

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F3B. RM. 148
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

IN RE: SERVING LEGAL PLEADING DIRECTLY ON THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS AND HAVING THEM
FILED UNDER CASE 2025-001856.

TO: THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, JUDGE H.
BRUCE WILLIAMS ET. AL.,

SIR, A COPY OF THE ATTACHED PLEADING IS BEING SERVED
DIRECTLY ON YOU IN HOPES OF GETTING THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST
INVOLVING THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE REPRESENTING ME FOR
THIS APPEAL AND SEEKING TO ACT PRO SE. NOT ONLY IS LEGAL ACTION
PENDING AGAINST THEM BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT. BUT ALSO AN
OFFICIAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THEM IS NOW FILED WITHIN THE S.C.
OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL. THIS MATTER MUST BE PERMITTED
ADDRESSED IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE APPELLANT. CAN YOUR
OFFICE PLEASE SEE THAT THE CLERK FILE COPY OF THE PLEADING IN THE
CASES CAPTIONED. I THANK YOU IN ADVANCE. STILL REMAIN,

RESPECTFULLY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD

DECEMBER 23, 2025

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

Dec 23 2025

CASE DOCKET NO. 2025-001856

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE COUNTY OF KERSHAW
IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING
UNDER CASE NO. 2004-GS-28-00385

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD,

PETITIONER-APPELLANT

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,


RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; NOTICE OF CONFLICT; MOTION FOR A FINAL ORDER ON DENIAL OF MOTION TO RELIEVE COUNSEL; MOTION FOR AN EX PARTE HEARING AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS AND ALL OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S. MAIL

POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON
DECEMBER 23, 2025.

RESPECTFULLY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lawrence L. Crawford", written in a cursive style.

DECEMBER 23, 2025

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

CASE DOCKET NO. 2025-001856

APPEAL FROM THE COUNTY OF KERSHAW
IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING
UNDER CASE NO. 2004-GS-28-00385

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD,

PETITIONER-APPELLANT

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; NOTICE OF
CONFLICT; MOTION FOR A FINAL ORDER OF DENIAL OF MOTION
TO RELIEVE COUNSEL; MOTION FOR EX PARTE HEARING AND
MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: TO FILING NOTICE OF CONFLICT; MOTION AND REQUEST TO THE

CHIEF JUDGE OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS FOR A FINAL ORDER RULING ON THE MOTION TO RELIEVE COUNSEL DUE TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST; MOTION FOR AN EX PARTE HEARING TO ADDRESS THE CONCERN UNDER CASE 2025-001856 DUE TO THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

TO: THE CHIEF JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,


THE APPELLANT GIVES THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. A COPY OF A DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO ACT PRO SE (SEEKING TO RELIEVE STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL ALSO DUE TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST); MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER ISSUED ON DECEMBER 3, 2025 HOLDING THE CASE IN ABEYANCE DUE TO CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR VOIDING THE ORDER UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONAL ELEMENT TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION; MOTION TO RENEW THE PREVIOUS FILED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND OTHER PLEADING THAT ARE SUBJECT TO THE DECEMBER 3, 2025 ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", [11] PAGES DATED FILED WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ON DECEMBER 15, 2025 ALONG WITH THE OTHER ATTACHED DOCUMENTS RELATED THERETO. I AM ASKING FOR A FORMAL RULING ON THE PLEADING DIRECTLY BY THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS, WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEAL OR IRREPARABLE DAMAGE WOULD OCCUR IN THE APPELLANT'S DUE PROCESS MATTERS IF THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DO NOT IMMEDIATELY ADDRESS THIS CONCERN.

INSOMUCH, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ISSUED A LETTER FROM THE CLERK OF COURT DATED DECEMBER 16, 2025 SAYING THAT THE COURT WOULD NOT TAKE ACTION ON MY MOTION TO RELIEVE COUNSEL BECAUSE I AM ALLEGEDLY REPRESENTED BY THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE. ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER AND THE S.C. APPELLANT DEFENSE OFFICE I AM OFFICIALLY ASKING THAT YOU IMMEDIATELY FILE WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS UNDER CASE 2025-001856 A MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL

AS MY ASSIGNED LEGAL COUNSEL DUE TO THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST HIGHLIGHTED WITHIN THE FILINGS THAT WAS DIRECTLY SERVED UPON YOU PURSUANT TO RULE 263 SCACR. I AM OFFICIALLY MOTIONING DIRECTLY BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE, JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS TO RULE ON THE MOTION OR IRREPARABLE INJURY WOULD OCCUR REGARDING THE APPELLANT'S CASE THAT IS CURRENTLY BEFORE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. THE CLERK BY HER RECENT ACTION HAS OBSTRUCTED ME IN OBTAINING RULING TO RELIEVE COUNSEL DUE TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND EXERCISE THE RIGHT TO ACT PRO SE WITHIN THESE PROCEEDINGS. AN OFFICIAL COMPLAINT IS NOW FILED WITH THE S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL REGARDING THIS MATTER (SEE ATTACHED COPY). THIS MATTER MUST BE ADDRESSED IMMEDIATELY DUE TO THE INORDINATE DELAY, FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT HAS ALREADY OCCURRED SUB JUDICE. THE APPELLANT RESERVES HIS DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO ARGUE AGAINST THE PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE OF 2005 UNDER RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE, RULE 217 AND SEEK TO PRESERVE HIS RIGHTS ESTABLISHED BY THE CLAIM PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON WHICH IS THE IMPETUS IN SEEKING TO EXERCISE THE RIGHT TO ACT PRO SE FOR THIS APPEAL UNDER CASE 2025-001856.

THE APPELLANT IS MOTIONING FOR AN EX PARTE HEARING TO HAVE THIS ISSUE IMMEDIATELY ADDRESSED ON THE COURT RECORD MAKING THE MOTION DIRECTLY TO YOU JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS TO PREVENT THIS MANIFEST INJUSTICE THAT CURRENTLY EXIST BY HAVING THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE REPRESENT THE APPELLANT. I AM MOTIONING FOR AN OFFICIAL RULING OF LAW ON THE COURT RECORD TO ALLOW ME TO FURTHER PROTECT MY DUE PROCESS RIGHT IF A DENIAL OCCURS.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE



DECEMBER 23, 2025

CC: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL
THE S.C. SUPREME COURT
THE S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT
CHIEF JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS S.C. COURT OF APPEALS

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F3B. RM. 148
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE
ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER ET. AL.,
1330 LADY STREET
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
POST OFFICE BOX 12159
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211

IN RE: TO FILING A FORMAL COMPLAINT WITH THE S.C. OFFICE OF
DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL AND SEEKING THAT THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE
OFFICE FILE NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL AS LEGAL COUNSEL UNDER RULE 264
SCACR WITHIN CASE NO. 2025-001856 IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,
NOTICE OF CONFLICT.

TO: THE S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,
THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE ET. AL.,

THE APPELLANT GIVES THE S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY
COUNSEL AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. A COPY OF A DOCUMENT
ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
ACT PRO SE (SEEKING TO RELIEVE STATE APPOINTED COUNSEL ALSO DUE
TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST); MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER ISSUED ON
DECEMBER 3, 2025 HOLDING THE CASE IN ABEYANCE DUE TO


CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR VOIDING THE ORDER UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONAL ELEMENT TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION; MOTION TO RENEW THE PREVIOUS FILED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND OTHER PLEADING THAT ARE SUBJECT TO THE DECEMBER 3, 2025 ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", [11] PAGES DATED FILED WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ON DECEMBER 15, 2025 ALONG WITH THE OTHER ATTACHED DOCUMENTS RELATED THERETO. I AM ASKING THAT THE S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL REVIEW THE PLEADINGS FILED WITHIN THE COURT RECORD WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS.

INSOMUCH, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ISSUED A LETTER FROM THE CLERK OF COURT DATED DECEMBER 16, 2025 SAYING THAT THE COURT WOULD NOT TAKE ACTION ON MY MOTION TO RELIEVE COUNSEL BECAUSE I AM ALLEGEDLY REPRESENTED BY THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE. ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER AND THE S.C. APPELLANT DEFENSE OFFICE I AM OFFICIALLY ASKING THAT YOU IMMEDIATELY FILE WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS UNDER CASE 2025-001856 A MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL AS MY ASSIGNED LEGAL COUNSEL DUE TO THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST HIGHLIGHTED WITHIN THE FILINGS THAT WAS DIRECTLY SERVED UPON YOU PURSUANT TO RULE 263 SCACR.

S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL I AM OFFICIALLY LODGING A COMPLAINT WITH YOUR OFFICE AGAINST ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER AND THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE FOR DELAYING ACTION IN FILING THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL WITHIN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED PROCEEDINGS. THERE IS A § 1983 ACTION CURRENTLY PENDING UNDER CASE 9:24-cv-04660-BHH-MCH AND OTHER RELATED CASES AS IS HIGHLIGHTED WITHIN THE DOCUMENTS SERVED ON THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE AND FILED WITHIN CASE 2025-001856. INSTEAD OF FILING A MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS LEGAL COUNSEL. THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE SENDS ME PAPERWORK ASSERTING THAT I MUST FILE IT TO ALLOW THEM TO REPRESENT ME THOUGH CLEAR CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXIST IN THIS CASE WHERE THEY ARE POTENTIAL DEFENDANTS RELATED TO THE CONSPIRACY TO FRAME THE APPELLANT BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AS IS ARGUED BEFORE THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS INVOLVED. RULE(S) 1.7 AND RULE(S) 1.16 REQUIRE THEIR MANDATORY WITHDRAWAL FROM ATTEMPTING TO REPRESENT THE APPELLANT BY WHAT IS ARGUED AND

PRESENTED BY THE CURRENT LEGAL PLEADING PLACED BEFORE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. I AM ASKING TO LODGE A FORMAL COMPLAINT TO HAVE THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE WITHDRAW THEMSELVES AS LEGAL COUNSEL UNDER CASE 2025-001856 IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEAL DUE TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES PRESENTED AND ARGUED BY THE PLEADING IN QUESTION.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', with a circular stamp or mark over the right side of the signature.

DECEMBER 23, 2025

CC: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL
THE S.C. SUPREME COURT
THE S.C. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT
CHIEF JUDGE H. BRUCE WILLIAMS S.C. COURT OF APPEALS