

RECEIVED

Dec 05 2025

SC Court of Appeals

December 5, 2025

Steve Maness, Appellant pro se
305 Kilgore Farms Circle
Simpsonville, SC 29681

Via Email

Charles O. Williams
cwilliams@gwblawfirm.com
Gallivan, White & Boyd
Post Office Box 10589
Greenville, South Carolina 29603

Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201
ctappfilings@sccourts.org

Appellate Case No. 2024-002011

Steven Maness Appellant

v.

Gunter Heating & Air/Teddy L. Gunter, State Farm Fire and Casualty Co., Defendants,

Of which Gunter Heating & Air/Teddy L. Gunter are the Respondents.

Mr. Williams,

I have filed and served a Motion to amend Designation of Matter today adding the Motion and Order Granting Motion to Compel to the designated record. That filing addresses the only possible compliance issue you have identified, that I was made aware of. However, I do believe the Order was already called to be included by the Court, per published guidelines.

Accordingly, pending approval of that Motion, the Record on Appeal will be fully designated and complete under Rule 210.

If there is some compliance issue as your Motion to the Court mentions: "The document consists of 428 bound, double-sided pages and does not appear to be in compliance with Rule

210, SCACR.” – of which I have not been notified, please inform me ASAP so that I may make any needed corrections.

As outlined in my prior correspondence, I am still willing, as a cooperative formatting accommodation (not as a correction of any Rule 210 defect), to:

1. Add cross-references in the index to original exhibit lettering; and
2. Relocate the Jeff Jaco affidavit to appear immediately after Motion for Summary Judgment memo.

If you confirm that these two adjustments resolve your remaining concerns, I will immediately finalize the revised pagination and send the file as discussed so that both parties may proceed with completing final briefs, without further delay.

The schedule change approved today is acknowledged and agreed to, but until we are in agreement on these remaining index issues (unless there’s something else not covered here), neither party is able to work on, much less complete final briefs.

Thank you for your prompt response.

Sincerely,

Steve Maness
Appellant, pro se