

COPY

Alexander Guice

FILED

P.O. Box 13281
Tampa, FL 33681
Email: alguice@hotmail.com
Phone: (813) 562-0547

2013 NOV -1 A 1: 21

October 28, 2013

BETH A. CARRIGG
CLERK OF COURT
LEXINGTON, SC

The Honorable Thomas A. Russo
Chief Administrative Judge, 11th Circuit
180 North Irby Street
Florence, South Carolina 29501

Re: Alexander Guice v. U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al
Case No.: 2013-CP-32-1272

Dear Judge Russo:

This letter is in regard to the "Motion for Reconsideration" hearing convened on September 3, 2013 in regard to the above captioned matter.

Please be advised that more than fifty (50) days have passed since the September 3, 2013 hearing, and to date, His Honor has failed to provide the parties with a copy of the proposed Order, a certified copy of the Order, or provide correspondence showing good cause for the delay. Furthermore, on September 13, 2013 the undersigned submitted electronic correspondence to His Honor, which was courtesy copied to Erin L. Hantske of McAngus Goudelock & Courie, LLC (Ms. Hantske), the attorney for the Defendants', requesting a status of the case. The undersigned received an electronic response from Hannah C. Honeycutt, who identified herself as His Honor's Law Clerk, in which Ms. Honeycutt stated, "Our records indicate that Judge Russo ruled from the bench on this motion on September 3. The motion was denied." On September 18, 2013 the undersigned contacted His Honor again, via electronic mail, which was also provided to Ms. Hantske, requesting a status on the above referenced matter, which was never responded to.

It is the undersigned's position that His Honor may be deliberately delaying the adjudication of the above referenced matter. The parties, and in particular, the undersigned, has both a legal and civil right for His Honor "...to dispose promptly of the business of the court..." Furthermore, the conduct of the Lexington County Clerk's Office in this matter leaves much to be desired. If His Honor has in fact denied the undersigned's motion for reconsideration, the undersigned cannot properly initiate an appeal of His Honor's opinion with a copy of an electronic message from His Honor's law clerk. As a pro se Litigant, the undersigned asserts that His Honor's conduct is even more egregious in nature, as the undersigned believes His Honor's conduct in these proceedings has prejudiced and injured the undersigned's case which continues to be favorable for the Defense.

In any event, the undersigned is certainly considering filing a formal complaint regarding His Honor's conduct in these proceedings with the Commission on Judicial Conduct.

RECORDED


NOV 18 2013

SC Court of Appeals

The undersigned demands that His Honor comply with *Rule 501* of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules and perform His Honor's judicial and adjudicative responsibilities with regards to the above captioned matter, so that the parties, if so inclined, can exercise the parties appellate rights and proceed with initiating an appeal of His Honor's opinion with the Court of Appeals. The undersigned also demands an explanation from His Honor with regards to the inexcusable delay in the adjudication of the above captioned matter.

By copy of this letter, the undersigned has provided Ms. Hantske a copy of the same, via regular mail with enclosures.

Respectfully,



Alexander Guice
Plaintiff, *pro se*

/AG

cc: Erin Hantske, Esq. (via reg. mail)

FILE