

Jeffrey Cepin  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Samet Corporation et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Defendant Metromont Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment was filed on May 5, 2025, and was heard by this Court on August 2, 2025. Summary Judgment is properly granted when, viewing the evidence and inferences to be drawn therefrom in a light most favorable to the nonmoving party, there are no genuine issues of material fact. Rule 56(c), SCRPC. This Court applies "the 'genuine issue of material fact' standard set forth in the Rule, requiring the party opposing the motion show a 'reasonable inference' to be drawn from the evidence. Kitchen Planners, LLC. v. Friedman, 440 S.C. 456, 461, 892 S.E.2d 297, 300 (Ct. App. 2023). Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, Defendant's motion is hereby GRANTED. Defense counsel shall efile formal proposed order to the court within 10 days for review.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 12/09/2025 .

Christian Edwin Carey for Samet Corporation  
Jeffrey Cepin for Jeffrey Cepin  
Jeffrey Cepin for Jeffrey Cepin

**RECEIVED**  
**Dec 12 2025**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---



Charleston Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Jeffrey Cepin VS Samet Corporation , defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2023CP1001923

**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/Jennifer B. McCoy #2764