

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Greenville
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2025CP2301759

Kimberly Haag et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Carlyle Senior Care Of Fountain Inn LLC et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See page 2 for the Court's Order.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only


This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/24/2025 .

RECEIVED

Nov 10 2025

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL



ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Oct 24 2:44 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301759

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

Defendants' Motions to Reconsider were filed with this Court on October 2 and October 9, 2025. After careful consideration of the filings of counsel, the Motions to Reconsider are GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

In the Court's Order dated September 22, 2025, the Court used the term "reset." This term was meant to reflect the Court's intent to allow Defendants to refile their Motion to Compel Arbitration at the conclusion of jurisdictional discovery for a hearing on the merits.

Further, the parties shall have an additional 45 days from the date of this Order to continue engaging in limited, jurisdictional discovery.

It is so Ordered.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Kimberly Haag , plaintiff, et al vs. Carlyle Senior Care Of Fountain Inn LLC , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2025CP2301759
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

William C. McMaster, III