

From: [Andy Garsea](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: Fw: Judge Mattie Murphy Clerks email
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2025 10:53:04 AM
Attachments: [Status Notice No Filed Order Elbadawy Chatlos.pdf](#)

*** EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

ALA International

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Andy Garsea <ala_international@yahoo.com>
To: Emily Heid <eheid@sccourts.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2025 at 10:50:31 AM EDT
Subject: Judge Mattie Murphy Clerks email

Hello

Please see the email an 1attachment
and screenshot of Dorchester County

Thanks again

Attia and Lynne

Elbadawy v. D.R. Horton - Pending Motions and Court of Appeals Request

Elbadawy v. D.R. Horton - Pending Motions and Court of Appeals Request

Note

- Submit a Proposed Order in response to the Court of Appeals request regarding the Writ of Supersedes.
- Draft a proposed order for the Denial of motions including findings as per the arguments in the Memorandums and arguments.
- Submit the proposed order via efilng.

Created by Yahoo Mail

Was this message summary helpful?

- Murphy, Maite Law Clerk (Cheyanne Kilcoyne)

To: me, and 3 others, Cc: PBolen@dorchestercountysc.gov, and 2 others · Thu, Oct 30 at 8:33 AM

Message Body

Good morning All,

Upon review of the record and the arguments before her, Judge Murphy has decided to DENY the motions pending before her, which include: Motion to Compel, Motion to Open Internal Investigation and Motion to Compel Production of Documents. Counsel for the Defendants D.R Horton, please draft a proposed order that includes findings as per the arguments in the Memorandums and arguments, to be submitted via efilng within 10 days, for her Honor's review. Please circulate before submission.

Additionally, Defense Counsel for D.R. Horton, please submit a **Proposed Order in response to the Court of Appeals request**, regarding the Writ of Supersedes. This should include that upon her Honor's findings, the Plaintiffs did not introduce into evidence any exhibits during the Motion for Injunction, held via Webex on January 21, 2025. However, the Plaintiff did verbally reference an Exhibit, but the Plaintiff was unsure as to its number and stated it was either Four or Five. There were some documents filed prior to the Hearing of the Motion including: "Exhibit/Filings of Exhibits 1-3" and "Plaintiff's notice of filing exhibit five". The "Exhibits" were not properly moved into evidence. Upon review of the "Exhibits", even if they were moved into evidence, they would not have altered Judge Murphy's decision.

Should you have any questions, please let me know.

Best Regards,

Cheyenne Kilcoyne

Law Clerk for the Honorable Maite Murphy
Circuit Court Judge At-Large, Seat 15
5200 East Jim Bilton Boulevard
St. George, South Carolina 29477
