

**From:** [Andy Garsea](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Elbadawy v. D.R. Horton - Pending Motions and Court of Appeals Request  
**Date:** Thursday, October 30, 2025 1:42:52 PM  
**Attachments:** [Non-Dispositional Decision - Order - Remand \(3\).pdf](#)

---

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

*[ALA International](#)*

On Thursday, October 30, 2025 at 01:32:17 PM EDT, Andy Garsea <[ala\\_international@yahoo.com](mailto:ala_international@yahoo.com)> wrote:

*[ALA International](#)*

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Andy Garsea <[ala\\_international@yahoo.com](mailto:ala_international@yahoo.com)>  
**To:** Murphy, Maite Law Clerk (Cheyanne Kilcoyne) <[mmurphy1c@sccourts.org](mailto:mmurphy1c@sccourts.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 30, 2025 at 01:31:44 PM EDT  
**Subject:** Re: Elbadawy v. D.R. Horton - Pending Motions and Court of Appeals Request

Hello Ms. Kilcoyne,

Please see the 2-page attachment regarding the Objection of your improper email  
Received on October 30,2025

Regards,  
Attia Elbadawy  
and  
Lynne Chatlos  
493 Wise Road(mailing)  
493 Wise Lane(911/physical)  
Summerville, SC 29483  
843-641-8556

## *ALA International*

On Thursday, October 30, 2025 at 08:33:10 AM EDT, Murphy, Maite Law Clerk (Cheyanne Kilcoyne) <mmurphylc@sccourts.org> wrote:

Good morning All,

Upon review of the record and the arguments before her, Judge Murphy has decided to DENY the motions pending before her, which include: Motion to Compel, Motion to Open Internal Investigation and Motion to Compel Production of Documents. Counsel for the Defendants D.R Horton, please draft a proposed order that includes findings as per the arguments in the Memorandums and arguments, to be submitted via efilings within 10 days, for her Honor's review. Please circulate before submission.

Additionally, Defense Counsel for D.R. Horton, please submit a **Proposed Order in response to the Court of Appeals request**, regarding the Writ of Supersedes. This should include that upon her Honor's findings, the Plaintiffs did not introduce into evidence any exhibits during the Motion for Injunction, held via Webex on January 21, 2025. However, the Plaintiff did verbally reference an Exhibit, but the Plaintiff was unsure as to its number and stated it was either Four or Five. There were some documents filed prior to the Hearing of the Motion including: "Exhibit/Filings of Exhibits 1-3" and "Plaintiff's notice of filing exhibit five". The "Exhibits" were not properly moved into evidence. Upon review of the "Exhibits", even if they were moved into evidence, they would not have altered Judge Murphy's decision.

Should you have any questions, please let me know.

Best Regards,

**Cheyenne Kilcoyne**

Law Clerk for the Honorable Maite Murphy

Circuit Court Judge At-Large, Seat 15

5200 East Jim Bilton Boulevard

St. George, South Carolina 29477

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.