

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

Robert Belle, Appellant,

v.

William Leach, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000488

Appeal From Greenville County
Michael G. Nettles, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2025-UP-409
Submitted October 1, 2025 – Filed December 10, 2025

AFFIRMED

Robert Belle, of Taylors, pro se.

William Leach, of Greer, pro se.

PER CURIAM: Robert Belle appeals the circuit court's order affirming the magistrate court's order which granted William Leach a judgment in the amount of \$5,800 related to the parties' agreement regarding yard grading and the

construction of a fence. On appeal, Belle argues the circuit court erred in affirming the magistrate's court's judgment. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR.¹

We hold all of Belle's issues are abandoned on appeal because he failed to provide any supporting authority. *See First Sav. Bank v. McLean*, 314 S.C. 361, 363, 444 S.E.2d 513, 514 (1994) (holding that when an appellant "fails to provide arguments or supporting authority," he is "deemed to have abandoned th[e] issue").

AFFIRMED.²

WILLIAMS, C.J., and THOMAS, and CURTIS, JJ., concur.

¹ Respondent did not file a brief. Although this court may take any action it deems proper, including reversal, when a respondent fails to timely file a brief, we believe Appellant's abandonment of his issues on appeal is sufficient for this court to affirm. *See* Rule 208(a)(4), SCACR ("Upon the failure of respondent to timely file a brief, the appellate court may take such action as it deems proper."); Rule 220(c), SCACR ("The appellate court may affirm any ruling, order, decision or judgment upon any ground(s) appearing in the Record on Appeal.").

² We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.