported a challenge for cause or would have been a material factor in the use of [Devin's] peremptory challenges." Woods, 345 S.C. at 589-90, 550 S.E.2d at 285. Unfortunately, "[b]ecause Juror [92] did not respond to any of the questions asked during voir dire, any potential biases she might have had toward the State were not discovered until after the trial," and, accordingly, the trial court and the parties did not have an opportunity to examine Juror 92 to determine if her school and church connections to Devin and his family would have supported a for cause challenge. Id. at 590, 550 S.E.2d at 285; Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 328, 764 S.E2d at 245 ("In the face of a juror's intentional nondisclosure of pertinent information during voir dire, 'it may be inferred, nothing to the

contrary appearing, that the juror is not impartial.'") (quoting Woods, 345 S.C. at 587-88, 550 S.E.2d at 284).

Regardless of the inability to determine if Juror 92 was subject to a for cause challenge, undersigned counsel has spoken with Devin's trial counsel, Mr. Richard Vieth, regarding Juror 92's school and church connections to Devin and Devin's family and Mr. Vieth confirmed that had Juror 92 not improperly concealed those connections to Devin he would have requested further inquiry into those connections, discussed the connections with Devin, and that the connections "would have been a material factor in the use of [Devin's] peremptory challenges."[4] Woods, 345 S.C. at 587-88, 550 S.E.2d at 284. Given the youth and closeness in age of Juror 92 and Devin and the physical and psychological development and flux that is attendant to connections that arise during adolescence and young adulthood, which not infrequently give rise to capricious and petty feelings that can last a lifetime, it is reasonable to conclude that Juror 92's connections to Devin and his family through school and church would have been a material factor in Devin's use of his remaining peremptory challenges.[5] Id. at 590, 550 S.E.2d at 285 (holding it was "reasonable to conclude" that a relationship about which a juror was specifically asked during voir dire "would be a material factor" in a criminal defendant's use of peremptory challenges and that failure to disclose that relationship "prevented the [defendant's] intelligent

[4] When Juror 92's name was selected from the venire, respondent still had seven (7) peremptory challenges remaining.

[5] The materiality, i.e., significance, of Juror 92's regular attendance at New Life services and youth group to Devin's use of his peremptory challenges is further heightened by the fact that in 2014 Juror 92 began attending New Life services and youth group more infrequently and then ultimately stopped attending New Life services and youth group altogether. The reasons Juror 92 began pulling away from New Life and ultimately severed her relationship with the Church would certainly be material to Devin's use of his peremptory challenges or possibly a for cause challenge.

exercise of his peremptory challenges"). Moreover, Juror 92's unjustified non-disclosure not only denied Devin the substance of her responses to the voir dire that was asked and the follow up questions that would have been asked, but also denied Devin and his counsel the opportunity and ability to examine Juror 92's demeanor, facial expressions, and non-verbal communication, all of which are critically important information, i.e., significant, to both picking a jury and the use of preemptory challenges. Consequently, "[b]ecause Juror [92] failed to respond to questions on voir dire which clearly applied to her, and because her concealment deprived [Devin] of information material to his intelligent use of peremptory challenges," Devin is entitled to a new trial. Id. at 590-91, 550 S.E.2d at 285; Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 328, 764 S.E.2d at 246 (holding that in the face of a juror's intentional concealment the moving party "need not show prejudice, as the bias against the moving party is inferred, and prejudice from the moving party's inability to strike the juror is apparent").

III. Devin promptly investigated this matter and undersigned counsel raised this matter at the first opportunity by proper motion

As a result of Juror 92 concealing that she regularly attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center for weekly services and youth group meetings and that she attended Spartanburg High School, Juror 92's name was called only one time during jury qualification and selection, when she was selected to sit on the jury. Under the immense pressure of being on trial for murder and Juror 92 having just denied attending New Life Deliverance Worship Center and Spartanburg High School, Devin had no evidence during jury selection or trial upon which to raise an objection to Juror 92's service on the jury. Despite Juror 92's misconduct denying Devin the ability and opportunity to discover any potential biases during voir dire, Devin, through his

family, "promptly mobilized after judgment" to discover the truth about Juror 92. Long, 342 S.C. at 572 (holding that where "[d]efendants had no evidence during trial to support their concerns about [a juror]", the defendants timely raised a juror concealment issue post trial where they "promptly mobilized" to examine the juror's background following trail). Although Devin was able to determine the truth about Juror 92 by July of 2019, because the post-trial motion filed by Devin's trial counsel was not ruled on until September 10, 2019, a transcript of the trial was not received by the Appellate Division of the South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense ("SCCID") until October 25, 2019. Thus, it was not until October 25, 2019 that Devin was able to for the first time to review the specific questions posed by the trial judge during voir dire to determine whether Juror 92 did in fact engage in intentional concealment that should be brought to the attention of the courts by proper motion. Thereafter, upon being substituted as counsel on March 10, 2020, undersigned counsel promptly and diligently developed and filed this Motion with all due haste. Accordingly, Devin brought Juror 92's misconduct to the Court's attention"at the first opportunity by proper motion", and it would be improper and unjust to deny the requested relief because of a delay that was not created by Devin. Long, 342 S.C. at 572 (citing S.C. Jur. Appeals and Error § 81 (1992)).

Furthermore, any argument that, while under the incredible pressure and stress of a murder trial , Devin and his father should have known Juror 92 at the time of trial is premised on the fallacy that the relationship, knowledge, and recognition between persons who appear before large groups of people and those in the audience is reciprocal. The falsity of this underlying premise is easily illustrated by the example: while I may have attended thirty-five Jimmy Buffet shows and would certainly know that I attended the shows and recognize Jimmy Buffet's name

and visage if asked, sadly, Jimmy Buffet would not know that I had attended all those shows, and would not know my name or recognize me. Such is the case with Devin and his father and the nonreciprocal nature of Juror 92's knowledge and recognition of Devin and his father.

As previously stated and as is supported by information undersigned counsel has received from Travis Mims, a Youth Pastor for New Life Deliverance Worship Center between 2012 and 2014, Devin and his father were focal points for the congregation, with Devin running the sound board, lights, music and video for services and youth group and his father leading weekly worship services. Accordingly, while Devin and his father would be recognizable figures to members of the congregation, it is unreasonable under the extremely stressful circumstances of Devin being on trial for murder to require Devin or his father, based on nothing more than Juror 92 standing and her name being said a single time when selected for the jury, after having just denied any connection to or knowledge of Devin or his father during juror qualification, to contemporaneously realize or discover that Juror 92 did in fact have connections to Devin and his father through school and church. To place the burden on a defendant to object to a juror because the defendant suspects that a juror might have a connection to the defendant or his family is an "unreasonable expectation" and substantively diminishes a defendant's right to rely on the truthfulness of jurors' answers during voir dire. Long, 342 S.C. at 572, 573, 538 S.E.2d 5 (quoting 47 Am. Jur. 2d Jury § 191 (1995)) (holding that for voir dire to function properly as an essential means of protecting the right to an impartial jury, "[f]ull knowledge of all relevant and material matters that might bear on the possible disqualification of a juror is essential to a fair and intelligent exercise of the right of counsel to challenge either for cause or peremptorily."). "To hold otherwise conceivably creates a burden on the trial bar to perform background checks

in all jury selections to prevent the danger of juror disqualification." Id. at 572 (holding that "requiring the perscrutation of jurors' lives in every case would place an overwhelming onus on the parties").

Moreover, even assuming arguendo that Devin or his father did have suspicions at the time of trial that Juror 92 might have had some connection to Devin or his father, Devin and his father had "no evidence during trial to support their concerns." Id. at 572 (emphasis added). Significantly, it is Juror 92's lack of honesty and candor during voir dire that denied Devin and his trial counsel the very evidence that is essential to determining whether Juror 92 harbored any bias or prejudice against Devin. Coaxum, 410 S.C. at 327, 764 S.E. 2d at 245 ("Trial judges and attorneys cannot fulfill their duty to screen out biases jurors without accurate information.") (citation omitted); Woods, 345 S.C. at 590, 550 S.E.2d at __ ("Because Juror B did not respond to any of the questions asked during voir dire, any potential biases she might have had toward the State were not discovered until after the trail.").

Finally, the one (1) year deadline applicable to new trial motions based on newly discovered evidence is inapplicable to and does not preclude this Motion based on juror misconduct. As unequivocally held by the Supreme Court in McCoy, "juror misconduct discovered post-trial is not properly considered 'newly discovered evidence'; rather, it is a separate basis for a new trail." 401 S.C. at 371, 737 S.E.2d at 627. "Because juror misconduct is a separate basis for a new trial, it is governed by a separate standard." Id. Accordingly, although Rule 29(b), SCRCrimP, only addresses new trial motions based on newly discovered evidence and does not address motions based on juror misconduct, Rule 60(b), SCRCP, is instructive as to the time for filing for a new trial based on juror misconduct. Specifically, Rule 60(b), SCRCP,

limits the one year deadline for new trial motions to (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; and (3) fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party, leaving motions based on a judgment being void, such as in a case where a party's fundamental right to a fair and impartial jury has been violated, to be filed within a reasonable time. Accordingly, although it is Devin's position that he filed this Motion within a year of his discovery of Juror 92's connections through school and church to Devin and his father, even if the Court were to make the factual finding that Devin did not file this Motion within a year of his discovery, Devin has diligently investigated and raised Juror 92's misconduct in a reasonably timely and proper manner.

In light of the strong grounds for Juror 92's disqualification for violation of her oath and her actions that can be described as nothing less than anathema to justice, Defendant Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion and order a new trial on the above-captioned indictments.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Christopher T. Brumback
Christopher T. Brumback
Spencer D. Langley
Brumback & Langley, LLC
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
(864) 414-9097
(866) 728-1205 (Fax)
chris@brumbacklangley.com
spencer@brumbacklangley.com

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF
	)	COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG	)	OF THE SEVENTH
	)	JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
	)	
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD
	)	2019-CP-42-001570
vs.	)	
	)	
DEVIN ZACHARY ELIJAH RUTTLE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

May 12, 2021
Spartanburg, South Carolina

B E F O R E:

HONORABLE J. DERHAM COLE, Judge.

A P P E A R A N C E S

DERRICK BRUCE BULSA, DEPUTY SOLICITOR
For The State

CHRISTOPHER TODD BRUMBACK, ESQUIRE
For The Defendant

Julie A. Cendroski,
Circuit Court Reporter III
Seventh Judicial Circuit

I N D E X

WITNESS	PAGE
MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL	
By Mr. Brumback	4
RICK VIETH	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	7
CROSS-EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Bulsa	18
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	29
RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Bulsa	33
BUNTY DESOR	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	35
CROSS-EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Bulsa	53
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	60
TRAVIS MIMMS	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	63
CROSS-EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Bulsa	72
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	82
DEVIN ZACHARY ELIJAH RUTTLE	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Brumback	90

I N D E X

WITNESS	PAGE
DEVIN ZACHARY ELIJAH RUTTLE	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	
By Mr. Bulsa	102
ARGUMENT	
By Mr. Brumback	107
REPLY	
By Mr. Bulsa	117
REBUTTAL	
By Mr. Brumback	124
COURT TAKES UNDER ADVISEMENT	125
CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	127

EXHIBITS

	MARKED	ENTERED
DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NO. 1, USB With File of Nysha Jeffries On Stage 12-2-2010 Video File	39	39
DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NO. 1(a), USB With File of 1-14-2011 Nysha Jeffrie File	44	44

NO EXHIBITS PROFFERED

THE STATE VS. DEVIN ZACHARY ELIJAH RUTTLE

MR. BRUMBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate your allowance there to pull papers together. If it pleases the Court, I can proceed this way?

THE COURT: Please do.

MR. BRUMBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is Christopher Brumback. I am here representing Devin Ruttle, and this is a motion for a new trial based on an issue of juror disqualification, out of information that was discovered after the verdict was handed down in this case.

And so, we are here today to present the Court with testimony supporting that motion, in addition to the affidavits which have already been filed and the exhibits, which have been submitted to the Court as well. I also intend to provide authentication of videos, which the Court has already been provided with, as well as the photo.

And so, at that point I would just like to point out, initially, given the fact that I do not believe necessarily that timeliness is at issue at this point, I'll be focusing my presentation on the, sort of second step of the analysis, which is specifically whether this was an intentional concealment. And on that note, I would like to point out to the Court that the word of

intentional concealment is definitely not meant in the lay sense of the word that we all may normally think of it, but certainly a term of art as it applies here. A question were of reasonable versus unreasonableness in not disclosing information. And that's because the intentional concealment argument looks at -- or the intentional concealment analysis looks at, one, whether the court asked the voir dire question in a reasonably clear manner, an unambiguous manner. And if the Court did, then whether the information was of such significance. And what we mean by significance is not to the party necessarily that would use that material for possibly a for cause for childhood. Significance from the standpoint of is it a significant life as that is a significant moment. Is it close enough temporally and is it a nature of an event that someone would reasonably remember such that to fail to respond would be unreasonable.

And so that's where I'll be focusing today, providing the groundwork for the exhibits we've already submitted. So, at this time I would call Rick Vieth, who was trial counsel, to the stand.

(Witness comes forward.)

THE CLERK: Place your left hand on the bible and raise your right. (Complies.)

Do you solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

Your Honor, if I could first say in respect to the Court and to Mr. Ruttle, I would have a coat and tie on except we heard as late as yesterday at 4:30 we had a court reporter, so I apologize.

THE COURT: That's no problem. Thank you.

RICK VIETH,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And, Rick, I would like to say thank you for being here on as short a notice as you had. So I do appreciate that.

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Would you please state your name for the record?

A. It's Rick Vieth, V-i-e-t-h.

Q. And what is your relationship to the defendant in this case?

A. I was asked to represent him on the charges that were against him in 2018.

Q. Okay. And as part of that representation, did you take this case to a jury trial?

A. We did.
Q. Okay. And you were present throughout the jury trial from beginning to end, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And so you participated in voir dire at the beginning of the case when you were selecting a jury?
A. Certainly.
Q. All right. And to the best of your recollection, during voir dire were any questions asked about New Life Deliverance Worship Center?
A. Well, I don't remember if anything was specifically asked, but I can remember that that's where his father preached and he went to there, so I'm sure it was brought up to see if anybody was a member of that church.
Q. Okay. And to the best of your recollection, did anyone, when that question was asked, did anyone respond to that inquiry?
A. I would say they did not, because if they had of, I would certainly inquire for my client and his dad whether there was some conflicts.
Q. Okay. And why would that have been important to you?
A. Well, it's important to know, one, is there a

conflict, do they -- would they think that they're not partial and could not do a fair trial. So it would be important to know that, just as if you were a person you played golf with every weekend. You want to make sure they were impartial or not. Both the prosecutor and the defense lawyer want to make sure of that.

Q. Okay. And so, would it have been, while you were trying to determine whether someone was impartial, would it also be of interest to you whether the person continued to go to the church that was asked about, the worship center that was asked about?

A. Certainly.

Q. And would it be material to your decision on whether to use that person, whether that person had stopped going to a certain worship center?

A. Well, I think you would inquire from the client if they knew him. And if not, I would have asked the question, was there a particular reason that you left. Did you move out of town or did someone upset you at the church? Something like that.

Q. Okay. All right. And when you were conducting voir dire, are there things that are important to you other than simply the verbal responses of a juror during voir dire?

A. Well, you can look at attitudes of jurors when

they testify. If they roll their heads or eyes or something, you might want to look at it. It's much better than doing it without being able to see the person for sure.

Q. And if someone does not respond to a voir dire question, have you been denied the ability to sort of gauge those non-verbal cues?

A. I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q. If someone does not stand up and respond and say, you know, I either have gone to this worship center or whatever the question may be, and so essentially standing, say no, have you been denied the opportunity once -- because once that person stands up and says yes, either you or the judge may ask additional questions to determine whether that person is biased or unbiased?

A. Correct.

Q. And so, without having that opportunity to view that person, to hear their answers, to view their non-verbal responses, have you been denied the opportunity to gather that information by the fact that they have not responded openly and fully to the voir dire?

A. Well, sure, I guess if they asked -- if we asked the question if they were a member of a church or certain business and they didn't answer the question

affirmatively, we'd have to assume they didn't, but their eye contact and things of that nature, certainly over experience, of course proceed a little further.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, we've already covered whether someone attending a church, which was asked about during voir dire, would be material in your choice of whether to use a peremptory challenge or not, or a cross challenge, to raise one. Turning from that subject, is it also something that you generally ask about in a case of this nature whether any of the jurors have been either the victim of or had any association with a violent crime?

A. Certainly.

Q. Okay. And why is that?

A. Well, because, again, they may have a vindictiveness against anyone charged with a crime, if they are the victims of one. Now, if they're the ones who committed the crime, the solicitor is gonna want to know that too. Probably not put that person on the jury stand.

Q. Certainly. Certainly. And do you recall specifically with regard to juror 92, whether when the question was asked concerning any jurors being the victim of a violent crime or a direct family member being the victim of a violent crime, do you recall

whether juror 92 responded to that question?

A. I cannot possibly recall the event of any particular number juror, but if it had been brought up, we would have gone more into detail about it.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, the other question I would like to ask is, in preparation for a jury trial of this nature, do you do an investigation of jurors or anything to that effect, prior to the trial?

A. It really -- well, we get the forms from the clerk's office. And unless it's a potential death penalty case or something where someone wants a quote/unquote juror expert to get involved, we just usually read what they present to the clerk of court, ask the client who they recognize, if anybody. And other than that, it's just make the decisions based on what you read, what they say, and what the client feels is comfortable to him to have that person on the jury.

Q. Certainly. And did you do that in this case?

A. Certainly.

Q. And did you provide -- what juror information do you receive from the court?

A. Well, you'll get some information about who they are and what they do. And then when they're in the jury box and the court asks them, you know, is there any -- they go through the constitutional questions. They have

a right and we're paying attention to all that. So any, do you know the defendant, yes or no? Those are always asked by the judge at a pretrial selection process.

Q. Okay. And so, other than -- what -- I guess my question is also, what document is given to you by the court or by the clerk's office, as it may be, regarding who the potential jurors may be in advance of the being in the term of court?

A. You know, you can get a little form that the jurors fill out and send in and they'll, they'll document it and give you the information about age and what they do, occupation, whether they're married, things of that nature.

Q. Yeah. And to the best of your knowledge, are the jurors required to return that information?

A. I think it's expected of them. I don't know if they rule that they're not able to sit if they didn't. I'm not sure of that. The Court would have to explain that, but, but they should. I mean, we look for that information naturally.

Q. And do you rely on that information?

A. We rely on that information. We rely on the information they present to the judge and when we talk to them in the jury selection process. And then if we have any particular questions, voir dire questions, the

judge usually will ask them if it's relevant.

Q. Okay. All right. And if a juror did not return that juror information, would that be denying you an opportunity to find out about that juror?

A. Certainly.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. And, Your Honor, I apologize. One thing I do not have in hard copy, is a copy of the juror information packet that was made available to the attorneys in advance of this case. I don't know if Mr. Bulsa has a copy of that, that I could make a copy of, or I can certainly provide that to the Court via electronic submission after the hearing. However the Court would like.

THE COURT: What is it that you wish to present?

MR. BRUMBACK: I would like to present the fact that the juror information packet, Juror 92, the one in question, did not return the juror information sheet to the court. And so, no information was known about the juror prior to the morning of trial.

THE COURT: Are you certain that she did not fill out a form?

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, from the packet that I have that I received by my client's father that was sent to my client by counsel, trial counsel, Juror 92's sheet is not in there, so that is my understanding, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You have that, you say?

MR. BRUMBACK: I do in an electronic format, yes.

THE COURT: Did you receive any supplemental number of juror information forms? And the reason I say that is this, some judges do not, but I'm one that does, I require every juror who appears to serve as a juror in this court to fill out one of those forms. Now, they don't always fill them out ahead of time. If they don't, then Ms. Tinsley's here, and she can verify the rule, but if they don't fill one out, when they arrive in the jury assembly room, they are provided the form. They must fill one out.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay.

THE COURT: And so, I just give you that information --

MR. BRUMBACK: All right.

THE COURT: -- in the event that maybe you didn't receive all of the forms. You might have received the initial packet that was of juror information forms that had been received prior to them coming to the courthouse.

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly.

THE COURT: But there almost are always additional forms --

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- provided. And I don't know if that's the case here. And, of course, somebody could have slipped by and not filled one out. I don't know.

MR. BRUMBACK: And I'm not offering that ---

THE COUR: But I'll let you make that determination. If you need to, you can supplement the packet.

MR. BRUMBACK: Well, thank you, Your Honor. And what I would say is, really the point I'm addressing is that before the morning of trial we didn't have that information. So if she did fill it out the morning of trial because she had not submitted it previously, then that would have been something that we had, you know, morning of trial, not prior to when we could have ---

THE COURT: Well, I don't -- I'm not gonna dispute that. That could be the case, I don't know.

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly.

THE COURT: But every juror is supposed to fill one out, at least by the time they come into this courtroom.

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly. Certainly. And that's what I was looking at, Your Honor, was the fact that from the documents we have, that not having it prior to, at the very least, her arriving at the courthouse that

day.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BRUMBACK: And so, that's what I was trying to get at, Your Honor. If that's okay I can submit that, like I said, either email to counsel and your chambers, if that's acceptable?

THE COURT: That'll be fine.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Okay. And so, Mr. Vieth, having ---

A. I think -- can I add something? I think the main thing from my jury selection, those documents mean a lot, but when they're in this jury sitting back here and we're going through the panel, the judge is always gonna ask, do you know the defendant, please stand with me. And we look at the jurors and ask them to stand if they know us or if they know the investigators in the case. And so, if she did not stand -- I can't remember if she did or did not -- then I would not have assumed anything was wrong with selecting her, or the solicitor wouldn't know whether anything was wrong with selecting her. So I'm more concerned with what happens in the courtroom with the jurors. I mean, if the document said they're a former SLED agent, they're not gonna sit on the jury.

Q. Certainly.

A. But, but just saying that they work somewhere or

do something, it doesn't necessarily mean that I'm gonna strike them.

Q. Certainly. And so having gone over these things with regard to the church affiliation and with regard to the violent crime. If someone responded in the affirmative to one or both of those, would that be something that was significant to your decision as to whether to use the preemptory strike against that juror or not?

A. It's certainly something you want to consider, definitely.

Q. Sure.

A. Whether you're a victim of a crime or the one who committed the crime, both sides would like to know that as well.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. That's all the questions I think I have of this witness, Your Honor. Please answer any questions the solicitor may have.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BULSA:

Q. Good afternoon, Rick.

A. Good afternoon, Derrick.

Q. How long have you been practicing law?

A. 1974, assistant solicitor for seven years.

Q. So you've been on both sides?

A. Been on both sides.
Q. Okay. How many homicide cases have you had?
A. Gosh, I do not know.
Q. Okay.
A. Several. I've got two pending right now in federal court.
Q. Okay. And how long did you represent Mr. Ruttle?
A. Since the arrest, whenever he got arrested, until the trial.
Q. Okay. So you got in the case pretty early?
A. Yeah. As I recall, I got in it very early.
Q. Okay. And Mr. Brumback went into the jury list. Can we talk basically what is commonly known in the court system as the $5 list?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that what you know it as?
A. That's what I was told.
Q. That's where they compile all the jury information forms and clip it together and that's available for the attorneys?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. The clerk also prepares a computerized list of all the jurors, so we've got the -- you've got a packet of forms and then you got the actual list.
A. Okay.

Q. Do you remember that?
A. If I've got them on my computer at the office, I got them. But I didn't bring computers in here back then.
Q. Didn't you get a list of the jurors in this case?
A. Yeah, we get a list of the jurors. We come down here and give the money and we get a list.
Q. Okay. And you also when you get to court, you also have a separate list available?
A. Probably so.
Q. Okay. All right. The point of this is, is did you share any of that with Mr. Ruttle or his family?
A. Certainly. We always -- I'm never gonna pick a jury without the defendant having a significant input.
Q. And when would you provide those lists to the defendant?
A. Well, the list we get, we provide whenever we met prior to jury selection. And then the day of the jury selection, we'd go over it too. So I don't remember how much days in advance, but you get together to prepare for trial. And if there's anyone specifically that someone doesn't want on there or does, then, you know, we kind of mark it.
Q. Do you know who Juror 92 is?
A. I do not.

Q. Do you even know the name?
A. Do not.
Q. Okay. So how do you know if Juror 92 intentionally withheld information?
A. Well, it's what I've been shared the information from defense counsel, because the -- I mean, I would not have known. And then time passes after the verdict before you ever get a notice if there's a motion being made to set aside the conviction. And by then I certainly didn't remember 92, 87, or any other number.
Q. What was shared with you by defense counsel?
A. Well, what was shared was that she was at the church at some period of time and may have had a desire to be with the defendant in a dating manner or something like that. And that possibly he didn't accept that and she may have been upset with him for it.
Q. So if I'm hearing you correctly, you received information after the fact that Devin Ruttle had some personal relationship with Juror 92?
A. I've not heard it from Devin.
Q. You've heard it from his attorney?
A. I've heard it from the attorney, so I'm assuming there's some investigation gone into it and looked into it. And would I cooperate to help. And I would not have called that person. Devin didn't know her. If he

didn't remember her, but she was vindictive against him, it would have been important to know. But if he didn't know her and I didn't know her and everybody accept it, then that was it. But if I would find out subsequent to that, that she had a vendetta against him, it's important.

Q. Okay. Well, you just said that Devin didn't remember her, so there's a possibility that he didn't remember her?

A. Well, he didn't tell me --

Q. Yes, sir. So he didn't tell you ---

A. -- I know any person that we would have -- I would have always wanted to know what to do with that person if he or his dad or any other person that we're talking to goes over that list.

Q. So he certainly didn't recognize her when she stood up here in front of him, I guess. Is that what you're saying?

A. I can't answer that.

Q. He didn't tell you that he recognized her?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. All right. So he didn't tell you that he recognized her, so isn't it just as possible that she didn't recognize him?

A. Well, it's hypothetical. I mean, I'm not gonna

answer that in that kind of way. I mean, anything's possible. I mean, this courtroom and if someone asked me was so and so in the back seat back there, I'm gonna say I can't remember.

Q. Come on, Rick. If your client isn't gonna recognize her, then it's just as likely that she doesn't recognize him, isn't it?

A. Well, I mean, the obvious answer would be that they would know each other. But, but I don't want to assume something at Devin's peril. I'll put it that way. Now, he's the one serving a major sentence.

Q. Did you consider the defendant's father's church as important in the jury selection process?

A. Certainly.

Q. You did? Did you provide any or request any voir dire questions of the Court concerning that topic?

A. Not that I recall. I don't, I don't remember.

Q. So, did you provide any voir dire questions?

A. About the church?

Q. About anything?

A. Oh, gosh. I honestly can't sit here and tell you I remember whether I did or not.

Q. Would you agree ---

A. I mean, when we, when we -- when the judge asks us for questions, we might have certainly asked him to

ask was anyone a member of that church.

Q. Okay.

A. But I don't specifically remember it.

Q. All right. Well, I've gone through my file.

A. Okay.

Q. Would you agree that -- I didn't see anything that you submitted to the court.

A. Okay. Well, I trust you.

Q. I have mine and I have Mr. Abusaft's questions.

A. Okay.

Q. But I didn't see any from you.

A. Okay.

Q. What I saw from you was a witness list.

A. Okay.

Q. And I'm not saying I didn't misplace it, but I didn't see it in my file.

A. No. I would want someone that went to the church to be on that jury most of the time.

Q. Exactly. That's my point.

A. Yeah, certainly. I might try to keep someone ---

Q. If it was important to you, it would be to show a bias towards your client.

A. Right.

Q. In its favor of your client.

A. Precisely.

Q. Isn't it true that it was myself, the State, that asked that question about any connection?

A. Oh, I'm sure you did. I'm sure you did. I definitely would want someone that worked at Henderson, Brandt and Vieth to be on the jury if I'm the defendant.

Q. Okay. Have you or anybody in your law firm spoken to that juror since this?

A. I have not.

Q. Okay. When did you learn of this issue?

A. Oh, gosh, it had to be months ago when the motion was filed and I was advised of, of what that issue was, that she had some disappointment that he wouldn't pay any attention to her, which is -- the other side of that coin, I guess, if he didn't know she, she may have been captivated by him and him not her. So I don't know, but that's what I understood.

Q. Do you even know how old she was?

A. At this point ---

Q. That this person doesn't know?

A. No, I do not.

Q. Have you seen any records that prove that she was a member of that church or attended that church?

A. I have not.

Q. Because you wouldn't recognize her if you saw a picture of her, would you?

A. No. It's just another number to me that sat on the jury.

Q. Yeah, I agree. To me also. So did Mr. Ruttle or his family or anybody in the family contact you and say, hey, Rick, there was a juror on that jury that we shouldn't put on there?

A. Oh, no.

Q. They did not?

A. No, that was my concern. We would have questioned her further if someone had said I know her.

Q. Okay. I'm talking about after the fact.

A. After the fact? It seems like his dad may have contacted me about she going to that church for a while. It seems -- I mean, just trying to refresh my memory on it. It seems like that may have happened.

Q. How long ago?

A. But I can't -- I cannot swear to tell the truth and say that that happened, but I don't know.

Q. How long did your representation last with Mr. Ruttle?

A. After the trial?

Q. Yes, sir.

A. That week. It ended at that point until I -- I figured there'd be an appeal issue and then a PCR issue, which is normal. I wouldn't blame either side of that.

Q. Didn't you file a motion to reconsider the sentence?
A. Yeah, we did file a Motion to Reconsider.
Q. So you would have stayed on at least through the ruling on that?
A. Yes, most certainly.
Q. You weren't hired to appeal the case?
A. No. If anything, I would have filed a Notice of Intent to buy the time for him to get someone to appeal it, but I wasn't asked to handle an appeal.
Q. In your experiences of practicing law, isn't it common for jurors to not remember things when they're questioned by the judge?
A. Oh, I'm sure anybody could have a lack of memory. I do now more than ever.
Q. I mean, have you been in trials when jurors have been seated and they then realize that they have some connection and they brought it to the Court's attention?
A. Yeah, certainly.
Q. Okay. And did that happen in this case?
A. No.
Q. But it happens, right?
A. It does happen. I think, I think the concern that is in this particular issue is, was she hiding that on purpose to get a guilty verdict against someone that

wouldn't pay her any attention? I think that's the theory behind it.

Q. I understand that. That goes to the intentionality of it.

A. Exactly.

Q. But you have no knowledge of it.

A. No knowledge of it.

Q. You have no knowledge that anything happened, other than what defense counsel told you?

A. That's correct.

Q. That you would have got from his client?

A. Or from juror number 94, if she's communicated with anybody.

Q. Do you have any knowledge that she has?

A. No, sir.

Q. For the record, that's Juror 92.

A. Ninety-two, I'm sorry. Yeah, I didn't know whether she was gonna be called as a witness or not.

Q. And if that relationship did exist, Mr. Ruttle kept -- withheld it from you?

A. If he knew about it, it was not told to me that she was a member of that church or they had a relationship or any, anything like that. We would have never -- anyone at the church, we would have sat on that jury unless we would have voir dired did they have any

axe to grind with the church.

Q. And there's a good chance you wouldn't have gotten that opportunity.

A. Right.

Q. The State might have struck her.

A. Right. That's true.

MR. BULSA: Thank you, Mr. Vieth, that's all I have.

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, briefly?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Rick, I'm gonna pass you this --

A. Certainly.

Q. -- and tell me if you recognize what this is.

A. That's the -- exactly. That's the jury qualification. It would be here in the courtroom, I would think. Yes. There's some folks in the courtroom.

MR. BULSA: Where are you showing that?

MR. BRUMBACK: I handed him --

THE WITNESS: Jury qualification document.

MR. BRUMBACK: -- the jury qualification document.

MR. BULSA: This is part of the transcript?

MR. BRUMBACK: Yes.

MR. BULSA: Okay.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And so, Rick, Mr. Bulsa earlier asked you whether the juror in question would have recognized Devin. Do you recall that?

A. Well, I'm reading it.

Q. Well, I'm saying just now while Mr. Bulsa was asking you questions here today, he asked you the question of whether the Juror 92 would have recognized Devin. Do you recall him asking you that?

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. My question to you is, if you're looking at this transcript and at the top of page 55, which you have there in your hand -- I'm sorry, did I hand you 55?

A. I've got 55, 56, and 57.

Q. All right. I'm gonna hand you --

A. Was any juror ---

Q. -- a separate part of the transcript. It's page, starting with page 43.

A. All right.

Q. And can you take a look at that and tell me what that document is?

A. The same type document. It's jury qualification. It's got my name that was asked questions on.

Q. Okay. All right. And if you look at the question there starting at line three, what does that

question ask?

A. Does any member of the jury panel or any members of your immediate family -- and for the purpose of all of my questions, an immediate family member refers to a parent, a spouse, a child, or a sibling; parent, child, spouse, or sibling -- do you or any members of your immediate family attend or have you ever attended the New Life Deliverance Worship Center, which I think is also included in the Cowpens? Is that true?

Q. Okay.

A. And it goes on. Yeah.

Q. And so, does that question ask whether any jurors recognize the defendant?

A. No.

Q. What does that question ask?

A. Have you ever attended the church.

Q. Okay.

A. Or immediate family.

Q. Okay. And did any jurors respond?

A. They, they -- no. Well, this says -- no.

Q. Let me put that a different way. Does the transcript indicate that any jurors rose to --

A. No.

Q. -- respond to that question?

A. No. No one responded to it.

Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. I appreciate that.
A. And the next one was about the violent crime --
Q. Okay.
A. -- issue.
Q. And taking a look at that other part of the transcript, was ---
A. It's right at the bottom of that, 47.
Q. There you go.
THE COURT: Mr. Brumback, I'm not gonna limit your examination as long as it's relevant, but if Mr. Vieth doesn't remember something, he doesn't remember it. The transcript is an exhibit, so I can read the transcript. I don't need Mr. Vieth to read it.
MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. And that's fine, Your Honor. I just wanted to be clear on that point, since there seemed to be a difference of what was being asked.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
BY MR. BRUMBACK:
Q. So, Rick, when you take a look at that question, do you think that the question regarding attendance at New Life Deliverance Worship Center, was that clear to you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What was being asked?
A. Certainly.

Q. Was that ambiguous to you in any way?
A. No.
Q. And do you think that it would be something of significance that someone would remember having attended a church for a number of years, less than three years before trial?
MR. BULSA: Objection.
THE WITNESS: Again, it's speculation, but I would say, yes. If anyone knew about the church, I would expect them to know whether they went there or not.
Q. Okay. All right. And then I think that -- actually, since the other part of the transcript regarding violent crime is already in there, and I don't think we --
A. Correct.
Q. -- have any discussion about that, that's all I have.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BULSA:

Q. Mr. Vieth, how old was Juror 92?
A. I have no earthly idea.
Q. No idea?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay.

A. I have no idea. Whether I could have done some more homework in preparation for this hearing, I don't know, but I would not have known 92 over 106 or number 4. It's a juror.

Q. Where do you attend church?

A. Bethel Methodist.

Q. How long have you been a member there?

A. Since mom and dad took me there a long time ago.

Q. Do they have membership rolls there?

A. Yes.

Q. You're probably on that roll?

A. Yes.

MR. BULSA: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. BRUMBACK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You can step down. You may be excused. Thank you for coming.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Witness leaves witness stand and courtroom.)

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, I would like to at this point call my client's father, Buddy.

THE COURT: You'll have to go out and get him.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

(Witness comes forward.)

THE CLERK: Please place your left hand on the

bible and raise your right. (Complies.)

Do you solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Have a seat right there.

THE COURT: You can remove your mask while testifying.

BUNTY DESOR,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Mr. Desor, would you please state your name for the record?

A. Bonty Desor.

Q. Okay. And what is your relationship to the defendant in this case?

A. He's my son.

Q. All right. And what is your occupation?

A. I'm a pastor.

Q. Okay. Where are you a pastor?

A. At New Life Deliverance Worship Center.

Q. Okay. And how long have you been a pastor at New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. Since November 2010.

Q. All right. And in operation of the church, you maintain certain records for the church?

A. We do, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Do those records include photographs and videos that are taken at various services or events?

A. That's correct.

Q. And how often does the church take media files, photos, videos of the church events?

A. Every service.

Q. Okay. All right. And has the church been doing that since the beginning since you took over at New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. Not since the beginning, but we have done it.

Q. Okay. So when did you start doing that?

A. Shortly after we started.

Q. So that would be around?

A. It was in 2010 when we started.

MR. BRUMBACK: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: (Nods head up and down.)

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. I'm gonna show you a couple files from a thumb drive, which is going to be presented to the Court. Can you tell me what this file is, what that file opened up there is?

A. That's a youth service.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. All right. Your Honor, would you like me to just have him go ahead and play the file so he can view it that way?

THE COURT: You want him to testify to what is reflected?

MR. BRUMBACK: I would like him to testify, yes.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So that's the stage at the church and that's a youth service.

(Plays video.)

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. So is that New Life Deliverance Worship Center's service file?

A. Yes. That's actually the sanctuary. That's a youth night. And we minister to a lot of inner city youth. And so, we bus them in, and that's one of the evenings that the youth are engaging in the service. So we use hip-hop. We use different things to engage them, and that's what's taking place that evening.

(Video ends.)

Q. Okay. All right. And it does appear to you that that video was taken at one of the youth nights for New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. Yeah, absolutely. That's our church. That's the stage. Uh-huh.

Q. Okay. And do you know approximately when this video was taken?

A. I'm thinking it was 2010, 2011.

Q. Okay. And what leads you to that conclusion?

A. I can't recollect the actual date on that video, but this was when we were in our old sanctuary. This is when we had first begun having services there. And I can tell by the, just by the look of the stage of where we are in the timeline.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, thank you.

Your Honor, I would at this point, based on the witnesses' testimony, offer the file listed as Nysha Jefferies on stage 12-2-2010, into the record.

MR. BULSA: We object. There's no identification that that's Nysha Jefferies, Juror 92.

THE COURT: Oh, that requires additional proof. You're wanting to introduce the --

MR. BRUMBACK: The file as ---

THE COURT: -- video to show it was a youth night at the church?

MR. BRUMBACK: Yes. That it was a youth night and that it was taken from the church and that it was taken at the time around 2010, and that this is the sanctuary

they were in, and this is a proper reflection of that, and that those records have been maintained properly by New Life Deliverance Worship Center since that time.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Bulsa, I understand you don't object to the authenticity of the video, you just don't believe the relevance has been established yet?

MR. BULSA: Yes. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Well, subject to relevance being established, it'll be admitted, authenticity not being contested.

(Defendant's Exhibit Number 1, USB with file of Nysha Jeffries On Stage 12-2-2010 video.mov, was marked for identification.)

(Defendant's Exhibit Number 1 was entered into the record.)

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And then -- I don't know if you want to stay there. I'm gonna show you another video.

THE COURT: Now, the videos that you're referencing, are they separately on the file?

MR. BRUMBACK: They are.

THE COURT: And are they numbered or how do I identify it?

MR. BRUMBACK: And that's actually why I read off that file name, was because I was specifically referencing that file.

THE COURT: Right. And what was that file name?

MR. BRUMBACK: That file was titled Nysha Jeffries On Stage 12-2-2010.mov. And, as I said, Your Honor, I'll have another witness to establish the other relevance issues.

THE COURT: Well, at the present time the title of the video is not important.

MR. BRUMBACK: Correct.

THE COURT: It's just to establish a name for a file, but --

MR. BRUMBACK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- it doesn't establish the name on the file.

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly. Certainly, Your Honor.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And I'm gonna show you this video, and this video is titled Video of Nysha Jeffries Included 1-4-2011.mov. And can you tell me what this video shows?

A. Okay. This is another youth night that we're having. It more than likely was on a Friday evening. And we bussed some children in and some youth in from the community where we feed and we share the gospel with

them. And people are participating in that service. On this evening we had a band come in from another church that was helping with the ministry to the youth.

Q. Okay.

A. And that's what's shown on there.

Q. All right. And is that New Life Deliverance Worhship Center's worship hall?

A. It is, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And do you recall who would have been shooting the video?

A. Maybe it was one of the youth workers there, or maybe it was one of the media team there that was shooting the video. More than likely it was one of the media team that did shoot the video, because this was found in the church archives and videos.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, once this video was taken, it was maintained by the church since that point?

A. Yes, absolutely. Uh-huh.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. All right. Your Honor, at this point I would move to enter the video with Nysha Jeffries included 1-14-2011.mov, into evidence.

MR. BULSA: Same objection. Also as to the date. I don't think he's -- he's not testified to how, how these dates actually got put on these videos.

THE COURT: Mr. Brumback, let me be sure I

understand now. This witness has identified the, the location based on his knowledge of his own church.

MR. BRUMBACK: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: But, as he indicated, he didn't do this video. And I think he said he's not even sure who actually did it. He believes it was the media team because it was found in the church archives.

MR. BRUMBACK: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Does he have any other information other than he obtained these videos from the church?

MR. BRUMBACK: I can certainly elicit that, Your Honor. I'd be happy to do so.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And so, to that point, Mr. Desor, can you tell me how you came about these videos, how you -- what the process was there?

A. Absolutely. Our videos are all recorded by the media team at the church, and they're stored in archives on hard drives at the church. And so, we use these videos to do trailers and promotions and advertisements to get people to come to the church. So this video was shot by somebody on the media team, more than likely a Jerry Collins, who does all the videos of any of the services there at New Life Deliverance Worship Center.

Q. Okay. And, again, based on viewing the video

here, do you recall the time period of -- the approximate time period of this video?

A. Well, again, it's 2011. It's like a New Year's Eve event. It looks like around New Year's Eve. It looks like they have a lot more people there than at a typical service that we would have on a Friday night. So it looks like a special service. So, absolutely, 2011. Uh-huh, for the party.

Q. Okay. And is the sanctuary that is seen in that video, is that the same sanctuary you indicated in the prior video?

A. Yes, exactly. It's the same exact sanctuary. We were in a store front church at that time, and those are our pews, that's our carpet. That's the stage that I built personally for that church.

Q. Okay. And when were you in that space until?

A. We were in that space until 2013.

Q. I'm sorry, 2000?

A. Until 2013.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. All right. So, and so, Your Honor, I would offer to enter the video based on that testimony.

MR. BULSA: And that's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir?

MR. BULSA: No objection, except as to the

identification.

THE COURT: Except as to identification?

MR. BULSA: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BRUMBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Defendant's Exhibit Number 1(a), 1-14-2011 Nysha Jeffries.mov video, was marked for identification.)

(Defendant's Exhibit Number 2(a) was entered into the record.)

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Now, Bunty, I have a couple more questions for you. Oh, I apologize. Let's see if I can do one more. Actually, I think that's a screen shot. I have a couple other questions for you.

So, prior to the trial of this case, did you receive anything from trial counsel, as to Rick Vieth, concerning who the potential jurors in this case were?

A. Yes, sir, I did receive an email.

Q. Okay. And what was in that email?

A. It was just a, a PDF document that indicated the jurors that would be presented during Devin's trial.

Q. Okay. All right. And have you reviewed that document recently?

A. I did prior to the hearing, and we were asked to go over that document to see if we knew anybody off of

it. And then, I reviewed it recently again but, yes, I have.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, when -- now, just to get your testimony straight, you indicated that Mr. Vieth asked you -- provided that to you, and then asked you to go over the document prior to the trial?

A. That's correct.

Q. To see if you knew anybody in there or if there were any jurors that you potentially did not want on the jury; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, having done that, did you notice anything about the juror list, the number, the juror list numbers?

A. I did not.

Q. Okay. All right. Did you -- having reviewed that more recently, do you recall whether Juror 92's form was in that packet?

A. Her name is not in there.

Q. Okay. Was there any sheet in there indicating Juror 92?

A. No, sir.

Q. All right. And so, in attempting to review the jurors to see if you or Devin or anyone else might know the jurors, what did you do?

A. Could you ask that again?
Q. What, what did you do to try to find out whether you or Devin or anyone -- any of the potential jurors had any connection to you or Devin or any other family members?
A. Well, Devin later said, I think I might know her from somewhere.
Q. Okay. And you say "later". When are you saying later?
A. It was after the trial.
Q. Okay. After the trial. Was it after the verdict?
A. I can't recollect.
Q. Okay. Did you have any opportunity to speak to him prior to the verdict, while -- after the commencement of trial and once the verdict was handed or once the jury came back, did you have any opportunity to speak to him prior to the verdict being handed down?
A. I think I did. I'm not sure. I can't remember. It was just a blur at that time when I look back.
Q. Okay. But you don't recall at that time Devin ever saying anything about Juror 92 --
A. No.
Q. -- prior to the verdict?
A. No. Huh-uh.

Q. And once Devin did say something to the effect of, thought she might look familiar or something to that effect, what did you do?

A. Well, honestly, I just, you know, I kind of -- I kind of -- I kind of, I brushed it off to begin with. You know, I just brushed it off to begin with, but then he mentioned -- when he mentioned it again, I took it more seriously and I asked the custodian of the records at the church to begin to look through those files to see if he can identify this person.

Q. Okay. All right. And do you keep a, a role of everyone that has ever attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. We don't, because the church is so transient. We don't have an official record. We do have records of people who are actual partners of the ministry, but not of people who just come in and out. And at that particular time, 2010/2011, we were just getting started. So we do have some records of names, but for the most part, no.

Q. Okay. And with regard to the youth nights, you said you did a lot of youth outreach; is that correct?

A. That is correct.

Q. Okay. And so, do you know all of the children that would come to the youth nights? Were they all

members of the church?

A. No, they were not all members of the church.

Q. Was it open to everyone?

A. It was open to the community.

Q. Okay. All right. And you said the church is a bit transient?

A. That's correct.

Q. What do you mean by that?

A. Well, you have people that come, you know, and then you have people that go and then they come back. So you have people that might come once a month. You have people that come, you know, every other week, so...

Q. Okay. And so, since the church is a bit transient, do you recognize every person that has ever attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. That's impossible.

Q. Why?

A. No, sir, I don't.

Q. Why do you say it's impossible?

A. Well, it's just so many people come. So many people attend our church. Right now we have two services, so it's hard to, to recognize and identify people, unless they come up and shake my hand and talk to me after service. I try to know everybody, but it's, it's not possible.

Q. Okay. And as a pastor, do you lead the worship services?

A. I do. Uh-huh.

Q. Okay. Do you lead the Friday night youth group outreach?

A. I don't.

Q. Okay. All right. Who does?

A. Well, right now we're not doing that, but at the time we had youth leaders that were leading that.

Q. Okay. All right. And in that time period, can you name some other persons who were the youth ministers?

A. We had Travis Mimms. We had Andrea Mimms. That was a husband and wife team. We had Paul Maize. We had Lisa Wheeler. So we had a few youth leaders.

Q. Okay. All right.

A. Dale Sharon is another one that helped with the youth.

Q. Okay. All right. And as part of the leadership of the church, does your family help with services?

A. Yes.

Q. So, did Devin help with services?

A. Devin would be -- Devin was always responsible for the media at that time. So everything was ran off a laptop from the front of the church into the sound

system. And that was the music and also to the video.

Q. Okay. And when you say video, you mean video -- I think he's in the video that we saw earlier. He'd put the video up on screen, or what are you talking about?

A. That's correct. The, the words to the songs would be presented on the screen. And so there was a laptop up front and a table that Devin would sit there and he would run everything.

Q. Okay. And you said there was a table at the front, up front where?

A. Right by the stage.

Q. Okay. All right. Prior to trial and -- prior to trial, did you know anything about Juror 92?

A. No.

Q. Okay. During trial did you know anything about Juror 92?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you know that Juror 92 attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. I did not know.

Q. And tell me one more time the manner in which you did discover that fact.

A. Well, Devin told me after the trial, he said, I think I know ---

MR. BULSA: Objection, Your Honor, as to hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Without telling me what Devin told you, what did you do once you heard that, to look into that question?

A. I asked the custodian of the records at the church to pull the archive videos and pictures to see if we can identify that female.

Q. Okay. And so, they pulled the photos that were in the archives, and did you review those?

A. They reviewed them and I reviewed them.

Q. Okay. And who is "they"?

A. Well, he, Jerry Collins. He's over the media department.

Q. Okay. So you did review those, along with Jerry Collins?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And you ultimately discovered that there were some pictures that reflected Juror 92?

A. That is correct.

Q. And at that time, how long after trial did it take you to do that investigation? How long did it take you to do that investigation?

A. I can't remember.

Q. You can't remember? How about approximately?

A. Maybe about three months.

Q. Okay. All right. Was that a lot of files to go through?

A. A lot of files.

Q. Okay. All right. And at this time -- what time? That was 2018?

A. I think so, yes.

Q. And so, you had roughly seven or eight years with the files to go through?

A. That is right.

Q. Okay. And that's what took you a significant amount of time to do that investigation?

A. That is right, uh-huh.

Q. Okay. All right. And once you made the realization that Juror 92 did appear in some of those photos, what did you do next?

A. Well, I was -- I told Devin. I said, we found some video that she was at the church.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, at that point, did you inform counsel that that was the case?

A. I can't remember if we did or not. I think we did, but I can't remember if we did with the video or not.

Q. Okay.

A. I can't remember.

MR. BRUMBACK: All right. That's all I have for

this witness, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BULSA:

Q. You said you did not know Nysha Jeffries?

A. No, sir, I don't know her.

Q. You don't know her? Then how did you know who to look for?

A. Well, she was on the jury panel. We recognized her face.

Q. You recognized her from sitting on the jury panel?

A. Well, after, after sitting in a trial with her for four days, I knew what she looked like. And so, that was the face we were looking for when we went through archives. And also, too, we were able to pull up her Facebook and we had photographs from Facebook, so we were able to get better photographs of her of what she looked like.

Q. And you, of course, had access to your son during the trial. You sat right behind him, didn't you?

A. I did, but I didn't have access to him.

Q. You chatted with him at breaks?

A. We didn't get to talk.

Q. He called you from the jail during overnight recesses?

A. No. He was on lockdown. He didn't get to talk to me during the trial.

Q. So now you remember that you never talked to him during the trial?

A. No. We didn't get to talk during the trial because he was in lockdown. He couldn't call home.

Q. I know. But when Mr. Brumback asked you if you did, you said you couldn't remember. You thought that you did, and now you're saying you know you didn't.

A. I don't know what you're really trying to ask me. I mean, I don't ---

Q. I'm trying to ask, you just said you sat there and looked at her for four days, your son did too, and you said that he told you he recognized somebody on the jury. I'm trying to determine if that happened during the jury, sir.

A. No, sir. It did not happen during the jury. You don't have to raise your voice at me, please. Thank you. No, sir, he did not tell me that during the trial. He told me that after the trial.

Q. And you immediately began searching archives?

A. No. I didn't immediately begin searching archives. When he told me that, I took it with a grain of salt. And when he insisted that he more than likely knew her, that's when I asked the custodian of the

church to look through the archives.

Q. Did your son tell you that he was -- that this juror felt jilted by him in some way?

A. I can't remember that.

Q. To what extent did he tell you he knew her or recognized her?

A. He just said, I think I know her, dad.

Q. Did he say, I think I know her from church?

A. He didn't say that. He said, I think I know her.

Q. Okay. So you just took it based on that to search the church archives?

A. Well, we have a lot of people that go to our church. I think it would be a good place to start.

Q. Do you have any evidence that the person in that video is Nysha Jeffries?

A. That's Nysha Jeffries. If you look at any of the other photos of Nysha Jeffries, that's Nysha Jeffries.

Q. You started this church?

A. I did.

Q. In 2010? What did you do prior to that?

A. I was doing scrap metal.

Q. You've got a criminal history, don't you?

A. All my charges have been pardoned.

Q. So how many years of video did y'all search?

A. At least eight years of video.

Q. Eight years of video and you found two, the two that were introduced today?

A. Well, I didn't think we needed any more.

Q. Are you telling us you found more?

A. I'm not saying that. I'm just saying I, I didn't think we needed any more than two. Do we need more than two?

Q. Well, that's for you and your attorney to decide. So you found two videos that were shown in court today, right?

A. Well, that's what we just seen, yes, sir.

Q. We just saw the two, okay. Was your son in either one of those videos?

A. I believe so, uh-huh.

Q. He was? Does the video show any interaction with the supposed Ms. Jeffries and your son?

A. No, sir, I don't think so.

Q. In fact, those date to 2010, late 2010 and early 2011, by your recollection; is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And the trial in this case was 2018, September of 2018; is that right?

A. Yeah.

Q. That's almost eight years later? Any idea how old that young lady in the picture might have been?

A. 18, 19, I guess. Oh, in the picture?
Q. In the video.
A. 11, 12 years old probably.
Q. And you didn't keep any roll or record of people who came to visit?
A. Not at that time.
Q. Did this person, who you believe to be Nysha Jeffries, did she attend any other services at your church?
A. There's a possibility.
Q. And why do you say that?
A. Well, we do a lot of services. We do a lot of activities. We do a lot of outreaches.
Q. Yet you didn't find her in any other video?
A. Well, we didn't take videos of every single thing that went on every single time and every single angle, but we did a lot of activities in the community. And when we do activities in the community, the community comes out.
Q. What kind of records do you keep of people that might attend any type of service?
A. Now or then?
Q. Then. I'm trying to determine if there's any paper record that Nysha Jeffries, Juror 92, attended the New Life Deliverance Church.

A. Well, you have a video of her, sir, that she was there.

Q. Well, there's nothing on that video that says, hey, this is Nysha Jeffries, okay? Is there?

A. Well, there's a lot of people that can corroborate that that's Nysha Jeffries that was there.

Q. It's not on the video that that's Nysha Jeffries, is it?

A. Do you sign your name when you go into church?

Q. Sir.

A. It's just a question.

Q. I'm not, I'm not on trial here, okay?

A. It's just a question.

Q. You're the witness. You're supposed to be a pastor, okay? Answer the question truthfully.

A. I answered it. We don't have people signing rolls when they come to church. I don't know of any church that does that.

Q. So there's no record, no written record that Nysha Jeffries ever attended New Deliverance Life Church; is that correct?

A. Sir, I don't think that there's any church that has rolls of people that sign when they come into a church service. We have a video record of her there. We have photographs of her there.

Q. Is there anything on that video record that says this is Nysha Jeffries?
A. The people in the video can corroborate that that is Nysha Jeffries.
Q. So that would be a no; is that right?
A. Are you answering my question?
Q. There's nothing in that video that says this is Nysha Jeffries, is there?
A. Nobody had nametags on saying that's who they were, sir.
Q. Okay.
A. But it's obvious that's Nysha Jeffries. And you know that it's Nysha Jeffries too, so I don't know why you're ---
Q. I know that?
A. You do know that.
Q. How do I know that?
A. You know that. There's no disputing that that's Nysha Jeffries.
Q. Well, that's what we're here to determine. Number one, if it's Nysha Jeffries. And number two, do you have any information that Nysha Jeffries intentionally withheld any information to this court during the trial of that case?
A. I don't know.

Q. You have no information?
A. I didn't say that. I just said I don't know.
Q. I don't know means you know you don't have anything.
That's all I have, Your Honor.
MR. BRUMBACK: Just briefly, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Do you recall, do you recall the voir dire questions at the beginning of trial, yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you recall a question being asked about whether anyone attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did anyone respond to that question?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did anyone raise their hand or make any sort of indication that they attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center?
A. No, sir.
MR. BULSA: Your Honor, there's no dispute that no one responded to that question. There's no reason to go into that.
THE COURT: The transcript, the transcript, which

is an exhibit, speaks for itself.

MR. BULSA: Yes, sir.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And do you have any personal knowledge of how long Nysha Jeffries attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. I do not. At least three to six months.

Q. Okay. All right. And with regard to the youth that attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center, who might be more knowledgeable about the individuals that attended?

A. The youth ministers.

Q. Okay. And, I believe, earlier you said that Travis Mimms was one of those youth ministers?

A. That is correct.

Q. How long was he with New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. At least five years.

Q. And during what time period?

A. During the inception of the church, 2010 until, I guess, 2015.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. All right. That's all I have for this witness, Your Honor.

MR. BULSA: Just briefly.

Recross-examination

BY MR. BULSA:

Q. Mr. Desor, the three to six months that you believe she may have attended, what months were those? When would that have begun?

A. November of 2010 until March 2011. At least during that timeframe.

MR. BULSA: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. You may step down.

(Witness leaves witness stand.)

I'm going to need to take five minutes. And then you've got one other -- one additional witness?

MR. BRUMBACK: I was gonna call Travis Mimms, Your Honor. Based on how the hearing is going, I may have chosen to call my client.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. But who's next, Mr. Mimms?

MR. BRUMBACK: Mr. Mimms, yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. We're gonna take five minutes, then we'll continue.

(A recess was had from 1:25 p.m. - 1:35 p.m.)

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, I would call Travis Mimms.

(Witness comes forward.)

THE CLERK: Place your left hand on the bible and raise your right. (Complies.)

Do you solemnly swear the testimony you're about

to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: You may remove your mask while you testify, please.

TRAVIS MIMMS,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Would you, please, state your name for the record?

A. Travis Mimms.

Q. Okay. And will you, please, state what relationship you have to this case.

A. I was the youth pastor at the church during the time that we're in question.

Q. Okay. And which church is that?

A. New Life Deliverance Worship Center.

Q. Okay. All right. Excellent. And what time period were you a youth pastor?

A. From about 2012 to 2015.

Q. Okay. All right. And during that period, what were your responsibilities?

A. Organizing youth events, was my primary. Teaching studies. Going through different activities

outside the church. Sort of coordinating some, I guess, cultivating relationships amongst the youth.

Q. All right. Tell me about organizing the youth events. What, what were the youth events?

A. We would have a, like a Friday night, sort of a -- we called it youth night, where we would go round up some kids that, you know, wanted to come out and try to feed them. Let them have a fun time and, and teach them, and then transport them back home.

Q. Okay. All right. And during your time at New Life Deliverance Worship Center, how often did these youth events occur?

A. They were every week.

Q. When were they?

A. Fridays around 7 p.m.

Q. All right. And how -- what was attendance like at those events?

A. It varied, but we would have sometimes a group, 10, sometimes up to about 50.

Q. Okay. All right. And do you know the defendant in this case?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. And how do you know him?

A. Well, it's the pastor's son, and also helped with setting up events, running sound, sort of in a

leadership role. He would help out a lot.

Q. Okay. All right. And as the youth pastor, did you get to know a lot of the youth that attended the youth events?

A. Yes.

Q. And would you recognize those individuals?

A. Yes.

Q. And during the youth events, was it typical for photographs or videos to be taken of the events?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. If I showed you a video from the event, could you determine whether that was taken at one of these youth events?

A. Yes.

Q. I'd like to show you a video that was previously entered into evidence and have you tell me whether you know what this is.

THE COURT: Is that gonna be the first video that was previously shown to ---

MR. BRUMBACK: It can be, and I will do that.

THE COURT: Well, I'm just wanting to be sure.

MR. BRUMBACK: It will be. I just clicked on the other video, so that's just fine. All right. And so, I'm gonna show you this video, and I'll try and blow it up because you can barely see it.

(Plays video.)

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Okay. And having viewed that video, what does that appear to be?

A. That's one of the youth night -- it was one of the youth night programs that we had. Definitely with the structure of it and, you know, how, I guess, youth centered it is.

Q. Okay. All right. And do you recognize any people in that video?

A. I do. Quite a bit.

Q. Okay. Quite a bit? Quite a number of the people?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And do you recognize or do you see Nysha Jeffries in that video?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. How would you know Nysha Jeffries?

A. Just being one of the youth that were around. You know, I try to speak to everyone, so definitely had a conversation or two with the group. So I would know her, based on her appearance, the way she wore her hair.

THE COURT: Mr. Brumback, let me ask you to come over here. You're turning away and the court reporter has to hear you.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

MR. BRUMBACK: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I can certainly stand over here. And Im sorry, can ---

THE WITNESS: Just based on, you know, the hairstyle, her age, relative age, I would recognize her.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Okay. And do you recognize her in this video?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And for purposes of the Court, can you manipulate this and show me where you see her?

A. (Indicating.)

Q. If you saw her there, you can just tell me which person.

A. Right. It looks -- that's her there.

Q. I'm sorry, which person are you indicating?

A. Right here in the front.

Q. The one in the middle?

A. Yes, in the middle.

Q. Okay. And you are 41 seconds in this video. Can you describe what the individual is wearing?

A. It looks to be a blue, light blue and dark blue coat and blue jeans.

Q. Okay. And do you know that person to be Nysha Jeffries?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And I understand that picture's a little blurry, but looking at the video as a whole, you can determine that that is her?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you know that beyond any doubt?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. And you said, I believe you said, a group earlier, you talked to a group. What group are you talking about?

A. Well, the different volunteers that would bring -- they would, I guess, go to different neighborhoods and, you know, just ask them if they wanted to go to the youth night, so that particular group would come from the same neighborhood. And I would try to engage them when I, when I did see them.

Q. Okay. So you're saying a specific group of children --

A. Yes.

Q. -- would show up together?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And did you know -- did Nysha show up with a particular group?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And did you know the other individuals in the group?

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Does -- do any of the other people look like some of the people from that group that you know to be ---
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, based on your recollection, how regularly did Nysha Jeffries attend youth services?
A. It was every, every week.
Q. Every week?
A. Yeah.
Q. So she was a regular attender?
A. Right. Kind of tapered off towards the end of, I guess, my service there. I didn't see her as much.
Q. Okay. And that was at what time?
A. I would say 2014, '15, somewhere in there.
Q. Okay. And she was still attending youth services, though infrequently?
A. Just infrequently.
Q. Okay. In 2014/2015?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And then you would say, what period was she regularly attending?
A. Definitely, you know, 2011, 2012. Sort of the beginning, I remember definitely having a larger group

when I first started there. So she was in that group, definitely.

Q. Okay. All right. And I am going to show you another video, which is a little bit shorter. And please tell me if you recognize the video.

(Plays video.)

And can you tell me if you recognize this video? And for the record, the video is titled Video With Nysha Jeffries Included 1-14-2022.mov. Can you tell me if you recognize the video?

A. Yes. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. And what is the video?

A. It looks to be either a Wednesday night or just some sort of worship service that we, that we have.

Q. Okay. All right. And who had?

A. The church.

Q. Okay. The New Life Deliverance?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. Do you recognize the sanctuary?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And during what time period was the church in this sanctuary?

A. Well, the early part of my tenure there as a youth pastor, they were in that sanctuary.

Q. Okay. All right. And do you recognize anyone in this video?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And who do you recognize in the video?
A. Nysha. I recognize a few other people as well.
Q. Okay. All right. Can you, please, point out Nysha Jeffries for the Court?
A. Okay. Go back here. That's a good -- well, right here with the striped shirt on.
Q. Okay. Female, second in, in the pew with a striped shirt on, is that who --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you were indicating?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. And that is at 11 seconds into the video. And do you recognize the other folks that she's with, Nysha Jeffries is with?
A. Yes, the young lady at the end.
Q. Okay. Is that someone who Nysha Jeffries would regularly show up with to New Life Deliverance to New Life Deliverance Worship Center?
A. Right. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. All right. Do you have any personal knowledge as to why Nysha Jeffries began attending New Life Deliverance Worship Center less frequently?

A. No, I don't. I don't have any personal knowledge.

MR. BRUMBACK: That's all I have for this witness.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BULSA:

Q. Mr. Mimms, what do you do now?

A. I am a security -- home security technician.

Q. How long have you been doing that?

A. Two-and-a-half years.

Q. And where do you live?

A. Anderson County.

Q. Why did you leave this church?

A. Just pursuing school at the time. I had not graduated and had a young child, so I had a lot of responsibility at the church. And so took a -- changed course there.

Q. So, were you in school when you were working with the church?

A. I started school working with the church, yes, sir.

Q. Where were you in school?

A. At Greenville Tech.

Q. When did you start Greenville Tech?

A. Right around 2015.

Q. 2015?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So when you were with New Life Deliverance, was that your only job?
A. No.
Q. What else were you doing?
A. I was working as an assistant at a special needs school.
Q. So, did you have a degree to work at that school?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any theological degree?
A. Theological?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. No.
Q. How did you become to be a youth pastor there?
A. I was just a young person that started teaching at a church prior to New Life. And through bible study, just maybe speaking up through class. The pastor would, I guess, take notice of, you know, my questions, my answers, and started giving me some responsibility trying to, I supposed to say, disciple.
Q. How much contact did you have with Devin Ruttle while you were up there?
A. It was infrequent. I talked to him, you know, every week. You know, see him in person or talk to him.

Q. When did you learn he was charged with murder?
A. When it was on the news.
Q. The news?
A. Yes.
Q. When did someone contact you about any of these videos?
A. It's hard to say. Just Corona Virus. Somewhere in that time period of the last year. I can't remember exact dates.
Q. And can you tell us how you were approached?
A. I believe I was called.
Q. By whom?
A. By the attorney.
Q. By the attorney?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how he got your name?
A. I would assume from Pastor Bunty.
Q. So did the pastor and you have any conversation prior to you talking to the attorney?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So the attorney just called you to ask you about being a youth minister?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he prepare an affidavit for you to sign?
A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And in the affidavit you told him you were the youth pastor there from 2012 to 2014?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Which is fairly consistent with what you testified to. Now you think it might have been 2015 before you left?

A. It was.

Q. Okay. And where were you in 2010 and 2011?

A. At the previous church that I was going to. I served as sort of a youth leader.

Q. What church would that have been?

A. Foster Grove Baptist Church.

Q. And what led you to New Deliverance?

A. My mother-in-law actually started going there and I started hearing some great things. And it was a congregation that sort of reflected my family demographic better.

Q. Okay. Well, I won't go into that, okay? And when you switched over there, when did you become the youth pastor?

A. The current or the previous youth minister was going into prison ministry and basically suggested that I maybe talk to Pastor Bunty about moving into the youth minister roll?

Q. So when you actually started at the -- when you

started attending New Deliverance, it was as your roll as youth minister?

A. Not, not immediately. It maybe was less than a year, I would say. Less than a year, maybe.

Q. Okay. Sure. So that would have put you at that church when?

A. I would say 2011.

Q. Okay. Were you in any of those videos?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Is that unusual?

A. No. There's another partition behind where little kids would go sometimes, so I could have been there.

Q. How is it that you're able to recognize someone as Nysha Jeffries?

A. I recognize a pretty good bit of the youth that I spoke with back then.

Q. Who was her friend that she was with?

A. I can't recall her name.

Q. Okay. You, of course, didn't attend the trial, did you?

A. No.

Q. So you don't know what the juror known as Nysha Jeffries looked like, do you?

A. At the current time I don't.

Q. Okay. And do you know when those videos were made?
A. No.
Q. Were you given any timeframe about when they might have been made?
A. Just during the time that I would have been attending in some capacity.
Q. Okay. All right. So, do you know how long before now that those might have been taken?
A. Before now?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. No.
Q. Okay. Just, how can you recognize somebody from that long ago?
A. I don't know. I recognize a couple of the youth from the video. A young man with the backwards hat on, I know who he is. I could point him out today if I saw him.
Q. Do you remember his name?
A. I remember his nickname.
Q. Okay. What about Nysha Jeffries led you to remember her name?
A. I don't know. Maybe it's just one of those names that you kind of remember. Nysha is something that you can say easily, I guess.

Q. Oh. So you even knew her last name?
A. No.
Q. You did not know her last name?
A. No.
Q. You only knew her as Nysha?
A. Yeah.
Q. So where did you get the last name Jeffries?
A. Just speaking with Pastor Bonty or the lawyer here.
Q. Okay. So your testimony really is, is that the person in the video, the first video at 41 seconds that you pointed out, that in my opinion is hard to see, but what you pointed out is someone you remember as having the name Nysha?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the second video at 11 seconds, is someone you know as Nysha?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you don't know the dates of those videos because it's not stamped, is it?
A. Umm...
Q. There's no date stamps on those videos?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. Did you ever keep records of people attending the youth services?

A. No.
Q. Never did?
A. I did not personally.
Q. Nobody ever wrote down names of the kids that they maybe want to follow up with them and their families?
A. I did not personally. I just kind of relied on where they lived. Their parents maybe.
Q. Well, did you ever go out beyond the church walls to try to see these people in their own communities?
A. Yes.
Q. You did?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. So how would you know where to go?
A. Wherever the volunteer bus driver would go, we knew different neighborhoods, different communities.
Q. Did you ever go to Nysha's house?
A. No.
Q. You didn't?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever go to her neighborhood?
A. Yes.
Q. You did?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever see her away from the church?

A. I can't recall.
Q. You testified that Nysha attended just about every week while you were the youth minister?
A. Prior to and during the early parts of my -- my tenure.
Q. And your testimony was that she not only attended the youth services, she also attended the worship services?
A. Yes.
Q. And who was the preacher?
A. It would either be Pastor Bunty. We had another associate pastor. And then towards the end, I would do some of the, the messages.
Q. Are you telling us y'all didn't have any record of regular attenders?
A. I didn't keep the record.
Q. Do you know anybody at the church that kept records of the regular attendees?
A. I don't know who that person would have been.
Q. So you don't know?
A. No.
Q. Was there -- did anybody ever take written prayer requests?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And would people sign their name to those

prayer requests?

A. Maybe. Maybe they did.

Q. So there might have been some records like that, maybe?

A. It's possible.

Q. But you haven't seen any with Nysha's name on it, though?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever see Nysha interact with Devin Ruttle?

A. I can't say that I have.

Q. Do you even know how old that girl is that you saw on the video?

A. How old she is?

Q. How old she was in the video?

A. No. I don't know exactly how old she was.

Q. Okay. Do you even know what her age is in relation to Devin Ruttle?

A. Similar. A few years, maybe, apart, but in the same peer group.

Q. What makes you say that?

A. I don't know. Just the overall feel of the youth that were there. We had some five-year-olds running around and some that were 14, 15 years old.

Q. You said same peer group. I think you used that

term, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. If we were gonna do an activity where I needed more supervision, we would take older teenagers and leave the younger ones behind.
Q. What do you consider older kids?
A. 13, 14, 15, those that follow directions better.
Q. Okay. In all of your time there, you don't remember seeing this Nysha with Devin Ruttle?
A. I can't recall a specific time where they talked.
MR. BULSA: That's all I have.
MR. BRUMBACK: Just briefly.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:
Q. So, in your interaction with the girl that you knew as Nysha, what field of age group did you get from her?
A. She was, I would say, a young teenager.
Q. Okay. And how old was Devin at this point?
A. The same, a young teenager.
Q. Okay. And with regard to Devin's involvement with youth nights or services, was he in with the congregation? Where was Devin's ---
A. Devin was more of a help, you know, as far as you

know, dealing with the church lighting, anything with a thermostat. I mean, just all over the place with, with some of the deacons or with the pastor's direction. Handling some responsibilities.

Q. Was he of assistance to you and you guys?

A. Yes.

Q. What sort of things would he specifically assist you with?

A. Videos, audio, things like that.

Q. So he had other responsibilities than simply joining in with the group?

A. Yes.

Q. So, would you say he was separate and apart from the group?

A. Most times.

Q. Most of the time, okay. I'm gonna show you a picture that comes from Facebook, and can you tell me if you recognize the individual in the picture?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me who that person is?

A. That's Nysha.

Q. The girl you know as Nysha?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. And can you see the account this says this comes from?

A. Jeanie Jeffries.
Q. It's right there. If you need me to blow it up, I can.
A. Yeah. It says Jeanie Independent Jeffries.
Q. Okay. And how is the picture captioned?
A. Another picture of my oldest daughter.
Q. Okay. And that is the person you know to be Nysha?
A. Yes.
MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. All right. Your Honor, I would like to offer this picture in the record. It is a picture from Facebook that the witness has identified as the individual he knew as Nysha.
MR. BULSA: You've got to somehow print it, I guess.
MR. BRUMBACK: No. I have it on the thumb drive for the Court.
MR. BULSA: Is it on the one you've given me?
MR. BRUMBACK: It's on the one you have, yes.
MR. BULSA: All right. Hold on. Which one would it be, sir?
MR. BRUMBACK: It would be Jeanie Jeffries photo of oldest daughter. I believe it's the one where she's wearing a tank top.
MR. BULSA: I see it. So it needs to be

identified on the record in that way?

MR. BRUMBACK: Yes, Your Honor, I would offer in the file titled E. Ruttle, as in exhibit, E Ruttle Jeanie Jeffries Photo of Oldest Daughter Nysha From 2011.

MR. BULSA: I'm not seeing it on my computer.

MR. BRUMBACK: You don't see the file?

MR. BULSA: I don't see the caption that you're referencing.

MR. BRUMBACK: The photo is under the file name.

MR. BULSA: I want to know where's the -- where is it in the Facebook post that identifies this as Nysha Jeffries?

MR. BRUMBACK: As you can see, it's from Jeanie Jeffries, and it says another picture of my oldest daughter.

MR. BULSA: Yeah. And that doesn't say her name is Nysha.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. And that we have a picture to follow that up as well. And we have another picture here. Can you identify the account that that comes from?

A. Jeanie Jeffries.

Q. Okay. Do you recognize the person in the photo?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me who the person is?
A. That's Nysha Jeffries.
Q. Okay. And can you tell me what the caption on the photo is?
A. It says: Happy Mother's Day to my beautiful daughter, Nysha Jeffries. She is my rock and bottom to the day she -- I can't read the rest of it.
Q. That's okay.
A. Okay.

MR. BRUMBACK: So, Your Honor, these two photos together, it was my intent to offer those in together, establishing the identity of the individual reflected in the photos that Mr. Timms [sic] knew as Nysha, and it is the same person he recognizes from the videos and knows to be Nysha and it obviously shows that Nysha Jeffries, who is the daughter of Jeanie Jeffries.

MR. BULSA: I would object, Your Honor. There's nothing in here that says this is the same person.

THE COURT: As the juror?

MR. BULSA: Yes, sir. Or that those pictures are of Nysha. All we have is Mr. Mimms saying it's the same person in those pictures that are nine years apart.

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, my point is that Mr. Mimms has identified the person as the person he recognizes from the events where he attended between --

up until 2014, and this person is -- he recognizes that as the same person that he knew as a youth, and that these photos come from the Facebook accounts of Nysha Jeffries mother and specifically identifies her as Nysha Jeffries.

THE COURT: Well, I can understand if he can identify someone as being an Nysha Jeffries, but are you objecting to the authenticity of the Facebook account or are you objecting to the identification of the photograph as the person named Nysha Jeffries?

MR. BULSA: The second, Your Honor, as she's nine years older, five years removed from when he last saw her. I don't know that he can identify someone who's changed.

THE COURT: Well, that's just the determination of the credibility by the fact finder. That's not a -- I mean, are you objecting that it's so incredulous that it should not be permitted to be admitted?

MR. BULSA: No, I'm not saying that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BULSA: The first picture just says oldest daughter. The second picture says Nysha Jeffries. I don't think the link is there to say that's the same person. And I don't -- the witness can't testify to someone he's not seen in five years to know her as a

youth.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't seen the photographs. So, I understand you're not objecting to the use of the Facebook account, you just object to his -- it's not credible that he would be able to identify a person from a photograph that's nine years older than when he last saw her? Is that what my understanding is?

MR. BULSA: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm gonna overrule the objection on that ground and I'll make that determination on my own, since I'm the fact finder in this case.

MR. BRUMBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But now, I do want to have this understanding clear. As I understand, this witness has testified he never knew what her last name was.

MR. BRUMBACK: That is correct, Your Honor. I am simply establishing that the person he knew as Nysha and has identified in the photos. He is identifying that same individual in these photos, which establish her last name as Nysha Jeffries. Her last name as Jeffries.

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Travis, just, just to go to that point of how can he possibly recognize somebody, how much time did you spend around the youth groups?

A. At one point more time than my own family.
Q. How many hours a week were you spending with youth at the church?
A. After work a few hours every night. And part of the reason why I did move on to something else, the structure of my life wasn't conducive to being there at the time.
Q. All right. And you said you recognize a number of people in the photos?
A. Yes.
Q. In the videos, I'm sorry.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen those people in several years?
A. Maybe last year I saw the young man with the hat, the white hat, at a gas station.
Q. Okay. And how long before that had you seen him?
A. Maybe four years.
Q. And was it, in fact, the individual you thought it was --
A. Yes.
Q. -- when you saw him --
A. Yes.
Q. -- at the gas station?
A. Yes.
MR. BRUMBACK: I think that's all I have, Judge.

Your Honor, thank you.

MR. BULSA: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Okay. You may step down. Thank you for coming.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Witness leaves witness stand and courtroom.)

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, I would briefly call Devin Ruttle.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Defendant comes forward.)

THE CLERK: Place your left hand on the bible and raise your right. (Complies.)

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE CLERK: Have a seat.

DEVIN ZACHARY ELIJAH RUTTLE,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:

Q. Please state your name for the record.

A. Devin Ruttle.

Q. Okay. Mr. Ruttle, can you tell me about your involvement with New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. Yes. My dad is the pastor and I, I pretty much lived at the church, you could say. I was there all the time. At one point I was doing home schooling and I was, like I say, pretty much living at the church.

Q. And did you have duties associated with your involvement with the church?

A. Yes. Yes, I did.

Q. What were those duties?

A. Mostly I ran the sound system, the music. You know, like I said, videos. Running the wires for all the speakers and the microphones. Just all that kind of stuff. Just the, just the gopher work, the run around work. That kind of thing.

Q. Did you do set up and takedown for events?

A. Sometimes, but, yeah, not all the time.

Q. And where were you located during services?

A. I was located to the left of the stage, behind just a table, kind of like the one we're sitting at now. It had a laptop and it had wires running to the different, different machines that would, you know, run the sound system through the speaker and to the microphones, and just the unit that would control all the sound from church.

And I sat, like I say, to the left over there. There wasn't any walls or curtains or anything like

that, that hid me back there. I was just kind of over there to the side.

Q. Okay. And during services, did you have duties ongoing during services?

A. Yeah. As the songs would change, as they did the worship or as they did different events, as the songs would change, I would have to keep track of that, the transitions between the songs, and I would have to control the volume of different people's microphones that were singing. Even my dad, as he was preaching, I had to control his microphone as well.

Q. Okay. All right. Now, did you attend the church -- during what period did you attend the church, did you attend New Life Deliverance Worship Center?

A. I went until I came to jail.

Q. Okay. And what years was that?

A. From the opening day all the way until about 2017.

Q. Okay. And do you know what year the church opened?

A. I have no clue. I really couldn't tell you. No, I don't know.

Q. Okay. You can't recall specifically?

A. No. But it's been most of my life, so...

Q. Okay. All right. And where did you attend high

school?

A. I attended high school at Spartanburg High.

Q. What year did you graduate?

A. 2015.

Q. Okay. And did you through church interact with Nysha Jeffries?

A. Not that I recall.

Q. Through school, did you have any interaction with Nysha Jeffries?

A. Not that I recall.

Q. Do you know if she was in your year at school?

A. I have no idea.

Q. Okay. And during youth group, were you generally interacting with others or were you tending to your duties?

A. Sometimes, yes. Like before or after services I would mix and mingle a little, but for the most part I was back there in my station. And if I was, you know, like before services I was doing the prep work. And like after the services I would have to get back to, you know, shutting everything down, make sure everything was put away and that kind of thing. So, I mean, yeah, I would mix and mingle with people, but it wasn't as much as everyone else mixed and mingled, you know?

Q. Did you ever mix and mingle with Nysha Jeffries?

A. Not that I remember.
Q. Okay.
A. Specifically no.
Q. Okay. And so, prior to your trial, did you try to investigate the jury pool that were potential jurors for your trial?
A. Yes. I received a list from Rick that was missing not only Nysha Jeffries, but several other jurors from the list that I thought was odd, but I just figured that that was the way things worked. That maybe these people weren't qualified to be jurors or whatever the case may be. And my family also looked at these people's Facebooks, just that kind of thing. Googled people's names, those kind of things, to try to figure out who were the best candidates to be on my jury.
Q. So, would you say you tried to do due diligence in reviewing the names of the jurors?
A. Most definitely.
Q. All right. And with regard to the list of jurors that you were given, the document that you're talking about, was that the juror information sheets that you received?
A. I believe that is what they say on the top of the papers. It's about a -- it's two people to a sheet. Two or three people to a sheet just, you know, about

four inches high, each column. Just different information about them, their jobs, their marital status, children, email, phone numbers, addresses, names, spouse's names, all that.

Q. And is that the only document you received with a list of the jurors names?

A. Being that I was at the jail at that time, I would have had no access to any kind of digital copies or anything like that. All I had was the paper copy. And I had no way to personally do any research. But, like I said, my family also had the same lit because we were able to go over, just some of it briefly before I was placed in lockdown and lost privileges.

Q. Okay. But my question is, other than that paper copy that you received with the two jurors per sheet and four inches high, which you just described, the juror information sheet, did you receive any other list or document indicating the names of potential jurors prior to the day of trial?

A. No.

Q. And would you describe to me your prior experience before this trial, your prior experience with the judicial system and what did you do about it?

A. I received a ticket for not having insurance. When I showed up with proof of insurance and they

dropped the ticket. Other than that, I had a simple possession charge, and I didn't even -- I just went to the little blog thing and paid the ticket on that. So as far as court on this level of court, never.

Q. Okay. So it was new to you, a new experience?

A. Oh, yeah.

Q. Were you familiar of the various procedures and whatnot that transpired from the trial?

A. Only from what I read or heard from other people. But as far as -- you know, experience is a whole other teacher, so I wasn't familiar with it all compared to what I read or heard about it.

Q. Okay. And how would you describe the process for you? Would you say it was stressful?

A. Very stressful.

Q. All right. And so, as the voir dire was ongoing, did you recognize any jurors?

A. No, sir, I did not.

Q. All right. When no one stood up in response to the question concerning attendance at New Life Deliverance Worship Center, did you take that to mean that no one had attended New Life Deliverance?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you have any reason to believe anything to the contrary at that time?

A. No. Because there were people who were responding to the other questions. Even when it seemed very minor, the response to the questions were minor. They still responded so, no, I didn't think that anyone had ever attended the church.

Q. Okay. All right. And when was the first time you had any thought that there may have been someone you recognize?

A. As they polled the jury after the verdict was read.

Q. Okay. And what did you -- what did you say? What happened?

A. As they polled the jury, each individual stood up and they had to say that they found me guilty. And at that point, I was looking at these people's faces, trying to get, you know, some eye contact or something because these people just found me guilty for murder. So I'm just analyzing their demeanor, their faces. And none of them would really look at me, but I thought that this woman here was familiar. She looked familiar.

Q. Okay. And did you know who that person was at that time?

A. I did not know her name, no, sir.

Q. Did you have any idea why she -- why she looked familiar?

A. It was just a suspicion.

Q. Okay. And was there anything in particular at that point, you know, that clued you in or?

A. Just her face. Her face seemed real familiar to me. It's kind of like if, you know, just seeing somebody and you're just like I know him from somewhere, I don't know where. You know, it was that kind of thing where I had just seen her as they polled the jury, and just thought that she looked familiar.

Q. Okay. Did you know where you might have thought she was familiar or not?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Did -- what did you do at that point when you thought she had kind of looked familiar?

A. I thought about it, because I was still isolated. And then after they found me guilty and got sentenced, they put me on suicide watch, so I was still isolated, but I thought about it. I pulled out a lot of the paperwork, was going through it trying to just look for familiar names, just that kind of thing.

I couldn't ever find anything, as I sat in RE for months. But as soon as I could, I said something to my family and said that I'd like to get a list of who was on my jury and look into whether or not this lady, you know, if she knew me.

Q. Okay. And when you first said that to your father, did you indicate any reasons why, you know, why you thought she might know you?

A. I just, I just told my dad that she looked real familiar. There was a woman on the jury that looked real familiar. And, you know, he was like which one? And I said it was the younger black woman and nobody listened to me at first.

Q. Okay.

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. And so you told him it was the younger black woman. Was -- how many black women, do you recall?

A. Maybe three.

Q. Okay. What was the age range between those three, from what you can tell?

A. Other women were older.

Q. Okay.

A. That was the biggest difference.

Q. All right. So -- and so you directed to your father that it was the younger black woman who you thought you might have recognized?

A. Later on, yeah, because that's when the differences came into play. They tried to do research

on my suspicions and they thought it was a different woman.

So, as I got the jury list, it said no, it was the younger woman. Her name is, on this paper were, Nysha Jeffries, so...

Q. Okay. And so, your family began looking into it and you were told, eventually, that they found someone by the same name as the juror, correct?

A. Right.

Q. Okay. And at that point, did you try to raise that issue?

A. Every way possible. And, like I said, no one seemed like they would listen.

Q. All right. And at that point, did you have -- had the appeal filed at that point?

A. No. I was pending the sentence modification, the motion for the sentence reduction.

Q. Okay. And so, you did not have appellate counsel at that point, did you?

A. No, sir, I did not. I spent a lot of time in the law library trying to understand the law myself on it. And, you know, I, I didn't -- I couldn't really, you know? Didn't have the knowledge. The only thing I knew to do was write letters to the clerk of court, write letters to -- just write letters, is all I knew to do,

you know?

Q. Now, did you originally obtain appellate counsel or did you have a plan to get counsel?

A. I got appointed counsel from indigent defense.

Q. Okay. All right. And is that point at which a transcript was requested in your case?

A. Yes.

Q. So, prior to having appellate counsel appointed by the commission on indigent defense, you did not have access to a copy of the transcript; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And so, once you had access to the copy of the transcript and could review the language of voir dire, did your father reach out to appellate counsel?

A. I'm not sure.

Q. Okay. How, how did I get involved in this case?

A. My dad hired you.

Q. Okay. All right. And is it at that point that you had the transcript in your possession and that you had appellate counsel that this issue was raised?

A. Yes, sir. My previous counsel said that she hadn't had time due to her case load to really even to review my transcript because I wrote her about some errors that were in it, just different things. I was writing her trying to find out what was going on with my

appeal. And she had told me she hadn't had time to review it, so my case hadn't been reviewed until we retained you as an attorney.

Q. And, if you recall, how quickly after being retained was this motion brought?

A. Seems like the signing of the line there, that this was all -- everything went into play.

MR. BRUMBACK: Okay. All right. That's all I have for Mr. Ruttle.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BULSA:

Q. Mr. Ruttle, you didn't know that girl at all, did you?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you know Nysha at all from the church?

A. I didn't personally know her, no, sir.

Q. You didn't know her from school?

A. No, sir, I did not.

Q. Okay. And you told the judge just now that you had no interaction with her, the person in those pictures, at church or school, right?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And how long was your trial?

A. Maybe a week.

Q. And did you sit there and watch the jury all

week?

A. Yes, sir, I did.

Q. You watched them go out and come in, right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. You saw their faces all that time?

A. I can't say that I always seen everybody's individual faces the whole time. It was about 14 people, 15 people. And this particular individual here, as I did watch the jury and testify and everything, she was always chewing on her nails. Always chewing on her nails.

Q. And you're telling us it wasn't until they were polled that a light bulb went off that she looked familiar?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And how old are you?

A. When?

Q. Now.

A. How old am I now?

Q. Yes, sir.

A. 23, going on 24 next month.

Q. So, how old would you have been in 2011?

A. Hold on, I'll have to do the math. Fourteen.

Q. Did you have a girlfriend back then?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Did you tell your lawyer or anybody that this person, Nysha, was upset with you?
A. No, sir.
Q. You heard Mr. Vieth testify to that, though, didn't you?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And do you know where he might have got that from?
A. Maybe that was his own assumption of the thing that was going on.
Q. You say you never would have told your lawyer that you had that kind of a connection with this girl?
A. No, sir, I never said that.
Q. And that she might have had something against you, which is why she might have lied in court?
A. No, sir, I never said anything like that.
Q. Okay. So you have no information that she intentionally withheld any information, if in fact she did go to your church, do you?
A. The question was very clear and she didn't respond. And according to the law, that is presumed to be prejudicial.
Q. Not necessarily, sir. You don't presume knowledge just because something's asked. But you have no knowledge that she lied, do you?

A. Yes, sir, I do.
Q. How?
A. My transcript.
Q. Okay. Did you or anybody in your family try to contact her?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
MR. BULSA: All right. Okay. Nothing further.
MR. BRUMBACK: I just have one or two.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUMBACK:
Q. Did the fact that no one stood up and -- or responded, let's say, to the question concerning recognizing you, does that dissuade you from any concern that there might have been somebody you knew on the jury?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Similarly, with regard to the attendance at your church. Did the fact that there was no response, that no one stood up and said, yes, I attended New Life Deliverance Church make you draw to the conclusion that presumably these folks are being honest and I assume nobody attended New Life Deliverance Church?
A. Right.
Q. And one other point of clarification, during the trial were you communicating with trial counsel, with

Rick Vieth? Were you talking to him during the trial?

A. Throughout the course of the trial ---

Q. Communicating with him about various points?

A. I tried. And some of the stuff he says, you know, we shouldn't -- yeah, he listened to me on one matter and one matter he said it didn't really matter much, so, yeah, we communicated.

Q. And I'm not asking you to disclose your attorney/client --

A. Okay. Okay.

Q. I'm just asking, did you guys --

A. Yes, we communicated.

Q. -- have a conversation as to ---

A. Yes. Yeah, we communicated.

Q. And were you reviewing documents, looking at things while the trial was ongoing?

A. While the -- yes, sir, while the -- well, no, not while the trial was going on, but during the voir dire process, yes.

Q. Okay. All right. And were you trying to listen intently to the things that were being said by the judge and the questions that were being asked by the attorneys and the answers?

A. Oh, certainly. My life was on the line and I'm trying to soak in all I could from what I understood.

Q. All right. So you would say there was a lot going on for you?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Would you say that the process was somewhat scary to you?

A. Yes, it was.

Q. And would you say that you were trying to pay attention as best you could, with all the various things that were going on during trial?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you have a lot of things that were requiring your attention as these events were brought up?

A. Yes.

MR. BRUMBACK: That's all I have, Your Honor.

MR. BULSA: Nothing further.

THE COURT: You may step down.

(Defendant returns to defense table.)

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, that's all the witnesses I have. As we discussed previously, I do have some additional documentation concerning the other issue about the victim of a violent crime that I would like to present to the Court.

And I have, first of all -- and I would ask that the Court take judicial notice of the court records,

which exist, concerning the individual whose name is, I believe it's Andre Hunter, Jr., who was charged in connection with the robbery of Charles Rosemond. And I can provide those via email. But I also do have an article from the local news that is discussing the event in question.

And there are some additional news articles. One which actually identifies Nysha Jeffries at a rally that was held October 22nd, 2018. And I'll also provide this to the court, the rally was on October 22nd, 2018, which was a month and 12 days after this trial. And Nysha and her mother, Jeanie Jeffries and Aunt Candice Brewer, I believe is the aunt's name, were at a rally. And you can see in the picture is says, Nysha Jeffries holding crosses. They were holding crosses for people who have been killed as a result of events involving police officers.

And the involvement was that Mr. Andre Hunter on December 10th of 2015, broke into the home of Charles Rosemond -- uh, yes, Charles Rosemond. And he was also charged with kidnapping, armed robbery, burglary first degree, and possession of a weapon during the commission of a violent crime.

After Andre Hunter robbed Mr. Charles Rosemond, he fled from the scene. A neighbor of Mr. Rosemond

called the police. And the police reported to the scene and they made entry into Mr. Rosemond's home because they thought somebody may have been injured inside.

When they entered, Mr. Rosemond believed them to be the perpetrators coming back to harm them again. He fired on the police officers, striking one of them in the head. Police officers returned fire and, sadly, Mr. Rosemond was killed on December 10th, 2015.

Now, Nysha Jeffries happens to be, and I did not realize this until just yesterday or else I would have brought this to the Court's attention previously. But Nysha Jeffries is the granddaughter of Charles Rosemond. Her mother, Jeanie Jeffries, is Charles Rosemond's daughter. And that's also established by way of a lawsuit that was filed by Thomas Lamont Rosemond, Jeanie Jeffries brother and son to Charles Rosemond, who brought a suit for wrongful death in Greenville County.

And in those pleadings, it clearly states that Jeanie Jeffries is the daughter of Charles Rosemond. We know that Jeanie Jeffries is the mother of Nysha Jeffries, as was also testified to earlier. And that Thomas Lamont Rosemond is thereby Nysha Jeffries uncle.

There are also court records for an eviction from several years ago. I believe it was 2017 where the three defendants in that suit were Thomas Lamont

Rosemond, Jeanie Jeffries, and Nysha Jeffries. All three living in the Crown Point apartments.

Then I would ask the Court also to take judicial of that court record, which exists as well. And I can certainly provide the case captions for the titles.

That said, based on the documentary evidence, it is clear that Nysha Jeffries grandfather sadly was the victim of an armed robbery, burglary first degree and a kidnapping.

And so, the question that was asked by Your Honor concerning has anyone on the jury or any immediate family members with the victim of a violent crime, she then for some reason did not respond. And the thing that really brings it into focus is, as can be seen in the article that was handed up to the Court just now, the trial that occurred for Andre Hunter actually was going on at the same time as this trial.

It was the week of September 10th, 2018. And so, I don't know if there's any way we can say that she couldn't possibly have remembered that. I mean, it's certainly a significant event. And the fact is that she did not respond, when other jurors clearly responded.

I believe one of the other jurors stood up and said my nephew -- not a grandfather but a nephew. My nephew was shot and murdered, I believe, it was. And

she was actually removed from the jury, I believe, for cause by Your Honor excused.

And so, the fact is for some unknown reason, either through negligence, not sitting there and listening to the questions, Nysha either failed to respond to the church, school, or the fact that her grandfather had been a victim of a violent crime, ones that you specifically enumerated in the voir dire.

And so, it can only be said to be intentional, particularly, when intentional is not the lay term. It is the term of our asking was the question clear? Your questions were exceedingly clear, particularly on the church and on this final crime issue.

And then, two, were these events of such significance that it would be unreasonable not to respond or to have claimed to have forgotten. And I offer to the Court that your grandfather, being the victim of a crime that resulted in his death, the two events are somewhat separated but, nonetheless resulted in this death, triggered the events that ultimately culminated in that.

I -- and only two years before, so 2015 to -- or 2013-2018, there's no way that she could say I don't remember this, particularly when the gentleman who started those events in motion was on trial that very

same week. And then not four or five weeks later she is at a memorial protest event in Greenville for Charles Rosmond. There with her mother and other family members protesting his killer.

And so, Your Honor, I think that it is implausible to offer that she wouldn't have remembered that at that time; and that it was unintentional as that term was masked in the case law that she failed to respond. And so, I would offer that.

And there is one other article. It's a, I think, a nine-part series that was done by the news. And it does have a photo of Nysha Jeffries and a caption that says Nysha Jeffries. And she can be seen in the photograph with the cross bearing Charles Rosemonds name and the date of death, 12-10- 15 is how it's written on top of the cross.

So, I would like to provide those to the Court. And I apologize, I don't -- I may have to send those to the Court. As I ran here as quickly as I could this morning. And I apologize for not having everything in a solid paper format, as I would generally have. But that is my position on the violent crime issue. And I did want to raise that to the Court's attention.

So that is the grounds for our motion. I think that it is clear that Nysha Jeffries was the person who

attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center, and that she was attending the church over a period of years. I'm incredulous to believe that someone wouldn't remember. If this was a one off, maybe I could understand that. But a person that attended a church over a number of years during formative years of their life, to claim that somehow between 2014, when she stopped regularly going, between 2014 and the trial of this case in 2018, that she's forgotten about that.

And the other thing is, we're not talking about a church with a name like First Baptist. If somebody said First Baptist, heck, there's a First Baptist in every town. New Life Deliverance Worship Center is a very specific particular name and it would be very difficult to forget.

And so, based on that, Your Honor, I offer that regardless of whether she meant to do it, that it was unreasonable and, thereby, intentional concealment. And having been intentional, the question then turns to whether it would have been material either for a for cause, would have been a grounds for a for cause strike, or it would have been a material, meaning significant, factor in a decision to use in preemptory challenge.

And Mr. Vieth testified that, yeah, that is something that would have been material. We would have

wanted to ask the question or have the judge go into it and say, well, you know, do you no longer go to the church? Well, why don't you any longer go to the church? Is it something that would, you know, create a source of bias? And the fact is that the statement about -- that Mr. Vieth made about an un-replied love, that was the conversation that I had with him, and it was speculation, as I was asking, you know, what might have this have been? You know, what could this be?

Because there seems to be no reason why you wouldn't respond. Heck, most people don't want to be on a jury, so why not say, yeah, I attended New Life Deliverance Church, or, yes, my grandfather was a victim of a violent crime? It simply doesn't make sense.

But, regardless, the fact is that Mr. Vieth clearly testified that it would have been material because he'd want to know. Maybe she did have a grudge. Maybe we'd know that. Maybe she did have a grudge. And that's why we don't want her on the jury. So it certainly would have been material.

And then, with regard to the fact that her grandfather was a victim of a crime perpetrated by three young black men and it was a violent crime when we're having a, you know, a trial for murder, that would certainly be a significant consideration. You'd want to

get a read on that juror as to whether this is somebody who's got an axe to grind, you know, or not, or is this somebody that can be fair? But unfortunately we were denied the ability to even know that because she didn't respond to any of these questions.

And so, Your Honor, I think that we have established the elements for intentional concealment and that the things that were concealed were either for cause or material to the use of a preemptory and, therefore, that Mr. Ruttle is entitled to a new trial.

Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate you hearing me. And I will be happy, like I said, to provide those additional documents from their court dockets, as well as the news articles, electronically.

THE COURT: Let me ask you, earlier in your argument you mentioned the failure to respond to a question by the church connection with Mr. Ruttle of the church and the grandfather being a victim of a violent crime. You also mentioned school connection?

MR. BRUMBACK: Yes, Your Honor. I apologize. I should have asked Mr. Ruttle, and I may have, what high school he attended.

THE COURT: He said Spartanburg High School.

MR. BRUMBACK: He did. There is another record online where, I believe, one of the local publications

publishes all the names of the graduates who graduated from Spartanburg High. And, I believe, Nysha Jeffries graduated the year after -- one or two years after Mr. Ruttle from Spartanburg High. Her name does appear in the list of graduates from Spartanburg High for that year in the local news publication, so that's where that came from. I apologize for not listing that.

THE COURT: Was that part of the grounds of this motion?

MR. BRUMBACK: I think the school issue is a less ---

THE COURT: Well, that's not my question. Are you including that in the ground that you ---

MR. BRUMBACK: I would say we do mention it in your -- in the memorandum, Your Honor, simply that she did not respond to the fact of school either, though I think there may be some question that the name of the school was not used, as opposed to New Life Deliverance Church was not just a school or church, which may lead to some question as to which one.

But, yes, she did attend Spartanburg High. Mr. Ruttle attended Spartanburg High. She did not respond to that either. To that extent, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, was she -- was the jury panel asked if they attended Spartanburg High School?

MR. BRUMBACK: They were asked if they attended the same school. And that's why I say it may have that. And I will acknowledge, on that ground that question should may have been more ambiguous since the school name was never used. And that's why I'm focusing more on the church, which was very clear. It was New Life Deliverance, and focusing on the crime element. Because, again, it was enumerated here specifically, as opposed to the school, which was not said Spartanburg High.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, Mr. Bulsa, do you want to respond?

MR. BULSA: Yes, sir, Your Honor. The defense said in his own argument that most jurors don't want to sit on juries, speaks highly that Ms. Jeffries would have wanted to get off this jury at every opportunity she could, based on his argument. And based on his argument, she had three reasons to get off. She knew him from school, she knew him church, and she had somebody, a relative, that was a victim of a violent crime.

The fact that she didn't respond means she didn't recall, she has no memory, she might not even have been told about her grandfather's situation, Your Honor. You cannot just speculate that she had all that knowledge.

The defendant testified that she sat there and chewed on her nails the whole time, so she was a disinterested juror. If she had any reason and had any recollection during this trial that she knew this man, she could have used it to get off of that case. They have not met their burden. You cannot say that this was an intentional act just because she didn't answer a question in the affirmative.

I don't -- I don't know where he gets his definition from, but how in the world could we even hold this hearing without having the juror here. They failed to bring her. They failed their burden of proof. This should end this, this argument once and for all. He should not get another bite at the apple. This case should be over. This appeal should be done.

Mr. Vieth said he would love to have somebody that went to the same church as my defendant. He would have put that witness or that juror on the jury if he knew that.

The defendant claims he had no interaction with her at school or at church. He didn't remember her the entire time. He watched her. That also supports the fact that she didn't recognize him. She would have had no reason to lie and mislead the Court. As the defense attorney said, she would have wanted to have been

released from this jury.

The witnesses testimony, Your Honor, is inconsistent with each other. Mr. Desor said that the juror only attended church three to six months, from November 2010 to March 2011.

Mr. Mimms says he doesn't start as the youth minister until 2012, months after Mr. Desor says that the juror would have left the church or not been involved anymore. The youth minister said she would have attended the youth services, as well as the worship services. Mr. Desor has no recollection of her being in any of his worship services. And once again, only limits her presence there for three to six months because he went through years and years of video, and all he could bring is one that he claims is from December of 2010 and January of 2011, when this young girl is, what, 12-years-old maybe.

We haven't even been provided her age. They have not even presented a picture. We don't even know if this is the same person. Just because Mr. Mimms says he recognizes that person as Nysha, doesn't mean that was the Nysha Jeffries that sat on the jury.

Your Honor, this is an affront to the family of the victim in this case for grasping as the defense is grasping at straws. They could have easily raised this

issue earlier. We understand that the Court of Appeals remanded this for a hearing. We didn't fight it, because we figured if we did, it would just be remanded again, so it would just delay the issue.

But we think the evidence is clear that there is no evidence that this juror, even as the person on the video or that that juror intentionally misled the Court in any way. And we find that -- we would ask that you find for the State and deny this motion.

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, just briefly. The fact is, like I said at the beginning of this, case law clearly establishes intent is not about some sort of malicious willful act in the case of concealment for purposes of juror disqualification. The fact is that you could just negligently be sitting there and not listening to the question and the analysis says, was the question clear and was this something that the jurors should have remembered?

And so, the fact that the State wants to say we don't have any evidence that she meant to, it's not about that. It's not about what she meant to do. It's about the fact that you asked a very clear question and for whatever reason -- and the fact is the case law also says that the justification can be provided by the juror.

Well, that's on the State. What we have to provide is the fact that a clear question was asked, and that is something of such significance that it's unreasonable for you not to respond. If there's a justification why she didn't respond, then by all means, the State should have called her and put on the justification.

It's not my job, you know, to beat my own case and provide her justification for why she failed to respond, but the fact is, she failed to respond. I mean, no one can deny the fact that she simply did not respond. We have no idea why. But the fact is, the justification is what can possibly cut against this, cut against this, you know, the granting of this motion, but there is no justification.

And, frankly, I would offer the reason they probably didn't bring her is because what would the justification be? It would undergo his entire record. And then, you know, they can sit over there and say, well, she just didn't remember. But that doesn't matter. It doesn't matter whether she remembered. It doesn't matter whether it's intentional.

What matters is the fact that going to a church over a number of years is something of such significance that I think we can all say that you would remember it a

few short years later. And I think it's also very disingenuous to say that she might not know what happened to her grandfather? By the way, she attended a protest and is in a picture attending a protest four or five weeks after the trial of this case.

So, Your Honor, I find that to be a little suspect. But I think the main point here is the fact that the justification, we don't need to establish her reasoning for why she claims she didn't respond. It was unreasonable.

It is unreasonable in the face of such a clear question not to respond. And she denied you and the parties the ability to ask her further questions and determine whether she was an unbiased juror or not. And that goes to, again, justification, which is on their side of the case.

And so, without that justification, we've established the elements that we need to establish. And the Court of Appeals have said that that is a proper division. I think Justice Few actually wrote the opinion to that effect, that it's a proper division of the burden of proof. So, we establish the elements as to intentional concealment and the fact that it would have been material to us.

And one last point as to that, with regard to the

materiality, yeah, Mr. Vieth did say, yeah, I generalize -- generally I would love to have somebody on the church, from my church on a jury. But the fact is, sure I'd want someone on the jury from my church, but not if they were disgruntled. And we have no idea whether she was disgruntled. We have no idea why she stopped coming, but she did stop coming.

And Mr. Vieth saying, yeah, I'd want someone from my firm on a jury. I probably don't want somebody who used to be at my firm on my jury unless I know why they're no longer there and it wasn't because they had an axe to grind.

And so, the fact is that we established those elements. The State has provided no justification for her lack of response. And in that case, Mr. Ruttle should be entitled to a new trial.

THE COURT: Why do you roll your eyes?

MR. BULSA: It's ridiculous to say that you proved your point by just saying she didn't respond. People's memories are different. She was an 11 or 12-year-old girl, if that's even her in those pictures. That's the only proof we have she was there at any time at that church, if that's even her.

And just because she didn't respond doesn't mean you can say that she was deliberately hiding something.

That is intentional. You have to deliberately hide it. Not the fact that you didn't remember. How can you say just because you don't remember it's not intentional. If she didn't remember, she would have never had answered the question, which is what she didn't do. She didn't remember, if that's in fact her.

We don't even believe it's her. We don't even think proof has been shown that that's her.

THE COURT: Mr. Brumback, you get the last word.

MR. BRUMBACK: Well, Your Honor, I appreciate that. And I would just say that I don't write the case law, but when I come into something like this, I certainly read it and study it closely. And do I think that the term intentionally probably creates some confusion amongst counsel when they read that or just lay people when they read that, I certainly think it does, but we all know those cases exist out there where the courts say one word, but it doesn't have an exact meaning. And you've got to apply the meaning that the courts give to it. And in this situation intentional does not mean what they're saying it means. It does not mean some willful intent. You can be intentionally concealing something simply by the fact that you weren't listening and didn't respond. And you just, even if you didn't remember, but it's something of such significance

that most think it's unreasonable to not remember it. So that is the question.

And I would just ask the Court, as it looks at this, to apply the term of intentional concealment in the way that it's illuminated in the case law. And so, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the case law that you're relying upon is cited in your memo?

MR. BRUMBACK: At length, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, as far as the additional exhibits that you all want in, did you all discuss those? Have you looked at them, Mr. Bulsa?

MR. BRUMBACK: We discussed before. I can certainly show them to him.

THE COURT: Let's do this. Whatever additional exhibits that you wish to rely upon for me to consider, you and Mr. Bulsa discuss those and agree to it, or if there's some objection, let me know what that objection is.

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly. I have no problem with that, Your Honor. I can certainly assure that ---

MR. BULSA: I don't understand why he wasn't prepared for this hearing. He knew this was coming up for months. He could have easily had this ---

THE COURT: Well, I thought he said he learned of

it yesterday.

MR. BRUMBACK: I did last night, yes, sir.

MR. BULSA: How did he only find out yesterday? We've been working on this case for over a year.

THE COURT: Well, I can't answer that question, Mr. Bulsa.

MR. BRUMBACK: Your Honor, I would say that I was looking into an intentional issue. I did not even realize ---

THE COURT: Well, I understand. Here's the thing, you all can agree or disagree, object or consent. That's what I need you to do.

MR. BRUMBACK: Certainly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And if there needs to be any additional proof, Mr. Bulsa, send me a note. But if the exhibits do come in and you need additional time to present something else in response to it or reply to it, that'll be fine. You all discuss it and let me know.

MR. BRUMBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

(Court concluded at 3:00 p.m.)

--- THIS ENDS REQUESTED TRANSCRIPT ---

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG The State, v. Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, Defendant.	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT Indictment Nos.: 2017-GS-42-05449 & 2018-GS-42-04411 **AFFIDAVIT OF RICHARD VIETH**

PERSONALLY APPEARED before me, Richard Vieth, first being duly sworn, deposes and says for the purpose of Defendant Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle's (hereinafter "Devin") Motion for New Trial in Case Nos. 2017-GS-42-05449 and 2018-GS-42-04411:

1. My name is Richard Vieth. I am over 18 years of age, I am fully competent to make this affidavit, and I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.

2. I currently reside in Spartanburg County and am a partner with Henderson, Brandt & Vieth, P.A. I have been a member of the South Carolina Bar since 1975 and regularly practice criminal defense and act as defense counsel for jury trials before the Court of General Sessions. I was trial counsel for Devin in defense of the above-captioned cases and tried Devin's case before a jury on September 10 through 13 of 2018.

3. As part my trial experience, voir dire is an essential tool in ensuring a defendant's right to a fair trial by a competent and unbiased. In light of the fact that voir dire is trial counsel's only opportunity to examine the suitability of individuals for the responsibility of jury service, it is essential that potential jurors make true and full disclosures during voir dire. In addition to the substance of a potential juror's voir dire responses being of great

importance, in my experience the demeanor, facial expressions, and other non-verbals of potential jurors during voir dire responses is equally critical information to picking a jury and the intelligent use of peremptory challenges. In the absence of full and truthful voir dire disclosures, I am denied (1) the information necessary to appropriately discuss whether to sit or strike a potential juror with my client, (2) the ability to advise and represent my client during voir dire and jury selection, and (3) the ability to intelligently exercise my client's rights to for cause and peremptory challenges to potential jurors. On this note, regardless of whether a potential juror's failure to make full and truthful disclosures regarding information about which the potential juror was specifically asked during voir dire is the result of recklessness, carelessness, inattentiveness, or untruthfulness, in and of itself the potential juror's failure to act in accordance with the potential juror's oath is of the utmost materiality and significance as dispositive proof that the potential juror is unsuitable for service on a jury. Unfortunately, because a potential juror's failure to make full and truthful disclosures constitutes a nonfeasance, the absence of the act also conceals the unsuitability of the juror.

4. Moreover, as a matter of practice and experience, a potential juror having connections to a party through school or church, and the nature, duration, and tenor of such connections is certainly significant to selecting a jury and the intelligent use of for cause and peremptory challenges. Where a potential juror discloses connections to a party during voir dire, it is my practice to always 1) request further inquiry into the potential juror's connections, in the event the judge does not do so sua sponte, to examine any potential source of juror bias or prejudice, 2) closely examine the potential juror's non-verbals while he or she responds to the additional inquiries, and 3) discuss the connections with my client

to determine the potential juror's suitability for service on the jury and whether to utilize a for cause or peremptory challenge of the potential juror.

5. Consequently, Juror 92's concealment of information regarding school and church connections to Devin and his family denied me the opportunity and ability to examine and asses whether those connections were a source of bias or prejudice. Had Juror 92 not concealed or failed to disclose her school and church connections to Devin and his family, I would have requested further inquiry regarding those connections and, specifically, how long she attended New Life Deliverance Worship Center (hereinafter "New Life"), whether she still attended New Life, if she no longer attended New Life, why she stopped attending New Life, whether she knew Devin, and whether her knowledge of or connection to Devin through school or New Life would be a source of bias or prejudice, all of which would certainly have been material, i.e., significant, to determining any potential bias, juror 92's suitability as a juror and, accordingly, my intelligent use of a for cause challenge or the seven (7) peremptory challenges Devin had left when Juror 92 was selected from the venire.

6. Juror 92's failure to disclose her school and church connections to Devin and his family denied the existence of those connections, concealing them from the trial judge, myself, and Devin. Thus, in addition to Juror 92's non-disclosure denying me the ability to examine and screen out a potentially biased juror, it further denied Devin and myself the facts and evidence about Juror 92 that was necessary to fairly and intelligently discuss and exercise Devin's for cause and peremptory challenges. Had Juror 92 not concealed the facts and evidence from Devin and myself that were essential to any challenge of Juror 92 based on her non-disclosure of her school and church connections, such an objection would

have been made to the Court at trial. However, because Juror 92 did not respond to any of the questions asked during voir dire, any potential biases she might have had toward the State were not discovered until after the trail.

7. Full knowledge of all relevant and material matters that might bear on the possible disqualification of a juror is essential to a fair and intelligent exercise of the right to challenge jurors either for cause or peremptorily. If trial counsel and defendants were not entitled to rely on the potential jurors' voir dire responses, it would create a overwhelming burden trial attorneys and their clients to perform background checks in all jury selections to prevent the danger of waiving any potential issue regarding juror disqualification.

I have read the foregoing affidavit of ____ typewritten pages and swear it is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Richard Vieth

SWORN to and subscribed before me this
23rd day of July, 2020
Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission Expires: 11/9/2028

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG The State, v. Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, Defendant.	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT Indictment Nos.: 2017-GS-42-05449 & 2018-GS-42-04411 **AFFIDAVIT OF TRAVIS MIMS**

PERSONALLY APPEARED before me, Travis Mims first being duly sworn, deposes and says for the purpose of Defendant Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle's (hereinafter "Devin") Motion for New Trial in Case Nos. 2017-GS-42-05449 and 2018-GS-42-04411:

1. My name is Travis Mims. I am over 18 years of age, I am fully competent to make this affidavit, and I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.

2. I currently reside in Anderson County, am employed with Priority One Security, and am a student at USC Upstate. Prior to moving to Anderson County, I was employed as a Youth Pastor by New Life Deliverance Worship Center (hereinafter "New Life" or the "Church") from 2012 to 2014. As the Youth Pastor I organized and led the Church's Wednesday and Sunday youth Bible study groups, the weekly youth group meetings, and joint events with youth groups from other area churches. The weekly youth group meetings, which were typically held on Friday evenings from about 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., were designed to provide a safe and positive place for teens to spend their Friday night. Generally between seven and thirty teens would attend the Friday night youth group, at

which they would participate in Bible study, enjoy snacks and Christian music geared towards teens, and hangout with other teens in attendance.

3. Through my position as Youth Pastor, I know Devin and his father, Bunty Desor, who founded and has at all times been the Pastor for New Life. Devin, as the son of the Church's Pastor and in addition to attending weekly worship services, assisted with setting up for services and running the sound board, video, and lights during services. Similar to the responsibilities Devin took on in connection with the weekly worship services, I entrusted Devin with the responsibility of assisting me with setting up for youth group meetings as well as running the sound board and lights during youth group. Although Devin did interact with other teens at youth group meetings, his responsibilities, and specifically being in charge of the sound board, music, and lights, made his presence at youth group more of a job and less of an opportunity to just hangout and socialize. Devin being the Pastor's son and being responsible for running the sound board, music, video, and lights at worship services and youth group made Devin standout as a more visible and active part of worship services and youth group. This is particularly true given that the table from which Devin operated the sound board, music, video, and lights for worship services and youth group was set apart from the rest of the congregants, located in the front corner of the sanctuary just in front of the pews in which the congregation and youth group sat.

4. Through my position as Youth Pastor for New Life between 2012 and 2014, I also have knowledge of and am acquainted with Nysha Jefferies (hereinafter "Nysha"). During my time as Youth Pastor at New Life Nysha regularly attended both weekly worship

services led by Devin's father as well as Friday night youth group meetings at which Devin was running the sound board and lights. During 2012 and 2013 I would describe Nysha's weekly attendance at worship services and Friday night youth group meetings as very regular and consistent; however, in 2014 her attendance at worship services and youth group meetings became less frequent and consistent.

I have read the foregoing affidavit of 3 typewritten pages and swear it is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Travis Mims

SWORN to and subscribed before me this
6th day of July, 2020

Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission Expires: 8/5/24

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA — JUROR SUMMONS FOR CIRCUIT COURT

COUNTY OF: **Spartanburg** | FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: **September 10, 2018** | JUROR NUMBER: **92**

You are hereby summoned to appear at **Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306-** on **Monday, September 10, 2018 at 9:00 am** to answer this summons to serve as a petit juror for the Court of Common Pleas and General Sessions. Failure to appear at the address above at the specified time may subject you to penalties as prescribed by law.

Panel: SEPT 10 2018

Clerk of Court, **Hope Blackley** Phone: (864)596-3879

NAME AND ADDRESS OF JUROR	IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS
JEFFERIES, NYSHA M 350 PIERPONT AVE EXT APT 107 SPARTANBURG, SC 29301	Fill in the requested information in the "Juror Information Section" and the appropriate contact information below. After reading all the conditions listed in the "Juror Response Section," mark any condition that applies to you. Separate the top and bottom portions of this page at the line indicated below and WITHIN THREE DAYS OF RECEIPT return the bottom portion of the form using the self-addressed envelope provided.

Separate this top portion from bottom portion at the dotted line. Retain this top portion for your reference.

X----------

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at **Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.**

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: **09/10/2018** | JUROR NUMBER: **92**

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Columbia, S.C	20	1998	1	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Charleslea Center	Charleslea center	5months

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School diploma	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

864-205-3242

JEFFERIES, NYSHA M NBR-92
350 PIERPONT AVE EXT APT 107
SPARTANBURG, SC 29301

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 1

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Lincolnton City, Lincolnton NC	21	1997	1	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Retail Associate	old Navy	0

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
11th grade	N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A	N/A	N/A

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

ADAMS, CAITLYN E NBR-1
1104 CASEY RD
WOODRUFF, SC 29388

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-529-2704			864-415-3012	caitylb_adams@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 2

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, SC	21	1997	0	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Landscaper	Advance Lawn & Landscape	0

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Some College	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

ADAMS, JOSEPH C NBR-2
405 LEMONADE RD
PACOLET, SC 29372

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-580-1931				Chornradams@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 5

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Midland, Michigan	38	1980	1	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Revenue Management Specialist	Spartanburg Regional Hospital System	2

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
12	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

413 McFall Ct
Moore, SC 29369

AMBLE, CRYSTAL M NBR-5
1736 RIDDLE RD
PAULINE, SC 29374

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-580-9693			864-415-0869	Camble3@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 14

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, SC	53	1965		☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Risk Manager	City of Spartanburg	

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Assoc. Degree	N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A	N/A	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN? N/A

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

BOOKER, KENNETH J NBR-14
416 KENYON CT
SPARTANBURG, SC 29301

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-809-6224	864-596-2101	864-809-6224		

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

QUALIFICATIONS | EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 16

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Ventura, CA	50	1968	0	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Technical Writer

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Glytec Systems — # OF YEARS: 4

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 4

NAME OF SPOUSE:

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION:

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS:

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

BRADY, KATHRYN E NBR-16
836 SHORESBROOK DR
SPARTANBURG, SC 29301

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-540-6345	864-370-4172			kbradygtest@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 17

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg SC	58	1960	2	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Admin. Asst. | Cust. Service

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Apexical, Inc. — # OF YEARS: 22

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 1 yr. technical college

NAME OF SPOUSE: JACK L. Bridges Jr.

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: truck driver

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Cheeseman Transport — # OF YEARS: 5

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN? No

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

BRIDGES, MARY A NBR-17
820 PRIMROSE LN
SPARTANBURG, SC 29303

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-415-6343	864-578-0030		864-415-0519	mamb480@charter.net

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 18

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Greer Spartanburg South Carolina	63	1955	4	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Retired	LKQ	8

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
GED	Donna Gayle Bridwell

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
ALP Carolina Chain & Cable	Sewing Machine Operator	30

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☑ CIVIL JURY, OR ☑ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN? 1999 2017

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☑ YES ☐ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

BRIDWELL, ALLEN E NBR-18
219 S HILLS DR
WELLFORD, SC 29385

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 435 7847	None		864 351 8935	peanuts655@Hotmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 27

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, SC	36	1982	1	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Manager	Coggins Flowers + Gifts	10

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
AAA Temps	AAA Temps	8

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

Lori C Osment

COGGINS, LORI C NBR-27
840 W RIVER GROVE CIR
ROEBUCK, SC 29376

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
431-6575	585-6266			

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 35

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Shelby, Cleveland, NC	62	1956	2	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Housewife	N/A	

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
2yrs. college	Donald E. Cooke Jr.

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Duke Energy	Duke Energy	31

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☑ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN? 2.

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

COOKE, LYNN SISK NBR-35
322 E CELESTIAL DR
GREER, SC 29651

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 334-5325	N/A	(864) 546-1165	(864) 520-3545	lynncooke@charter.net

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 39

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Buffalo, Erie, New York	34	1983	2	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Teacher	Spartanburg School District 2	5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Bachelor's Degree	Greag Coppola

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Technician	CBRE	8

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

COPPOLA, JENNIFER J NBR-39
120 N RIVER HILLS DR
SPARTANBURG, SC 29303

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
716-863-6205				jennifer.coppola@spart2.org

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 42

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Atlanta, Georgia	35	1982	3	X	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Patient Access Rep	Spartanburg Medical Center	7

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
College	Matthew Bryan Davis

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Special Agent	State Law Enforcement Division	1

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

DAVIS, CRYSTAL L NBR-42
2013 GLENNWOOD HILLS DR
SPARTANBURG, SC 29307

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-345-0163	864-560-9056		864-809-2351	palmettogirl27@gmail

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 44

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
GREENWOOD, SC	32	1986	4	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
PEST CONTROL TECH	TERMINIX	1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
HIGH SCHOOL	AMANDA DAVIS

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
ASM RETAIL	DOLLAR GENERAL	1.5

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

DAVIS, JOSEPH C NBR-44
1410 LAKE BOWEN DAM RD
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-764-6262	864-592-0726			SCDISMED@AOL.COM

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018 | JUROR NUMBER: 46

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
NEWFANE NIAGARA NEW YORK	50	1968	1	☐	☐	☐	☒

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
TRUCK DRIVER	PRAXAIR	1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
HIGH SCHOOL	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☒ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? 2011

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

DEBIASE, JERAULD D NBR-46
627 HIDEAWAY CV
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 415 9533				JDINSCAR@YAHOO.COM

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018 | JUROR NUMBER: 48

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Anderson, SC	40	1978	2	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Receptionist/Secretary	BSHS/Spartanburg Distrct 2 Schools	5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Some college (2 years)	Delbert Delozier

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
General Sales Manager	Dick Brooks Honda	15

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? Traffic court about 3 years ago

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

DELOZIER, LESLIE H ✓ NBR-48
606 CLAYSTONE DR
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-541-9356	578-8465	—	864-809-4763	lesliedelozier@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018 | JUROR NUMBER: 49

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Pompton Plains, Morris Co., NJ	45	1972	5	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
	Rieter Americas LLC	3

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
BA Degree	Albert C. D'Onofrio Sr.

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Mechanic	Self	23

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

DONOFRIO, PATRICIA A NBR-49
204 CHELSEA ST
MOORE, SC 29369

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
8646076176	864-582-5466		8646078679	swimmomof5@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018 | JUROR NUMBER: 54

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Lyman, Spartanburg, S.C.	36	1982	0	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Manufacturing	Bitrama	1.5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Associates	N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A	N/A	N/A

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A No ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

DRUMMOND, TRAVIS K NBR-54
418 SEA BREEZE WAY
LYMAN, SC 29365

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 612-2105	(864) 586-4101	1-(864) 586-4116	(864) 949-9152	just2save9@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: #56

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Derby New Haven CT	36	1982	0	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Warehouse	Sally Beauty Holdings	4

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
12th Grade	n/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
n/A	n/A	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

ELLIOTT, JASON K NBR-56
451 HOLLY SPRINGS CHURCH RD
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 978-9491		864-877 2801	864-978 1858	dawnmdenn@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 58

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
		1965		☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Carpenter	Langston Construction	

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
2yrs College	n/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
n/A	n/A	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? n/A

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

n/A

FERGUSON, ODELL III NBR-58
327 N DEAN ST
SPARTANBURG, SC 29302

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 60

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH: Greenville, S.C.

AGE | YEAR OF BIRTH: 1964 | # OF CHILDREN: 2

MARRIED ☑ SINGLE ☐ WIDOWED ☐ DIVORCED ☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Development Technician

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Saked Air Corp. # OF YEARS: 36

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 12th grade

NAME OF SPOUSE: Laura E. Freeman

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Home Maker

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: # OF YEARS:

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☑ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

5560 Cross Anchor Rd.
Enoree, S.C. 29335

FREEMAN, BRYAN E NBR-60
5572 CROSS ANCHOR RD
ENOREE, SC 29335

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-969-7733	864-433-2823	864-706-2642	864-616-8204	lauratreeman72@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 62

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH: Spartanburg, Spartanburg, South Carolina

AGE: 20 | YEAR OF BIRTH: 1998 | # OF CHILDREN: 0

MARRIED ☐ SINGLE ☑ WIDOWED ☐ DIVORCED ☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Electrician

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Ion Electric # OF YEARS: 1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School

NAME OF SPOUSE:

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION:

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: # OF YEARS:

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

FRYE, SHERLAND N NBR-62
336 REGAL KNOLLS LN
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 357 2647			864-814-6561	FryKid96@Gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 63

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Duncan, Spartanburg, SC	28	1990	1	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Cashier	Bertrandt - BMW	2

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High school diploma	Ø

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Ø	Ø	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A NO ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

GALLOWAY, TINCY C NBR-63
633 DUNCAN STATION DR
DUNCAN, SC 29334

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
704-776-3263				

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 70

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, Charleston, SC	52	1965	1	☐	☐	☒	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Retired	Community Cash	15

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
College Grad	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

Gowan, Anne L
560 Foster Mill Rd
Spartanburg, SC 29302

GOWAN, ANNE L NBR-70
570 FOSTER MILL RD
SPARTANBURG, SC 29302

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-809-8503			864-909-9860	hughesanne2018@gmail.a

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 71

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Oxford, Butler, Ohio	32	1986	2	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Equipment Service Associate	BMW Manufacturing Co.	5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School	Janice Grant

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Home maker	N/A	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☑ YES ☐ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

GRANT, MATTHEW S NBR-71
140 CREST RD
CAMPOBELLO, SC 29322

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864)472-9447	(864)631-7539			mgrant2940@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 72

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Norfolk, Virginia	39	1979	2	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Sales Associate	R.L. Jordan Oil (Hotspot)	1 mth

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
GED	Johnny Green

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Car Detailer	Bob's Car Wash	2

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☒ YES ☐ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

GREEN, MINDY S NBR-72
701 HARRISON GROVE RD
ROEBUCK, SC 29376

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 4318212		864 4974025	8644974025	Mindysgreen1979@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

X ---------- Separate this top portion from the bottom portion and retain the top portion for your reference.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 76

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg - SC	34	1984	3	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Customer Service Rep.	Wand Finance	12

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 2yr

NAME OF SPOUSE:

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? NONE

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HALL, CATIA S NBR-76
106 HAMPTON CT
CHESNEE, SC 29323

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-357-5534	864-703-0311		864-256-7733	catiahall@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS: Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits of written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 78

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Cowpens South Carolina	52	1965	1	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Cherokee County E-911	Dispatcher (police, Fire, Ems)	24

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School Graduate

NAME OF SPOUSE: Michael Hames

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
CDL- Driver	Best Distributors	1

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HAMES, CHARLOTTE V NBR-78
202 OVERHEAD BRIDGE RD
CHESNEE, SC 29323

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 2616-1980	864 487-2747	864 2616-1169	864-2616-1980	cish911@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS: Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits of written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 79

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Greer, Greenville SC	32	1985	1	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Esthetician	Greenville Vein Center	5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Cosmetology License, some college	James Pope

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Self employed	Self employed	3

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HARDIN, WHITNEY C NBR-79
566 MEADOWBROOK AVE
WOODRUFF, SC 29388

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-862-9658	864-605-9522		864-381-0342	whithardin24@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 83

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Asheville, Buncombe County, North Carolina	25	1992	Ø	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Inside Sales Representative	Indcon, Inc.	Ø

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Bachelor's Degree	N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A	N/A	N/A

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☒ YES ☐ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HARTSELL, ASHLEY P NBR-83
235 EARLES FORT RD
LANDRUM, SC 29356

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-908-8025				aphartsell@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 84

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
GREENSBURG, WESTMORELAND, PA	60	1958	1	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
MANAGER	ACADEMY SPORTS	4

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
TRADE SCHOOL	KIM HATTEN

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
DRIVER	PANARA BREAD	1

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HATTEN, LOUIS E NBR-84
2109 GAP CREEK RD
GREER, SC 29651

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
904-729-9693	864-216-8960			LOU822@YAHOO.COM

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 85

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg S.C.	33	1985	One	☐	☐	☐	☑

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Weld Fabrication Supervisor	Calder Brothers Corp.	5yrs.

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
G.E.D.	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

P.O. Box 555
Arcadia SC 29320

HIGH, DANIEL J NBR-85
110 OAK ST
ARCADIA, SC 29320

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-804-1533			864-347-7463	Summ12085@Gmail

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 86

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Rockford, Illinois/Winnebago	53	1965	0	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Administrative

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: The Adult Learning Center, Inc. — # OF YEARS: 14

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School Diploma

NAME OF SPOUSE: Russell David Hinton

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Slitter operator

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Mitsubishi Polyester Films, LLC. — # OF YEARS: 29

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HINTON, CYNTHIA E NBR-86
479 CINNAMON RIDGE DR
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-423-6899	864-562-4100		864-423-6899	ccandrh96@aol.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.

NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 87

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, SC	24	1994	0	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Pharmacy Technician

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: CVS — # OF YEARS: 4

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School

NAME OF SPOUSE: N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER — # OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

HOGAN, ADELAINE B NBR-87
726 RUTLEDGE ST
SPARTANBURG, SC 29302

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-266-3940	864-427-7668		864-266-3501	addy.laine@gmail

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.

NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 95

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH: Spartanburg, SC

AGE: 29 | YEAR OF BIRTH: 1989 | # OF CHILDREN: 1 | MARRIED: X | SINGLE: ☐ | WIDOWED: ☐ | DIVORCED: ☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Unemployed

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Omega Chemicals | # OF YEARS: 1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: Associates Degree

NAME OF SPOUSE: Christopher Jones

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Maint. Supervisor

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Denny's Corp. | # OF YEARS: 13

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☒ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN? 2010(?)

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

JONES, LACEY M NBR-95
529 CLEMENT RD
CHESNEE, SC 29323

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #: (864) 220-3632 | BUSINESS TELEPHONE # | ALTERNATE PHONE # | EMERGENCY TELEPHONE # | E-MAIL ADDRESS: lacey1u479@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

DISQUALIFICATIONS | EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at **Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.**

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 103

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH: Queens, NY

AGE | YEAR OF BIRTH: 1967 | # OF CHILDREN: 2 | MARRIED: ☐ | SINGLE: ☐ | WIDOWED: ☒ | DIVORCED: ☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: RN

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER | # OF YEARS

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: BSN

NAME OF SPOUSE: deceased

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER | # OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

KING, FINULA M NBR-103
212 S FAIRVIEW AVE
SPARTANBURG, SC 29302

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #: 8451699-8461 | BUSINESS TELEPHONE #: 864 560 6618 | ALTERNATE PHONE # | EMERGENCY TELEPHONE # | E-MAIL ADDRESS: Finolaking3@gmail

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 104

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Bartlesville, Barnsdall, Oklahoma	58	1960	1	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Director of Employee Benefits

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Citizens Trust Company — # OF YEARS: 1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: Bachelor Degree

NAME OF SPOUSE: Jonnathan Kirby

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Driver

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Active Day — # OF YEARS: 4

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☒ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? 2011

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

KIRBY, DEBRA F NBR-104
181 TYMBERBROOK DR
LYMAN, SC 29365

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-420-4990	864-479-1240		864 444-4828	dKirby528@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 106

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spbg, Spbg, SC	62	1955	3	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Retired

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Verizon — # OF YEARS: 12

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: BA

NAME OF SPOUSE: Connie D Lane

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Disable

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: USPS — # OF YEARS: 20

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

LANE, BELTON NBR-106
170 WATSON DR
WOODRUFF, SC 29388

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 316 0653		864 476-7419	864 316 0244	BLL062295@Aol.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 110

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Harrisburg, Dauphin, P.A.	48	1970	2	X	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: truck driver/route salesman

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Stericycle — # OF YEARS: 1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 12th grade

NAME OF SPOUSE: Donna M Leiter

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Warehouse distribution

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Eaton — # OF YEARS: 21

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☒ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? Approx. 2015

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

LEITER, MICHAEL S NBR-110
318 STEELMAN DR
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864)205-3652	N/A	(864)497-0589	(864)497-0589	leiterm@icloud.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

DISQUALIFICATIONS EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 112

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, Spartanburg County - S.C.	57	1961	3	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Teacher Assistant

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: The Early Learning Center (ELC) — # OF YEARS: 7½

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School

NAME OF SPOUSE: Michael Lindsey

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Electrical technician

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Celanese — # OF YEARS: 19yrs

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

LINDSEY, TAMMY K NBR-112
530 HUMMINGBIRD LN
CHESNEE, SC 29323

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 504-0462			(704)473-2286	tammy.lindsey@rocketmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 115

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg Spartanburg SC	56	1962	2	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
DATABASE ADMINISTRATOR	LOCKHEED MARTIN	19

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
MASTER'S	Karen

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
retired	SRMC	28

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

LUCKTENBERG, GEORGE T NBR-115
311 SARANAC DR
SPARTANBURG, SC 29307

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
8643452754	864 345 2754	864 4946333	864-494-6333	tedluck@bellsouth.net

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 116

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, SC	27	1991	1	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Banking Spec. 1	TD Bank	

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

LYLES, KHADIJA O NBR-116
124 GEORGE WASHINGTON CARVER D
SPARTANBURG, SC 29306

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-621-1587			864-205-1328	khadijalyles@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

DISQUALIFICATIONS EXEMPTIONS

...ate this top portion from bottom portion at the dotted line. Retain this top portion for your reference.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 119

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Philadelphia Pennsylvania	39	1981	1	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Seat Assembler

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Lear Inc — # OF YEARS: 5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 12 yrs

NAME OF SPOUSE:

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION:

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS:

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

MCCLUNEY, RICHARD E NBR-119
9085 FAIRFOREST RD APR B12
SPARTANBURG, SC 29301

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-205-8391				

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 120

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, Spartanburg, SC	48	1969	1	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Software Sales

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Harris Local Government — # OF YEARS: 12

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: Bachelors Degree

NAME OF SPOUSE: Michelle H. McDowell

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Stay at home mom

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS:

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN? N/A

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

MCDOWELL, CHRISTOPHER R NBR-120
1085 CORIE CREST DR
BOILING SPRINGS, SC 29316

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 814-1729	(864) 855-3900	(864) 616-9462	(864) 680-5409	chris.r.mcdowell1@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

X ---------- Separate this top portion from the rest of the notice ----------

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 124

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH: Hendersonville Henderson NC

AGE: 35 | YEAR OF BIRTH: 1983 | # OF CHILDREN: 1

MARRIED ☒ SINGLE ☐ WIDOWED ☐ DIVORCED ☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Wofford College

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: # OF YEARS: 1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: MS

NAME OF SPOUSE: Michael Merrill

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Coach

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Wofford College # OF YEARS: 2

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

MERRILL, MOLLY D NBR-124
113 MARLIN DR
SPARTANBURG, SC 29307

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 221 5225				mollydpyles@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 125

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH: Greenville, Michigan

AGE: 56 | YEAR OF BIRTH: 1961 | # OF CHILDREN: 3

MARRIED ☒ SINGLE ☐ WIDOWED ☐ DIVORCED ☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Stay at home grandma

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: US Lumber Group # OF YEARS: 15

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: 12

NAME OF SPOUSE:

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: Operations Manager

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: US Lumber # OF YEARS: 23

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☒ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

MILLER, DONNA L NBR-125
146 ASHLAN WOODS CT
GREER, SC 29651

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
879-1261	N/A	907-0142	905-4136	dlm3612@aol.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 133

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
	27	1991	0	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Item Processing Specialist	CresCom Bank	7/12

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Bachelor's Degree	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

NEELY, GREGORY K NBR-133
455 THOMAS RD
PAULINE, SC 29374

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864)529-7503			(864)590-7138	gkneely@live.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 135

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Inman Spartanburg, SC	34	1984	2	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Fire Chief	Hilltop Fire District / North Spartanburg	13

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
College	Lisa Owens

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Pharmacy Tech	Walmart	15

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

OWENS, LINDY J NBR-135
128 CYPRESS DR
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864/504/2221	864-585-1111	864-814-2202	864-504-2279	Lowens@hilltopfire district.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 136

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg South Carolina	45	1972	None	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Former Warehouse Receiver	G&E Parts Center	12 years

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School Graduate	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? No

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

PARTON, JOHNNY T NBR-136
17 ANGLER DR
SPARTANBURG, SC 29303

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 497 0841				

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 139

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
WARRENSVILLE HTS, CUYAHOGA, OHIO	57	1961	Ø	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
MAINTENANCE MATERIAL COORDINATOR	LUBRIZOL ADVANCED MATERIALS	1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
HIGH SCHOOL	NONE

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

PATTON, KEVIN P NBR-139
303 HEATHERBROOK DR
LYMAN, SC 29365

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 879-9449	(864) 237-6672	(864) 921-9085		KPP71361@ATT.NET

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 143

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Duncan, Spartanburg, SC	19	1999	0	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Full time Student

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS: —

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School

NAME OF SPOUSE: —

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: —

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS: —

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

PITTMAN, MICHAELA C NBR-143
636 S MORNINGWOOD LN
DUNCAN, SC 29334

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
8644906287	—	—	8642578077	michaela_pittman@yahoo

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 146

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg Spartanburg South Carolina	47	1970	2	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Maintenance Tech

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Polly Deck Screen Co. # OF YEARS: 1 month

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: H.S.D.

NAME OF SPOUSE: Dana Praytor

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: RN Nurse

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: St. Lukes Hospital # OF YEARS: 3

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

PRAYTOR, CALVIN A NBR-146
414 FLATWOOD RD
SPARTANBURG, SC 29303

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 921 3169				

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 148

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Norfolk, VA	54	1964	3	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Manager	WALMART	9

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School	Laurie Richards

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Home Maker	N/A	30

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

RICHARDS, JEFFREY L JR NBR-148
102 PINEBROOK DR
MOORE, SC 29369

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
336-412-3597	864-814-2889	864-483-3788		LYNNRICH1955@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

DISQUALIFICATIONS | EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC. 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 152

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Charleston, Charleston, SC	48	1970	2	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Insurance Sales	Watson Insurance Agency	17

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Some college	Nancy W. Roberts

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Physical Therapist	Not employed at this time	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

ROBERTS, RANDEL H NBR-152
412 LIONS PAW CT
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-918-7213			864-918-7211	randyr@watsonagency.net

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 156

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Clinton, Laurens Co. SC	56	1962	3	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Squares Dept.	Rich Loom	4 mths

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
GED	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

SALINAS, ANITA D NBR-156
761 BALL PARK RD
ENOREE, SC 29335

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-398-9802				darlenesalinas62@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 159

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	24	1994	0	☐	☑	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
EXPENSE MANAGEMENT DATA TEMP	adidas Group (TEMP AGENCY: FIND GREAT PEOPLE)	1 1/2

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
COLLEGE - BACHELOR OF ARTS	N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A	N/A	N/A

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME?.(OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

SAVATH, SAVANNY R NBR-159
406 BENJAMIN REID CT
DUNCAN, SC 29334

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864)208-6797 (cell)	(864)587-3200 Ext. 3285		(864)431-9771	savannyreneer@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 160

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg. SC	55	1963	2	☐	☐	☐	☑

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
PatientAccess	Spartanburg Regional Hospital	3

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
14yrs	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? N/O

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

SAXON-HOLMES, BARBARA C NBR-160
P.O. BOX 96
ROEBUCK, SC 29376

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
8643165317			864-576-6406	tabsaxon@Hotmail.Co

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 163

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spbg S.C	54	1964	4	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A		

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
12	William E Shelton

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☑ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

SHELTON, TAMMY C NBR-163
206 SHOALLY PARK CT
BOILING SPRINGS, SC 29316

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 165

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
PAWTUCKET, PROVIDENCE, RI	40	1978	3	X	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
HOME MAKER	US DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY	10

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
BACHELOR'S DEGREE	KELLY SIMONDS

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
SELF EMPLOYED	ACID TACTICAL LLC	7

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

SIMONDS, MICHAEL B NBR-165
102 EMERY CT
INMAN, SC 29349

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
203-600 3641	203-600-3641		203-745-9946	MBSIMONDS@AOL.COM

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 167

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Altoona, Blair, Pennsylvania	33	1985	2	X	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
IT Manager	Pool Builders Supply	5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
PhD	Adrienne Smith

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Piano Teacher	self-employed	6

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

SMITH, JOSHUA J NBR-167
34 ROLLINGREEN RD
GREER, SC 29651

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
2143123289	8648798881	8143308126	8143308126	jjsmith115@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018 | JUROR NUMBER: 168

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Pasadena, Los Angeles, California	75	1942	2	☐	L	☒	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Retired	Spartanburg School District #7	7

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
AA Psychology	Widowed 8 years

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

STEPHENS, MARY A NBR-168
628 SECRETARIAT DR
BOILING SPRINGS, SC 29316

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-431-0455	N/A	none	864-384-1060	mastephens210@yahoo.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018 | JUROR NUMBER: 171

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Charleston, Charleston, SC	39	1979	Ø	☐	☒	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Human Resources	Milliken + Company	16

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Bachelor Degree	N/A

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
N/A	N/A	N/A

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A NO ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

STOUDEMIRE, CRYSTAL J NBR-171
P.O. BOX 1334
DRAYTON, SC 29333

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-497-7162	864-503-2262		864-680-5816	Crystal_Stoudemire@hotmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 175

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, Spartanburg, S.C.	52	1966	1	☐	☐	☐	☑

YOUR OCCUPATION: Linen Associate

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: Spartanburg Regional — # OF YEARS: 12

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: High School Diploma

NAME OF SPOUSE:

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION:

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS:

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

THOMASON, RICKEY H NBR-175
791 CONWAY BLACK RD
SPARTANBURG, SC 29307

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 909-4362	560-6219	~~579~~-3058	415-6219	HoReCy Thomason@gmail.

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 178

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Dothan Houston Co. Alabama	51	1967	2	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION: Chief Operating Officer

PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: American Credit Acceptance (ACA) — # OF YEARS: 5

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED: BBA

NAME OF SPOUSE: Stephanie Trawick

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION: —

SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER: — # OF YEARS: —

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☒ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN? 2011

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

TRAWICK, TODD D NBR-178
P.O. BOX 340
CAMPOBELLO, SC 29322

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-580-3063	Same		262-902-6616	thefurryfarm28@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 181

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Greenville, Greenville, SC	32	1985	0	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Asst. Phlebotomy Supervisor	Spartanburg Regional Medical Center	2 1/2

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Some college	Edward Tsinnie

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Flooring Installer	S.W. Flooring	2

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

TSINNIE, ELYSE F NBR-181
27 COUNTRY CLUB DR
GREER, SC 29651

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864 346 5209				howarde2b@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

DISQUALIFICATIONS EXEMPTIONS

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 184

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Spartanburg, SC	29	1988	1	☑	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Customer Service Rep	Travers Tool Company	4

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
High School	Adam Waddell

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
CNC Operator	SEW Eurodrive	5

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?
IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☑ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☑ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

WADDELL, KOURTNEY J NBR-184
102 SOUTHLAND AVE
BOILING SPRINGS, SC 29316

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-542-7041				kbjones3490@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS
Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 186

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Conway, Horry, South Carolina	43	1975	3	X	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Investments	WJ Partners, LLC	10

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Masters	Jaime Wall

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Investments	WJ Partners LLC	8

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

WALL, BENJAMIN R II NBR-186
1200 PARTRIDGE RD
SPARTANBURG, SC 29302

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
917-767-7124				

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 192

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Cowpens, Spartanburg, S.C.	54	1961	4	☐	☐	X	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
Packer	Prym Dritz	-1

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
G.E.D.	

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY? IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

WILLIAMS, CHERYL B NBR-192
860 CEMETERY RD
COWPENS, SC 29330

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
(864) 494-1090			(864) 594-1809	cherwill29330@gmail.com

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.
NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Separate this top portion from bottom portion at the dotted line. Retain this top portion for your reference.

Please return the bottom portion of this notice at Spartanburg Co. Courthouse, 180 Magnolia Street Spartanburg, SC, 29306- within 3 days.

JUROR INFORMATION SECTION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE CLEARLY)

FOR TERM BEGINNING WEEK OF: 09/10/2018

JUROR NUMBER: 197

CITY, COUNTY, STATE OF BIRTH	AGE	YEAR OF BIRTH	# OF CHILDREN	MARRIED	SINGLE	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
Woodruff, Spartanburg, S.C.	51	1966	2	☒	☐	☐	☐

YOUR OCCUPATION	PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
owner WTW Grading and Woodruff Farm	Self employed	17

LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION COMPLETED	NAME OF SPOUSE
Tec School	Victoria Woodruff

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	SPOUSE'S PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYER	# OF YEARS
hair dresser	Victoria's Hair Care owner/selfemployed	29

HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A ☐ CIVIL JURY, OR ☐ CRIMINAL JURY?

IF SO, WHEN?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PARTY TO A CIVIL LAWSUIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? (OTHER THAN A MINOR TRAFFIC OFFENSE) ☐ YES ☒ NO

NAME AND/OR ADDRESS CORRECTION: If the information below is incorrect, please write in the correct information in the space provided to the left.

WOODRUFF, CHARLES B NBR-197
2225 HORSESHOE FALLS RD
ENOREE, SC 29335

INFORMATION BELOW WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO OFFICERS OF THE COURT

PHONE #	BUSINESS TELEPHONE #	ALTERNATE PHONE #	EMERGENCY TELEPHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS
864-969-3428	Same		864 680-6148	

JUROR RESPONSE SECTION INSTRUCTIONS

Retain the top portion of this for your reference and return the bottom portion of the form along with any required affidavits or written statements in the self-addressed envelope provided.

NOTE: Unless the clerk of court otherwise notifies you, you must report for jury duty as requested.

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
1	Adams, Caitlyn E		1104 CASEY RD, WOODRUFF 29388	W	F	
2	Adams, Joseph C		405 Lemonade Rd, Pacolet 29372	N	M	
3	Addis, Morgan L		103 S Spencer St, Duncan 29334	W	F	NRS
4	Allison, Scottie W		~~340 MAIN ST E, CONVERSE 29329~~ P.O Box 223 resent 9/4	W	M	
5	Amble, Crystal M		1736 RIDDLE RD, PAULINE 29374	W	F	
6	Anderson, Tracey N		7557 Lone Oak St Lot 49, Spartanburg 29303	W	F	Tran request Oct 15
7	Andrews, Derrick J		8219 Highway 56, Enoree 29335	W	M	
8	Artison, Jerome G Jr.		~~5 Culp St Apt A7, Inman 29349~~ 107 Haynes Manor Dr Campobello resent 8/22	B	M	
9	Austin, Vogel Cindy L		301 ELIJAH SIMMONS RD, WOODRUFF 29388	W	F	NRS
10	Bell, Joshua S		~~407 WOODSON CT, SPARTANBURG 29303~~ 1225 Meridian River Run 29301 resent 8/20	W	M	
11	Belue, Diane E		206 Cart Dr, Spartanburg 29307 420 Forest Lin Duncan resent 8/27	W	F	age
12	Bishop, Kayla M		280 Wofford Rd, Woodruff 29388	W	F	
13	Blanton, Jesse L		131 Summer Lady Ln, Boiling Springs 29316	W	M	Conviction
14	Booker, Kenneth J		416 Kenyon Ct, Spartanburg 29301	B	M	
15	Bowyer, David E Jr.		110 Cantrell Ridge Dr, Spartanburg 29316	W	M	work conflict Tran Oct 15
16	Brady, Kathryn E		836 Shoresbrook Dr, Spartanburg 29301	W	F	
17	Bridges, Mary A		820 Primrose Ln, Spartanburg 29303	W	F	
18	Bridwell, Allen E		219 S Hills Dr, Wellford 29385	W	M	

819

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
19	Brooks, Randi G		203 S Hampton Dr, Spartanburg 29306	W	F	
20	Camacho, Cullen R		130 Half Mile Rd, Moore 29369	W	M	NRS
21	Caulder, Matthew-blake T		551 Tizian Ln, Inman 29349	W	M	
22	Chapman, Ned C		7911 HIGHWAY 56, ENOREE 29335	W	M	Age
23	Choi, Anthony		363 Still Water Cir, Boiling Springs 29316	O	M	Chiro student Tran Summer
24	Clark, Gerald M		767 KINGSWOOD VALLEY DR, MOORE 29369	W	M	
25	Clayton, Rachel V		7445 HIGHWAY 357, CAMPOBELLO 29322	W	F	Age
26	Clements, Andrew W		537 Poplar St, Spartanburg 29302	W	M	NRS
27	Coggins, Lori C		840 W River Grove Cir, Roebuck 29376	W	F	
28	Cole, Casey J		401 Peachtree Rd, Spartanburg 29302	W	M	
29	Cole, Dawayne A		260 Clement Rd, Chesnee 29323	W	M	
30	Cole, Tamara H		1881 John Dodd Rd, Wellford 29385	W	F	School nurse Tran Jan
31	Coleman, William A IV		217 Cart Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	M	UTL
32	Collier, Charles D		460 ROBINSON DAIRY RD, ENOREE 29335	W	M	NRS
33	Collins, Cynthia D		413 Moteverde Ct, Inman 29349	W	F	already Served Jury
34	Contreras, Richard C		3180 HIGHWAY 56, PAULINE 29374	W	M	
35	Cooke, Lynn Sisk		322 E Celestial Dr, Greer 29651	W	F	
36	Coon, Lindsey C		580 E Main St, Spartanburg 29302	W	F	NRS

820

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
37	Cooper, Linda L		154 Wyatt Rd, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	NES
38	Copeland, Sherlonda Y		800 Heath Ln Apt 1, Spartanburg 29301	B	F	
39	Coppola, Jennifer J		120 N River Hills Dr, Spartanburg 29303	W	F	
40	Crain, Lafoy J		7425 Lone Oak Blvd, Spartanburg 29303	W	M	Deceased
41	Crawford, Timika M		117 Center Dr, Greer 29651	B	F	Childcare
42	Davis, Crystal L		2013 Glennwood Hills Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	F	
43	Davis, Irene M		380 STONE RD, CAMPOBELLO 29322	W	F	age
44	Davis, Joseph C		1410 Lake Bowen Dam Rd, Inman 29349	W	M	
45	Deal, Taylor K		320 Tracy Ln, Moore 29369	W	M	already served
46	Debiase, Jerauld D		627 Hideaway Cv, Inman 29349	W	M	
47	Dejesus, Lee J		159 Willowood Dr, Spartanburg 29303	H	M	
48	Delozier, Leslie H		606 Claystone Dr, Inman 29349	W	F	
49	Donofrio, Patricia A		204 Chelsea St, Moore 29369	W	F	
50	Downs, Willie L		102 HILLY HILL RD, DUNCAN 29334	B	M	conviction
51	Doyle, Briget C		166 Sugar Hill Ct, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	Teacher Train May
52	Dreher, David W		331 Vivians Ct, Woodruff 29388	N	M	
53	Drummond, Pauline T		180 S Pine Lake Dr Apt 26A, Spartanburg 29306	B	F	
54	Drummond, Travis K		418 Sea Breeze Way, Lyman 29365	B	M	

821

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
~~55~~	Duncan, David R		890 Vandenburg Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	M	Age
~~56~~	Elliott, Jason K		~~15 Cedar Hill Dr, Campobello 29322~~ 151 Holly Springs Church Rd Inman Resent 8/20	W	M	
57	Ellison, Pamela E		~~2400 Silver Thread Ln Apt 308, Duncan 29334~~ 264 Zimmerman Rd Inman Resent 8/20	W	F	
~~58~~	Ferguson, Odell Iii		~~781 Camp St, Spartanburg 29303~~ 327 N. Dean St 29302 Resent 8/22	B	M	
~~59~~	Fowler, Michael A		215 River Forest Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	M	Conviction
~~60~~	Freeman, Bryan E		5572 Cross Anchor Rd, Enoree 29335	W	M	
~~61~~	Freund, Judith A		391 Bright Farm Rd, Duncan 29334	W	F	Age
~~62~~	Frye, Sherland N		336 REGAL KNOLLS LN, INMAN 29349	M	M	
~~63~~	Galloway, Tincy C		633 Duncan Station Dr, Duncan 29334	B	F	
64	Gardner, Christopher D		119 Kinsland Dr, Chesnee 29323	W	M	
~~65~~	Garrett, Ashton L		5930 Highway 101, Woodruff 29388	W	F	Student Trans after Sept 2019
66	George, Ouida S		206 Clematis Ct, Moore 29369	W	F	
67	Gist, Kimberly M		111 Hanover Pl Apt 13, Spartanburg 29306	B	F	
68	Gogolevskiy, Emma V		154 S LAKE EMORY DR, INMAN 29349	W	F	
69	Gordon, Jamal A		106 CHESTER ST, SPARTBURG 29301	B	M	
~~70~~	Gowan, Anne L		570 Foster Mill Rd, Spartanburg 29302	W	F	
~~71~~	Grant, Matthew S		140 CREST RD, CAMPOBELLO 29322	W	M	
~~72~~	Green, Mindy S		701 HARRISON GROVE RD, ROEBUCK 29376	W	F	

822

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
73	Greene, Joshua S		138 S Blackstock Rd, Spartanburg 29301	W	M	
74	Griswold, Delaine G		120 Springside Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	vacation for Tran Sept 17
75	Hall, Averi J		327 HILLCOVE PT, WELLFORD 29385	W	F	Military
76	Hall, Catia S		106 HAMPTON CT, CHESNEE 29323	W	F	
77	Hall, Dorothy I		116 Churchill Ave, Greer 29651	W	F	age
78	Hames, Charlotte V		202 Overhead Bridge Rd, Chesnee 29323	W	F	
79	Hardin, Whitney C		566 Meadowbrook Ave, Woodruff 29388	W	F	
80	Harmon, Christina M		2479 COUNTRY CLUB RD, SPARTANBURG 29302	W	F	NBS
81	Harris, Nicolas K		25 ROLLINGREEN RD, GREER 29651	W	M	conviction in Jail
82	Harris, William R		230 Country Haven Dr, Cowpens 29330	W	M	UTL
83	Hartsell, Ashley P		235 Earles Fort Rd, Landrum 29356	W	F	
84	Hatten, Louis E		2109 GAP CREEK RD, GREER 29651	W	M	
85	High, Daniel J		110 OAK ST, ARCADIA 29320	W	M	
86	Hinton, Cynthia E		479 Cinnamon Ridge Dr, Inman 29349	W	F	
87	Hogan, Adelaine B		726 Rutledge St, Spartanburg 29302	W	F	
88	Holtzclaw, John L		749 Brockman McClimon Rd, Greer 29651	W	M	age
89	Humphries, Robert P		790 Old Island Ford Rd, Chesnee 29323	W	M	Tran Oct 29 work conflict
90	Jackson, Hollis W		566 EDWARDS RD, INMAN 29349	W	M	Deceased

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
~~91~~	Jefferies, Ervin T		~~136 Huxley St, Spartanburg 29303~~ 8 Idlewood St 29307 resent 8123	B	M	
92	Jefferies, Nysha M		~~201 Powell Mill Rd Apt J202, Spartanburg 29301~~ 250 Pierpont Ave Ext Apt 107 resent 8120	B	F	
~~93~~	Johnson, Darlene W		175 Holly Dr, Chesnee 29323	W	F	age
~~94~~	Johnson, Lorie M		109 Woodcreek Dr, Spartanburg 29303	W	F	NRS
~~95~~	Jones, Lacey M		529 Clement Rd, Chesnee 29323	W	F	
96	Kelley, Michael S		546 Live Oak Ct, Greer 29651	W	M	
~~97~~	Kellogg, Raymond D		624 Courtland Way, Greer 29651	W	M	age
~~98~~	Kelly, Margaret G		5485 Parris Bridge Rd, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	Deceased
99	Ketterman, Zachary A		27 10TH ST, GREER 29651	W	M	
~~100~~	Kimmer, Martha L		1750 Sugar Ridge Rd, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	age
101	Kind, Kelsey B		1121 LITTLE MOUNTAIN RD, WELLFORD 29385	W	M	
~~102~~	King, Arnold T		104 Mary Ella Dr, Spartanburg 29301	W	M	age
~~103~~	King, Finula M		212 S FAIRVIEW AVE, SPARTANBURG 29302	W	F	
~~104~~	Kirby, Debra F		181 Tymberbrook Dr, Lyman 29365	W	F	
~~105~~	Knuckles, Robert E		501 Stewart St, Spartanburg 29306	B	M	age
~~106~~	Lane, Belton		170 Watson Dr, Woodruff 29388	B	M	
~~107~~	Lanford, Cecil F		615 Perrin Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	M	age
108	Laplant, Alaina R		208 ROCKINGHAM RD, DUNCAN 29334	W	F	

824

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
109	Lawrence, Erin D		~~924 Allen St, Woodruff 29388~~ 15710 Highway 221 Enoree 29335 8/20	W	F	
110	Leiter, Michael S		318 Steelman Dr, Inman 29349	W	M	
111	Lewis, Kenneth B		407 PLEASANT GREEN DR, INMAN 29349	B	M	conviction
112	Lindsey, Tammy K		530 Hummingbird Ln, Chesnee 29323	W	F	
113	Littlejohn, Trikedda M		417 Bentridge Dr, Spartanburg 29301	B	F	NRS
114	Logan, Frank		305 Willow Oaks Dr, Spartanburg 29301	B	M	
115	Lucktenberg, George T		311 Saranac Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	M	
116	Lyles, Khadija O		124 George Washington Carver D, Spartanburg 29306	B	F	
117	Martin, Shellie T		600 Briarcliff Dr, Woodruff 29388	W	M	ASE
118	Mccauley, Cristy M		522 Fawn Branch Trl, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	
119	Mccluney, Richard E		~~112 Wood St, Cowpens 29330~~ 9585 Fairforest Rd Apt 812 Moore 29369 8/20	B	M	
120	Mcdowell, Christopher R		1085 Corie Crest Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	M	
121	Mcneely, John M		4052 Glenn Springs Rd, Pauline 29374	W	M	ASE
122	Meade, Derinda P		160 Wells Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	
123	Meredith-gory, Michelle B		237 W Chatelain Dr, Spartanburg 29301	B	F	NRS
124	Merrill, Molly D		113 Marlin Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	F	
125	Miller, Donna L		146 Ashlan Woods Ct, Greer 29651	W	F	
126	Miller, Timothy S		415 Spring St, Woodruff 29388	B	M	Conviction

825

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
~~127~~	Mitchell, Connor S		109 Whites Mill Way, Spartanburg 29307	W	M	Tran June Student
128	Mitchell, Robert L Jr.		151 Spring St, Boiling Springs 29316	W	M	
~~129~~	Mize, Ann D		510 Sierra Ridge Ct, Moore 29369	W	F	phy unable
~~130~~	Moore, Alice		109 Woodhaven Dr, Greer 29651	B	F	Age
~~131~~	Morrison, Samuel M		456 Mud Creek Rd, Inman 29349	W	M	Tran Oct vaca pd for 8mn
132	Mucha, Marisa M		190 YANK DR, REIDVILLE 29375	W	F	
~~133~~	Neely, Gregory K		455 Thomas Rd, Pauline 29374	W	M	
~~134~~	Odell, Charles W		151 Highridge Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	M	Work conflict Tran Aug 27
~~135~~	Owens, Lindy J		128 Cypress Dr, Inman 29349	W	M	T/F to January.
~~136~~	Parton, Johnny T		17 ANGLER DR, SPARTANBURG 29303	W	M	
~~137~~	Patnoude, Brendon D		467 Grazing Ridge Ln, Moore 29369	W	M	phy unable
~~138~~	Patton, Arthur T		216 Ranier Dr, Inman 29349	W	M	Age
~~139~~	Patton, Kevin P		303 Heatherbrook Dr, Lyman 29365	W	M	
~~140~~	Pearson, Joshua C		104 Glyn Oaks Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	M	Tran to Summer Student
141	Petty, Christina		1020 Hardee Ct, Spartanburg 29303	B	F	
~~142~~	Pigulko, Anna V		~~1630 SUGAR RIDGE RD, BOILING SPRINGS 29316~~ 410 Riveroak Cir Inman Present 8/20	W	F	Work conflict Tran Oct 29
~~143~~	Pittman, Michaela C		636 S MORNINGWOOD LN, DUNCAN 29334	W	F	
144	Placencia, Carina		910 Old Wagon Rd, Boiling Springs 29316	H	F	

826

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
145	Porter, Roosevelt		130 RAINTREE DR, SPARTANBURG 29301	B	M	Age
146	Praytor, Calvin A		414 Flatwood Rd, Spartanburg 29303	W	M	
147	Reid, Robert A		108 S Craft St, Lyman 29365	B	M	
148	Richards, Jeffrey L JR		102 PINEBROOK DR, MOORE 29369	W	M	
149	Richards, Phavady N		140 Harbrooke Cir, Greer 29651	A	F	DVS
150	Riddle, Arden D		170 QUARTER RD, SPARTANBURG 29302	W	M	Conviction
151	Robbins, Ernest E		2578 John Dodd Rd, Wellford 29385	W	M	UTL
152	Roberts, Randel H		412 Lions Paw Ct, Inman 29349	W	M	
153	Rogers, Alisa R		10192 HIGHWAY 221, WOODRUFF 29388	W	F	Work conflict Tran Nov 26
154	Rookard, Ced D		511 DODD LN, SPARTANBURG 29303	B	M	
155	Russell, Leslie A		851 WICKLOW RD, CAMPOBELLO 29322	W	F	request Tran Nov 13
156	Salinas, Anita D		761 Ball Park Rd, Enoree 29335	W	F	
157	Sarratt, Johnathan J		~~300 HARVEY RD, GREER 29651~~ 3 primrose Dr resent 8/22	W	M	In jail
158	Satterfield, Scott A		616 Westmoreland Rd, Greer 29651	W	M	DVS
159	Savath, Savanny R		406 Benjamin Reid Ct, Duncan 29334	A	F	
160	Saxon-holmes, Barbara C		~~2730 Stone Station Rd, Roebuck 29376~~ P.O. Box 96 resent 8/22	B	F	
161	Scruggs, Amanda R		500 Mahaffey Cooley Rd, Chesnee 29323	W	F	Teacher Tran Summer
162	Sellars, Anne P		303 N Virginia Ave, Chesnee 29323	W	F	Age

827

SEPT 10 2018

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
Juror Venire/Worksheet

Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
~~163~~	Shelton, Tammy C	_____	206 Shoally Park Ct, Boiling Springs 29316	B	F	_____
~~164~~	Sherbert, Harley M	_____	327 BERRYHILL DR, BOILING SPRINGS 29316	W	F	Tran Teacher home
~~165~~	Simonds, Michael B	_____	102 Emery Ct, Inman 29349	W	M	_____
~~166~~	Skelton, Shaun C	_____	~~790 Mount Lebanon Rd, Campobello 29322~~ 525 Inman Rd Lyman resent 8120	W	M	_____
~~167~~	Smith, Joshua J	_____	34 Rollingreen Rd, Greer 29651	W	M	_____
~~168~~	Stephens, Mary A	_____	628 Secretariat Dr, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	_____
169	Stewart, Mark A	_____	111 Williston Way, Moore 29369	B	M	_____
~~170~~	Stinnett, Eyra S	_____	535 Briarcliff Dr, Woodruff 29388	H	F	NRS (AR)
~~171~~	Stoudemire, Crystal J	_____	P.O. BOX 1334, DRAYTON 29333	W	F	_____
~~172~~	Strawser, H R	_____	332 Meadowpark Ln, Duncan 29334	W	M	_____
~~173~~	Sullivan, Kay B	_____	424 Harbour View Dr, Chesnee 29323	W	F	NRS
~~174~~	Terry, Shakirah A	_____	107 Bria Ct, Woodruff 29388	B	F	childcare
~~175~~	Thomason, Rickey H	_____	791 CONWAY BLACK RD, SPARTANBURG 29307	W	M	_____
~~176~~	Thompson, Gary A	_____	~~180 Avalon Dr, Inman 29349~~ 145 Meadowlake Dr resent 8120	W	M	Request Tran NOV 13
~~177~~	Todd, John G	_____	318 Alexander Ave, Spartanburg 29306	B	M	conviction
~~178~~	Trawick, Todd D	_____	~~219 New Castle Dr, Duncan 29334~~ P.O Box 340 Campobello resent 8120	W	M	_____
179	Trimnal, Peggy L	_____	128 Sonora Dr, Inman 29349	W	F	_____
180	Trivol, Alexey	_____	~~553 CROMWELL DR, SPARTANBURG 29301~~ 123 Owens Ave resent 8123	W	M	_____

828

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

SEPT 10 2018 — **Juror Venire/Worksheet** — Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
~~181~~	Tsinnie, Elyse F		27 Country Club Dr, Greer 29651	W	F	
~~182~~	Turner, Susan H		2225 Hickory Dr, Spartanburg 29302	W	F	Teacher Train 2019
183	Vickers, Pearl L		8056 White Ave, Spartanburg 29303	B	F	
~~184~~	Waddell, Kourtney J		102 Southland Ave, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	
~~185~~	Wade, Beverly Y		224 N Lanford Rd, Spartanburg 29301	B	F	
~~186~~	Wall, Benjamin R II		1200 Partridge Rd, Spartanburg 29302	W	M	
~~187~~	West, Karen B		450 Belcher Rd, Boiling Springs 29316	W	F	Age
188	Westmoreland, Delia M		925 Abner Creek Rd, Greer 29651	W	F	
189	Whitaker, Monique L		P.O. BOX 464, ARCADIA 29320	B	F	
190	Whiteside, Samara N		414 Shaw Ave, Spartanburg 29306	B	F	
191	Wilkins, Riketah L		2141 New Cut Rd, Spartanburg 29303	B	F	
~~192~~	Williams, Cheryl B		860 Cemetery Rd, Cowpens 29330	W	F	
193	Williams, Nathan B		~~7211 Highway 357, Campobello 29322~~ 447 Cole Rd Campobello resent 9/14	W	M	
~~194~~	Wilson, Kimberly K		288 Bethany Church Rd, Moore 29369	W	F	Childcare primary caregiver
~~195~~	Wilson, Marrietta S		179 Winfield Dr, Spartanburg 29307	W	F	Age
~~196~~	Wood, Clarence T		412 Crescent Greenpond Rd, Woodruff 29388	W	M	Age
~~197~~	Woodruff, Charles B		2225 Horseshoe Falls Rd, Enoree 29335	W	M	
~~198~~	Woods, Nancy M		229 Moonstone Ln, Duncan 29334	B	F	Teacher Train Summer

829

SEPT 10 2018

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
Juror Venire/Worksheet

Sorted By Seq Nbr

	NAME	MILEAGE	ADDRESS	RACE	SEX	COMMENTS
~~199~~ X	Wright, Dena S	_____	25 Lantern Dr, Greer 29651	W	F	NRS
~~200~~	Young, Wende H	_____	123 Valley Dr, Greer 29651	W	F	Trans work conflict April May

Total Number of Jurors: 200

201. Wilhelmy Dwen ~~Wilhelmy Likotan~~

202. Jones Fran

830

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse

ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

(29)

Hope

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
1	Summoned	Adams, Caitlyn E	1104 CASEY RD WOODRUFF 29388	W F 2/ 7/1997	0 Yes	23
2	Summoned	Adams, Joseph C	405 Lemonade Rd Pacolet 29372	N M 5/21/1997	0 Yes	Tran Dec 10 Working hurricane
3	Not a Resident	Addis, Morgan L	103 S Spencer St Duncan 29334	W F 3/27/1997	0 No	
4	Summoned	Allison, Scottie W	P.O. BOX 223 340 Main St E CONVERSE 29329	W M 1/29/1978	1 No	UTL
5	Summoned	Amble, Crystal M	413 MCFALL CT MOORE 29369	W F 8/13/1980	0 Yes	15
6	Transferred Out	Anderson, Tracey N	7557 Lone Oak St Lot 49 Spartanburg 29303	W F 11/ 1/1967	1 No	
7	Summoned	Andrews, Derrick J	8219 Highway 56 Enoree 29335	W M 5/11/1971	0 No	25
8	Summoned	Artison, Jerome G Jr.	107 HAYNES MORROW DR CAMPOBELLO 29322	B M 5/13/1990	0 No	Disqualified 27
9	Not a Resident	Austin, Vogel Cindy L	301 ELIJAH SIMMONS RD WOODRUFF 29388	W F 3/15/1959	0 No	
10	Summoned	Bell, Joshua S	420 PANGEL LN DUNCAN 29334	W M 6/ 1/1999	0 No	UTL
11	Over 65 requests exc	Belue, Diane E	206 Cart Dr Spartanburg 29307	W F 4/ 2/1945	0 No	
12	Summoned	Bishop, Kayla M	280 Wofford Rd Woodruff 29388	W F 5/18/1992	0 No	12

5

831

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
13	Criminal Conviction	Blanton, Jesse L	131 Summer Lady Ln Boiling Springs 29316	W M 10/13/1972	0 No	
14	Summoned	Booker, Kenneth J	416 Kenyon Ct Spartanburg 29301	B M 1/ 1/1965	0 Yes	8
15	Transferred Out	Bowyer, David E Jr.	110 Cantrell Ridge Dr Spartanburg 29316	W M 2/ 2/1964	1 No	
16	Summoned	Brady, Kathryn E	836 Shoresbrook Dr Spartanburg 29301	W F 6/28/1968	0 Yes	5
17	Summoned	Bridges, Mary A	820 Primrose Ln Spartanburg 29303	W F 1/ 2/1960	0 Yes	10
18	Summoned	Bridwell, Allen E	219 S Hills Dr Wellford 29385	W M 1/ 5/1955	0 Yes	23
19	Summoned	Brooks, Randi G	203 S Hampton Dr Spartanburg 29306	W F 8/22/1984	0 No	UTL
20	Not a Resident	Camacho, Cullen R	130 Half Mile Rd Moore 29369	W M 3/19/1993	0 No	
21	Summoned	Caulder, Matthew-blake	551 Tizian Ln Inman 29349	W M 6/20/1998	0 No	UTL
22	Over 65 requests exc	Chapman, Ned C	7911 HIGHWAY 56 ENOREE 29335	W M 12/28/1946	0 No	
23	Transferred Out	Choi, Anthony	363 Still Water Cir Boiling Springs 29316	O M 3/23/1981	1 No	
24	Summoned	Clark, Gerald M	767 KINGSWOOD VALLEY DR MOORE 29369	W M 7/30/1976	0 Yes	12

832

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
25	Over 65 requests exc	Clayton, Rachel V	7445 HIGHWAY 357 CAMPOBELLO 29322	W F 2/27/1944	0 No	
26	Not a Resident	Clements, Andrew W	537 Poplar St Spartanburg 29302	W M 8/31/1981	0 No	
27	Transferred IN	Osment, Lori C	840 W River Grove Cir Roebuck 29376	W F 3/3/1982	1 Yes	Transfer (Jan 2019) 25 Second week of Jan
28	Summoned	Cole, Casey J	401 Peachtree Rd Spartanburg 29302	W M 2/25/1960	0 No	4
29	Transferred IN	Cole, Dawayne A	260 Clement Rd Chesnee 29323	W M 10/27/1963	2 No	18
30	Transferred Out	Cole, Tamara H	1881 John Dodd Rd Wellford 29385	W F 8/8/1963	1 No	
31	Unable to Locate	Coleman, William A IV	217 Cart Dr Spartanburg 29307	W M 10/14/1994	0 No	
32	Not a Resident	Collier, Charles D	460 ROBINSON DAIRY RD ENOREE 29335	W M 6/10/1994	0 No	
33	Not a Resident	Collins, Cynthia D	413 Moteverde Ct Inman 29349	W F 6/24/1966	0 No	Excused today following Dr. Statement by
34	Summoned	Contreras, Richard C	3180 HIGHWAY 56 PAULINE 29374	W M 9/12/1961	0 No	9 20 days
35	Summoned	Cooke, Lynn Sisk	322 E Celestial Dr Greer 29651	W F 1/29/1956	0 Yes	20
36	Not a Resident	Coon, Lindsey C	580 E Main St Spartanburg 29302	W F 8/11/1989	0 No	~~20~~

15

833

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
37	Not a Resident	Cooper, Linda L	154 Wyatt Rd Boiling Springs 29316	W F 8/29/1950	0 No	
38	Transferred IN	Copeland, Sherlonda Y	800 Heath Ln Apt 1 Spartanburg 29301	B F 10/28/1967	2 No	5
39	Transferred IN	Coppola, Jennifer J	120 N River Hills Dr Spartanburg 29303	W F 10/30/1983	6 Yes	7 Transfer to Summer June ✓
40	Deceased	Crain, Lafoy J	7425 Lone Oak Blvd Spartanburg 29303	W M 2/ 5/1920	0 No	
41	Children Under Seven	Crawford, Timika M	117 Center Dr Greer 29651	B F 12/27/1982	0 No	
42	Summoned	Davis, Crystal L	2013 Glennwood Hills Dr Spartanburg 29307	W F 11/27/1982	0 Yes	10
43	Over 65 requests exc	Davis, Irene M	380 STONE RD CAMPOBELLO 29322	W F 1/22/1939	0 No	
44	Summoned	Davis, Joseph C	1410 Lake Bowen Dam Rd Inman 29349	W M 3/12/1986	0 Yes	13
45	Jury Duty W/I Past 3	Deal, Taylor K	320 Tracy Ln Moore 29369	W M 7/ 4/1984	0 No	
46	Summoned	Debiase, Jerauld D	627 Hideaway Cv Inman 29349	W M 2/23/1968	0 Yes	10
47	Summoned	Dejesus, Lee J	159 Willowood Dr Spartanburg 29303	H M 11/18/1969	0 No	UTL
48	Transferred IN	Delozier, Leslie H	606 Claystone Dr Inman 29349	W F 6/10/1978	1 Yes	15

834

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
49	Summoned	Donofrio, Patricia A	204 Chelsea St Moore 29369	W F 10/19/1972	0 Yes	5
50	Criminal Conviction	Downs, Willie L	102 HILLY HILL RD DUNCAN 29334	B M 3/30/1950	0 No	
51	Transferred Out	Doyle, Briget C	166 Sugar Hill Ct Boiling Springs 29316	W F 11/15/1973	1 No	
52	Summoned	Dreher, David W	331 Vivians Ct Woodruff 29388	N M 4/16/1981	0 No	UTL
53	Summoned	Drummond, Pauline T	180 S Pine Lake Dr Apt 26A Spartanburg 29306	B F 2/17/1929	0 No	DSE
54	Summoned	Drummond, Travis K	418 Sea Breeze Way Lyman 29365	B M 1/14/1982	0 Yes	18
55	Over 65 requests exc	Duncan, David R	890 Vandenburg Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W M 2/ 8/1943	0 No	
56	Summoned	Elliott, Jason K	451 HOLLY SPRINGS CHURCH RD INMAN 29349	W M 6/30/1982	0 Yes	10
57	Summoned	Ellison, Pamela E	264 ZIMMERMAN RD INMAN 29349	W F 2/20/1982	0 No	18
58	Summoned	Ferguson, Odell Iii	327 N DEAN ST Spartanburg 29302	B M 8/ 8/1965	0 Yes	2
59	Criminal Conviction	Fowler, Michael A	215 River Forest Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W M 4/28/1958	0 No	
60	Summoned	Freeman, Bryan E	5560 Cross Anchor Rd Enoree 29335	W M 5/30/1964	0 Yes	18

835

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
61	Over 65 requests exc	Freund, Judith A	391 Bright Farm Rd Duncan 29334	W F 9/27/1943	0 No	
62	Summoned	Frye, Sherland N	336 REGAL KNOLLS LN INMAN 29349	M M 3/17/1998	0 Yes	10
63	Transferred IN	Galloway, Tincy C	633 Duncan Station Dr Duncan 29334	B F 1/24/1990	1 Yes	12
64	Summoned	Gardner, Christopher D	119 Kinsland Dr Chesnee 29323	W M 9/ 5/1977	0 No	UTL
65	Transferred Out	Garrett, Ashton L	5930 Highway 101 Woodruff 29388	W F 2/ 7/1995	1 No	
66	Summoned	George, Ouida S	206 Clematis Ct Moore 29369	W F 1/24/1923	0 No	Age
67	Summoned	Gist, Kimberly M	111 Hanover Pl Apt 13 Spartanburg 29306	B F 6/28/1972	0 No	UTL Mail returned
68	Summoned	Gogolevskiy, Emma V	154 S LAKE EMORY DR INMAN 29349	W F 8/26/1999	0 No	Tran No show letter Nov 26
69	Summoned	Gordon, Jamal A	106 CHESTER ST SPARTBURG 29301	B M 3/24/1985	0 No	NRS
70	Summoned	Gowan, Anne L	560 Foster Mill Rd Spartanburg 29302	W F 9/24/1965	0 Yes	15
71	Transferred Out	Grant, Matthew S	140 CREST RD CAMPOBELLO 29322	W M 7/28/1986	1 No	
72	Summoned	Green, Mindy S	701 HARRISON GROVE RD ROEBUCK 29376	W F 2/ 6/1979	1 Yes	ND: Show letter

30

836

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
73	Transferred IN	Greene, Joshua S	138 S Blackstock Rd Spartanburg 29301	W M 8/ 2/1990	1 No	UTL
74	Transferred Out	Griswold, Delaine G	120 Springside Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W F 4/26/1957	1 No	
75	Military	Hall, Averi J	327 HILLCOVE PT WELLFORD 29385	W F 7/ 3/1994	0 No	
76	Transferred IN	Hall, Catia S	106 HAMPTON CT CHESNEE 29323	W F 7/13/1984	1 Yes	15
77	Over 65 requests exc	Hall, Dorothy I	116 Churchill Ave Greer 29651	W F 9/30/1934	0 No	
78	Transferred Out	Hames, Charlotte V	202 Overhead Bridge Rd Chesnee 29323	W F 8/23/1965	1 No	
79	Summoned	Hardin, Whitney C	566 Meadowbrook Ave Woodruff 29388	W F 10/16/1985	0 Yes	20
80	Not a Resident	Harmon, Christina M	2479 COUNTRY CLUB RD SPARTANBURG 29302	W F 3/20/1991	0 No	
81	Criminal Conviction	Harris, Nicolas K	25 ROLLINGREEN RD GREER 29651	W M 3/12/1993	0 No	
82	Unable to Locate	Harris, William R	230 Country Haven Dr Cowpens 29330	W M 5/28/1992	0 No	
83	Summoned	Hartsell, Ashley P	235 Earles Fort Rd Landrum 29356	W F 11/11/1992	0 Yes	20
84	Summoned	Hatten, Louis E	2109 GAP CREEK RD GREER 29651	W M 3/25/1958	0 Yes	17

837

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
85	Summoned	High, Daniel J	P.O. BOX 555 ARCADIA 29320	W M 1/20/1985	0 Yes	8
86	Summoned	Hinton, Cynthia E	479 Cinnamon Ridge Dr Inman 29349	W F 2/20/1965	0 Yes	22
87	Summoned	Hogan, Adelaine B	726 Rutledge St Spartanburg 29302	W F 7/23/1994	0 Yes	Transfer 5 Next Week Sept 17
88	Over 65 requests exc	Holtzclaw, John L	749 Brockman McClimon Rd Greer 29651	W M 6/16/1953	0 No	
89	Transferred Out	Humphries, Robert P	790 Old Island Ford Rd Chesnee 29323	W M 4/15/1964	1 No	
90	Deceased	Jackson, Hollis W	566 EDWARDS RD INMAN 29349	W M 7/22/1944	0 No	
91	Summoned	Jefferies, Ervin T	8 IDLEWOOD CT Spartanburg 29307	B M 10/ 5/1979	0 Yes	10
92	Summoned	Jefferies, Nysha M	350 PIERPONT AVE EXT APT 107 Spartanburg 29301	B F 4/20/1998	0 No	Excused Tues. Morning only. 3
93	Over 65 requests exc	Johnson, Darlene W	175 Holly Dr Chesnee 29323	W F 8/26/1949	0 No	
94	Not a Resident	Johnson, Lorie M	109 Woodcreek Dr Spartanburg 29303	W F 1/18/1972	0 No	
95	Summoned	Jones, Lacey M	529 Clement Rd Same Chesnee 29323	W F 3/30/1989	0 Yes	Tran ferred Nov 13
96	Transferred IN	Kelley, Michael S	546 Live Oak Ct Greer 29651	W M 5/ 2/1974	1 No	22

40

838

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
97	Over 65 requests exc	Kellogg, Raymond D	624 Courtland Way Greer 29651	W M 4/13/1936	0 No	
98	Deceased	Kelly, Margaret G	5485 Parris Bridge Rd Boiling Springs 29316	W F 7/4/1954	0 No	
99	Summoned	Ketterman, Zachary A	27 10TH ST GREER 29651	W M 9/26/1998	0 No	UTL
100	Over 65 requests exc	Kimmer, Martha L	1750 Sugar Ridge Rd Boiling Springs 29316	W F 1/3/1952	0 No	
101	Summoned	Kind, Kelsey B	1121 LITTLE MOUNTAIN RD WELLFORD 29385	W M 4/11/1998	0 No	UTL
102	Over 65 requests exc	King, Arnold T	104 Mary Ella Dr Spartanburg 29301	W M 2/22/1928	0 No	
103	Transferred IN	King, Finula M	212 S FAIRVIEW AVE SPARTANBURG 29302	W F 1/16/1967	2 Yes	Transferred 9/24/18 3 Sept 24
104	Summoned	Kirby, Debra F	181 Tymberbrook Dr Lyman 29365	W F 5/28/1960	0 Yes	Transfer Jan 2018 15 Second or third week of Jan
105	Over 65 requests exc	Knuckles, Robert E	501 Stewart St Spartanburg 29306	B M 7/27/1940	0 No	
106	Summoned	Lane, Belton	170 Watson Dr Woodruff 29388	B M 12/6/1955	0 Yes	26
107	Over 65 requests exc	Lanford, Cecil F	615 Perrin Dr Spartanburg 29307	W M 6/17/1933	0 No	
108	Transferred IN	Laplant, Alaina R	208 ROCKINGHAM RD DUNCAN 29334	W F 1/8/1989	1 No	20

44

839

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
109	Summoned	Lawrence, Erin D	15710 HIGHWAY 221 ENOREE 29335	W F 7/ 5/1983	0 No	20
110	Summoned	Leiter, Michael S	318 Steelman Dr Inman 29349	W M 5/28/1970	0 Yes	15
111	Criminal Conviction	Lewis, Kenneth B	407 PLEASANT GREEN DR INMAN 29349	B M 10/18/1981	0 No	
112	Summoned	Lindsey, Tammy K	530 Hummingbird Ln Chesnee 29323	W F 8/ 2/1961	0 Yes	12
113	Not a Resident	Littlejohn, Trikedda M	417 Bentridge Dr Spartanburg 29301	B F 11/14/1977	0 No	
114	Summoned	Logan, Frank	305 Willow Oaks Dr Spartanburg 29301	B M 5/11/1958	0 No	5
115	Transferred IN	Lucktenberg, George T	311 Saranac Dr Spartanburg 29307	W M 1/25/1962	1 Yes	4
116	Summoned	Lyles, Khadija O	124 George Washington Carver D Spartanburg 29306	B F 7/12/1991	0 Yes	5
117	Over 65 requests exc	Martin, Shellie T	600 Briarcliff Dr Woodruff 29388	W M 11/ 1/1940	0 No	
118	Summoned	Mccauley, Cristy M	522 Fawn Branch Trl Boiling Springs 29316	W F 7/ 1/1969	0 No	15
119	Summoned	Mccluney, Richard E	9085 FAIRFOREST RD APR B12 SPARTANBURG 29301	B M 6/ 7/1981	0 Yes	4
120	Summoned	Mcdowell, Christopher I	1085 Corie Crest Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W M 10/12/1969	0 Yes	15

840

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
121	Over 65 requests exc	Mcneely, John M	4052 Glenn Springs Rd Pauline 29374	W M 4/10/1951	0 No	
122	Summoned	Meade, Derinda P	160 Wells Dr 339 Robin Hollow Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W F 6/20/1983	0 No	Tran wrong address Oct 29
123	Not a Resident	Meredith-gory, Michelle	237 W Chatelain Dr Spartanburg 29301	B F 2/10/1969	0 No	
124	Summoned √√	Merrill, Molly D	113 Marlin Dr 137212 Spartanburg 29307	W F 1/31/1983	0 Yes	5
125	Summoned √√	Miller, Donna L	146 Ashlan Woods Ct Greer 29651	W F 10/13/1961	0 Yes	Excused 15 Friday only
126	Criminal Conviction	Miller, Timothy S	415 Spring St Woodruff 29388	B M 7/14/1960	0 No	
127	Transferred Out	Mitchell, Connor S	109 Whites Mill Way Spartanburg 29307	W M 2/28/1996	1 No	
128	Transferred IN √√	Mitchell, Robert L Jr.	151 Spring St Boiling Springs 29316	W M 1/23/1970	1 No	10
129	Physically Unable	Mize, Ann D	510 Sierra Ridge Ct Moore 29369	W F 3/18/1965	0 No	
130	Over 65 requests exc	Moore, Alice	109 Woodhaven Dr Greer 29651	B F 12/28/1950	0 No	
131	Transferred Out	Morrison, Samuel M	456 Mud Creek Rd Inman 29349	W M 10/27/1962	1 No	
132	Summoned	Mucha, Marisa M	190 YANK DR P.O Box 219 REIDVILLE 29375 Reidville 29375	W F 5/10/1996	0 No	Tran Wrong address Oct 29 54

841

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
133	Summoned	Neely, Gregory K	455 Thomas Rd Pauline 29374	W M 2/7/1991	0 Yes	20
134	Transferred Out	Odell, Charles W	151 Highridge Dr Spartanburg 29307	W M 11/27/1962	2 No	
135	Summoned	Owens, Lindy J	128 Cypress Dr Same Inman 29349	W M 4/7/1984	0 Yes	Tran Jan working thru hurricane
136	Summoned	Parton, Johnny T	17 ANGLER DR SPARTANBURG 29303	W M 9/1/1972	0 Yes	20
137	Physically Unable	Patnoude, Brendon D	467 Grazing Ridge Ln Moore 29369	W M 5/9/1997	0 No	
138	Over 65 requests exc	Patton, Arthur T	216 Ranier Dr Inman 29349	W M 7/2/1950	0 No	
139	Summoned	Patton, Kevin P	303 Heatherbrook Dr Lyman 29365	W M 7/13/1961	0 Yes	25
140	Transferred Out	Pearson, Joshua C	104 Glyn Oaks Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W M 12/19/1997	2 No	
141	Summoned	Petty, Christina	1020 Hardee Ct Spartanburg 29303	B F 1/15/1985	0 No	5
142	Transferred Out	Pigulko, Anna V	410 RIVEROAK CIR INMAN 29349	W F 7/4/1996	1 No	
143	Summoned	Pittman, Michaela C	636 S MORNINGWOOD LN DUNCAN 29334	W F 7/21/1999	0 Yes	20
144	Summoned	Placencia, Carina	910 Old Wagon Rd 811 Indian Trail Run Boiling Springs 29316 Inman 29349	H F 10/17/1981	0 No	Wrong address Tran out 29

842

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
145	Over 65 requests exc	Porter, Roosevelt	130 RAINTREE DR SPARTANBURG 29301	B M 8/14/1948	0 No	
146	Summoned	Praytor, Calvin A	414 Flatwood Rd Spartanburg 29303	W M 9/ 1/1970	0 Yes	7
147	Summoned	Reid, Robert A	108 S Craft St Lyman 29365	B M 1/28/1965	0 Yes	20
148	Summoned	Richards, Jeffrey L JR	102 PINEBROOK DR MOORE 29369	W M 1/16/1964	0 Yes	13
149	Not a Resident	Richards, Phavady N	140 Harbrooke Cir Greer 29651	A F 8/22/1977	0 No	
150	Criminal Conviction	Riddle, Arden D	170 QUARTER RD SPARTANBURG 29302	W M 11/ 1/1973	0 No	
151	Unable to Locate	Robbins, Ernest E	2578 John Dodd Rd Wellford 29385	W M 9/ 5/1984	0 No	Transfer 11-5-18
152	Transferred IN	Roberts, Randel H	412 Lions Paw Ct Inman 29349	W M 4/ 6/1970	1 Yes	12 NN 5
153	Transferred Out	Rogers, Alisa R	10192 HIGHWAY 221 WOODRUFF 29388	W F 11/13/1973	1 No	
154	Summoned	Rookard, Ced D	511 DODD LN SPARTANBURG 29303	B M 4/15/1992	0 No	UTL
155	Transferred Out	Russell, Leslie A	851 WICKLOW RD CAMPOBELLO 29322	W F 3/ 9/1967	1 No	
156	Transferred IN	Salinas, Anita D	761 Ball Park Rd Enoree 29335	W F 7/30/1962	1 Yes	32

843

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
157	Criminal Conviction	Sarratt, Johnathan J	3 PRIMROSE DR SPARTANBURG 29301	W M 1/ 3/1990	0 No	
158	Not a Resident	Satterfield, Scott A	616 Westmoreland Rd Greer 29651	W M 3/11/1968	0 No	
159	Summoned	Savath, Savanny R	406 Benjamin Reid Ct Duncan 29334	A F 4/ 3/1994	0 Yes	18
160	Summoned	Saxon-holmes, Barbara (	P.O. BOX 96 Roebuck 29376	B F 1/18/1963	0 Yes	10
161	Transferred Out	Scruggs, Amanda R	500 Mahaffey Cooley Rd Chesnee 29323	W F 7/30/1977	1 No	
162	Over 65 requests exc	Sellars, Anne P	303 N Virginia Ave Chesnee 29323	W F 1/27/1948	0 No	
163	Summoned	Shelton, Tammy C	206 Shoally Park Ct Boiling Springs 29316	B F 7/27/1964	0 Yes	Transfer Sept 24. 2018 5
164	Transferred Out	Sherbert, Harley M	327 BERRYHILL DR BOILING SPRINGS 29316	W F 3/30/1999	1 No	
165	Summoned	Simonds, Michael B	102 Emery Ct Inman 29349	W M 2/19/1978	0 Yes	15
166	Summoned	Skelton, Shaun C	525 INMAN RD LYMAN 29365	W M 10/14/1984	0 Yes	12 Transfer 12/3/18
167	Transferred IN	Smith, Joshua J	34 Rollingreen Rd Greer 29651	W M 3/22/1985	1 Yes	12
168	Summoned	Stephens, Mary A	628 Secretariat Dr Boiling Springs 29316	W F 9/ 7/1942	0 Yes	7

844

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
169	Summoned	Stewart, Mark A	111 Williston Way Moore 29369	B M 12/23/1966	0 No	23
170	Not a Resident	Stinnett, Eyra S	535 Briarcliff Dr Woodruff 29388	H F 3/ 7/1974	0 No	
171	Transferred IN	Stoudemire, Crystal J	P.O. BOX 1334 DRAYTON 29333	W F 5/ 4/1979	1 Yes	3
172	Summoned	Strawser, H R	332 Meadowpark Ln Duncan 29334	W M 12/28/1943	0 Yes	16 Excused age
173	Not a Resident	Sullivan, Kay B	424 Harbour View Dr Chesnee 29323	W F 7/ 5/1944	0 No	
174	Children Under Seven	Terry, Shakirah A	107 Bria Ct Woodruff 29388	B F 3/26/1992	0 No	
175	Summoned	Thomason, Rickey H	791 CONWAY BLACK RD SPARTANBURG 29307	W M 2/ 1/1966	0 Yes	Transfer 15 Next wk)
176	Transferred Out	Thompson, Gary A	145 MEADOWLAKE DR Inman 29349	W M 5/19/1964	1 No	
177	Criminal Conviction	Todd, John G	318 Alexander Ave Spartanburg 29306	B M 6/25/1980	0 No	
178	Summoned	Trawick, Todd D	P.O. BOX 340 CAMPOBELLO 29322	W M 1/22/1967	0 Yes	21
179	Summoned	Trimnal, Peggy L	128 Sonora Dr Same Inman 29349	W F 1/15/1971	0 No	Trans No Show letter Nov 26
180	Summoned	Trivol, Alexey	131 OWENS AVE SPARTANBURG 29303	W M 10/15/1996	0 No	

78

845

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
181	Summoned	Tsinnie, Elyse F	27 Country Club Dr Greer 29651	W F 10/ 8/1985	0 Yes	15
182	Transferred Out	Turner, Susan H	2225 Hickory Dr Spartanburg 29302	W F 3/11/1970	1 No	
183	Summoned	Vickers, Pearl L	8056 White Ave Spartanburg 29303	B F 12/30/1969	0 No	5
184	Summoned	Waddell, Kourtney J	102 Southland Ave Boiling Springs 29316	W F 12/13/1988	0 Yes	15
185	Transferred IN	Wade, Beverly Y	224 N Lanford Rd Spartanburg 29301	B F 3/26/1971	1 Yes	3
186	Summoned	Wall, Benjamin R II	1200 Partridge Rd Spartanburg 29302	W M 7/29/1975	0 Yes	4
187	Over 65 requests exc	West, Karen B	450 Belcher Rd Boiling Springs 29316	W F 6/13/1952	0 No	
188	Summoned	Westmoreland, Delia M	925 Abner Creek Rd Same Greer 29651	W F 7/ 6/1954	0 No	Tran No show letter Nov 26
189	Transferred IN	Whitaker, Monique L	P.O. BOX 464 ARCADIA 29320	B F 7/12/1976	2 No	6
190	Transferred IN	Whiteside, Samara N	414 Shaw Ave Spartanburg 29306	B F 8/10/1981	1 No	8 Excused Hardship Child Care
191	Summoned	Wilkins, Riketah L	2141 New Cut Rd Spartanburg 29303	B F 6/21/1978	0 No	5
192	Summoned	Williams, Cheryl B	860 Cemetery Rd Cowpens 29330	W F 12/23/1961	0 Yes	15

846

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT
193	Summoned	Williams, Nathan B	447 COLE RD Campobello 29322	W M 8/29/1961	0 No	21
194	Children Under Seven	Wilson, Kimberly K	288 Bethany Church Rd Moore 29369	W F 6/2/1956	0 No	
195	Over 65 requests exc	Wilson, Marrietta S	179 Winfield Dr Spartanburg 29307	W F 1/19/1947	0 No	
196	Over 65 requests exc	Wood, Clarence T	412 Crescent Greenpond Rd Woodruff 29388	W M 3/8/1953	0 No	
197	Summoned	Woodruff, Charles B	2225 Horseshoe Falls Rd Enoree 29335	W M 11/20/1966	1 Yes	25
198	Transferred Out	Woods, Nancy M	229 Moonstone Ln Duncan 29334	B F 9/26/1972	1 No	
199	Not a Resident	Wright, Dena S	25 Lantern Dr Greer 29651	W F 10/26/1972	0 No	
200	Transferred Out	Young, Wende H	123 Valley Dr Greer 29651	W F 1/17/1967	1 No	
201	Summoned	Wilhelmy, Doven M	1135 Cleveland St Pacolet 29372	W M 5/22/1991	1 No	(Sept 17, 2018 Transfer ✓ 20
202	Summoned	Jones, Fran E	1221 Old Anderson Mill Rd Moore 29369	B F 7/6/1969	1 No	getting Dr note Sick
9999	Over 65 requests exc	Acosta, Evelyn	657 BRANCH VIEW DR BOILING SPRINGS 29316	W F 12/13/1946	1 No	

80 90

847

Spartanburg Co. Courthouse
ROLLCALL LIST FOR PANEL - SEPT 10 2018

Sorted By Seq Nbr

JUROR #	STATUS	NAME	ADDRESS	RACE/SEX/DOB	XFER/REPLIED	COMMENT

Total Number of Jurors: 204

848

From: **Bulsa, Derrick** dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Subject: State v. Dev n Rutt e (mot on for new tr a)
Date: Ju y 21, 2020 at 9:19 AM
To: Judge Co e jco ej@sccourts.org
Cc: Co e Secretary jco esc@sccourts.org, Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org, chr s@brumback ang ey.com, spencer@brumback ang ey.com

Good morning Judge Cole,

I am inquiring when the Defendant's motion for a new trial might be scheduled. I anticipate issuing some subpoenas for the hearing. I am awaiting the affidavits and other materials (videos/photos?) referenced by the defense in their Motion for New Trial. I would like some time to review the affidavits and materials. An investigation into those matters may be necessary before the hearing.

The Appellate order, filed June 10, 2020 suspending the appeal, placed a time deadline of 90 days.

The State opposes the motion, as the Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General argued before the Appellate court against the suspension of the appeal. She sets out the argument about the failure of the defendant to meet the time requirement set out in Rule 29. I anticipate a factual argument against the new trial in additional to the procedural argument.

I have copied the defense counsel in this case. They may have some guidance on when their materials may be ready.

The State would request a formal, in-person, hearing to allow for proper examination and cross-examination of the witnesses.

Thank you,

Derrick Bulsa
Deputy Solicitor



State v. Ruttle - OR.pdf



Ruttle, Devin-Return...78).pdf

From: **Christopher Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: State v. Dev n Rutt e (mot on for new tr a)
Date: Ju y 21, 2020 at 10:18 AM
To: Judge Co e jco ej@sccourts.org
Cc: Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Co e Secretary jco esc@sccourts.org, Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org, Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com



Good Morn ng Judge Co e and Mr. Bu sa,

After speak ng w th Mr. R chard V eth ast Monday about the status of h s Affidav t, I fo owed up w th h m just yesterday after he returned from be ng out of town a ast week. Due to be ng out of town and mu t p e hear ngs he had dur ng the ear y part of th s week, Mr. V eth stated t wou d be towards the end of th s week before he cou d fina ze h s Affidav t n support of the Mot on for New Tr a .

As to the photographs and v deo, I w be happy to subm t those for rev ew and cons derat on by the Court and the State n whatever manner the Court fee s s appropr ate. Due to the pandem c and the preference most courts have expressed to current y avo d send ng hardcop es to the court, I was uncerta n how best to prov de the photos and v deo due to the s ze of the v deos. I current y have the v deos and photo saved n Goog eDr ve and wou d be happy to create a separate Goog eDr ve or DropBox fo der for purposes of shar ng the fi es w th the Court and State, or f the Court wou d prefer, I can wr te the fi es to a CD/DVD and send hardcop es to the Court and State. P ease adv se and I w take act on to prov de the v deos and photo mmed ate y.

S ncere y,

Chr stopher T. Brumback

Brumback & Langley, LLC

Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

NOTICE: While Brumback & Langley, LLC, does render tax advice, it nevertheless advises the following, pursuant to IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury Regulations, please be advised that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments, was not and is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred to by any other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this communication, and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor. CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that

From: **Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield)** JCo eLC@sccourts.org
Subject: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 4, 2021 at 4:28 PM
To: Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com, Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org

Good afternoon,

I am reaching out to see if the parties have an estimation regarding how long Mr. Ruttle's motion for new trial will take and how many witnesses the parties plan to call.

Court Administration just informed us that we currently do not have a court report available for next week. We are scrambling to borrow a court reporter from another judge next week, and need to know how long the motion is expected to last so that we can determine the availability of a court reporter.

Thanks,

Dalton

D. Dalton Barfield
Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Derham Cole
Spartanburg County Courthouse, 2nd Floor
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
(864) 596-3983
jcolelc@sccourts.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ Th s message s ntended on y for the addressee and may conta n nformat on that s confident a . If you are not the ntended rec p ent, do not read, copy, reta n, or d ssem nate th s message or any attachment. If you have rece ved th s message n error, p ease contact the sender mmed ate y and de ete a cop es of the message and any attachments.

From: **Bulsa, Derrick** dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Subject: RE: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 4, 2021 at 4:48 PM
To: Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org, Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com

The State does not have any witnesses at this point.

From: Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield) <JColeLC@sccourts.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 4:28 PM
To: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>; Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>
Subject: Devin Ruttle Motion - Estimated Time

Good afternoon,

I am reaching out to see if the parties have an estimation regarding how long Mr. Ruttle's motion for new trial will take and how many witnesses the parties plan to call.

Court Administration just informed us that we currently do not have a court report available for next week. We are scrambling to borrow a court reporter from another judge next week, and need to know how long the motion is expected to last so that we can determine the availability of a court reporter.

Thanks,

Dalton

D. Dalton Barfield
Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Derham Cole
Spartanburg County Courthouse, 2nd Floor
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
(864) 596-3983
jcolelc@sccourts.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

From: **Christopher Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 5, 2021 at 3:34 PM
To: Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org, Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com



Da ton,

I hope you're hav ng a good week. G ven the or g na nd cat on from Mr. Bu sa's Ju y 21, 2020 ema that the State opposed the Mot on for New Tr a on both t me ness and substant ve grounds and that the State ant c pated ssu ng subpoenas for the hear ng to prov de w tness test mony n support of ts grounds n oppos t on to the Mot on, I or g na y p anned on ca ng two or maybe three w tnesses for the hear ng (wh ch wou d ke y take two or three hours). However, g ven the State's concess on that t s not present y contest ng the t me ness of the Mot on for New Tr a and the reve at on that the State does not have any w tnesses that t w be ca ng to just fy Juror 92's fa ure to d sc ose her regu ar attendance at New L fe De verance Worsh p Center or to otherw se prov de test mony n support of the State s oppos t on to th s Mot on, I may be ab e to m t or e m nate the need to ca my ntended w tnesses. Obv ous y, I w defer to Judge Co e on the quest on of whether he fee s the Affidav ts prev ous y subm tted and the transcr pt from vo r d re w prov de h m suffic ent nformat on and mater a to make the requ red factua and cred b ty determ nat ons, and, shou d Judge Co e fee t necessary, w happ y produce w tnesses at the hear ng for ve test mony. A th s sa d, f we are ab e to m t or e m nate the need for w tness test mony, I wou d est mate that the hear ng, nc ud ng argumentat on, presentat on of any add t ona documentary ev dence and/or m ted w tness test mony, cou d take no more than one hour or maybe one and a ha f hours.

Best Regards,

Chr s

Brumback & Langley, LLC

Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that Brumback & Langley, LLC, must decline responsibility for the reading of e-mails in time to take measures to meet deadlines or to comply with their time sensitivity and denies any liability in connection therewith. Kindly re-transmit by fax any documents containing deadlines. Thank you.

On May 4, 2021, at 4:47 PM, Bu sa, Derr ck <dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org> wrote:

The State does not have any witnesses at this point.

From: Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield) <JColeLC@sccourts.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 4:28 PM
To: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>; Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>
Subject: Devin Ruttle Motion - Estimated Time

Good afternoon,

I am reaching out to see if the parties have an estimation regarding how long Mr. Ruttle's motion for new trial will take and how many witnesses the parties plan to call.

Court Administration just informed us that we currently do not have a court report available for next week. We are scrambling to borrow a court reporter from another judge next week, and need to know how long the motion is expected to last so that we can determine the availability of a court reporter.

Thanks,

Dalton

D. Dalton Barfield
Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Derham Cole
Spartanburg County Courthouse, 2nd Floor
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
(864) 596-3983
jcolelc@sccourts.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

From: **Christopher Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 5, 2021 at 3:34 PM
To: Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org, Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com



Da ton,

I hope you're hav ng a good week. G ven the or g na nd cat on from Mr. Bu sa's Ju y 21, 2020 ema that the State opposed the Mot on for New Tr a on both t me ness and substant ve grounds and that the State ant c pated ssu ng subpoenas for the hear ng to prov de w tness test mony n support of ts grounds n oppos t on to the Mot on, I or g na y p anned on ca ng two or maybe three w tnesses for the hear ng (wh ch wou d ke y take two or three hours). However, g ven the State's concess on that t s not present y contest ng the t me ness of the Mot on for New Tr a and the reve at on that the State does not have any w tnesses that t w be ca ng to just fy Juror 92's fa ure to d sc ose her regu ar attendance at New L fe De verance Worsh p Center or to otherw se prov de test mony n support of the State s oppos t on to th s Mot on, I may be ab e to m t or e m nate the need to ca my ntended w tnesses. Obv ous y, I w defer to Judge Co e on the quest on of whether he fee s the Affidav ts prev ous y subm tted and the transcr pt from vo r d re w prov de h m suffic ent nformat on and mater a to make the requ red factua and cred b ty determ nat ons, and, shou d Judge Co e fee t necessary, w happ y produce w tnesses at the hear ng for ve test mony. A th s sa d, f we are ab e to m t or e m nate the need for w tness test mony, I wou d est mate that the hear ng, nc ud ng argumentat on, presentat on of any add t ona documentary ev dence and/or m ted w tness test mony, cou d take no more than one hour or maybe one and a ha f hours.

Best Regards,

Chr s

Brumback & Langley, LLC

Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that Brumback & Langley, LLC, must decline responsibility for the reading of e-mails in time to take measures to meet deadlines or to comply with their time sensitivity and denies any liability in connection therewith. Kindly re-transmit by fax any documents containing deadlines. Thank you.

From: **Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield)** JCo eLC@sccourts.org
Subject: RE: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 5, 2021 at 3:53 PM
To: Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com, Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com

Hi Mr. Brumback,

Thank you for the response.

Judge Cole asked me to inform you that he cannot make any statements or provide any indication regarding how you should present your motion or whether previously submitted information is sufficient for him to make a ruling. Judge Cole also cannot advise you as to whether or not he feels that witness testimony is necessary.

That said, how long do you anticipate the hearing taking?

Thanks,

Dalton

From: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 3:35 PM
To: Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield) <JColeLC@sccourts.org>; Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: Re: Devin Ruttle Motion - Estimated Time

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Dalton,

I hope you're having a good week. Given the original indication from Mr. Bulsa's July 21, 2020 email that the State opposed the Motion for New Trial on both timeliness and substantive grounds and that the State anticipated issuing subpoenas for the hearing to provide witness testimony in support of its grounds in opposition to the Motion, I originally planned on calling two or maybe three witnesses for the hearing (which would likely take two or three hours). However, given the State's concession that it is not presently contesting the timeliness of the Motion for New Trial and the revelation that the State does not have any witnesses that it will be calling to justify Juror 92's failure to disclose her regular attendance at New Life Deliverance Worship Center or to otherwise provide testimony in support of the State's opposition to this Motion, I may be able to limit or eliminate the need to call my intended witnesses. Obviously, I will defer to Judge Cole on the question of whether he feels the Affidavits previously submitted and the transcript from voir dire will provide him sufficient information and material to make the required factual and credibility determinations, and, should Judge Cole feel it necessary, will happily produce witnesses at the hearing for live testimony. All this said, if we are able to limit or eliminate the need for witness testimony, I would estimate that the hearing, including argumentation, presentation

From: **Bulsa, Derrick** dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Subject: RE: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 5, 2021 at 4:56 PM
To: Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com, Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com

My comment about not calling witnesses does not mean the State concedes anything. The State intends to cross-examine the witnesses the defense intends to call. Subpoenas may be issued as a result of their testimony. The information provided by the defense in no way supports the claim of juror misconduct.

Derrick Bulsa

From: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 3:35 PM
To: Cole Law Clerk <jcolelc@sccourts.org>; Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: Re: Devin Ruttle Motion - Estimated Time

Dalton,

I hope you're having a good week. Given the original indication from Mr. Bulsa's July 21, 2020 email that the State opposed the Motion for New Trial on both timeliness and substantive grounds and that the State anticipated issuing subpoenas for the hearing to provide witness testimony in support of its grounds in opposition to the Motion, I originally planned on calling two or maybe three witnesses for the hearing (which would likely take two or three hours). However, given the State's concession that it is not presently contesting the timeliness of the Motion for New Trial and the revelation that the State does not have any witnesses that it will be calling to justify Juror 92's failure to disclose her regular attendance at New Life Deliverance Worship Center or to otherwise provide testimony in support of the State's opposition to this Motion, I may be able to limit or eliminate the need to call my intended witnesses. Obviously, I will defer to Judge Cole on the question of whether he feels the Affidavits previously submitted and the transcript from voir dire will provide him sufficient information and material to make the required factual and credibility determinations, and, should Judge Cole feel it necessary, will happily produce witnesses at the hearing for live testimony. All this said, if we are able to limit or eliminate the need for witness testimony, I would estimate that the hearing, including argumentation, presentation of any additional documentary evidence and/or limited witness testimony, could take no more than one hour or maybe one and a half hours.

Best Regards,

Chris

Brumback & Langley, LLC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307

From: **Christopher Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 7, 2021 at 4:02 PM
To: Co e, J. Derham Law C erk (Dav d Barfie d) JCo eLC@sccourts.org
Cc: Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com



Da ton,

I hope you are hav ng a good afternoon. In the nterest of ensur ng that the Court has a the nformat on necessary to make the requ red determ nat ons, I w ke y move forward w th prov d ng the Court w th two or maybe three w tnesses depend ng on how the hear ng deve ops. That sa d, I shou d be ab e to keep my d rect exam nat on fa r y br ef and wou d th nk that 2 hours shou d be suffic ent for the hear ng.

Best Regards,

Chr s

Brumback & Langley, LLC

Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that Brumback & Langley, LLC, must decline responsibility for the reading of e-mails in time to take measures to meet deadlines or to comply with their time sensitivity and denies any liability in connection therewith. Kindly re-transmit by fax any documents containing deadlines. Thank you.

> On May 5, 2021, at 3:53 PM, Co e, J. Derham Law C erk (Dav d Barfie d) <JCo eLC@sccourts.org> wrote:
>
> Hi Mr. Brumback,
>
> Thank you for the response.
>
> Judge Cole asked me to inform you that he cannot make any statements or provide any

From: Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield) JCo eLC@sccourts.org
Subject: RE: Dev n Rutt e Mot on - Est mated T me
Date: May 7, 2021 at 4:54 PM
To: Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Cc: Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com

Thank you, Mr. Brumback. 2 hours sounds good to Judge Cole. We will update you as soon as we confirm the availability of a court reporter. As of now, we are still planning on holding this hearing.

From: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 4:03 PM
To: Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield) <JColeLC@sccourts.org>
Cc: Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: Re: Devin Ruttle Motion - Estimated Time

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Dalton,

I hope you are having a good afternoon. In the interest of ensuring that the Court has all the information necessary to make the required determinations, I will likely move forward with providing the Court with two or maybe three witnesses depending on how the hearing develops. That said, I should be able to keep my direct examination fairly brief and would think that 2 hours should be sufficient for the hearing.

Best Regards,

Chris

Brumback & Langley, LLC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to

From: **Cole, J. Derham** jco ej@sccourts.org
Subject: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 4:17 PM
To: chr s@brumback ang ey.com, Bu sa, Derr ck (dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org) dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org

I am informed that I still have no court reporter available for the hearing scheduled for tomorrow. I am confident that I can have one available for this Friday. Would you be interested in moving the hearing to Friday in lieu of tomorrow?

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ Th s message s ntended on y for the addressee and may conta n nformat on that s confident a . If you are not the ntended rec p ent, do not read, copy, reta n, or d ssem nate th s message or any attachment. If you have rece ved th s message n error, p ease contact the sender mmed ate y and de ete a cop es of the message and any attachments.

From: **Bulsa, Derrick** dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Subject: RE: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 4:21 PM
To: Judge Co e jco ej@sccourts.org, chr s@brumback ang ey.com

Friday works for the State.

From: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:18 PM
To: chris@brumbacklangley.com; Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>
Subject: state v. ruttle

I am informed that I still have no court reporter available for the hearing scheduled for tomorrow. I am confident that I can have one available for this Friday. Would you be interested in moving the hearing to Friday in lieu of tomorrow?
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

From: **Christopher Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 4:38 PM
To: Co e, J. Derham JCo eJ@sccourts.org
Cc: Bu sa, Derr ck (dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org) dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com



Judge Co e,

I was schedu ed to be out of the office on Fr day, but I w be happy to change my schedu e to be ava ab e—unfortunate y, g ven that I was go ng to go ahead and put on at east R ck V eth (tr a counse) and ke y Trav s M ms, I cannot say for certa n whether my w tnesses w be ava ab e on Fr day w thout check ng w th them. A though I wou d guess that Mr. V eth cou d ke y adjust h s schedu e to appear on Fr day, Mr. M ms s emp oyed w th a regu ar Monday through Fr day 9-5 job nsta ng secur ty systems for Pr or ty One Secur ty, so I am afra d that he has a ready taken tomorrow off to be present and w have Fr day booked w th c ent appo ntments. I w reach out mmed ate y to both Mr. V eth and Mr. M ms and get back w th an answer ASAP. Bes des the w tness ava ab ty quest on, wou d mov ng the hear ng to Fr day create a prob em for the Order of Transport for Mr. Rutt e?

Best Regards,

Chr s Brumback
Brumback & Langley, LLC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that Brumback & Langley, LLC, must decline responsibility for the reading of e-mails in time to take measures to meet deadlines or to comply with their time sensitivity and denies any liability in connection therewith. Kindly re-transmit by fax any documents containing deadlines. Thank you.

> On May 11, 2021, at 4:17 PM, Co e, J. Derham <JCo eJ@sccourts.org> wrote:
>
> I am informed that I still have no court reporter available for the hearing scheduled for tomorrow. I am confident that I can have one available for this Friday. Would you be interested in moving the hearing to Friday in lieu of tomorrow?

From: **Cole, J. Derham** jco ej@sccourts.org
Subject: RE: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 4:49 PM
To: Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Cc: Bu sa, Derr ck (dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org) dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com

I was in hopes that a reporter would become available but that did not happen. SCDOC may be willing to leave Ruttle here until Friday for the hearing, so let me know what you determine about witness availability.

From: Christopher Brumback [mailto:chris@brumbacklangley.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:39 PM
To: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>
Cc: Bulsa, Derrick (dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org) <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: Re: state v. ruttle

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Judge Cole,

I was scheduled to be out of the office on Friday, but I will be happy to change my schedule to be available—unfortunately, given that I was going to go ahead and put on at least Rick Vieth (trial counsel) and likely Travis Mims, I cannot say for certain whether my witnesses will be available on Friday without checking with them. Although I would guess that Mr. Vieth could likely adjust his schedule to appear on Friday, Mr. Mims is employed with a regular Monday through Friday 9-5 job installing security systems for Priority One Security, so I am afraid that he has already taken tomorrow off to be present and will have Friday booked with client appointments. I will reach out immediately to both Mr. Vieth and Mr. Mims and get back with an answer ASAP. Besides the witness availability question, would moving the hearing to Friday create a problem for the Order of Transport for Mr. Ruttle?

Best Regards,

Chris Brumback
Brumback & Langley, LLC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-

From: **Bulsa, Derrick** dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Subject: RE: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 4:55 PM
To: Judge Co e jco ej@sccourts.org, Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com

Judge Cole,

I have been told by the detention center that they are not keeping any DOC inmates overnight. I had inquired about bringing a witness to Spartanburg a few days before a trial in a couple of weeks.

From: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:50 PM
To: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
Cc: Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: RE: state v. ruttle

I was in hopes that a reporter would become available but that did not happen. SCDOC may be willing to leave Ruttle here until Friday for the hearing, so let me know what you determine about witness availability.

From: Christopher Brumback [mailto:chris@brumbacklangley.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:39 PM
To: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>
Cc: Bulsa, Derrick (dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org) <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: Re: state v. ruttle

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Judge Cole,

I was scheduled to be out of the office on Friday, but I will be happy to change my schedule to be available—unfortunately, given that I was going to go ahead and put on at least Rick Vieth (trial counsel) and likely Travis Mims, I cannot say for certain whether my witnesses will be available on Friday without checking with them. Although I would guess that Mr. Vieth could likely adjust his schedule to appear on Friday, Mr. Mims is employed with a regular Monday through Friday 9-5 job installing security systems for Priority One Security, so I am afraid that he has already taken tomorrow off to be present and will have Friday booked with client appointments. I will reach out immediately to both Mr. Vieth and Mr. Mims and get back with an answer ASAP. Besides the witness availability question, would moving the hearing to Friday create a problem for the Order of Transport for Mr. Ruttle?

Best Regards,

From: **Christopher Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 5:25 PM
To: Judge Co e jco ej@sccourts.org
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com, Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org



Judge Co e,

I just spoke w th Mr. M ms and he confirmed my susp c ons, however I am draft ng a etter for h m ton ght to prov de to h s emp oyer first th ng n the morn ng express ng the urgency w th wh ch a part es nvo ved and the court des re for th s hear ng to proceed th s week. Mr. M ms nd cated that he thought the etter may persuade h s emp oyer to adjust schedu es to a ow Mr. M ms to m ss e ther the morn ng of the afternoon on Fr day. As to Mr. V eth, I was unab e to reach h m because he has been n court n Greenv e th s afternoon. Mr. V eth's office d d take a ook at h s ca endar and nd cated that he has v rtua court n Cherokee County Fr day morn ng at 9:30 and that he s schedu ed to be out of the office Fr day afternoon. It sounds ke Mr. V eth may be ava ab e on Fr day morn ng between 10:30 and noon, but I w not know for certa n unt Mr. V eth g ves me a ca ater th s even ng or tomorrow morn ng.

As soon as I know more I w prov de everyone w th an update,

Chr s

Brumback & Langley, LLC

Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that Brumback & Langley, LLC, must decline responsibility for the reading of e-mails in time to take measures to meet deadlines or to comply with their time sensitivity and denies any liability in connection therewith. Kindly re-transmit by fax any documents containing deadlines. Thank you.

> On May 11, 2021, at 4:53 PM, Bu sa, Derr ck <dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org> wrote:
>
> Judge Cole,

From: **Cole, J. Derham** jco ej@sccourts.org
Subject: RE: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 7:20 PM
To: Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Cc: Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com

Do you know the best person (and an email) to contact at DOC to stop the transport?

From: Bulsa, Derrick [mailto:dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:53 PM
To: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>; Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
Cc: Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: RE: state v. ruttle

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Judge Cole,

I have been told by the detention center that they are not keeping any DOC inmates overnight. I had inquired about bringing a witness to Spartanburg a few days before a trial in a couple of weeks.

From: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:50 PM
To: Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
Cc: Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: RE: state v. ruttle

I was in hopes that a reporter would become available but that did not happen. SCDOC may be willing to leave Ruttle here until Friday for the hearing, so let me know what you determine about witness availability.

From: Christopher Brumback [mailto:chris@brumbacklangley.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:39 PM
To: Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>
Cc: Bulsa, Derrick (dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org) <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>
Subject: Re: state v. ruttle

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Judge Cole,

I was scheduled to be out of the office on Friday, but I will be happy to change my schedule

From: **Chris Brumback** chr s@brumback ang ey.com
Subject: Re: state v. rutt e
Date: May 11, 2021 at 7:43 PM
To: Co e, J. Derham JCo eJ@sccourts.org
Cc: Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Spencer Lang ey spencer@brumback ang ey.com



Here s the contact nformat on for the gent eman n the Office of Genera Counse who has been ab e to ass st me w th transport ssues/quest ons for Mr. Rutt e:

Eckstrom.Jonathan@doc.sc.gov
Jonathan R. Eckstrom, Office Manager
Office of General Counsel
South Carolina Department of Corrections
Post Office Box 21787
Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1787
(803)896-4513 (Office)
(803)896-1766 (Fax)

Brumback & Lang ey, LLC
Attorneys and Counse ors at Law
Chr stopher T. Brumback
531 South Ma n Street, Su te 307
Greenv e, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLang ey.com

Genera & Comp ex L t gat on, Cr m na Defense, Fam y Law, and Persona Injury

NOTICE: Wh e Brumback & Lang ey, LLC, does render tax adv ce, t neverthe ess adv ses the fo ow ng, pursuant to IRS C rcu ar 230 D sc osure: To comp y w th certa n U.S. Treasury Regu at ons, p ease be adv sed that, un ess express y stated otherw se, any U.S. federa tax adv ce conta ned n th s commun cat on, nc ud ng attachments, was not and s not ntended or wr tten to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avo d ng any pena t es that may be mposed on such taxpayer by the Interna Revenue Serv ce. In add t on, f any such tax adv ce s used or referred to by any other part es n promot ng, market ng or recommend ng any partnersh p or other ent ty, nvestment p an or arrangement, then ( ) the adv ce shou d be construed as wr tten n connect on w th the promot on or market ng by others of the transact on(s) or matter(s) addressed n th s commun cat on, and ( ) the taxpayer shou d seek adv ce based on the taxpayer s part cu ar c rcumstances from an ndependent tax adv sor.
CONFIDENTIAL: Th s commun cat on or g nates from Brumback & Lang ey, LLC. Th s message and any fi e transm tted w th t conta n confident a nformat on wh ch may be subject to the attorney-c ent pr v ege, or otherw se protected aga nst unauthor zed use. The nformat on conta ned n th s message and any fi e transm tted w th t s transm tted n th s form based on a reasonab e expectat on of pr vacy cons stent w th ABA Forma Op n on No. 99-413. If the reader of th s message s not the ntended rec p ent, you are hereby not fied that any read ng, d ssem nat on, d sc osure, d str but on, copy ng or use of the nformat on by anyone other than the ntended rec p ent, regard ess of address or rout ng, s str ct y proh b ted. A attachments are be eved to be free of v ruses, but any attachment shou d be checked for v ruses before be ng opened. If you have rece ved th s message n error, p ease not fy the sender mmed ate y by te ephone at (864) 414-9097 or by e ectron c ma , and de ete th s message and a cop es and backups thereof. Persona messages express v ews so e y of the sender and are not attr butab e to Brumback & Lang ey, LLC. For techn ca reasons, Brumback & Lang ey, LLC, s unab e to screen your e-ma mmed ate y upon rece pt for t me sens t v ty, nc ud ng meet ng dead nes. P ease be adv sed that Brumback & Lang ey, LLC, must dec ne respons b ty for the read ng of e-ma s n t me to take measures to meet dead nes or to comp y w th the r t me sens t v ty and den es any ab ty n connect on therew th. K nd y re-transm t by fax any documents conta n ng dead nes. Thank you.

> On May 11, 2021, at 19:20, Co e, J. Derham <JCo eJ@sccourts.org> wrote:
>
> Do you know the best person (and an email) to contact at DOC to stop the transport?
>
> **From:** Bulsa, Derrick [mailto:dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:53 PM
> **To:** Cole, J. Derham <JColeJ@sccourts.org>; Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>
> **Cc:** Spencer Langley <spencer@brumbacklangley.com>

From: **Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield)** JCo eLC@sccourts.org
Subject: Dev n Rutt e Hear ng
Date: May 12, 2021 at 9:40 AM
To: Co e, J. Derham JCo eJ@sccourts.org, Bu sa, Derr ck dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org, Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com, Cendrosk , Ju e A. jcendrosk @sccourts.org, Co e, J. Derham Secretary (Sue Pardue) JCo eSC@sccourts.org

Just to make sure we are all on the same page, we are currently waiting to see whether Mr. Brumback can get in touch with one of his witnesses – Travis Mims.

Judge Cole is fine with starting the hearing at any time today.

D. Dalton Barfield
Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Derham Cole
Spartanburg County Courthouse, 2nd Floor
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
(864) 596-3983
jcolelc@sccourts.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ Th s message s ntended on y for the addressee and may conta n nformat on that s confident a . If you are not the ntended rec p ent, do not read, copy, reta n, or d ssem nate th s message or any attachment. If you have rece ved th s message n error, p ease contact the sender mmed ate y and de ete a cop es of the message and any attachments.

From: **Bulsa, Derrick** dbu sa@spartanburgcounty.org
Subject: RE: Dev n Rutt e Hear ng
Date: May 12, 2021 at 9:42 AM
To: Co e Law C erk jco e c@sccourts.org, Judge Co e jco ej@sccourts.org, Chr stopher Brumback chr s@brumback ang ey.com, Cendrosk , Ju e A. jcendrosk @sccourts.org, Co e Secretary jco esc@sccourts.org

Our victim's family has arrived.

From: Cole, J. Derham Law Clerk (David Barfield) <JColeLC@sccourts.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 9:40 AM
To: Judge Cole <jcolej@sccourts.org>; Bulsa, Derrick <dbulsa@spartanburgcounty.org>; Christopher Brumback <chris@brumbacklangley.com>; Cendroski, Julie A. <jcendroski@sccourts.org>; Cole Secretary <jcolesc@sccourts.org>
Subject: Devin Ruttle Hearing

Just to make sure we are all on the same page, we are currently waiting to see whether Mr. Brumback can get in touch with one of his witnesses – Travis Mims.

Judge Cole is fine with starting the hearing at any time today.

D. Dalton Barfield
Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Derham Cole
Spartanburg County Courthouse, 2nd Floor
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, SC 29306
(864) 596-3983
jcolelc@sccourts.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

From: **Christopher Brumback** chris@brumbacklangley com
Subject: S a e v Ru le - Documen a ion regarding Jefferies Family
Date: May 25 2021 a 4 16 PM
To: Cole J Derham jcolej@sccour s org
Cc: Cole Law Clerk jcolelc@sccour s org Bulsa Derrick (dbulsa@spar anburgcoun y org) dbulsa@spar anburgcoun y org
Bcc: spencer@brumbacklangley com



Judge Cole

Per your direc ion during he hearing we recen ly held please find a ached here o he documen s ha are corrobora ive of he informa ion provided o he Cour during he hearing and which confirm he violen crimes commi ed agains Charles Rosemond Sr and es ablish he rela ionship by blood be ween Nysha Maria Jefferies Janie Marie Jefferies (Nysha s mo her) and Charles Rosemond Sr (Nysha s ma ernal grandfa her) The following documen s consis of cour and police records an obi uary and news publica ions each of which con ain informa ion relevan o his inquiry and of which i is appropria e for he Cour o ake judicial no ice

Greenville Coun y Pubic ndex Repor s for Warran Nos 2015A2330210945 2015A2330210946 2015A2330210948 2015A2330210950 2015A2330210952 which are he warran s issued o Aundra Hun er Jr in connec ion wi h he violen crimes he commi ed agains Charles Rosemond Sr on December 10 2015 he rial for which was held a he same ime as he rial in his case Sep ember 10-13 2018

Spar anburg Coun y Public ndex Repor for 2017 evic ion ac ion agains he residen s of 201 Powell Mill Rd Uni J-202 Spar anburg SC 29301 which included Nysha Jefferies Janie Jefferies and Thomas Lamon Rosemond

Spar anburg Municipal Cour Record for Ci a ion No 5102P0354081 indica ing he address given o he Municipal Cour for Nysha Maria Jefferies a he ime she was found guil y by he Municipal Cour was 201 Powell Mill Rd Uni J-202 Spar anburg SC 29301

Spar anburg Police Depar men Ci a ion No 5102P0354081 which was issued o Nysha Maria Jefferies and indica es ha he address ob ained by Spar anburg Police Depar men for Nysha Maria Jefferies a he ime of her arres was 359 Alexander Ave Spar anburg SC 29306 hough he Records Depar men for Spar anburg PD confirmed he address hey would no release a copy of he Ci a ion o me however he Solici or s Office and/or he Cour would be able o access hose documen s

Spar anburg Coun y Public ndex Repor for Janie Marie Jefferies from 2015 HTO charge s a ing Janie Jefferies address as 359 Alexander Ave Spar anburg SC 29306

Prin ou of Wa kins Garre & Woods Mor uary s Obi uary for Charles Edward Rosemond Sr from Mr Rosemond s December 10 2015 dea h ha iden ifies Janie M Jefferies of Spar anburg as his daugh er Thomas Lamon Rosemond of Spar anburg as his son and s a es ha he family will be loca ed a 359 Alexander Ave Spar anburg SC

Greenville News ar icle wri en by Daniel Gross which discusses Charles Edward Rosemond Sr s dea h and con ains he pic ure from he Oc ober 22 2018 pro es naming Nysha Jefferies and showing Nysha Jefferies and her mo her Janie Jefferies who is uniquely iden ifiable by her five facial piercings s anding nex o each o her (looking a he pic ure Nysha is o he lef of her mo her) and holding a memorial cross wi h Charles Rosemond s name on i along wi h he da e of his dea h 12/10/15 Janie Jefferies also appears in a second pho o in he ar icle from he same Oc ober 22 2018 pro es in which all five of her iden ifying facial piercings can be clearly seen (hough he Solici or s Office and/or he Cour should have access do no have access o an official copy of Janie Jefferies' mugsho from her December 8 2019 arres Case No 20192580043492 bu he mugsho have seen for ha arres clearly shows he same five dis inc ive facial piercings one above he lip hree below he lip and one in her eyebrow)

Booking informa ion from he Spar anburg Coun y Sheriff s Office for Janie Marie Jefferies in connec ion wi h her May 13 2020 arres on Warran No 2020A4210202389 ha s a es Janie Jefferies' da e of bir h is 2/17/83 due o he Spar anburg Sheriff s Office main aining a rolling lis of inma es booked wi hin he las 90 days his informa ion no longer appears on he Sheriff s Office websi e bu is available via an in erne archive cap ure from Augus 2 2020 (h ps //web archive org/web/20200802062948/h p //www spar anburgsheriff org/bookings/booked90 xml) Again hough do no have access o he original Sheriff s Office records of Janie Jefferies booking or Warran No 2020A4210202389 he Solici or s Office and/or he Cour would be able o access he documen a ion for purposes of he Cour verifying he accuracy of he booking informa ion and specifically her DOB reflec ed for Janie Jefferies in he in erne archive cap ure

GoUps a e com news pos published June 7 2016 publishing in alphabe ical order he names of he 2016 Spar anburg High School gradua ing class which includes Nysha Jefferies as a member hereof wi h her name appearing a he op of page 10 of 21

n addi ion o he above cour and police records obi uary and news publica ions and for purposes of fur her es ablishing family his ory and rela ionship by blood be ween Nysha Jefferies and her mo her Janie Jefferies and ma ernal grandfa her Charles Rosemond Sr here is also submi ed herewi h a Facebook pho o showing Janie and Nysha oge her a he ime of Nysha's high school gradua ion from Spar anburg High ha was pos ed by Nysha Jefferies on February 17 Janie Jefferies bir hday ha includes an inscrip ion wishing her mom a happy bir hday n addi ion o he pho ograph of her mo her here is also a Facebook pho o pos on December 10 2020 he anniversary of Charles Rosemond Sr s dea h ha Nysha pos ed showing Nysha wi h her grandfa her Charles Rosemond Sr which is corrobora ed by a WSPA 7News repor from December 18 2015 ha shows several pic ures of Charles Rosemond Sr including a pic ure of Charles Rosemond Sr in which Charles Rosemond Sr is wearing he exac same shir jewelry black fedora and glasses as in he pic ure wi h Nysha The WSPA 7News repor can be found a h ps //www you ube com/wa ch?v=F7Tys3jfV98 and he pho o in ques ion appears a he 2 07 mark

Despi e your direc ion o confer wi h opposing counsel regarding he above documen a ion and having emailed he above informa ion/ documen a ion o opposing counsel las Tuesday have received no objec ion or o her response from opposing counsel regarding any of he above documen a ion n he in eres of making sure ha he Cour did no conclude ha had chosen no o provide he informa ion/ documen a ion discussed a he hearing and a ached here o wan ed o go ahead and submi his correspondence and he a achmen s o he Cour so ha he Cour is in possession of he documen a ion and aware ha defense counsel has made i ready for he Cour s considera ion

Besides he above-documen a ion and since do no know whe her he documen is con ained in he case file main ained by he Clerk s Office for he original rial of his case have also a ached here o he Juror nforma ion Sec ion pdf from he rial ha was available o rail counsel prior o he commencemen of he Sep ember 10 2018 erm of Cour and which was es ified o and discussed during he hearing because Juror 92 s (Nysha Jefferies) Juror nforma ion Sec ion shee is no included herein

Finally for he Cour s convenience have also a ached here o a highligh ed copy of he S a e v Woods opinion which is he Supreme Cour opinion defining "in en ional concealmen " as a erm of ar and o which was referencing a he close of he hearing when Your Honor inquired whe her had ci ed he case law was discussing during he hearing in my Memorandum

Bes Regards

Chris opher Brumback

Brumback & Langley, LLC

Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, SC 29601
Phone: 864-414-9097
Fax: 866-728-1205
www.BrumbackLangley.com

General & Complex Litigation, Criminal Defense, Family Law, and Personal Injury

CONFIDENTIAL: This communication originates from Brumback & Langley, LLC. This message and any file transmitted with it contain confidential information which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege, or otherwise protected against unauthorized use. The information contained in this message and any file transmitted with it is transmitted in this form based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. All attachments are believed to be free of viruses, but any attachment should be checked for viruses before being opened. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (864) 414-9097 or by electronic mail, and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Personal messages express views solely of the sender and are not attributable to Brumback & Langley, LLC. For technical reasons, Brumback & Langley, LLC, is unable to screen your e-mail immediately upon receipt for time sensitivity, including meeting deadlines. Please be advised that Brumback & Langley, LLC, must decline responsibility for the reading of e-mails in time to take measures to meet deadlines or to comply with their time sensitivity and denies any liability in connection therewith. Kindly re-transmit by fax any documents containing deadlines. Thank you.

15 attachments

Public Index Search - Aundra Hunter Buglary First Degree.pdf
67K

Facebook 2:17.pdf
441K

Spartanburg High School - News - GoUpstate - Spartanburg, SC.pdf
72K

Public Index Search - Aundra Hunter - Discharging firearm into dwelling.pdf
66K

Public Index Search - Aundra Hunter - Kidnapping.pdf
66K

https:memorials.wgwmortuary.com:Rosemond-Charles:2311914:obituary.php?Printable=true.pdf
66K

Public Index Search - Aundra Hunter - Armed Robbery.pdf

66K

Police shootings: Officers deal with their own burden of grief.pdf
14400K

Public Index Search - Crown Pointe Apartment v. Thomas Lamont, Janie Jefferies, and Nysha Jefferies.pdf
58K

Public Index Search - State v. Janie Jefferies 8:5:2015.pdf
72K

Public Index Search - Aundra Hunter - Possession of Weapon During Violent Crime.pdf
66K

Facebook 12:10:20.pdf
326K

Spartanburg Municipal Court Report.pdf
64K

State v Woods 550 SE2d 282 345 SC 583 SC 2002.pdf
309K

Summons Sept 10 2018.pdf
1602K




Ñÿshà Jeffèries
February 17 ·

Mom: you rude
Me: Wat
Mom: you didn't say happy birthday to me 😠
Me: it's 6 oclock in the morning, go back to sleep I forgot😭😎
But Happy born day Mom🎉 😘 you have always been there for me no matter wat, made me feel loved, & taught me sooo much in life. I can't tell you how much I appreciate all that you have done for me. You are truly a wonderful mother & an extraordinary person. Today I celebrate you & all they makes you special. Enjoy yr birthday!!!🎉 you deserve it ❤️🤞🎉

1

Like Comment Share

Write a comment...


Janieindependent Jefferies
September 23, 2011 ·
another picture of my oldest daughter
Share



Janie Jefferies
May 10, 2020 ·

Happy Mother's Day to my beautiful daughter **Ñÿshà Jefférìes** she is my rock and bottom to da day she die another image of me 😍 love u girl

21 4 Comments


Like Comment Share

Terrance Mcham
Happy Mother's Day QUEEN love y'all 💕💕💕🌹
Like · Reply · 1y 1

Terrance Mcham re... · 2 Replies

Demetra Harris
Happy mother's day
Like · Reply · 1y

Write a comment...


Ñÿshà Jeffèries
December 10, 2020 ·
Like
Comment
Share
Write a comment...

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY
Court of General Sessions

J. Derham Cole, Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Case No. 2017GS4205449

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, Appellant,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAL

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle appeals his conviction and sentence in this case. The sentence was imposed by the Honorable J. Derham Cole on September 14, 2018 being case number 2017GS4205449. Prior to the trial the Defendant was before the Honorable J. Derham Cole for a Motion to Dismiss based upon S.C. Code § 16-11-450(a). While it is assumed that the denial of the Stand Your Ground Motion will be part of the overall appeal the Defendant's attorney wants to insure that both issues are consolidated for this appeal.

Motion was timely made after the trial to ask the Judge to reconsider the life sentence that was imposed. Therefore, the appeal was stayed until Judge Cole ruled on the Motion to Reconsider. Judge Cole's Order was filed September 10, 2019, a copy of which is attached. Accordingly, the Notice of Intent to Appeal is timely after waiting for the recent Order denying the Motion to Reconsider.

September 11, 2019

Richard W. Vieth S.C. Bar #5711
HENDERSON, BRANDT & VIETH, P.A.
360 East Henry Street, Suite 101
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29302
(864) 582-2962
Attorney for Appellant

Other Counsel of Record:
Assistant Solicitor Derrick Bulsa
Seventh Circuit Solicitor's Office
180 Magnolia St. 3rd floor
Spartanburg, SC 29306
Attorney for Respondent

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY
Court of General Sessions

J. Derham Cole, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017GS4205449

Devin Zachary Elijah Ruttle, Appellant,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2019
SC Court of Appeals

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on State of South Carolina by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepared, on the 12th day of September, 2019, addressed to its attorneys of record, Assistant Solicitor Derrick Bulsa, Seventh Circuit Solicitor's Office, 180 Magnolia Street, 3rd floor, Spartanburg, SC 29306.

September 11, 2019

Richard W. Vieth S.C. Bar #5711
HENDERSON, BRANDT & VIETH, P.A.
360 East Henry Street, Suite 101
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29302
(864) 582-2962
Attorney for Appellant

RECEIVED
Aug 15 2023
SC Court of Appeals

Certificate of Counsel

The undersigned hereby certifies that the Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material.

s/Christopher T. Brumback
Christopher T. Brumback
531 South Main Street, Suite 307
Greenville, South Carolina 29605
(864) 414-9097
Attorney for Appellant

July 26, 2023
Greenville, South Carolina