

01/05/2024

To: Judiciary, legal personnel and Off the record in the South Carolina Court of Appeals

From: Carolyn Tolbert Smith

Re: Two separate cases that is and they are case

no. 2025 000 893, Ave's Case now in the S.C. Court of Appeals and case no. 2021

Cp 10 0 405 8, Carolyn T. Smith's Case

Best understood that opposing counsel, William Kalivas, Esquire, Andrew Asbley,

Esquire and other respondents insist and would have justices believe that

the two, separate cases are one and the same. Obviously the cases are <sup>not</sup> and re-

fect two different matters. The Case No.

2021, embroiled in "Conspiracy," "Sabotage," "Obstruction of justice," "Prosecutorial-

mis-conduct" and more. the judge, "Impro-

vidently granted, "Order Summary

Judgment, gifting my <sup>family's</sup> property to an es-

tranged grand/nephew/cousin and rela-

ted respondents. Hence Ave is competent

to refer to proceedings (all), hearings

everything in the prior, 2021 Case (false

Case) that impacts adversely her 2025 000 893

Case as she battles to retrieve her property

donated to the most unworthy, Charles Tol-

bert. I, Carolyn's Case, not like Ave's

Case is not for jury trial. Ave's is. I

have a different appeal as to my case,

Respectfully,

Mme. CTS

Carolyn Tolbert Smith

