

FORM 13  
BRIEF OF APPELLANT\*

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals  
[In The Supreme Court]

**RECEIVED**  
**Oct 29 2025**  
SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CALHOUN COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Heath P Taylor,  
Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2023-CP-09-00171

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Judge Heath Taylor/Presiding

Alan Neumeister /Attorney for Carol Benoit and Emily Bunker

John G Felder/Attorney for the Town of &Police Department

Respondent(s),

v.

Appellant. Shaneeka Stroman

\_\_\_\_\_  
[FINAL BRIEF]  
BRIEF OF APPELLANT  
\_\_\_\_\_

Shaneeka Stroman  
2117 Commander Rd  
North Charleston SC  
29405  
(803)596-5784  
Shaneekastroman8@gmail.com

\* Under Rule 267(e), SCACR, the cover of the final briefs should be the following colors: brief of appellant - blue; brief of respondent - red; reply brief - gray; and amicus curiae or intervenor - green.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities ..... ii
Statement of Issues on Appeal ..... 1
Statement of the Case ..... 1
Standard of Review ..... 1
Facts ..... 1

Arguments

1. BECAUSE APPELLANT AGREED TO BEING A TRESPASSER BECAUSE INSTEAD OF A LEGITIMATE TRESPASSER AS THE POSSESSOR OF REAL PROPERTY.....2
2. BECAUSE RESPONDENTS ARE NOT THE OWNER(S)OF THE PROPERTY .....2
Conclusion .....2
i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES\*

CASES

State v. Bates, 87 S.C. 527, 70 S.E. 170 (S.C. 1911) .....2

STATUTES

S.C. Code Ann. § 15-67-210 .....2
S.C. Code Ann. § 15-67-20 .....2
S.C. Code Ann. § 15-67-200 .....2
S.C. Code Ann. 15-67-10

OTHER AUTHORITIES

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF CONTRACTS Section 100 (1981) .....2

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF PROPERTY Section 200 (1981)

In.....2

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS Section 300 (1981) .....2

\*The authorities cited are fictitious and intended to show the form of citation only.

ii

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERROR IN FAILING TO ALLOW AMMENDMENT BY PLAINTIFF?
2. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERROR ON THE DECISION AND VOLATED CLEARLY?

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On November 14 of 2023, appellant Stroman brought this action alleging Forceful Entry and detainer. Defendants answered with a motion to dismiss claims. The case was tried on September 22 of 2025 and/ was entered on October 26 of 2025.

Carol Fisher the owner died. Currently she doesn't have records in probate court. Emily Fischer Bunker was substituted as plaintiff as her daughter.

On 2/9/2023, the case was transferred to magistrate court for criminal charges of trespassing and harassment.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

## FACTS

ARGUMENTS

- I. BECAUSE RESPONDENT IS NOT THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY, SHE HAS NO RIGHT TO ENFORCE TRESSPASSING OR HARASSMENT AGAINST STROMAN.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

- II. BECAUSE POSSESSION IS 9/10THS OF THE LAW, AND FORCED ENTRY AND DETAINER EXISTED AND IS THE LAW, THE DEFENDANTS SHOULD HAVE BEEN HELD LIABLE.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should reverse the judgment of the circuit court.

Respectfully submitted, Shaneeka Stroman  
2117 Commander Rd  
North Charleston SC  
29405  
Shaneekastroman8@gmail.com  
803-596-5784 /Appellant

October 29, 2025

