



106101

HIGH PERFORMANCE LAW™

John S. Kay, Managing Partner
Phone: 803-726-2700
Fax: 803-252-6822
HutchensLawFirm.com

Offices In:
Fayetteville, Charlotte, Wilmington, NC |
240 Stoneridge Drive
Columbia, SC 29210

P.O. Box 8237
Columbia, SC 29202

October 7, 2025

HAND DELIVERED

SC Court of Appeals

Re: Professional Financial Services vs. Golson, et al.
2025-000397

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find Two (2) checks #60170 and #60169 totaling the sum of \$100.00 representing payment of the filing fees for Respondent's Motions to Dismiss submitted on September 24, 2025 and October 6, 2025.

Sincerely,
Brigitte Dull

Enclosures

RECEIVED

Sep 24 2025

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2025

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Milton G. Kimpson, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2025-000397

Professional Financial ServicesRespondent,

v.

Tremaine Golson a/k/a Tremaine D. Golson and Brittney L. Greene
a/k/a Brittney L. Golson Appellants.

RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO
DISMISS APPEAL

John S. Kay
Hutchens Law Firm LLP
240 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 400
Columbia, S.C. 29210
Phone: (803) 726-2700
john.kay@hutchenslawfirm.com
Attorney for Respondent

Brittney L. Greene aka Brittney L. Golson
7628 Stone Street
Columbia, S.C. 29209
green.brittney@yahoo.com

Tremaine Golson aka Tremaine D. Golson
7628 Stone Street
Columbia, S.C. 29209
green.brittney@yahoo.com

On August 18, 2025, the Appellants filed their Amended Record on Appeal. In response, on August 22, 2025, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the appeal on the grounds that Appellants' amended record on appeal failed to comply with the order issued by the Court on August 6, 2025. Subsequently, the Court issued an Order on September 11, 2025, which denied Respondent's motion to dismiss, but required the Appellants to serve and file a second amended record on appeal within ten days of the order to prevent dismissal of the appeal. The Order further provided details as to what the record on appeal should include and noted the relevant rules from the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, including Rule 210, SCACR. On September 22, 2025, Appellants filed their second amended record on appeal.

Appellants' second Amended Record on Appeal also fails to comply with the Court's order and fails to comply with the applicable rules. Rule 210(c) provides that the Record on Appeal should include all matter designated to be included by any party under Rule 2009 and shall comply with the requirements of Rule 267. A review of the Appellant's second Amended Record on Appeal reveals that it still fails to comply with these requirements. In this second amended record, the Appellants failed to attach the Exhibit to the Respondent's Reply to Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay; the appellants also failed to attach the Exhibits to the Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment. Additionally, the Exhibits A and B, which the Appellants placed at the end of the record after the Transcript of Hearing, are actually exhibits that are part of the Respondent's Summons and Complaint, which are included at the beginning of the Appellants' record. Further, the page numbers are once again inconsistently placed throughout the amended record and incorrectly labeled within the Table of Contents. Lastly, the pleadings listed in the Record are completely misprinted and contain errors that are not in the original pleadings (See pages 30-34 of the Record).

This is the third time the Respondent has had to file a pleading in this appeal because the Appellants have failed to simply follow the rules of this Court. The pleadings received from the Appellant are coming from an individual named Isiah Smith whose email address is sisiah28@gmail.com. Respondent has no idea who this individual is, but the Respondent suspects that this individual is preparing pleadings for the Appellants and does not possess a license to practice law in the state of South Carolina. As a result, the Respondent has had to incur significant time delay and additional attorney fees and costs on this case which is a simple automobile repossession. For these reasons, the Respondent requests that the Appellants' appeal be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,


/s/ John S. Kay

John/S. Kay, S.C. Bar #7914
HUTCHENS LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 8237 (29202)
240 Stoneridge Dr., Suite 400
Columbia, SC 29210
P.O. Box 8237

Columbia, S.C. 29202
803-726-2700 (ext. 2705)

Email: John.kay@hutchenslawfirm.com

*Attorney for Respondent Professional Financial
Services*

September 24, 2025

RECEIVED

Sep 24 2025

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2025

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
The Hon. Milton G. Kimpson, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2025-000397
Trial Court Case No. 2024-CP-40-03931

Professional Financial ServicesRespondent,

v.

Tremaine Golson a/k/a Tremaine D. Golson and Brittney L. Greene
a/k/a Brittney L. Golson Appellants.

PROOF OF SERVICE


I certify that I have served Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Appeal on the Appellants listed below on September 24, 2025, by depositing the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid and addressed as follows

Tremaine Golson
7628 Stone Street
Columbia, S.C. 29209

Brittney L. Golson
7628 Stone Street
Columbia, S.C. 29209

September 24, 2025

Columbia, SC


s/John S. Kay
John S. Kay SC Bar # 7914
Hutchens Law Firm LLP
Post Office Box 8237
Columbia, S.C. 29202
P: 803-726-2700
john.kay@hutchenslawfirm.com
Attorneys for Respondent
Professional Financial Services

HUTCHENS
LAW FIRM

HIGH PERFORMANCE LAW™

240 Stoneridge Dr, Ste. 400 | Columbia, SC 29210

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2025

SC Court of Appeals