

RECEIVED

Dec 01 2025

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BERKELEY COUNTY

Court of general sessions

Michael Nettles Presiding Judge

Case number.: 20241040240854

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, RESPONDENT

v.

AKIM JAMMAR JEAN-CHARLES, APPELLANT

Notice of Appeal

Comes now, I am, "little one", aggrieved man above the age of majority and son of the Most High God; attorney-in-fact, beneficial owner, beneficial interest holder of, and man alleged to be **AKIM JAMMAR JEAN-CHARLES** (estate), do hereby notify this Court of my intent to appeal the validity and constitutionality of the Alford plea acceptance order rendered the twenty-first day of November year of our Lord two thousand and twenty five by alleged Judge, Michael Nettles, that I believe is not *legitimus, legalis*, nor *iustus*; that I believe the Court lacked jurisdiction over me, my person, and the subject matter of the proceedings due to but not limited to fundamental substantive and procedural due process violations, fatal constitutional defects, deprivation of civil rights under the color of State law including but not limited to the abuse of legal process, false imprisonment, fraud, deceptive and unfair practices that amount to professional and judicial misconduct conducted by the Court and or opposing party that ultimately caused and resulted in me, under duress, involuntarily utilizing the Alford plea as only a tool and means of being released from the unlawful and false imprisonment, after being coerced and misled by the opposing party and a court appointed attorney that was unlawfully appointed in an abuse of the legal process, and whos assistance proved to be ineffective and counter productive; and I believe and assert that due to the misconduct that was unlawful, illegal, partial, combative, adversarial, prejudicial, tortuous, injures and more against me and what the record shows to be advocative for the opposing party, I was falsely imprisoned and from the start never intended to plea guilty to the charges but alternatively always planned to use the Alford plea as my only available tool (only tool due to the ineffective assistance of counsel) to be released from my false imprisonment. Analogous and in the same sense when a kidnapper offers to let a victim go contingent on a payment of a particular ransom, the ransom is paid under duress and involuntary solely based on the promise of the irreparable harm not continuing, is the same way the Alford plea contemplated about and involuntarily based upon and entered.

~~21st day of June A.D. 2024~~
28th day of November
A.D. 2025, nunc pro
tunc for 21st day of
November A.D. 2025

Sincerely, the Most High's, "little one"

for : Akim Jammam Jean-Charles

by :  All Rights Reserved