

From: [Jasonboyle03 me](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Jim Logan](#); [Andrew Powell](#); [Kim Manley](#); [Susan Spencer](#); [Grace Sommer](#); [awilson@scag.gov](#); [McIntosh, Lawton Law Clerk \(Carson Neeves\)](#); [McIntosh, Lawton Secretary \(Tammy Jennings\)](#)
Subject: Clarification of motion title
Date: Friday, October 24, 2025 5:38:41 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Hi Jason Michael Boyle, Ph.D.

750 Mourning Dove Lane

Seneca, South Carolina 29678

jasonboyle03@gmail.com

October 25, 2025

Clerk of Court

South Carolina Court of Appeals

1220 Senate Street

Columbia, SC 29201

Re: In the Matter of Jason Michael Boyle

Appellate Case No. 2024-001241

Dear Clerk,

I write in response to the Court's letter dated October 23, 2025, requesting proof of service for my reply to the return to the motion to strike and for my reply to the return to the motion to permit electronic service.

I would like to begin by respectfully reminding the Court that this case originated from a profound and continuing violation of my constitutional rights by the Oconee County Probate Court, including disguising a criminal case as a civil case to deny me the right to an attorney, which has led to a plethora of additional challenges. The subsequent actions of the Tenth Circuit Court of Oconee County compounded these violations, reflecting in plain view the systemic failures and entrenched corruption that have come to characterize judicial

proceedings in that jurisdiction.

When I later learned that the South Carolina Attorney General's Office, under the leadership of Attorney General Alan Wilson, had been appointed to represent the State in this matter, I quite literally celebrated with my wife and family. I sincerely believed that the Attorney General's Office would act first and foremost as a guardian of the Constitution—upholding the rights of citizens rather than defending the misconduct of state officials. I strongly believe that this case provides a transparent view of the inter workings of how corruption in Oconee courts has perpetuated itself for too long with the support of The State. I pray that this court is ready to address this challenge, and set a new path of accountability heading forward.

I therefore ask the Court's understanding and forgiveness for any procedural imperfections that may appear in my filings. From the outset of this process, I have been denied the assistance of counsel and have had no choice but to rely upon my own efforts to seek justice. I have done this after suffering the consequences of judicial misconduct, including significant loss of income, and doing my best to sustain my family. I continue to proceed with diligence, not only to vindicate my own rights, but also to expose and help prevent the continuation of a system in Oconee County that has, through its refinement and repetition, harmed many more people than myself.

I would like to clarify the following:

1. Regarding the reply to the return to the motion to strike:

The document I filed under the title "Appellant's Reply to Respondent's Return and Motion for Sanctions," filed October 14, 2025, is in fact my reply to the return to the motion to strike. The title was inadvertently misworded when it was finalized, but the substance of the document clearly corresponds to that motion.

When that reply was originally filed, the accompanying proof of service omitted the mailing addresses of the recipients, though the document was in fact properly mailed and emailed to all listed parties on the same day. I subsequently filed an updated Proof of Service on October 8, 2025, which includes both email and mailing addresses.

2. Regarding the reply to the motion to permit electronic service:

I do not wish to pursue that filing further, and therefore will not be submitting an additional proof of service for it. At the time that this document was due, I was absolutely swamped in other obligations, and made too many mistakes in it. I will just let it go. As much as I feel that the requirement to physically mail documents is a violation of equal protection under the law, I have found a service that will print in mail things from America, despite me being in Africa. Of course, this is an additional burden on me and my family.

In light of these clarifications, I respectfully request that the Court accept the October 8, 2025 Proof of Service as the proper proof of service for my reply to the return to the motion to strike, and note that all parties were in fact timely served by both mail and email.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Dr. Jason Michael Boyle, Ph.D.

Appellant