

**From:** [Geraldine White](#)  
**To:** [Evans-Pryor, Khee"Asia](#); [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Patrick Carr](#); [William M. Bowen \(bill@williambowenlaw.com\)](#); [tabor.vaux@vmbllawfirm.com](#)  
**Subject:** Benny Hudson Seafood v Martin Govan Appellate Case No. 2025-002484  
**Date:** Sunday, December 21, 2025 2:31:59 PM  
**Attachments:** [20251221\\_132606.PDF](#)

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. **\*\*\***

Dear Deputy Clerk,

I am writing to get clarity for the deficiency notice received today, December 17, 2025. It states that the caption/title does not comply with Rule 267 (a), **Specifically, you must identify all of the current appellant(s) and respondent(s) in the caption.**

The only Appellant in this case is Geraldine White. Craig White was only included because he was listed in the original lawsuit. Craig White is deceased as of August 19, 2021.

Respondents are the attorney for Benny Hudson Seafood Corporation, William M Bowen, Esq and Patrick William Carr, Esq Attorney for Martin Govan.

Roberts "Tabor" Vaux, Jr., Esq is not a respondent in this matter for the following reasons: 1) I sent Mr. Vaux all necessary documents attempting to seek his legal assistance; **See attached letter dated August 6, 2018;**

2) I received a letter from Mr. Vaux's office stated there was a conflict of interest and must decline representation (**See Letter Attached dated August 10, 2018;** 3) That envelope was postmarked **August 13, 2018 (See attached envelope);**4) I received another letter from Mr. Vaux's office in regards to the documents I sent to this office (**See letter dated August 22, 2018;** Same day default judgment was granted: 5) Consent Order for substitution stated that Roberts "Tabor" Vaux, Jr was the counsel for Martin Govan; This consent order substituted counsel, Patrick Carr for Robert Tabor Vaux. (**See Consent Order attached dated October 8, 2018.** 6) I received a letter from Patrick Carr on October 17, 2018 stating that he could not represent me (**See letter dated October 17, 2018.**)

I am trying to get clarity on this respondent deficiency. It is apparent to me that Roberts "Tabor" Vaux, Jr should not be included as a respondent based on the above information provided; however, if the court states he should be included, I am more than willing to add him to the caption. I just needed some clarity on this matter.

I also included the amended Notice of Appeal and Proof of Service, just in case the Court still does not agree.

Please review and advise

Thanks

Geraldine White

