

**From:** [Jasonboyle03 me](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Cc:** [Jim Logan](#); [Kim Manley](#); [Andrew Powell](#); [Grace Sommer](#); [Susan Spencer](#); [awilson@scag.gov](mailto:awilson@scag.gov); [McIntosh, Lawton Law Clerk \(Carson Neeves\)](#); [McIntosh, Lawton Secretary \(Tammy Jennings\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: 2 Motions  
**Date:** Wednesday, October 1, 2025 12:18:20 AM  
**Attachments:** [Strike ROA amended.pdf](#)

---

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

I write to respectfully apologize for an error in my September 30, 2025 Motion to Strike. I am appearing **pro se** and learning as I go. This system is complicated, and like many litigants without counsel, I am balancing these filings with full-time responsibilities. I often find myself working through the night when I should be resting and preparing for the next day.

As soon as I submitted the motion, I realized the mistake I had made in seeking to strike the July 25, 2024 Notice of Appeal. I am filing an amended motion today to correct that error.

I appreciate the Court's patience with me as I navigate this process, and I will continue to do my best to present matters clearly and properly.

Respectfully,

Jason

On Tue, Sep 30, 2025 at 11:43 PM Jasonboyle03 me <[jasonboyle03@gmail.com](mailto:jasonboyle03@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed for filing please find two motions:

1. **Motion to Strike Notices of Appeal from the Record on Appeal**
2. **Motion for Order Permitting Service by Email Only**

In addition, I respectfully request clarification regarding service on Mr. Logan. The Court's May 30, 2025 order substituted the State of South Carolina as the proper respondent and amended the caption accordingly. Yet Mr. Logan continues to appear on distribution lists despite not participating since the substitution order. I ask whether service on him remains necessary.

Finally, I respectfully request a refund of the filing fee previously paid under the civil fee schedule. At the time of filing, there was no way for me to know this was a criminal matter: the probate court expressly stated on the record that the proceeding was civil, the Court of Common Pleas conducted all proceedings in a civil format, and the Court of Appeals had not yet determined the case to be criminal. Only later did this Court clarify that the appeal is from a criminal contempt conviction, for which no filing fee is required.

Thank you for your attention to these matters,

Jason