

ANTHONY M. Lounds #227456

v.

The State of South Carolina

>
>
>
>
>

MOTION FOR
APPLICATION OF Application
APPOINTMENT OF Counsel
Appellate Case NO: 2025-001965

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §1915 (e)(1) Applicant Moves For AN ORDER
of Appointing Counsel To Represent Applicant in This Case.

IN Support of This Motion Applicant States:

- (1). Applicant is unable to AFFORD Counsel. He has Requester Leave To proceed inform a pauperis.
- (2). Applicant imprisonment will Greatly Limit his Ability TO Litigate The issues involved in This Case because of The Complex, and Will Require Significant Research and Investigation.
- (3). Applicant being at Preey Correctional Inst. Limited Access to The Law Library and the limited knowledge of The LAW...
- (4) A Retrial in This case will Likely Involve Conflicting Testimony, and Counsel would better "ENABLE" Applicant To Present Evidence and Cross examine witnesses.
- (5). Applicant has know way to Obtain a Lawvers.
 - (1). Center for Constitutional Rights.
 - (2). National Legal Professional Assistance.

Wherefore Applicant Request That This Court
Appoint Counsel for This Case A Member of
The South Carolina Bar Ass...

Date Oct 1 / Oct / 2025.

s/ Anthony Lounds
ANTHONY M LOUNDS # 227956
Q3B-112 Perry Corr. Inst.
730 Oak Lawn Rd.
Pelzer, SC, 29669

South CAROLINA Court of Appeals

THE South Carolina Supreme Court of Appeals

Appeal From The Circuit Court of Greenville County
General Sessions
13th Circuit

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Anthony Maurice Lounds

v.

The STATE of South Carolina

Notice of Appeal
Appeal FROM Greenville County
Appellate Case No. 2025-001965

STATEMEN OF CASES

This Action arising out of a prospective Juror in Misunderstanding Question on Voir-Dire, by Withheld Information involvement IN A Voir-Dire Question. The Trial Judge Asked, Because OF This Misunderstanding Question on Voir-Dire. The Answers To The Question Put by The Court Necessarily Form The Basis For The Court excusing a Juror on its OWN Motion OR Challenges For Cause...

The purpose of A Voir-Dire examination is To Develop The Whole Truth Concerning A

Prospective Juror's Bias or Prejudice, to enable Trial Judge to Determine actual bias and also to enable Counsel to exercise His Intuitive Judgment Concerning prospective bias or Prejudice. Under-
-Statute 14-7-1020 Court is Judge of actual bias, But Counsel is The Sole and exclusive Judge of Whom He Shall Peremptory Challenge For Suspected bias or Prejudice.
The Constitution does not provide any Formula or Procedure for the Ascertainment of a mental Attitude or State of Mind From Which Requisite impartiality is to be determined.
Petitioner Seeks Relief From This Honorable Court From this Constitutional Principles Now Recognized That Were not Available at his Trial, Appeal, and Exhaustion of State (P.C.R) post conviction Relief Proceedings. ■ Rowell v. State 906 S.E. 2d. 554-557. (2024). Abandon the intentional versus UNintentional Failure of Juror to Respond material Voir dire Questions. The Juror to disclose Pertinent Facts, and Because of That Fact Petitioner was denied The Statutory Right of Peremptory Challenge. The Right of Challenge includes incidental Right That Information elicited on Voir dire examination Shall be True.

Denial or Substantial impairment of Statutory Right of Peremptory Challenge is prejudicial to The Constitutional Right impartial Jury. The 6th and 14th Amendments of The United States Constitution and owe South Carolina's Constitution. Right of Peremptory Challenge For Suspicion of Bias or Partiality is Traditional, Arbitrary and Capricious and it Must be exercised with Full Freedom or it Fails of its Full purpose. Failure of Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue To not Answer to The Question During Voir dire, That Clearly Applied to her Particular Situation had the effect of Nullifying The Rights of Peremptory Challenge. Trial Courts Failure to inquire Regarding The Significant Nature. Ms. Debra Cue being (Victim of Same Crime). Petitioner on Trial For had the effect of Nullifying the Statutory Right to peremptory Challenge Ms. Debra Cue For Actual bias, Suspected Prejudice. Petitioner was Denied The Statutory Right To Strike a Genuine Prospect of Actual Bias. The Trial Court, Subjected Petitioner To Manifestly Unjust Procedure Resulting in A Miscarriage of Justice. Fundamental Fairness.

Juror #40 Personal experience back to The Jury Room Thus Denied Petitioner a fair Trial and importing Jury. Guaranteed by The 6th and 14th Amendment Right of the United States and South Carolina Constitution.

The Questions put by The Court Necessarily Form The Basis For The Court's excusing a Juror ON its OWN Motion or Challenges. For Cause, Had Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue Given a Correct Response to Question put by Trial Court

"Whether Any Member of The Panel or family Was Victim of Violent Crime". Petitioner Would have Stricken A Genuine prospect of Actual bias Through the use of Statutory Right Peremptory Challenge.

Trial Court inquire Whether Would That Effect her ability To be fair and impartial Was improper The Trial Court Failed to inquire Regarding The Significant Nature of the With Held information during voir dire Trial Court Remedy to Reduce the prejudice was ineffective As Jury # 40 Carried personal experience Back to The Jury Room Thus denied Petitioner's Right to impartial Jury Guaranteed by The 6th and 14th Amendment of The United States Constitutional Rights. This Appeal Comes From

This Appeal Comes From The Lower Court And Was Told That This Court Has No Jurisdiction Over This Proceeding in this Case, Now Bring This Motion to This Court in its "Original Jurisdiction"...

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE: The Petitioner Respectfully Submits That Good Cause Exists For The Court Has Authority Over This Case and Jurisdiction to here Issues and Argument. The Respondent Further Represents To The Court That The opposing Parties do not Object To This Appeal.

s/ Anthony Lounds
ANTHONY M. Lounds
#227456 P. C. I. 112
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Peter S.C. 29669



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

September 29, 2025

Anthony Maurice Lounds, #227456
Perry Correctional Institution
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer SC 29669

Re: The State v. Anthony Lounds
Appellate Case No. 2025-001965

Dear Mr. Lounds:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at www.sccourts.org/courtreg. Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02. Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as

required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will *not* review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

This is to advise that the title in the above matter has been changed to read as follows:


The State, Respondent,

v.

Anthony Lounds, Appellant.

All future records in this matter should be changed to reflect this title. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Very truly yours,


CLERK

cc: Cynthia Smith Crick, Esquire
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

cc: Cynthia Smith Crick, Esquire
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire



Office of the Clerk of Court

Greenville, South Carolina

Jay Gresham
Clerk of Court

Circuit Court Division
Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
(864) 467-8551

September 8, 2025

Anthony Lounds #227456
Perry Correctional Institution
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, SC 29669

Dear Mr. Lounds:

Your motion has been received and as a courtesy, a copy has been emailed to the Administrative Judge and the Solicitor's office.

Please be advised that your previous Motion for a New Trial, filed on 7/25/2025, has been denied. A copy of that order is enclosed for your records.


Sincerely,
Clerk of Court
Greenville General Sessions

SI Miss Day
WITNESS
SI Tamara Cornwell
NOTARY PUBLIC

-SEAL-

10/6/2025 by hub

My Commission Expires
October 6, 2033



FILED IN OFFICE
GREENVILLE COUNTY, SC
JAY GRESHAM, CLERK OF COURT

The Circuit Court has no jurisdiction
to hear the issues raised in this
motion.

J. J. Ryan, 7/30/25

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE
ANTHONY LOUNDS # 227456

IN THE COURT OF 13th Circuit
of General Sessions of
Greenville County

INDICTMENT # 2009-GS-23-10154-55

V.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

JURY MISCONDUCT.

FAILURE TO ANSWER VOIR

DIRE QUESTION.

PURSUANT TO ROWELL

V. STATE, 444 S.C. 109, 115, 906

S.E.2d 554-557 (2024)

NEW FRAMEWORK

Abandon the intentional v. unintentional

distinction. OVERRULE THE

"intentional v. unintentional"

South Carolina Supreme Court,

Petitioner's Motion For NEW TRIAL
Alleges

This matter comes before this Honorable Court PURSUANT
to new Frame work elected by Supreme Court of South
Carolina Rowell v. State 444 S.C. 109, 115, 906 S.E.2d 554-557
(2024) Jury selection had taken place in the above caption
case, Juror # 40 ms. Debra Cue was selected to seat as
Juror. Prior to Jury selection the Court gave Voir dire
and asked the panel "has any member of the panel or
Family member been a victim of violent crime?" (No
response) AFTER BEING SELECTED AS A JUROR. Juror #40 ms.
Debra Cue STATING TO THE COURT "SHE WAS INVOLVED IN

(1)

A CRIME, I DIDN'T HEAR YOU SAY THAT LAST NAME WHERE I WAS ROBBED AT KNIFE POINT (SEE) Tr.P. 19 line 14-17. The trial court determined that Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue's statement was unintentional when asked Juror #40 whether that fact would affect her ability to be fair and impartial juror, which ended the court's inquiry. The proper inquiry for the trial court was not whether the juror could be fair and impartial, but rather the materiality of the withheld information, and the failure to respond to the question when asked. Juror's #40 contention that she did not hear the question cannot excuse her failure to answer during voir dire (1) The question was reasonably comprehensible in that it unambiguously applied to Juror #40 particular situation, and should have elicited a positive response. Curative measure taken by trial court was very likely ineffective in reducing the "prejudice" by the seating of perspective Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue. Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue withheld material information in response to a voir dire question, The nature of the Fox's disguise matters little to the chicken. Petitioner was unable to strike a potential - and material source of bias by Juror #40 Failure to answer during voir dire. Petitioner was denied the opportunity to make an informed decision concerning the seating of this particular juror, by her failure to respond during voir dire, and had she given a proper response at that ~~the~~ time the information inquired into by the trial court, Petitioner would have elected to strike a potential for bias. Fundamental Fairness.

(2)

Petitioner's 14th Amendment Rights of the United States Constitution and South Carolina State Constitution was violated. The Fundamental Fairness and Right to impartial jury. Petitioner ascertains prior to the selection of Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue, Petitioner had only used Two (2) of his Peremptory Challenges and still had (8) left when Ms. Cue was selected. Had Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue given a proper response during voir dire Petitioner "Statutory Right" to exercise Peremptory Challenge would have been deployed. "Voir dire" which means to speak the truth is an examination of jurors at preliminary stage of trial. "Voir dire" is a procedure designed to give parties the opportunity to explore opinions and personal knowledge of jurors, so as to provide parties with a fair and impartial jury. It is reasonable to conclude Juror #40 Ms. Debra Cue being victim of same crime Petitioner is on trial for would be a material factor in the exercise of Peremptory Challenge. The significant nature of the withheld information

Reveals a potential For Bias, Juror #40 Ms. Cue Contention That She did not hear The question Cannot excuse Her failure to Respond, during Voir dire, and had Juror #40. ms. Debra. Cue given a proper Response When asked, petitioner "Statutory Right" to Exercise peremptory Challenge would have been Deployed.

• Petitioner "Was Denied" The Opportunity to make An informed Decision Concerning The Seating of This particular Juror, by her Failure to answer during Voir dire to question That Applied to her.


Petitioner's 14th, 6th, and 7th Amendment Rights of The United States Constitution and South Carolina State Constitution was Violated. The Fundamental Fairness and Right to impartial Jury.


DATED: This day 21 of The Month July and Year of 2025

Sworn to and Subscribed Before me This day —

Notary Public:

Alexis Danzy
Name of Individual


witness

S/ 
Anthony Aounds #227456
PCI 23812A
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer S.C. 29669
—SEAL—

ALEXIS DANZY
Notary Public, State of South Carolina
My Commission Expires 2/8/2033

(4) •

EXHIBIT A

VOIR DIRE RECORD.

1 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
 2 COUNTY OF GREENVILLE) COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
 3) 2009-GS-23-10154, 10155
 4)
 4 State of South Carolina) TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD
 5)
 5 vs)
 6)
 6 Anthony Lounds)
 7)

8 Day 1 July 12, 2011
 8 Greenville, South Carolina

9 B E F O R E:

10 THE HONORABLE C. VICTOR PYLE, Judge

11 A P P E A R A N C E S:

12 George Campbell, Esquire
 12 Sloan Ellis, Esquire
 13 Assistant Solicitor
 13 Attorney for the State
 14
 14 Scott Robinson, Esquire
 15 Attorney for the Defendant

17 Caroline Hiskell
 17 Circuit Court Reporter
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

WITNESS	DIR	CR	REDR	RECR
Bryan Workman	61	83		
Terrance Bowers	96	104		
Dallas Gladson	106	113	116	
Clinton Berry	118	121		
Donald Howell	122	135		
John Martin	137	140		
Alvin King	141	148	154	157
Jerico Downs	161			
Shamika Sanders	166	173		
Jeremy Days	175	182		
James Grubbs	184			

E X H I B I T S

IDENTIFICATION	ID	IN EVD
State's Exhibits		
1 DVD	3	127
2 Forgery Affidavit	3	74
3 Check No. 1172	3	74
4 Forgery Affidavit	3	74
5 Check no. 1160	3	74
6 Zoom Map	3	63
7a Still	3	132
7b Still	3	132
9 Photo	3	
10 Photo	3	
11 Photo	3	
12 Photo	3	
13 Photo	3	
14 Photo Gun Floor Board	3	175
15 Photo	3	
16 Workman Statement	3	78
17 Photo Line-up	3	78
18 Motel 6 Zoom	3	63
19 Gun & Bullets	3	82

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 that that text was not on that docket.

2 THE COURT: I will grant that motion. We
3 will not proceed on the forgery.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: We will elect to proceed on
5 the armed robbery, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right, and the possession of
7 a weapon?

8 MR. CAMPBELL: And if I may add to the
9 Court's information, this is not -- these witnesses are
10 not mute. Mr. Howell has been included since the incident
11 report was written by Investigator King and Jerico Downs
12 was listed as a witness on this trial when it was slated
13 in 2010. So this is not new information or surprise
14 witnesses.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, if I could just
17 address that. The Solicitor's Office is great to work
18 with. These guys are great. I'm not saying that, it's
19 just that I need a little more notice.

20 THE COURT: I understand that. I grant that
21 motion. All right, anything else before I bring the panel
22 up.

23 (No response).

24 Strikes are five for the State and for the
25 defendant.

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 (Jury panel enters the courtroom).

2 Ladies and gentlemen, if I could have your
3 attention. At this time we will select a jury in the case
4 of State against Anthony Maurice Lounds.

5 Mr. Lounds, would you stand up, turn around
6 and face this jury panel for me, please, sir.

7 (Defendant complies).

8 Thank you. You can be seated.

9 He is indicted for armed robbery and
10 possession of a weapon during the commission of a violent
11 crime. The indictment alleges specifically that on or
12 about the 5th day of September 2009 while armed with a
13 deadly weapon or while either by action or words that he
14 was armed that he committed the robbery including US
15 currency and a checkbook from Bryan Workman.

16 Count 2 alleges that at that same time that
17 he possessed or visably displayed a handgun during the
18 commission of the armed robbery.

19 Now, potential witnesses in the case will be
20 Terrance Bowers, Doris Culp, Jeremy M. Days, Jerico Downs,
21 Mike Fortner, Dallas Gladsen, Lamont Garrison, James
22 Grubbs, Jeffery Scott Hines, don Howell, Tracy King, John
23 t. Martin, Shamika Sanders, Bryan Workman, Anthony Lounds,
24 Randy Bryant, anthony Watkins, Alfred Chandler and Rene
25 Beaufort.

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 I will inquire at this time if any member of
2 the jury panel is related by blood or marriage or
3 connected by employment to the defendant or to any
4 potential witness in the case. Are you sensible or aware
5 of any bias or any prejudice with respect to the
6 defendant, to the State, or to the subject matter of the
7 case, or do you know any reason why you could not give
8 this defendant and the State a fair and impartial trial
9 based on the law in evidence to be presented? If so,
10 please stand and give me your name.

11 (No response).

12 Do I have any member who has any connection,
13 family, business, social or otherwise with Walt Wilkins,
14 the Solicitor, or any member of his staff including George
15 Campbell and Sloan Ellis who are the prosecutors in this
16 case, or with law enforcement whether that be federal,
17 state, county, or municipale? If so, please stand and give
18 me your name.

19 Your name, please, ma'am.

20 JUROR NO. 28: Janet Campbell.

21 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Campbell.

22 JUROR NO. 28: My husband's neice is here.

23 THE COURT: Your husband's neice?

24 JUROR NO. 28: Ms. Lowry.

25 THE COURT: Would that fact in any way

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 interfere with your ability to be a fair and impartial
2 juror on this case?

3 JUROR NO. 28: No.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

5 Scott Robinson represents the defendant. Do
6 I have any clients now or formerly of Mr. Robinson? If
7 so, please stand and give me your name.

8 (No response).

9 Do I have any member of the panel or any
10 member of your family whose been a victim of a violent
11 crime? If so, please stand and give me your name?

12 (No response).

13 Any other questions I've overlooked, counsel?

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Clinton Berry is here from
15 Greenville Federal Credit Union filling in for Doris Culp.
16 He may be called as a witness.

17 THE COURT: What's his name?

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Clinton Berry.

19 THE COURT: Anyone related to Clinton Berry?

20 (No response).

21 All right, strikes are five for the State and
22 10 for the defense.

23 Ladies and gentlemen, when your name is
24 called, I would ask you to please come up to this podium,
25 turn around and face counsel and await further

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 instructions. Thank you.

2 THE CLERK: And if you would, also bring your
3 belongings should you be seated. Thank you.

4 Juror No. 43, Daniel Davenport. If you would
5 please come forward.

6 What says the State?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat this juror.

8 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror to
9 serve on this case.

10 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat in
11 the jury box.

12 (Whereupon, Juror No. 43, Daniel R.
13 Davenport, white male, was seated.)

14 Juror No. 30, David Carr.

15 What says the State?

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Carr.

17 THE CLERK: Thank you.

18 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this jury from
19 the trial of this case.

20 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
21 You're excused from the trial of this case.

22 Juror No. 181, Joe Smith.

23 What says the State?

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Smith.

25 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 the trial of this case.

2 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

3 You're excused from the trial of this case.

4 Juror No. 40, Debra Cue.

5 MR. ROBINSON: Ma'am, what juror is this?

6 THE CLERK: 40.

7 MR. ROBINSON: 40?

8 THE CLERK: Yes.

9 What says the State?

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Cue.

11 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat the juror for the
12 trial of this case.

13 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
14 the jury box.

15 (Whereupon, Juror No. 40, Debra Cue, white
16 female, was seated.)

17 Juror No. 216, Daniel Wilkie.

18 What says the State?

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Wilkie.

20 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
21 the trial of this case.

22 THE CLERK: You may be seated. You're
23 excused from the trial of this case.

24 Juror No. 8, Deborah Bartlett.

25 What says the State?

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Barlett.

2 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

3 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
4 the jury box.

5 (Whereupon, Juror No. 8, Deborah Bartlett,
6 white female, was seated.)

7 Juror No. 29, Steven Campbell.

8 What says the State?

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Campbell.

10 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
11 the trial of this case.

12 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may seated.
13 You're excused from the trial of this case.

14 Juror No, 89, David Hester.

15 What says the State?

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Hester.

17 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
18 the trial of this case.

19 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
20 You're excused from the trial of this case.

21 Juror No. 123, David Lesser.

22 What says the State?

23 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Lesser.

24 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

25 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated in

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 the jury box.

2 (Whereupon, Juror No. 123, David Lesser,
3 white male, was seated.)

4 Juror No. 227, James Lovett.

5 What says the State?

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Lovett.

7 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
8 the trial of this case.

9 THE CLERK: You may be seated. You're
10 excused from the trial of this case.

11 Juror No. 13, Robert Berndt.

12 What says the State?

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Please excuse Mr. Berndt.

14 THE CLERK: Thank you, you may be seated.
15 You're excused from the trial of this case.

16 Juror No. 22, Gary Brison.

17 What says the State?

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Brison.

19 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

20 THE CLERK: Thank you may be seated in the
21 jury box.

22 (Whereupon, Juror No. 22, Gary Brison, white
23 male, was seated.)

24 Juror No. 86, Larae Harvey.

25 What says the State?

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Harvey.

2 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

3 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
4 the jury box.

5 (Whereupon, Juror No. 86, Larae Harvey, white
6 male, was seated.)

7 Juror No. 54, Marcia Edmonds.

8 What says the State?

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Edmonds.

10 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
11 the trial of this case.

12 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
13 You're excused from the trial of this case.

14 Juror No. 213, Frank Wenning.

15 What says the State?

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Wenning.

17 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

18 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
19 the jury box.

20 (Whereupon, Juror No. 213, Frank Wenning,
21 white male, was seated.)

22 Juror No. 167, James Robertson.

23 What says the State?

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Robertson.

25 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
2 the jury box.

3 (Whereupon, Juror No. 167, James Robertson,
4 white male, was seated.)

5 Juror No. 95, Shirley Holliday.

6 What says the State?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Holliday.

8 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

9 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat in
10 the jury box.

11 (Whereupon, Juror No. 95, Shirley Holliday,
12 white female, was seated.)

13 Juror No. 28, Janet Mary Campbell.

14 What says the State?

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Campbell.

16 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
17 the trial of this case.

18 THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.
19 You have been excused for the trial of this case.

20 Juror No. 163, Debra Reich.

21 What says the State?

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Reich.

23 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

24 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may have a seat
25 in the jury box.

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 (Whereupon, Juror No. 163, Debra Reich, white
2 female, was seated.)

3 Juror No. 11, Annie Baxley.

4 What says the State?

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Baxley.

6 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror on this
7 case.

8 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
9 the jury box.

10 (Whereupon, Juror No. 11, Annie Baxley, white
11 female, was seated.)

12 Juror No. 128, Brenda Martin.

13 What says the State?

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Martin.

15 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
16 the trial of this case.

17 THE CLERK: Thank you, you may be seated.
18 You're excused from the trial of this case.

19 Juror No. 137, Al Mickel.

20 What says the State?

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Please excuse Mr. Mickel.

22 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
23 You're excused from the trial of this case.

24 Juror No. 126, Norman McDonald.

25 What says the State?

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. McDonald.

2 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
3 the trial of this case.

4 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
5 You're excused from the trial of this case.

6 Juror No. 161, Katherine Rawson.

7 What says the State?

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Rawson.

9 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror for the
10 trial of this case.

11 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated in
12 the trial of this case.

13 (Whereupon, Juror No. 161, Katherine Rawson,
14 white female, was seated.)

15 THE COURT: Ms. Reich, would you please take
16 this seat and serve as foreperson, please, ma'am.

17 And give me one alternate, one for the State,
18 two for the defense.

19 THE CLERK: Juror No. 192, Donna Streets.

20 What says the State?

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Ms. Streets.

22 MR. ROBINSON: Please excuse this juror from
23 the trial of this case.

24 THE CLERK: Thank you, you may be seated.

25 You're excused from the trial of this case.

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 Juror No. 79, Stephen Guthrie.

2 What says the State?

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Please excuse this juror.

4 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

5 You're excused from the trial of this case.

6 Juror No. 47, Robert Defoor.

7 What says the State?

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Please seat Mr. Defoor.

9 MR. ROBINSON: Please seat this juror.

10 THE CLERK: Thank you, you may be seated.

11 (Whereupon, Juror No. 47, Robert Defoor,
12 white male, was seated.)

13 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

14 JUROR NO.40: Twenty-six years ago I was
15 involved in a crime. I didn't hear you say the last name
16 where I was robbed at knife-point. I just wanted to let
17 you know before you got the trial started.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Would that fact in any way
19 interfere with your ability to be a fair and impartial
20 juror in this case?

21 JUROR NO: Absolutely no.

22 THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that.

23 Now, ladies and gentlemen, there are a few
24 matters I need to take up before I start this case and
25 I'll give you a recess while I do that. You don't know

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 anymore about this case other than what I've briefly told
2 you but I need to instruct you that from this point on you
3 don't talk about this case. You don't do that until
4 you've heard all of the testimony and the arguments by the
5 attorneys as well as my charge on the law. So if you'll
6 be at ease and follow the bailiff, we'll start just as
7 soon as we can. Thank you.

8 (Jury leaves the courtroom.)

9 At this time I need the balance of the panel
10 to report back down to the jury assembly room. Thank you
11 very much.

12 (Panel leaves the courtroom.)

13 Mr. Robinson.

14 MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I'm going to make
15 a Motion for a Mistrial in this case. That juror, that
16 kind of took me way off guard on that one. I think she
17 poisoned the entire panel with her statements regarding
18 the fact that she had been involved in an armed robbery.
19 I can not have a fair and impartial jury in this case. I
20 was very very surprised and absolute shocked that she
21 would state that in open court in front of all these
22 jurors when she actually didn't reveal that originally.

23 Not only did the 13 jurors hear that, 12 and
24 the alternate, but also the whole panel heard that.

25 THE COURT: Well, they would have heard that

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 had she answered that during voir dire, if she responded
2 to that time. She says she absolutely would not be an
3 unfair juror because of that. She said that very
4 emphatically, so I will deny your motion.

5 MR. ROBINSON: I just want to make sure I X
6 have this on the record, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Sure.

8 MR. ROBINSON: The only thing I have in
9 response to that, Your Honor, and I just want to clarify
10 my position on it for the record, the jury selection
11 process takes place down stairs on the first floor and at
12 that time what they normally do, what Judge Welmaker would
13 do or the judge who gives the voir dire and so forth to
14 the jury, he actually would not allow that juror, that
15 potential juror on the jury panel to state in front of
16 those jurors what those charges -- he would have them come
17 up afterwards and they would discuss in an intimate
18 setting with the judge, the clerk, the other attorneys
19 that were there, as well as the prosecutors. It's not an
20 open court kind of thing where she states ---

21 THE COURT: That's not anything that's done
22 in jury selection, Mr. Robinson.

23 MR. ROBINSON: That's my Motion for a
24 Mistrial.

25 THE COURT: Motion is denied.

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 All right, what matter are you proceeding on
2 first, solicitor?

3 MR. CAMPBELL: We would start on the Jackson
4 v. Denno hearing, Your Honor. The State calls
5 Investigator Tim Martin.

6 THE CLERK: Investigator, would you please
7 raise your right hand to be sworn in.

8 TIM MARTIN, having been duly sworn, testified
9 as follows:

10 Thank you. You may be seated. Please state
11 your full name for the record.

12 THE WITNESS: Tim Martin, M-A-R-T-I-N.

13 THE CLERK: Thank you.

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. ELLIS:

16 Q. Investigator Martin, where are you employed?

17 A. Greenville County Sheriff's Office, Criminal
18 Investigation Division.

19 Q. And how long have you been employed with the
20 Sheriff's Office?

21 A. This would be my 24th year.


22 Q. At some point during an investigation that you
23 were conducting, you started an investigation into some
24 checks that were taken from a victim by the name of Bryan
25 Workman?

State of South Carolina versus Anthony Maurice Lounds

1
2 I, the undersigned Caroline Hiskell, Official
3 Court Reporter for the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit of the
4 State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the
5 foregoing is a true, accurate, and complete transcript of
6 record of all the proceedings had and evidence introduced
7 in the trial of the captioned case, relative to appeal, in
8 the Circuit Court of Greenville County, South Carolina on
9 the 13th day of July, 2011.

10 I do further certified that I am neither of
11 kin, counsel, nor interest to any party hereto.

12
13
14 October 26th, 2011



15
16 Caroline Hiskell
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

State of South Carolina vs Anthony Maurice Lounds

1 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.

2 ---END OF TRANSCRIPT RECORD---

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

§72. Affidavit - Before
Notary Public

Affidavit
OF NOTICE of Appeal **RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2025

THE STATE v. Anthony Lounds

Appellate case

SC Court of Appeals

ND. 2025-001965


I, Anthony Lounds, being first duly sworn, States
The following: The Notice of appeal is accompanied in
Provide with this filing with This Affidavit For The
Court of Appeals.

Dated: Oct, 6th, 2025

s/ Anthony Lounds
Anthony M. Lounds 227456
Perry Corr. Inst.
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer, S.C. 29669

s/ Alex Deez
witness

s/ Tamara Conwell
Notary Public



cc: J.A.K/c.
A.N.L My Commission Expires
C.S.H/C.D.C. October 6, 2033

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

South Carolina Court of Appeals
IN THE South Carolina Supreme
COURT of Appeals

Anthony Maurice Lounds
v.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Appellate Case NO:
2025-DD1965

The State of South Carolina

THE Appellate ANTHONY M. Lounds Hereby Certifies by
His Signature Affixed To This Document That He Has This _____
6th day of Oct 2025, Served the Attorney For
The Respondent With A True Copy of This Notice of Appeal
and Certificate of Service by depositing Same in the U.S.
MAIL 1st Class Postage paid and Addressed To The Following

ATTORNEY General
P.O. BOX 11549
Columbia S.C. 29211

Greenville County Clerk of Court
305 EAST NORTH STREET
Greenville, SC 29601.

s/ Anthony Lounds
Anthony M. Lounds
P.C.I. Q3B-112
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer, S.C., 29669

DATE: 10/01 2025.

ANTHONY M. Lounds 227456
P.C.I. Q3B-112
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer, SC, 29669

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2025
SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

OCT 06 2025
PCI MAILROOM

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
JENNY ABBOTT Kitchings, CLERK
Post office Box 11629
COLUMBIA, SC, 29211