



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

December 19, 2025

The Honorable Keith Horton  
PO Box 248  
Ridgeland SC 29936-0248

## **REMITTITUR**

Re: The State v. Ruben Ramirez  
Lower Court Case No. 2022GS2700362, 2022GS2700363  
Appellate Case No. 2025-000372

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

*Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy*

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire  
Joanna Katherine Delany, Esquire  
The Honorable Robert J. Bonds

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Ruben Ramirez, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000372

---

## ORDER

---

On February 14, 2025, the circuit court sentenced Appellant and denied his request for credit for time served. On October 28, 2025, Appellant filed his amended initial brief and designation of matter, arguing the circuit court erred in denying him credit for time served. On November 3, 2025, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss this appeal as moot. Respondent agreed Appellant should have received credit for time served and provided information from the South Carolina Department of Corrections indicating Appellant "ha[d] *already* received the time served credit he is seeking to obtain through the present appeal." On November 13, 2025, Appellant filed a return, acknowledging it "appear[ed] that Appellant ha[d], in practical effect, received the relief he requested" in his amended initial brief. After careful consideration, we dismiss this appeal as moot. *See Mathis v. S.C. State Highway Dep't*, 260 S.C. 344, 346, 195 S.E.2d 713, 715 (1973) ("A case becomes moot when judgment, if rendered, will have no practical legal effect upon existing controversy. This is true when some event occurs making it impossible for reviewing Court to grant effectual relief."); *Byrd v. Irmo High Sch.*, 321 S.C. 426, 430, 468 S.E.2d 861, 864 (1996) ("Before any action can be maintained, there must exist a justiciable controversy."); *id.* at 431, 468 S.E.2d at 864 ("This Court will not pass on moot and academic questions or make an adjudication where there remains no actual controversy."). Remittitur will be sent in accordance with Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.



J.

FOR THE COURT

**FILED**  
**Dec 03 2025**

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

Joanna Katherine Delany, Esquire