

Kimberly Haag et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Carlyle Senior Care Of Fountain Inn LLC et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter came before the Court on September 19, 2025. Matthew Christian was present on behalf of the Plaintiff. Ashley Heslop was present on behalf of Defendants Carlyle Senior Care of Fountain Inn, LLC, Carlyle Senior Care Management Company, Inc., New Day Health Ventures, LLC, and LARK of Fountain Inn, LLC. Nicholas Stewart was present on behalf of Defendants Fountain Inn Healthcare d/b/a Fountain Inn Post Acute, Providence Group, Inc., Providence Administrative Consulting Services, Inc., PACS Group, Inc., PACS Holdings, LLC, and 501 Gulliver Property, LLC. After careful consideration of the arguments of the parties and the materials filed, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Stay Litigation, and Compel Arbitration is DENIED. The parties shall have 30 days to engage in jurisdictional discovery. At the conclusion of those 30 days, this matter may be reset if deemed appropriate.

It is so Ordered.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 09/22/2025 .

RECEIVED

Nov 07 2025

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Kimberly Haag , plaintiff, et al vs. Carlyle Senior Care Of Fountain Inn LLC , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2025CP2301757
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

William C. McMaster, III