



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

October 07, 2025

The Honorable Angie Bryant
PO Box 649
York SC 29745-0649


REMITTITUR

Re: Saket Singh v. Anesthesia Associates of Rock Hill
Lower Court Case No. 2024CP4603641
Appellate Case No. 2024-002021

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,


CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Homer Bernard Tisdale, III, Esquire
David Eliot Rothstein, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Saket Singh, M.D., Respondent,

v.

Anesthesia Associates of Rock Hill, P.A., Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2024-002021

ORDER

Following Appellant's appeal to this court, Respondent moved to amend his complaint, which included the addition of claims that allegedly involved federal questions. When one of the parties removed the case to federal court, this court explained it would hold the appeal in abeyance until the case was remanded to state court and ordered Appellant to provide status updates every sixty days. In one status update, Appellant informed the court Respondent had filed a voluntary dismissal of the action in federal court. In response, on June 24, 2025, this court dismissed the appeal on the basis Appellant wished to withdraw the appeal.

On August 4, 2025, Appellant filed a motion to amend the dismissal order, explaining it had not moved to withdraw the appeal, and thus the order was factually incorrect, but it agreed the appeal was moot and should be dismissed. Appellant requests the order be amended to correctly reflect the events that have transpired. Respondent did not file a return. After careful consideration, we grant Appellant's motion to amend the dismissal order and clarify the appeal is dismissed because Respondent has dismissed the underlying complaint. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



C.J.

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Sep 11 2025

cc:

Homer Bernard Tisdale, III, Esquire

David Eliot Rothstein, Esquire

Angie Bryant