

Ajc 101 Holdings Llc
PLAINTIFF(S)

Planning Commission Greenville County
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter came before the Court on September 17, 2025. Luke Burke was present on behalf of the Plaintiff. Andrew Lindemann was present on behalf of the Defendant. After careful consideration of the materials filed and the arguments of the parties, the Court finds that the Defendant issued its decision on April 23, 2025, of which Plaintiff had actual notice on that date. Subsequently, Plaintiff filed its Appeal of the Defendant's decision 35 days later, on May 28, 2025. Therefore, based on the applicable case law and S.C. Code Ann. Section 6-29-1150, the Decision of the Greenville County Planning Commission is AFFIRMED.
It is so Ordered.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 09/26/2025 .

RECEIVED
Nov 26 2025
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Ajc 101 Holdings Llc VS Planning Commission Greenville County

Case Number: 2025CP2303365

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

William C. McMaster, III