

EXHIBIT E

----- Forwarded message -----

From: WELawClerk <WELawClerk@charlestoncountysc.gov>
Date: Mon, Aug 25, 2025 at 11:17 AM
Subject: RE: Clarification re: Hearing Scheduled for August 25, 2025
To: Crystal Brown <crystal.brown@gmail.com>, ldavis@gravesdavis.com <ldavis@gravesdavis.com>
Cc: Martha S. Dennis <MDennis@charlestoncountysc.gov>, Tyler Graves <tgraves@gravesdavis.com>

Good morning,

Upon review of the communications and filings in this case, Judge Scarborough has determined that the Defendant did receive proper notice of the hearing and will proceed with the in-person hearing scheduled today for 2:00 p.m. The Court will not allow for the virtual or telephone participation by either party. Additionally, this Court notes that this hearing was continued from the January 29, 2025 date and that the Defendant was found to be in default in September 2024. Thus, the partition hearing set for 2:00 p.m. today will proceed as previously scheduled.

Thank you very much.

Lillian F. Wilkinson, Esq.
Judicial Law Clerk
Charleston County Master In Equity
100 Broad Street, Suite 200
Charleston, SC 29401
Ph: (803) 459-5175
WELawClerk@charlestoncountysc.gov

From: Crystal Brown <crystal.brown@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 25, 2025 11:25 AM
To: ldavis@gravesdavis.com
Cc: WELawClerk <WELawClerk@charlestoncountysc.gov>, Martha S. Dennis <MDennis@charlestoncountysc.gov>, Tyler Graves <tgraves@gravesdavis.com>
Subject: Clarification re: Hearing Scheduled for August 25, 2025

CAUTION: This email originated outside of Charleston County. Do not click links or open attachments from unknown senders or suspicious emails. If you are not sure, please contact IT helpdesk.

Dear Ms. Wilkinson and Honorable Court:

I write to clarify the record regarding today's scheduled hearing. Contrary to Plaintiff's misrepresentations below, I have not consented to, nor requested, that the hearing proceed by WebEx or any other virtual platform. Plaintiff's counsel's offer of a WebEx link is procedurally immaterial unless and until the Court orders such an appearance.

My request remains exactly as stated in my prior correspondence: if the Court nevertheless elects to proceed with the hearing over my timely objections, I respectfully request leave to participate by telephone in order to mitigate the prejudice resulting from insufficient and defective notice. This conditional request in no way constitutes a waiver of my objections or consent to the proceeding.

I also preserve my objection to Plaintiff's memorandum in support, which was filed the business day before the hearing, among other improper filings. The submission was untimely and prejudicial and should be stricken from the record.

In light of the hearing scheduled for 2:00 p.m. today, I respectfully request that the Court advise of its position at the earliest possible time so that I may make the necessary logistical arrangements.

Respectfully,

Crystal Brown Wagner
Defendant, Pro Se

On Mon, Aug 25, 2025 at 11:11 AM Logan Davis <ldavis@gravesdavis.com> wrote:

Ms. Wilkinson,

While we stand firm that notice has been effectuated, in an effort to go forward with this hearing, we are open to a virtual hearing. However, if the Court is willing to accommodate Defendant's request to have a virtual hearing, we would respectfully request it to be conducted via WebEx. Of course, we still plan on appearing at the hearing unless the Court instructs otherwise.

Further, I wanted to note that Plaintiff also lives out of state, however, she has made accommodations to be here for the hearing.

Thank you all for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Logan S. Davis, Esq.
Partner/Attorney