

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Latausha Vanderhall, Appellant,

v.

Alisha Murray, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2025-001231

---

## ORDER

---

On August 27, 2025, Appellant filed a motion asking this court to dismiss the underlying eviction action and vacate the magistrate's and circuit court's judgments because the magistrate lacked subject matter jurisdiction. On September 15, 2025, this court denied Appellant's motion.

On October 9, 2025, Appellant filed a motion asking this court to dismiss the magistrate court's case, including the circuit court's order affirming the magistrate, and vacate the orders of the magistrate and circuit court because the magistrate lacked subject matter jurisdiction and the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel barred the eviction action. After careful consideration, we deny Appellant's motion to the extent it asks this court to dismiss the magistrate court's case and vacate the orders of the magistrate and circuit court based on the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel because this argument goes to the merit of the appeal, which shall be decided after full briefing. We take no action on Appellant's motion to the extent it asks this court to dismiss the magistrate court's case and vacate the orders of the magistrate and circuit court because this court denied Appellant's August 27, 2025, which raised the same grounds. *See* Rule 221(c), SCACR ("The appellate court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal."); Rule 240(i), SCACR ("The court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal.").

 J.  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

**FILED**  
**Nov 04 2025**

cc:

Latausha Vanderhall

Alisha Davis