

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

106652  
RECEIVED

DEC 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

SANDRA HOLMWOOD, pro se and  
HUGH PRICE, pro se  
Appellants,

v.

LISA MOLSTAD,  
Respondent.

Appellate Case No.: 2023-CP-41-00232

**MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD ON APPEAL**

Appellants, appearing pro se, respectfully move pursuant to Rule 212(b) and Rule 240(c), SCACR, for leave to supplement the Record on Appeal with the certified appellate mandate in the remanded restraining-order matter (Appellate Case No. 2023CP4100171) and with all trial court post-remand orders relevant to Question 2 of the present appeal. In support of this Motion, Appellants state as follows:

1. This appeal arises from the July 29, 2025, Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment entered by the Court of Common Pleas, Saluda County, in Case No. 2023OR4110100009. Appellants filed a timely Notice of Appeal. The Initial Brief is due on December 8, 2025.
2. After entry of the partial summary judgment at issue in this appeal and after the Record on Appeal was compiled, the underlying restraining-order matter (Appellate Case No. 2023CP4100171) was remanded by this Court and a certified mandate is expected at the Court's discretion. The mandate and other authorized documents from the Court will be filed in this matter once received.

3. Under Rule 212(b), SCACR, the Record on Appeal "may be corrected or modified at any time by stipulation of the parties... or by order of the appellate court on motion of a party and notice to all other parties." Appellants seek supplementation by motion because the parties have not consented to the addition of these materials. Under Rule 240(c), SCACR, motions relying upon facts not in the Record shall be supported by affidavits and documents. Appellants therefore submit the attached affidavit of Appellants (Exhibit A) in support of this Motion.
4. The requested supplementation is necessary to enable the Court to decide whether the partial summary judgment dismissing the malicious prosecution and abuse of process claims was premature or is otherwise affected by the post-remand orders that constitute new and material events not reflected in the Record on Appeal. Under South Carolina law, a malicious prosecution claim requires proof that the underlying prosecution terminated in the plaintiff's favor. *Elletson v. Dixie Home Stores*, 329 S.C. 1, 6, 494 S.E.2d 126, 128 (1997). The post-remand orders may include dismissals or warrant recalls that supply a favorable termination for certain prior prosecutions. See Rule 56, SCRCF; Rule 220, SCACR.
5. The certified appellate mandate is essential to establish the effective date of the remand, the scope of the trial court's authority on remand, and the timing of post-remand orders. "The mandate is the official notice of the appellate court's action that the court transmits to the lower court." *Adam Crews, The Mandate Rule*, 73 S.C. L. Rev. 263, 266 (2021). The mandate "ends the appellate court's jurisdiction and returns jurisdiction to the trial court." *Id.* Without the certified mandate in the appellate record, this Court cannot properly assess the temporal sequence and legal effect of the post-remand proceedings.

**WHEREFORE**

Appellants respectfully request that the Court:

- (a) Grant this Motion and order the Clerk to accept and file the mandate and other documents related to the remand upon their receipt and filing, as a Supplemental Appendix to the Record on Appeal;
- (b) Permit the parties ten (10) days from service of this Motion to file a return under Rule 240(e), SCACR, and five (5) days for a reply under Rule 240(f), SCACR;
- (c) In the alternative, permit Appellants to file a short supplemental brief addressing the effect of the supplemental materials if the Court grants this Motion; and
- (d) Grant such other relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

 12/8/2025

Sandra Holmwood, pro se and  
Hugh Parks Price, pro se  
2465 Lot D  
Pine Grove Rd  
Ward, SC 2916

 12/8/2025

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

SANDRA HOLMWOOD, pro se and  
Hugh Price, pro se  
Appellants,

v.

LISA MOLSTAD,  
Respondent.

Appellate Case No.: 2023-CP-41-00232

**NOTICE / ADDENDUM OF NEW DEVELOPMENTS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Appellants file this Notice/Addendum to inform the Court and all parties that the underlying restraining-order matter (Appellate Case No. 2023CP4100171) was remanded and that a certified mandate will be issued by the Court. A certified copy of the mandate and all post-remand trial-court orders relevant to the present appeal (including any dismissal or warrant-recall orders entered) will be filed u[on their receipt. It is necessary

*File*  
*(HP)* ~~of~~ the Appellants to file the attached Motion and Brief as a means of preserving their rights and ensuring that all relevant information is present in the Record on Appeal.

The Initial Brief will be filed on its current due date, December 8, 2025. Appellants respectfully request that the Court accept the Motion to Supplement and the attached certified documents into the Record on Appeal and, if the Motion is granted, permit the parties a brief period to file a short supplemental brief limited to the effect of the supplemental materials on Question 2 (malicious prosecution and abuse of process).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 8, 2025

*Sandra Holmwood 12/8/2025*  
Sandra Holmwood, pro se and  
Hugh Parks Price, pro se  
2465 Lot D  
Pine Grove Rd  
Ward, SC 2916

*HP 12/8/2025*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on this 8th day of December 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Initial Brief of Appellants was served upon opposing counsel by U.S. Mail, first-class postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Christian G. Spradley  
Moore Bradley Myers, PA  
110 S. Main St.  
Saluda, SC 29138  
Attorney for Respondent Lisa Molstad

**RECEIVED**

DEC 08 2025

SC Court of Appeals

*Sandra Holmwood 12/8/2025*  
Sandra Holmwood, pro se  
Hugh Price, pro se



*12/8/2025*