

RECEIVED

Oct 28 2025

SC Court of Appeals

From: u120@yahoo.com
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Robert Eaton](#); brent.desields@earhartoverstreet.com
Subject: Request for Clarification – Counsel of Record Status in Appellate Case 2025-001313
Date: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 12:33:39 PM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Dear Ms. Hyde,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing as the Appellant in Manna v. Sinclair, Appellate Case No. 2025-001313, to respectfully request clarification regarding the status of counsel of record for the Respondent(s).

As you know, Mr. Robert Eaton submitted correspondence dated October 14, 2025, requesting to be relieved as counsel and to substitute Brenten Heath DeShields, Esq. in his place. On October 15, 2025, the Court issued a letter indicating that a formal motion pursuant to Rule 264, SCACR must be filed for this change to take effect.

At this time, I have not received a copy of any

such motion, nor do I have confirmation that the Court has ruled on this matter. Given that I am serving the required Form 7 Certificate of Service and Appellant's Brief this week, I want to ensure that I am addressing the correct attorneys of record and complying with all procedural requirements.

May I kindly ask whether the Court has received a Rule 264 motion from Mr. Eaton or Mr. DeShields, and whether any substitution of counsel has been officially granted at this point?

Thank you very much for your time and assistance.

Respectfully,
Scott R. Manna
7575 Morgan Way
Naples, FL 34119
(704) 280-4785
u120@yahoo.com

