

ORIGINAL

VOLUME 3 OF 3

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE SUPREME COURT

Appeal from Greenville County

Robin B Stilwell, Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

MAR 19 2012

CEDRIC EMMANUEL PERKINS,

SC Supreme Court

PETITIONER,

V

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT

APPENDIX

DAYNE C PHILLIPS
Assistant Appellate Defender

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

South Carolina Commission on Indigent
Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589

JOHN W MCINTOSH
Chief Deputy Attorney General

SALLEY W ELLIOTT
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

KAREN RATIGAN
Assistant Attorney General
P O Box 11549
Columbia SC 29211

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX	1
TRIAL TRANSCRIPT	1
ORDER DATED JUNE 11, 2004	652
AMENDED ORDER DATED JULY 21, 2004	677
APPELLANT'S FINAL BRIEF	680
RESPONDENT'S FINAL BRIEF	690
SUPREME COURT OPINION	702
APPLICATION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF	707
RETURN AND PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS	715
FIRST AMENDMENT TO POST-CONVICTION RELIEF APPLICATION	723
SECOND AMEMNDMENT TO POST-CONVICTION RELIEF APPLICATION	725
THIRD AMENDMEN1 TO POST-CONVICTION RELIEF APPLICATION	728
FORTH AMENDMENT TO POST-CONVICTION RELIEF APPLICANTION	730
MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	732
PRO SE INITIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW CONTESTING THE PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS	734
LETTER FROM ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE	738
ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 18, 2010	740
POST-CONVICTION RELIEF HEARING TRANSCRIPT	742
ORDER OF DISMISSAL	782
CLERK OF COURT RECORDS	793
DRUG COURT RECORDS	798



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONOR NAME Cedric Perkins DONOR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 4/18/2004 Time 5:47 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 92°

Observed yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 4/18/2004

Collector Andres Canipe Test Performed by Andres Canipe



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E. North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR ALCOHOL SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedrick Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) - - - - -

COMPANY
NAME/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION 13th Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal - Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 4/8/2004 Time 5:44 PM

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics

I hereby consent to have a recording of my breath taken on a Digital Alcohol Detector (breathalyzer) I understand that my breath will be used for breath alcohol concentration analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests being made available to the above named Company/Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test I certify that I provided my breath specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the ABI Breathalyzer approved by US Dept Of Transportation in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results

Drug Screened	Result Breath Alcohol Concentration
Alcohol	0.00%

Signature of Donor

Cedrick Perkins

Date

4/8/2004



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

Pd \$30.00

Pd

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 4/6/2004 Time 3:07 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics with the results of the tests or my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 92°

Observed yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 4/6/2004

Collector ANDREAS CAMPOS Test Performed by Andreas



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3308
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 4 / 2 / 2004 Time 5 25 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative

Temperature 94°

Observed yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 4 / 2 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIPE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment
 ☐ Random
 ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident
 ☐ Return to Duty
 ☒ Other (specify) Legal: Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3/26/2004 Time 10:10 Am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC


I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative Temperature 92°F
Observed Yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>Negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzoarazepines	<u>Negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 3/26/2004

Collector Nina Raddatz Test Performed by Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3/23/2004 Time 330

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results Negative Temperature 95°

Observed Yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>Negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>Negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 3/23/2004

Collector Michael Harris Test Performed by Michael Harris



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3 / 15 / 2004 Time 2:00

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative Temperature 95°

Observed yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 3 / 15 / 2004

Collector Michael C Harris Test Performed by Nina Kaddatz

Nina Kaddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3 / 12 / 2004 Time 12 25 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 96°

Observed yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 3 / 12 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANAS Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3 / 8 / 2004 Time 10-09 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 96°

Observed yes

Drug Screened	Result	Cut Off Value
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>	50 ng/mL
Methamphetamines	<u>negative</u>	1000 ng/mL
Opiates	<u>negative</u>	2000 ng/mL
Benzodiazepines	<u>negative</u>	300 ng/mL

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 3 / 8 / 2004

Collector ANDROS CANIPE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3 / 4 / 2004 Time 12 13 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the mformation provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative Temperature 95°F

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>
Methamphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>Negative</u>

Open door Collection

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 3 / 4 / 2004

Collector NINA RADDATZ Test Performed by Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 3 / 2 / 2004 Time 10:22 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results: negative Temperature 96°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 3 / 2 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIPE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2/27/2004 Time 4:26pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 94°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2/27/2004

Collector ANDRES CANIDOT Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2 / 24 / 2004 Time 12 48 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results negative Temperature 95°

Drug Screened	Result.
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

Observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2, 24 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIFE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2, 21, 2004 Time 10:09 Am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity Institution or their Designee I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results Negative Temperature 92°F

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>Negative</u>
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>Negative</u>

Open door Collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2, 21, 2004

Collector Nina Raddatz Test Performed by Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment
 ☐ Random
 ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident
 ☐ Return to Duty
☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2 / 13 / 2004 Time 3 40 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 94°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2 / 13 / 2004

Collector ANDROS CANIPE Test Performed by Andrus



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#)

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2 / 17 / 2004 Time 9 50 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 92°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

observed collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2 / 17 / 2004

Collector ANDROS CANIPOS Test Performed by Amber Ay



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#)

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2 / 10 / 2004 Time 12 38 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.


Test Results Negative Temperature 93°

Drug Screened	Result.
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

*observed
collection*

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2 / 10 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIPE Test Performed by *[Signature]*



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) _____

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2 / 6 / 2004 Time 11-26 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results neg Temperature 96°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>neg</u>
Amphetamines	<u>neg</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>neg</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>neg</u>
Opiates	<u>neg</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>neg</u>

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 2 / 6 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIPE Test Performed by Andres Canipe



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 2/3/2004 Time 11 35 Pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector that I have not adulterated it in any manner that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative

Temperature 92°F

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>Negative</u>
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>Negative</u>

open door collection

Signature of Donor

Cedric Perkins

Date

2/3/2004

Collector

Kathy Washington

Test Performed by

Kathy Washington



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre Employment
 ☐ Random
 ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident
 ☐ Return to Duty
 ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 31 / 2004 Time 3 30 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results negative

Temperature 97°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	negative
Amphetamines	negative
Marijuana Metabolite	negative
Phencyclidine (PCP)	negative
Opiates	negative
Benzodiazepenes	negative

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1 / 31 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANAVE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR ALCOHOL SCREEN

DONAR NAME CEDRIC PERKINS DONAR ID (SSN#) - - -

COMPANY
NAME/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION 13th Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1/31/2004 Time 3 30 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics

I hereby consent to have a recording of my breath taken on a Digital Alcohol Detector (breathalyzer) I understand that my breath will be used for breath alcohol concentration analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests being made available to the above named Company/Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test I certify that I provided my breath specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the ABI Breathalyzer approved by US Dept Of Transportation in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results

Drug Screened	Result Breath Alcohol Concentration
Alcohol	0.00

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1/31/2004



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 28 / 2004 Time 11 43 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results: negative Temperature 96°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

*observed
collection*

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1 / 28 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIBE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 20 / 2004 Time 9 / 16

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative Temperature 95°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	negative
Amphetamines	negative
Marijuana Metabolite	negative
Phencyclidine (PCP)	negative
Opiates	negative
Benzodiazepenes	negative

observed
collection

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 1 / 20 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIPE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#)
COMPANY NAME/EMPLOYER 13th Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal - Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 16 / 2004 Time 10 57 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North St Greenville SC 29607

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company/Employer or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results negative

Temperature 96° observed Collection

Drug Screened	Result	Cutoff
Cocaine Metabolites	negative	300mg/Liter
Amphetamines	negative	300mg/Liter
Marijuana Metabolite	negative	300mg/Liter
Methamphetamine	negative	300mg/Liter
Opiates	negative	300mg/Liter
Benzodiazepines	negative	300mg/Liter

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1 / 16 / 2004

Collector Andres Camper Test Performed by



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) _____

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal-Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 9 / 2004 Time 12:58 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results negative

Temperature 95°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

*Observed
Collection*

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1 / 9 / 2004

Collector Andres Canino Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271 3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric E. Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) 2

COMPANY NAME/EMPLOYER Institution: Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Ordered

Time Arrived 12:00pm

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 12/26/2003 Time 1:40pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company/Employer or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on a 5-panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative

Temp 95°F

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	Negative
Amphetamines	Negative
Marijuana Metabolite	Negative
Methamphetamines	Negative
Opiates	Negative
Benzodiazepenes	Negative

* Open door collection

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 12/26/2003

Collector Nina Radatz Test Performed by Nina Radatz
Nina Radatz Nina Radatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) _____

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST:

- ☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 15 / 2004 Time 2 55 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.


Test Results negative Temperature 96°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1 / 15 / 2004

Collector ANDRES CANIFE Test Performed by [Signature]



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre Employment
 ☐ Random
 ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident
 ☐ Return to Duty
 ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1/12/2004 Time 2:11 PM

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative

Temperature 95° F

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>Negative</u>
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>Negative</u>

Open door collection

Signature of Donor

Cedric Perkins

Date 1/12/2004

Collector

Nina Raddatz

Test Performed by

Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271- 3307

CONSENT FOR ALCOHOL SCREEN

DONAR NAME CEDRIC PERKINS DONAR ID (SSN#)

COMPANY NAME/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DRUG COURT

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 2 / 2004 Time 2:15 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 E North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a recording of my breath taken on a Digital Alcohol Detector (breathalyzer) I understand that my breath will be used for breath alcohol concentration analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests being made available to the above named Company/Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test I certify that I provided my breath specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the ABI Breathalyzer approved by US Dept Of Transportation in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results

Drug Screened	Result Breath Alcohol Concentration
Alcohol	<u>0 - Negative</u>

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 1 / 2 / 2004

Collector Nina Raddatz Test Performed by Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) _____
COMPANY NAME/EMPLOYER 13th Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

- ☐ Pre Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 12/31/2003 Time 2:07 pm

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company/Employer or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on a 5-panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative

Temp 94° F

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>
Methamphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>Negative</u>

Open door Collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 12/31/2003

Collector Nina Raddatz Test Performed by Nina Raddatz
Nina Raddatz Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville, SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) _____

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST:

- ☐ Pre-Employment
 ☐ Random
 ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause
☐ Post Accident
 ☐ Return to Duty
☒ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1/13/2004 Time 12:39 PM

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company, Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee. I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test. I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results Negative

Temperature 94°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>Negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>Negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>Negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>Negative</u>
Opiates	<u>Negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>Negative</u>

observed collection

Signature of Donor

Cedric Perkins

Date 1/13/2004

Collector

NINA RADDATZ

Test Performed by

NINA RADDATZ

Nina Raddatz



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271-3306
Fax (864) 271-3307

CONSENT FOR DRUG SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#) SSN

NAME OF COMPANY/EMPLOYER/INSTITUTION/ENTITY Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☐ Other (specify) Legal Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 23 / 2004 Time 9 53 am

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics 1635 East North Street Greenville, SC

I hereby consent to have a specimen of my urine taken, and I understand that it will be used for drug analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests on my specimen being made available to the above named Company Employer, Entity, Institution or their Designee I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test I certify that I provided my specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the an instant 5 panel instant drug screen in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct

Test Results negative Temperature 92°

Drug Screened	Result
Cocaine Metabolites	<u>negative</u>
Amphetamines	<u>negative</u>
Marijuana Metabolite	<u>negative</u>
Phencyclidine (PCP)	<u>negative</u>
Opiates	<u>negative</u>
Benzodiazepenes	<u>negative</u>

observed
collection

Signature of Donor Cedric Perkins Date 01 / 23 / 2004

Collector ANDRES OARIBE Test Performed by Andres J



Accurate Diagnostics

1635 E North Street
Greenville SC 29607
Tel (864) 271 3306
Fax (864) 271 3307

CONSENT FOR ALCOHOL SCREEN

DONAR NAME Cedric Perkins DONAR ID (SSN#)

COMPANY NAME/EMPLOYER 13th Judicial Circuit Drug Court

REASON FOR TEST:

☐ Pre-Employment ☐ Random ☐ Reasonable Suspicion/Cause

☐ Post Accident ☐ Return to Duty ☒ Other (specify) Legal - Court Order

Date of Specimen Collection and Test 1 / 1 / 23 /2004 Time 9 49

Location of Test (Collection Site) Accurate Diagnostics

I hereby consent to have a recording of my breath taken on a Digital Alcohol Detector (breathalyzer) I understand that my breath will be used for breath alcohol concentration analysis by Accurate Diagnostics, with the results of the tests being made available to the above named Company/Employer or their Designee I hereby release Accurate Diagnostics and their employees, contract representatives, and agents from any and all liability arising from the release of the information discovered from my test I certify that I provided my breath specimen to the collector, that I have not adulterated it in any manner, that the specimen was tested on the ABI Breathalyzer approved by US Dept Of Transportation in my presence, and that the information provided on this form is correct.

Test Results

Drug Screened	Result Breath Alcohol Concentration
Alcohol	0.00

Signature of Donor [Signature] Date 01 / 1 / 23 /2004

Collector ANDRES CANIAS Test Performed by [Signature]

Drug Court Case Workup Check ListDefendant's Name Cedric Perkins Assigned File # _____

Labeled File on _____

Run Rap Sheet on 8-12-02 SID # SC00627853Probation _____ yes probation officer's name _____ ☒ no ☐ don't knowPossible problems Convicted of two CDV'sHandled in mag courtOther pending charges NoneChecked Jail Screen on 8-12-02 Currently incarcerated/Arrest date _____ Holds _____☒ Not incarcerated/release date 8-6-02Checked Solicitor's Screen on 8-12-02 Active Cases 6688000 PWID Mung6687999 PWID CocaineAsst Solicitor TMCMade Copies Of Warrants 8-12-02 Number of warrants in file 2

DL # _____ DL Checked _____

CHECK CLERK'S OFFICE _____ PRIOR OBLIGATIONS _____

Pays child support _____ yes _____ no _____ caught up on payments _____
Amount behind _____

NEED ASST SOLICITOR'S FILE _____ Entered on Computer _____



(GCCS)



Position to Warrant

Case#

Ind#

Open? N

Name PERKINS, CED

Person#

DOE

 Δ_{rrDt}

Offense

Pros

554

rOptions

Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Basel

ENTER

F2=Help

FC=Update

F1=Ext Help

F10=Modify

F8=Append

FY=Find

F11=Entry

F3=Page Up

F12=Popup

Page On

F5=Refresh

F3=EXIT

Name	Arrest	Warrant	Case No	Pros	Aged
PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL	07/28/1999	G100288	02-1999-059158	WCM	1109
Offense DRUGS, MANUFACTURING CRACK (G	Cd 0112	Ind# 1999GS2307432	ST PG		
	DOB 02/19/1966	SSN 888-88-8888	Person# 381995		
PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL	11/02/2001	G688000	01-2001-153092	TMC	259
Offense DRUGS / MANUFACT/DISTR/POSSES	Cd 0186	Ind# 2002GS2302684	ST AC		
	DOB 09/19/1966	SSN	Person# 164525		
PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL	11/02/2001	G687999	01-2001-153092	TMC	259
Offense DRUGS / MANUFACTURING CRACK (	Cd 0112	Ind# 2002GS2302685	ST AC		
	DOB 09/19/1966	SSN -	Person# 164525		
PERKINS, CONSTANCE VERNELL	01/13/1993	D342464	- -	SPH	3492
Offense CREDIT CARDS, THEFT AND FTC TH	Cd 0348	Ind#	ST DS		
	DOB 12/07/1959	SSN	Person# 116660		

More

rOption

Details

PARTIALLY

Time 17 20

No.	Charge	Warrant	ORI	Case#	H/L	C	D	Old	Disp
4	S088	FAIL TO PAY CHILD S	9502889	000	02806228	H	1		
CJg	BROWN	TJg	BROWN	Arr	17 20 06/06/2002	RR	10 31	06/10/2002	
No Bond set by Judge on 06/06/2002									
							Est		
5	0652	TRESPASSING	6762844	000	0200006871	L	1		
CJg	BUTLER	TJg	BUTLER	Arr	09 52 06/07/2002	RR			
(UNPD) Cash Bond of 1025 00 or Surety Bond of 1025 00 Set on 06/07/20									
							Est		
CJg		TJg		Arr		RR			
							Est		

Bottom of data

1038



Charges Public Inquiry

(GJDC)



JAGM051

Option

Default

Special

Job Log

Messages

Inmate 0018 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL

PARTIALLY

Sex M Race B DOB 09/19/1966 Booked 06/06/2002 Cell 0

Time 17 20

No	Charge	Warrant	DAI	Case#	H/L	C	D	OldDisp
1	M097 TRESPASSING AFTER N	F810946	000	02047407	L	1		
CJg	BUTLER TJg FOSTER Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR				
	(UNPD) Cash Bond of 466 00 or Surety Bond of 1025 00 Set on 06/06/200							
							Est	
2	M088 BENCH WARRANT MAGI	96923BX	000	0206969	L	1		
CJg	GARRETT TJg GARRETT Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR				
	Sentenced on 06/07/2002 to 225 00 or 30 dys							
							Est	
3	M008 BENCH WARRANT MAGI	96922BX	000	0206990	L	1		
CJg	GARRETT TJg GARRETT Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR				
	Sentenced on 06/07/2002 to 225 00 or 30 dys							
							Est	

More

Reset ENTER

F2=Help F6=Update

F1=Exit Help F10=Modify

F8=Append F9=Find

F11=Entry F7=Page Up

F12=PopUp Page Dn

F5=Refresh F3=EXIT

No changes will be allowed on this screen]

Charges Public Inquiry

(GDC)



JAGM051

Option

ID/FINGER

Inmate 0018 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL

NOT SENT

Sex M Race B DOB 09/19/1966 Booked 06/06/2002 Cell N3

Time 17 20

No	Charge	Warrant	DRI	Case#	H/L	C	D	OldDisp
1	M097 TRESPASSING AFTER N	F810946	000	02847407	L	1		
CJg	BUTLER TJg FOSTER Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR				
(UNPD) Cash Bond of 466 00 or Surety Bond of 1025 00 Set on 06/06/200								
Est								
2	M008 BENCH WARRANT MAGI	96923BX	000	02806969	L	1		
CJg	GARRETT TJg GARRETT Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR				
No Bond set by Judge on 06/06/2002								
Est								
3	M008 BENCH WARRANT MAGI	96922BX	000	02806990	L	1		
CJg	GARRETT TJg GARRETT Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR				
No Bond set by Judge on 06/06/2002								
Est								

More

*Released to
6/24/02*

[Handwritten signatures and scribbles]

1040



Charges Public Inquiry

(GUDC)



JAGM051

Option

PRINT

Inmate 0018 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL

NOT SENT

Sex M Race B DOB 09/19/1966 Booked 06/06/2002 Cell N3

Time 17 20

Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Reset ENTER

F2=Help F6=Update

F1=Ext Help F10=Modify

F8=Append F9=Find

F11=Entry F7=Page Up

F12=Popup Page Dn

F5=Refresh F3=EXIT

No	Charge	Warrant	ORI	Case#	HIL	C	D	DD	ap
4)	S088	FAIL TO PAY CHILD S	9502889	000	02806228	H	1		
CJg	BROWN	TJg	BROWN	Arr	17 20	06/06/2002	RR		
No Bond set by Judge on 06/06/2002									
							Est		
CJg			TJg				RR		
							Est		
CJg			TJg				RR		
							Est		

Bottom of data

CONVICTED OF A FELONY ACCORDING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS

1041

THEREFORE, THIS PERSON IS INELIGIBLE TO SHIP, TRANSPORT OR RECEIVE ANY FIREARM OR AMMUNITION AFFECTED BY INTERNATIONAL OR FOREIGN COMMERCE, AS DEFINED BY THE GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968 (18 USC 922(a))

** S C CTICS END OF RECORD **

IN FEB 28, 2002 14 27 51 MSC# 1844 SAN 000210755651/4055
OUT FEB 28, 2002 14 28 01 SAN 000210755651/4055

TO GVL5LO2
FROM CCH

A GVL5LO2
* NO WANTED RECORD ON SLED/CTICS COMPUTER *
FBI - 585753T40
SOC - 247519829

* INQUIRY SENT TO NCIC WANTED *

IN FEB 28, 2002 14 27 51 MSC# 1844 SAN 000210757077/4055
OUT FEB 28, 2002 14 38 02 SAN 000210757077/4055

TO GVL5LO2
FROM NCIC1

1101000210757077/4055
SC022015A

NO NCIC WANT FBI/585753T40
NO NCIC WANT SOC/SSN

IN FEB 28, 2002 14 27 54 MSC# 1844 SAN 000210760533/4055
OUT FEB 28, 2002 14 28 02 SAN 000210760533/4055

TO GVL5LO2

ROM SCCH-06768 Mon Aug 12 2 11 07 49
1042 ICHS/INQUIRY OF SCLED CCH FILES NG
ATTN-GOLDWIN BOBBIE
ORI-SC023015A PUR-C
NAM-PERKINS CEDRIC
SOC-SSN SEX-M RAC-B DOB-

DATE-08/12/2002 TIME-11 07 PAGE-01 OF 01
ORI-SC023015A
SCLED IDENT RESPONSE TO ICHS/QH INQUIRY
THIS RESPONSE CONTAINS POSSIBLE HITS ONLY AND DOES NOT IMPLY A POSITIVE
MATCH WITH THE INDIVIDUAL IN QUESTION

NAME-PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL SEX-M RACE-B
HEIGHT-506 WEIGHT-150 EYES-BRO HAIR-BLK SKIN-MBR BORN-SC
PC HENRY-12 O 31 W IOO 13 NCIC-DOPI12PO13DIPODIPI13
I 20 W OII
SID-SC00627853 FBI-585753TA0
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE
1-FINGERPRINT IMAGES ON THIS SUBJECT ARE STORED ON SCAFIS
DATE RECORD ENTERED--03/16/1988 DATE OF LAST UPDATE--06/24/2002

ALIAS NAMES-PERKINS CEDRIC E

BIRTH DATES- / /1

SOC SEC NUMBERS-SSN
SCLED CJICS CCH FILE INDICATES SUBJECT HAS NO OUT-OF-STATE ARRESTS

INDIVIDUAL PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING OR ACQUIRING FIREARM OR AMMUNITION
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968

INFORMATION SUBMITTED TO SLED CCH INDICATES THIS INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN
CONVICTED OF A FELONY ACCORDING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS
THEREFORE THIS PERSON IS INELIGIBLE TO SHIP TRANSPORT OR RECEIVE ANY
FIREARM OR AMMUNITION AFFECTED BY INTERSTATE OR FOREIGN COMMERCE AS
DEFINED BY THE GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968 (18 USC 922(G))

** END OF IDENT **

REQUESTED FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE PURPOSES
FOR COMPLETE CRIMINAL HISTORY PERFORM ICHR INQUIRY USING SID NUMBER OR
FBI NUMBER

REQUEST FORWARDED TO NCIC
ANY OUT-OF-STATE IDENT INFORMATION TO FOLLOW FROM NCIC VIA YOUR TERMINAL
** END OF MESSAGE **

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 07 49 000230667277/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 07 50 GVLSL03 MSG# 02363 SAN 0MQXFMD6L3BV

TO GVLSL03-02364 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 07 51 0MQXFMD6L3BV
FROM NCIC Mon Aug 12 2002 11 07 51
7L010MQXFMD6L3BV020
SC023015A-

THIS NCIC INTERSTATE IDENTIFICATION INDEX RESPONSE IS THE RESULT OF YOUR
INQUIRY ON NAM/PERKINS CEDRIC SEX/M RAC/B DOB/ SOC/SSN
PUR/C

NAME	FBI NO	INQUIRY DATE
PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL	585753TA0	2002/08/12

SEX	RACE	BIRTH DATE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	BIRTH PLACE	PHOTO
M	B	1966/09/19	506	150	BRO	BRO	SOUTH CAROLINA	N

FINGERPRINT CLASS PATTERN CLASS
DO PI 12 PO 14 WU WU RS WU WU WU WU WU LS
DI PO DI PI 11 LS

1043

ALIAS NAMES
PERKINS, CEDRIC E

OTHER
BIRTH DATES SOCIAL SECURITY

SSN

IDENTIFICATION DATA UPDATED 2002/07/02

THE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD IS MAINTAINED AND AVAILABLE FROM THE
FOLLOWING

SOUTH CAROLINA - STATE ID/SC00627853

THE RECORD(S) CAN BE OBTAINED THROUGH THE INTERSTATE IDENTIFICATION
INDEX BY USING THE APPROPRIATE NCIC TRANSACTION

END

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 07 51 000230671563/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12, 2002 11 07 52 GVL3L03 MSG# 02364 SAN 0MQXFMD6L3BV

FROM SCCH-06820 Mon Aug 12, 2002 11 11 27

1044

ICHR REQUEST FOR RAP SHEET

ORI-SC023015A SID-SC00627853 PUR-C

ATTN-GODWIN BOBBIE

AUTHORIZED CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCY USE ONLY CONTACT CONTRIBUTING
AGENCY FOR SPECIFIC/MORE DATA ABOUT CHARGES AND/OR DISPOSITIONS
BECAUSE ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS MAY BE MADE AT ANY TIME A NEW COPY
SHOULD BE REQUESTED WHEN NEEDED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE

PAGE-01 DATE-08/12/2002 TIME-11 11 01

REQ ORI-SC023015A GREENVILLE SOL

SID-SC00627853 FBI-585753TA0

NAME-PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL SEX-M RACE-B

HEIGHT-506 WEIGHT-150 EYES-BRO HAIR-BLK SKIN-MBR BORN-SC

PC-DOPI12PO13DIPODIPI13 HENRY-12 O 31 W IOO 13

I 20 W OII

PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

1-FINGERPRINT IMAGES ON THIS SUBJECT ARE STORED ON SCAFIS

DATE RECORD ENTERED--03/16/1988

DATE OF LAST UPDATE--06/24/2002

ADDITIONAL IDENTIFIERS

NAME	BIRTH DATES	MARKS	SOC SEC SSN	MISC NUM
PERKINS CEDRIC E				

CONTRIBUTOR/SUBJECT

DOA/RCVD CHARGE/DISPOSITION/ETC

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL

02/19/1988

SC0230200 GREENVILLE PD

CASE-91575

WARR-07764KW

ARREST CHARGE 01-DISORDERLY
CONDUCT
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE
COURT CHARGE 01-DISORDERLY
CONDUCT
COURT DISP-NON-CONVICTION
NOT GUILTY
COURT DATE-03/09/1988

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL

07/09/1993

SC0230200 GREENVILLE PD

CASE-91575

WARR-D385716 @

ARREST CHARGE 01-POSSESSION
WITH INTENT TO DIST COCAIN
E
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

WARR-6769W

ARREST CHARGE 02-SIMPLE POSSE
SSION OF MARIJUANA
COURT CHARGE 01-SIMPLE POSSES
SION OF MARIJUANA
COURT DISP-CONVICTED 30 DYS
OR \$195 AND COURT COST

WARR-6769VV

END OF PAGE

01 - PAGE 02 TO FOLLOW

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27

OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 29

GVLSL03 MSG# 02365

- 000231541475/4055

SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

TO GVLSL03-02366 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 30 0PQXFMD6L6AV
FROM SCCH-06821 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27

PAGE-02 DATE-08/12/2002 TIME-11 1 1
REQ ORI-SC023015A GREENVILLE SOL
SID-SC00627853 FBI-585753TA0

1045

CONTRIBUTOR/SUBJECT DOA/RCVD CHARGE/DISPOSITION/ETC

CIT-44-53-375

DOC-94GS2300371 WARR-D385716

COURT CHARGE 02-CRACK COCAINE
, POSS W/ INTENT 1ST OFF
COURT DISP-CONVICTED 15 YRS
SUSP 2 MOS W/E BAL SUS 5Y
PROB 200 HRS PSE
COURT DATE-09/06/1994

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL
SC0230400 MAULDIN PD
CASE-91575
WARR-7835TS

08/14/1993

ARREST CHARGE 01-DRIVING

DOC-94GS2300372 WARR-07835TS

UNDER SUSPENSION 2ND
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE
COURT CHARGE 01-DUS 2ND OFFEN
SE
COURT DISP-CONVICTED 2 MOS &
\$500 (TO BE SERVED ON
WEEK-ENDS TO RUN CONC)
COURT DATE-09/06/1994

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL
SC0230200 GREENVILLE PD
CASE-91575
WARR-D386679

08/23/1993

ARREST CHARGE 01-POSSESSION
W/I TO DISTRIBUTE CRACK
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

CIT-44-53-375

DOC-94GS2300373 WARR-D386679

COURT CHARGE 01-CRACK COCAINE
POSS W/ INTENT 1ST OFF
COURT DISP-NON-CONVICTION
NOLLE PROSSED
COURT DATE-09/19/1994

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL
SC0230200 GREENVILLE PD
CASE-91575
WARR-32975AN

05/26/1997

ARREST CHARGE 01-DRIVING
UNDER SUSPENSION 2ND
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

END OF PAGE

02 - PAGE 03 TO FOLLOW

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27

000231546717/4055

OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 31 GVLSL03 MSG# 02366

SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

TO GVLSL03-02367 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 32 0PQXFMD6L6AV
FROM- SCCH-06822 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11-27

PAGE-03 DATE-08/12/2002 TIME-11 11 01
REQ ORI-SC023015A GREENVILLE SOL
SID-SC00627853 FBI-585753TA0

CONTRIBUTOR/SUBJECT DOA/RCVD CHARGE/DISPOSITION/ETC

1046

CIT-56-1-440 MISDEMEANOR
DOC-97GS2305809 WARR-32975AN

ARREST CHARGE 02-LICENSE
PLATE VIOLATION

COURT CHARGE 01-DRIVING WITHO
UT A LICENSE
COURT DISP-CONVICTED 30 DA
OR \$100 (FINE TO BE PD
092297)
COURT DATE-09/22/1997

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL
SC0230200 GREENVILLE PD

07/28/1999

ATN-
WARR-G100288

DOC-99GS2307432 WARR-G100288

ARREST CHARGE 01-POSS CRACK
COCAINE W/INTENT TO DISTRI
BUTE
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE
COURT CHARGE 01-POSS LESS
THAN ONE GRAM ICE/CRACK
COCAINE 2ND
COURT DISP-CONVICTED 18 MO
CTS 1 DA
COURT DATE-07/17/2000
ATN-

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL
SC0230200 GREENVILLE PD
CASE-091575
ATN-
WARR-G101270

10/12/1999

ARREST CHARGE 01-CRIMINAL
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

SC040055C R & E CENTER COLA
CASE-267892
CIT-44-53-375 (A) -FELONY

07/19/2000 CUSTODY STATUS-RECEIVED
START DATE-07/19/2000

COURT CHARGE 01-POSS LESS
THAN ONE GRAM ICE/CRACK
COCAINE 1ST
COURT DISP-CONVICTED 18 MNTHS

END OF PAGE 03 - PAGE 04 TO FOLLOW

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27 000231553644/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 34 GVL3L03 MSG# 02367 SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

TO GVL3L03-02368 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 34 0PQXFMD6L6AV
FROM SCCH-06823 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27

PAGE-04 DATE-08/12/2002 TIME-11 11 01
REQ ORI-SC023015A GREENVILLE SOL
SID-SC00627853 FBI-585753TA0

CONTRIBUTOR/SUBJECT DOA/RCVD CHARGE/DISPOSITION/ETC

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL 11/02/2001
SC0230000 GREENVILLE CNTY SO
CASE-091575
ATN-
WARR-G687999
CIT-44-53-370 (B) (2) -FELONY

ARREST CHARGE 01-MANUFT POSSE
SS OTHER SUB SCH I II III

WARR-G688000
CIT-44-53-370(B) (2) -FELONY

PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

1047

ARREST CHARGE 02-MANUFT POSSE

SS OTHER SUB SCH I II III
WITD-1ST

WARR-96922BX
CIT-61-9-87-MISDEMEANOR

ARREST CHARGE 03-OPEN CONTAIN
ER

WARR-96923BX
CIT-44-53-960-MISDEMEANOR

ARREST CHARGE 04-POSSESSION
OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA
COURT CHARGE 01-OPEN CONTAIN
R

DOC-23 WARR-96922BX

COURT DISP-CONVICTED BENCH
WARRANT
COURT DATE-12/18/2001
COURT CHARGE 02-FIGHTING
COURT DISP-CONVICTED BENCH
WARRANT
COURT DATE-12/18/2001

DOC-23 WARR-96923BX

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL 11/30/2001
SC0230000 GREENVILLE CNTY SO
CASE-91575

ATN-231600007403
WARR-H019199
CIT-16-25-20-MISDEMEANOR

ARREST CHARGE 01-CRIMINAL
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE 2ND
OFFENSE
OFFENSE DATE-11/30/2001
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

PERKINS CEDRIC EMMANUEL 06/06/2002
SC0230000 GREENVILLE CNTY SO
CASE-091575
ATN-
END OF PAGE 04 - PAGE 05 TO FOLLOW

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27 000231560624/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 36 GVL3L03 MSG# 02368 SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

TO GVL3L03-02369 Mon Aug 12, 2002 11 11 37 0PQXFMD6L6AV
FROM SCCH-06824 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27

PAGE-05 DATE-08/12/2002 TIME-11 11 01
REQ ORI-SC023015A GREENVILLE SOL
SID-SC00627853 FBI-585753TA0

CONTRIBUTOR/SUBJECT DOA/RCVD CHARGE/DISPOSITION/ETC

WARR-F810946
CIT-16-11-620-MISDEMEANOR

ARREST CHARGE 01-ENTERING
PREMISES AFTER WARNING
PHOTOGRAPH AVAILABLE

@ - WARRANT OCCURS WITH MORE THAN ONE SID NUMBER

BASED ON SEARCH OF SCLED CJICS CCH FILE USING SID/SC00627853

1048 CJIS RECORD INDICATES SUBJECT HAS NO OUT-OF-STATE ARREST INFORMATION

INQUIRY WILL BE FORWARDED TO S L E D W A N T E D P E R S O N S

INDIVIDUAL PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING OR ACQUIRING FIREARM OR AMMUNITION
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968

INFORMATION SUBMITTED TO SLED CCH INDICATES THIS INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN
CONVICTED OF A FELONY ACCORDING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS
THEREFORE THIS PERSON IS INELIGIBLE TO SHIP TRANSPORT OR RECEIVE ANY
FIREARM OR AMMUNITION AFFECTED BY INTERSTATE OR FOREIGN COMMERCE AS
DEFINED BY THE GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968 (18 USC 922(G))

** S C CJIS END OF RECORD **

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27 000231563557/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 38 GVLSL03 MSG# 02369 SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

TO GVLSL03-02370 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 39 0PQXFMD6L6AV
FROM SCCH-06825 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27

A GVLSL0
* NO WANTED RECORD ON SLED/CJIS COMPUTER *
* INQUIRY SENT TO NCIC WANTED *

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 27 000231565340/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 39 GVLSL03 MSG# 02370 SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

TO GVLSL03-02371 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 40 0PQXFMD6L6AV
FROM NCIC1 Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 28
1L010PQXFMD6L6AV108
SC023015A

NO NCIC WANT FBI/585753TA0
NO NCIC WANT SOC/SSN

IN Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 28 000231567054/4055
OUT Mon Aug 12 2002 11 11 40 GVLSL03 MSG# 02371 SAN 0PQXFMD6L6AV

CMTI330D SCDC OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM 06/02/09
 OMCOMITA RELEASE DATE SCREEN C040481
 SCDC# > 302720 LOC TYGER RIVER
 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL SCDC CLASSIFICATION NON-VIOLENT
 OFFENDER TYPE ADULT-STRAIGHT SENTENCE SEXUAL REGISTRY N
 SEXUAL PREDATOR NOT APP
 DNA STATUS COMPLETED
 GPS REQUIREMENT N
 PREA DECISION
 CURRENT SENTENCE 010-00-000 CONSECUTIVE SENTENCE N
 010-00-000 CURRENT SENT START DATE 03/17/2004
 PROJECTED COMPLETION DATES
 MAXOUT DATE 09/13/2012 CURRENT EWC NOT CURRENTLY EARNING EWC
 YOA SIX YEAR DATE / / CURRENT EEC NOT CURRENTLY EARNING EEC
 INITIAL PAROLE DATE 00/00/0000 NEXT PAROLE HEARING DATE 00/00/0000

 TOTAL GT DAYS EARNED 000000 LABOR CREW/WORK PROG DATE 99/99/9999
 TOTAL EARNED WORK CREDITS 000000 LABOR CREW DISQ REASON
 TOTAL EDUCATION CREDITS 000000 DISC WITHIN 6 MONTHS
 TOTAL EXTRA EARNED CREDITS 000
 TOTAL SERVICE TIME EARNED 001875

PFKEYS 5 HISTORY OF DATE CHANGES
 4-© 1 Sess-1 167 7 50 33 SCDC1095 3/11

1050



Case Inquiry

(GCCS)



CSMM050

Position to Warrant Case# - Ind# Open? y

Name PERKINS, CEDRIC Person# DOB

ArDt Offense Pros SSN

Options



Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Reset

ENTER

F2=Help

F6=Update

F1=Ext Help

F10=Modify

F8=Append

F9=Find

F11=Entry

F7=Page Up

F12=Popup

Page Dn

F5=Refresh

F3=EXIT

Name	Arrest	Warrant	Case No	Pros	Aged
PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL	11/02/2001	G688000	01-2001-153092	TMC	94
Offense DRUGS / MANUFACT/DISTRI/POSSES		Cd 0186	Ind#		ST AC
	DOB 09/19/1966	SSN		Person# 164525	
PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL	11/02/2001	G687999	01-2001-153092	TMC	94
Offense DRUGS / MANUFACTURING CRACK (		Cd 0112	Ind#		ST AC
	DOB 09/19/1966	SSN		Person# 164525	
PERKINS, JOHN	08/19/2001	G252353	01-2000-098028	JBR	182
Offense VEHICLE / USE OF VEHICLE WITHO		Cd 0222	Ind# 2001GS2307935		ST AC
	DOB 06/15/1968	SSN		Person# 516832	
PERKINS, TERESA JAMISON	05/17/2001	G349367	02-2000-037836	HLS	255
Offense LARCENY, GRAND LARCENY \$1000 0		Cd 0478	Ind# 2001GS2305621		ST AC
	DOB 09/16/1968	SSN 888-88-8888		Person# 500625	

Ja More

Charges Public Inquiry

(GDCD)



JAGM051

Option



Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Inmate 891575 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL

NOT SENT

INACTIVE

Sex M Race B DOB 09/19/1966 Booked 01/10/2002 Cell

Time 23 28

No	Charge	Warrant	ORI	Case#	H/L	C	D	OldDisp
1	S088 FAIL TO PAY CHILD S	9502889	00	01835217				
CJg	BARTLETT TJg BARTLETT	Arr	23 28	01/10/2002	RA	1	1	36 01/22/2002
Sentenced on 01/18/2002 to 350 00 or 90 dys								
					Est			
2	M008 BENCH WARRANT	MAGI	2736225	00	01834993			
CJg	GRIMSLEY TJg CAGLE	Arr	23 28	01/10/2002	RA	1	1	43 01/22/2002
(CS) Sentenced on 01/15/2002 to 125 00 or 30 dys								
					Est			
CJg		TJg		Arr				
					Est			

Bottom of data

No changes will be allowed on this screen]

Reset

ENTER

F2=Help

F6=Update

F1=Ext Help

F10=Modify

F8=Append

F9=Find

F11=Entry

F7=Page Up

F12=Popup

Page Dn

F5=Refresh

F3=EXIT

1052



Charges Public Inquiry

(GCLC)



JAGM051

Option

Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Inmate 091575 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL

NOT SENT

INACTIVE

Sex

M

Race

B

DOB

09/19/1966

Booked

11/30/2001

Cell

Time 09 50

may be bonding

No.	Charge	Warrant	ORI	Case#	H/L	C	D	OldDisp
1	1246 CRIMINAL DOMESTIC V	H019199	08	01165242				
CJg	BUTLER TJg	WERNER	Arr	09 50 11/30/2001	RR	2	3	43 11/30/2001
(PD) Cash Bond of 1025 00 or Surety Bond of 1025 00 Set on 11/30/2001								
					Est			
CJg		TJg	Arr		RR			
					Est			
CJg		TJg	Arr		RR			
					Est			

Bottom of data

Reset

ENTER

F2=Help

F6=Update

F1=Exit/Help

F10=Modify

F8=Append

F9=Find

F11=Entry

F7=Page Up

F12=Popup

Page Dn

F5=Refresh

F3=EXIT

No changes will be allowed on this screen]

Charges Public Inquiry

(GCDC)



JAGM051

Option

Default

Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Inmate 091575 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMANUEL

NOT SENT

INACTIVE

Sex M Race B DOB 09/19/1966 Booked 11/02/2001 Cell

Time 04 12

Reset

ENTER

F2=Help

F6=Update

F1=Ext Help

F10=Modify

F8=Append

F9=Find

F11=Entry

F7=Page Up

F12=Popup

Page Dn

F5=Refresh

F3=EXIT

No	Charge	Warrant	DFI	Case#	H/L	C	D	Old	Disp
1	S051 CRACK POSS W/INT T	G687999	00	01153092					
CJg	ABRAHAM TJg	GARRETT	Arr	04 12 11/02/2001	RR	0	2	12 11/03/2001	
	(PD) Cash Bond of 15000 00 or Surety Bond of 15000 00 Set on 11/02/20								
								Est	
2	S154 MARIJUANA POSS W/I	G688000	00	01153092					
CJg	ABRAHAM TJg	GARRETT	Arr	04 12 11/02/2001	RR	0	2	12 11/03/2001	
	(PD) Cash Bond of 5000 00 or Surety Bond of 5000 00 Set on 11/02/2001								
								Est	
3	0660 OPEN CONTAINER	96922BX	00	01553092					
CJg	ABRAHAM TJg	GARRETT	Arr	04 12 11/02/2001	RR	0	2	12 11/03/2001	
	(PD) Cash Bond of 125 00 or Surety Bond of 200 00 Set on 11/02/2001								
								Est	

More

OWB
225.00

No changes will be allowed on this screen]

1054



Charges Public Inquiry

(GCDC)



JAGM051

Option

Default

Spoolfile

Job Log

Messages

Inmate 091575 PERKINS, CEDRIC EMMANUEL

NOT SENT

INACTIVE

Sex M Race B DOB 09/19/1966 Booked 11/02/2001 Cell

Time 04 12

No	Charge	Warrant	ORI	Case#	H/L	C	D	OldDisp
4	M047	POSS OF DRUG PARAPH	96923BX	00	01553092			
CJg	ABRAHAM	TJg	GARRETT	Arr	04 12	11/02/2001	RR	02 12 11/03/2001
(PD) Cash Bond of 425 00 or Surety Bond of 1000 00 Set on 11/02/2001								
							Est	
CJg			TJg				RR	
							Est	
CJg			TJg				RR	
							Est	

Bottom of data

Handwritten:
 11/03/2001
 02 12
 11/03/2001
 02 12
 11/03/2001

Reset

ENTER

F2=Help

F6=Update

F1=Ext Help

F10=Modify

F8=Append

F9=Find

F11=Entry

F7=Page Up

F12=Popup

Page Dn

F5=Refresh

F3=EXIT

To John Tucker

From Bobbie Godwin

Date October 22, 2003

Attached is a copy of a packet of information I received today from Carolyn Perkins, the mother of Cedric Perkins. Cedric is being recommended for termination from Drug Court for several reasons and unfortunately Ms Perkins has not presented the facts of this case accurately. I called Ms Perkins yesterday after Cedric's sister went to MRW Corporation asking to see his drug screens and was told they cannot give out that information. I called her as a matter of courtesy to let her know that information could be made available to her from this office with his consent which we (Not MRW) do have. Evidently, our conversation was misinterpreted on several points. These are the facts of the case.

Cedric has had numerous positive screens throughout his 13 months in the program. He has never progressed past Phase 2 of the program because of his repeated use of cocaine. He was sent to Treatment at Faith Home last February but was asked to leave due to a rule violation. After case deliberation, the staff recommended he remain in Drug Court and be given another chance with a return to Phase I. He did well for 2 months and used again. This time we sent him to jail to await a bed at Holmesview Inpatient program. He spent several months in jail and was admitted to Holmesview in August and did complete a 30 day program.

He returned to Phase I on Sept 15, 2003. On September 17, 2003 a drug screen patch was applied to him. On Saturday Sept 20, he failed to report for his drug screen and was sanctioned with a weekend in Jail. The patch was removed by MRW staff on Sept 24 and sent to the lab. A second patch was then applied to Cedric. It is this patch which in MRW's opinion was tampered with. (A copy of their statement is attached). The patch had actually been removed from the adhesive covering. It is Drug Court policy that a tampered screen is considered to be a positive screen. The results of that patch are invalid because he was no longer wearing it.

The first patch applied (the one on Sept 17) did return as positive. This was his 5th positive patch. 7th if we count missed drug screens. Ms Perkins does not seem to comprehend that urine tests done on Sept 30, and again on Oct 7 have nothing to do with the first patch which reported cocaine use for the period worn of Sept 17 through Sept 24. The drug test he was given on Saturday Oct 4 was for alcohol only. He missed the Saturday test which occurred during the time frame of the positive patch.

One final point of clarification. Ms Perkins did ask me if she could contact Judge Simmons. This was on Sunday October 12, 2003 when Ms Perkins called me on my cell phone. At that time no decision had been made on Cedric's case. I advised her to wait on the decision, and if Cedric would be recommended for termination Judge Simmons

would make his decision based on the staff recommendation and Cedric's attorney's defense of the case. Somehow that statement was edited implying a totally different statement. I again stated the full statement including the attorney part to Carolyn Perkins yesterday and have Teresa Moore as a witness that the full statement concerning the termination hearing was stated as I have said, not as Ms. Perkins has repeated.

Due to the increased controversy over these terminations, it will be Drug Court policy not to discuss these cases with family members even though clients may ask us to do so. All discussions concerning the cases will be referred to their attorneys.

Bobbie Godwin
Program Manager

Pc The Honorable Charles B. Simmons
Judy Steadman



1057

Suite 10
4200 East North Street
Greenville SC 29615
Phone 864 609 5015
888 801 0606
Fax 864 292 0727

October 7 2003

To Whom It May Concern

In reference to Cedric Perkins and the patch that was applied on October 1 2003, in our expert opinion the patch appeared to be tampered with when removed on October 7 2003

Angela S Dillard
Chanda Garner

Angela S Dillard
Chanda Garner
Corporate Choice



PROVIDING SERVICES FOR

Drug Testing • Hair Analysis • On Site Services • Same Day Results
Drug Free Workplace Programs • D O T Policy Development • Testing Kits & Supplies



Corporate Choice, Inc.

864-609-5015

13th Judicial Circuit CourtPATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 9/20/03Authorizing Counselor Bobbie Godwin Fax 467-8695**TEST REQUESTED**

- ☐ 5 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)
- ☐ 2 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC)
- ☐ Comprehensive Hair Analysis
- ☐ 6 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth amph, BENZO)
- ☐ Skin Patch Application and Test
- ☒ Alcohol Screen (Breath / Saliva)

NO SHOW

Special instructions _____

On-Site Drug Screen Results**NEGATIVE / CONFIRMATION NEEDED**

Confirming for _____ Lab Chain # _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand the drug screen and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results to authorized 13th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel

Date __________
Date _____

Corporate Choice Authorized Staff Member

Corporate Choice, Inc.

864-609-5015

13th Judicial Circuit CourtPATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 10-7-03Authorizing Counselor Bobby Godwin Fax 467-8695**TEST REQUESTED**

- X 5 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)
- _____ 2 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC)
- _____ Comprehensive Hair Analysis
- _____ 6 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth-amph, BENZO)
- _____ Skin Patch Application and Test
- _____ Alcohol Screen (Breath / Saliva)

** Took Patch off that
was applied on 10-1-03
Patch was exposed!*

Special instructions _____

On-Site Drug Screen Results**NEGATIVE**

/

CONFIRMATION NEEDED

Confirming for _____ Lab Chain # _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand the drug screen and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results to authorized 13th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel

Cedric Perkins Date 10-7-03

Bobby Godwin Date 10-7-03

Corporate Choice Authorized Staff Member

Client Name Cedric

Treatment Plan

Diagnosis

Reason for Treatment:

Goal	Target Date	Service
Sobriety		
Pay Child support on time		
go back to school		
get a car		

Date _____

Client Signature _____

Counselor Signature _____

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

September 16, 2003

To Whom It May Concern

This is to verify that ,Cedric Perkins, is participating in the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit's Drug Court program Cedric has had setbacks which resulted in sanctions of incarceration and inpatient treatment This sanctioning period from June 2003 to the present explains client's inability to pay child support Cedric has returned to Drug Court with a good attitude and commitment to his personal recovery following his completion of a 28 day inpatient program at Holmesview

Drug Court will continue to provide outpatient counseling, case management, and routine drug screens throughout his participation in the program which will be a minimum of 14 months until scheduled completion Should more information be required, please contact me at 864 467 8134 Thank you

Sincerely,

Bobbie Godwin
Program Manager

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

June 24, 2003

Greenville County Family Court
Greenville, S C

To Whom It May Concern

This is to advise that Cedric Perkins is now being held in the Detention Center per Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court order Mr Perkins has been participating in Drug Court since September 13, 2002 He is being held in detention due to continued use of drugs His continued relapses indicate a need for more intense treatment and Drug Court has referred Mr Perkins to an inpatient facility for alcohol and drug treatment lasting 28 days He will be held in the Detention Center until his admission into an inpatient facility is possible

After completing inpatient treatment, Mr Perkins will return to Drug Court and continue with Drug Court's outpatient program Mr Perkins has been in Detention since June 9, 2003 Should you require further information, please contact me at 864 467 8134 Thank you

Sincerely,

Bobbie Godwin
Program Manager

MRW Corporate Choice, Inc.

Drug Screening Specialists

13th Judicial Circuit Court

PATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 6/13/03

Authorizing Counselor Bobbi Godwin

TYPE OF TEST REQUESTED:

5 Panel Instacheck Kit Test *
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)

☒ 2 Panel Instacheck Kit Test *
(THC, COC)

5 Panel Laboratory Test
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, PCP)

6 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth-amph, BENZO)

No show

special instructions _____

LAB USE ONLY

THC	POS /	OPIATE	POS / NEG
COC	POS /	AMPH	POS / NEG
METH	POS /	BENZO	POS / NEG

confirming with lab for _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand the drug screen and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results to authorized 13th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel.

Date _____

Date _____
MRW/Corporate Authorized Staff Member

MRW, Corporate Choice, Inc.

Drug Screening Specialists

13th Judicial Circuit Court

PATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 10/18/08

Authorizing Counselor Bobbie Godwin

TYPE OF TEST REQUESTED

☐ 5 Panel Instacheck Kit Test *
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)

☒ 2 Panel Instacheck Kit Test *
(THC, COC)

☐ 5 Panel Laboratory Test
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, PCP)

☐ 6 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth-amph, BENZO)

**NO
SHOW**

special instructions _____

LAB USE ONLY

THC	POS / NEG	OPIATE	POS / NEG
COC	POS / NEG	AMPH	POS / NEG
METH	POS / NEG	BENZO	POS / NEG

confirming with lab for _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand the drug screen and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results to authorized 13th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel.

Date 10/18/08

Date 10/18/08
MRW/Corporate Authorized Staff Member

NAME

Cedric Perkins

The problem/loss I am currently dealing with is

Child support and a job and I am also facing dealing with my little girl

The stages of grief over loss/problems are

- 1, Denial
- 2 Anger
- 3 Bargaining
- 4 Depression
- 5 Acceptance

I feel that I am currently in the Anger, Acceptance stage(s) at this time Being in this stage feels

like Painful I feel hurt pain, mad and disappointed a lot of the time when I think about my little girl and her mother and that relationship I am learning to accept the fact that I must deal with my child support good or bad whether I have a job or not

I am coping with the situation in the following ways

I am talking about my feelings about my relationship with my little girl and her mother I am looking for a job and I have already spoke with child support services about my child support payments

My healthy coping responses are

I am focusing on me and the next right choices that I can make and it also helps me to share my feelings with my sponsor

My unhealthy coping responses are

When I try to do things my way

I am experiencing negative energy from anger, shame, guilt, anxiety, emotional pain, resentment, surrounding the incident (circle that which applies) In order to move forward into acceptance I

need To stay focused on me and do things that helps me to be and stay healthy and focused The 12 steps and talking to my sponsor are very helpful

Bsg/2000

THAT WHICH PREVENTS REACHING ACCEPTANCE

RESENTMENT

DENIAL – INCLUDING SELF-DENIAL OR DISHONESTY TO SELF

CRITICISM

SELF-PITY

JEALOUSY

INTOLERANCE

FEAR

SHORT TEMPER

IMPATIENCE

HATE

ENVY

FALSE PRIDE

LAZINESS/PROCRASTINATION

NEGATIVE THINKING

SELFISHNESS

WITHDRAWAL

SELF-RIGHTEOUS

DESPONDENT

INGRATITUDE

STIRRING THE ASHES/CONTINUING TO GOSSIP OR HASH OUT THE
PROBLEM BEYOND HEALING MEASURES

Bsg/2000

TOOLS TO HASTEN ACCEPTANCE/LETTING GO

HONEST SELF-EVALUATION

HONESTY IN ALL AFFAIRS

PATIENCE

LOVE

FORGIVENESS

TRUST

POSITIVE ATTITUDE

CALMNESS

OPENMINDEDNESS

SENSE OF HUMOR

TEARS

BEING GOOD TO ALL LIVING THINGS

SELF-HELP (EXERCISE, EAT RIGHT, REST, SHARE)

Bsg/2000

Name Cedric Perkins

DSM-IV DEPENDENCE SYMPTOMS

✓ TOLERANCE

WITHDRAWAL

✓ USING MORE OR LONGER THAN INTENDED

✓ PAST ATTEMPTS TO CONTROL OR CUT DOWN

✓ EXCESSIVE TIME INVOLVED WITH THE SUBSTANCE

✓ LIFE AREAS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY SUBSTANCE

CONTINUED USE DESPITE PHYSICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS
ASSOCIATED WITH USE

**NEEDED FOR DIAGNOSIS THREE OR MORE SYMPTOMS OCCURING AT
ANY TIME IN THE SAME 12 MONTH PERIOD**

ABUSE SYMPTOMS

FAILURE TO FULFILL OBLIGATIONS I E SCHOOL, WORK, ETC DUE TO USE

CONTINUED USE IN DANGEROUS SITUATIONS I E DUI, OPERATING
MACHINERY ON THE JOB, ETC

RECURRENT LEGAL PROBLEMS DUE TO SUBSTANCE USE

SOCIAL OR INTERPERSONAL PROBLEMS DUE TO SUBSTANCE USE

**One or more of these symptoms occurring within a 12 month period = abuse
diagnosis Abuse diagnosis can never apply if client has ever had a prior diagnosis
of dependence**

Acceptance

10/21/01 10:11 AM

Name Cedric Perkins

1069

When I hear of someone in recovery using again it makes me feel

Sorry for the person
that had the slip

The biggest factor I think that leads to relapse is

feelings from problems and people

My last relapse was because

Some feelings I had for my ex girlfriend

Name Cedric Perkins

Who do you Trust


- 1 When you were a child. Who did you trust? Mother, and family
- 2 Who, in your family, do you trust now? Mother and family
- 3 Do you trust Drug Court? Why?
or Why Not? Yes, I believe Mrs Bobbie is a trustworthy person. Now that I have had some experience with her.
4. What does it take to earn your trust? I have to feel the trust and it must be somewhat proven

Name Cedric Perkins

1071

The trigger wheel

Sobriety
lossing
It's
Priority





Drugs Feelings and Thoughts

Name Cedric Perkins

- 1 Have your feelings about drugs changed since you first began using drugs and now?

yes, my feeling in the beginning was drugs is a thing to do to have fun.

Now, drugs are no longer fun but a serious problem in my life it has caused me to have a disease called addiction

- 2 What rewards have you experienced due to using drugs?

I do not thing that I have had any rewards I have only had dewards

- 3 What losses have you experienced due to your drug use? jobs, relationships, with family and friends, trust, character, cars, apartments

- 4 Looking back over the years of using drugs and all the good and bad times you have experienced, if drugs were a person would you still want to be in relationship with that person? NO, because drugs have

only caused destruction in my life

5 Complete these sentences I think using drugs is like gambling because

It is like Rushing roulette the ~~ch~~ chances
of you losing are a lot greater than
your winning

I think the hardest part of recovery is learning all of your
triggers because they change often
and you lose focus

6 A drug addict seems to lack self-discipline The thought to use enters the mind and the addict becomes totally focused on the drug excluding everything else How do you think the addict can overcome this desire to use?

learning the 12 Step
Keeping in touch with your sponsor and sharing
your problems

7 Often people will say of someone who is drug addicted and can't seem to stop using despite treatment, hardships, etc that "the person just isn't ready to give them up" What do you think this means?

This could mean that the person
may not know how to stay focused

8 Do you think drugs have changed you as a person? If yes, how

I have learned about the disease of addiction
and the effect that it has on you

9 Do you think drugs have changed how you feel about yourself? Yes

I thought that I could handle drugs
now that feeling has changed

Cedric Perkins

Biological

Alcohol

father

(5)

Uncle

daddy side

(3)

great uncle

mother side

(5)

Cousin

mother + daddy side

(3)

grand daddy

(5)

Biographical

environment

5

Relationship

8

C

SESSION II**Group Exercise**NAME *Cedric Perkins***KUBLER-ROSS
STAGES OF GRIEF**

- 1 Denial
- 2 Bargaining
- 3 Anger
- 4 Depression
- 5 Acceptance

Please write in the space below which stage applies to how you feel today about having the disease of addiction. If you feel that more than one stage applies please write about it also.

Acceptance is where I am at today. It is hard to accept the fact that so much of my life has been destroyed by my addiction to drugs. When I think back on when I first started I did not believe that this could happen to me. But now I must accept that my addiction has ruin a big part of my life.

Name Cedric P

Date 11/25/02

- 1 What if you heard on the evening news tonight that a meteor was headed for the earth which could possibly destroy the planet – how would you react to this? How would this affect your recovery? *I would get together with my immediate family and talk and pray I would stay with my faith in God that I would keep my sobriety and be with the ones I love*
- 2 What if you witnessed a betrayal from your partner/spouse How would you react? How would this affect your recovery? *I would be very upset I would talk to them about what is going on I would not use drugs no matter what*
- 3 What if you were wrongly accused about being responsible for a bad incident at work which resulted in your immediate dismissal? How would you react? How would this affect your recovery? *My feelings would be hurt but I would pray the serenity prayer so many times I needed*
- 4 What if you received news that someone you love dearly had died suddenly How would you react? How would this affect your recovery? *I would be hurt I would be drunk but I would pray with my family and never*

5 What if you were told you had won the lottery totaling 3 million dollars How would you react? How would this affect your recovery?

This would answer a lot of my problems. It would be like Christmas everyday for my family. I would be able to buy me and my daughter a car and house. With my two year old daughter I know that I will keep my sobriety.

MRW/CorporateChoice, Inc.

Drug Screening Specialists

15th Judicial Circuit Court

PATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 9-30-02

Authorizing Connector Bobby Godwin

TYPE OF TEST REQUESTED

☐ 5 Panel Instachek Kit Test *
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)

☒ 2 Panel Instachek Kit Test *
(THC, COC)

☐ 5 Panel Laboratory Test
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, PCP)

☐ 8 Panel Instachek Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth-amph, BENZO)

Special instructions _____

LAB USE ONLY

THC ² POS/NEG
COC POS/NEG
METH POS/NEG

OPIATE POS/NEG
AMPH POS/NEG
BENZO POS/NEG

confirming with lab for _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand the drug screen and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results as authorized 15th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel.

Cedric Perkins

Date _____

Godwin

Date 9-30-02

MRW/Corporate Authorized Staff Member

MRV Corporate Choice, Inc.

Drug Screening Specialists

13th Judicial Circuit Court

PATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 9-16-02

Authorizing Counselor Bobbi Cadman

TYPE OF TEST REQUESTED

☐ 5 Panel Instacheck Kit Test *
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)

☒ 2 Panel Instacheck Kit Test *
(THC, COC)

☐ 5 Panel Laboratory Test
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, PCP)

☐ 6 Panel Instacheck Kit Test
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth-amph, BENZO)

Special Instructions _____

.....
LAB USE ONLY
.....

THC POS / NEG
COC POS / NEG
METH POS / NEG

OPIATE POS / NEG
AMPH POS / NEG
BENZO POS / NEG

confirming with lab for _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand the drug screen and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results to authorized 13th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel.

_____ Date _____

Crew Owens

Date 9-16-02

MRV/Corporate Authorized Staff Member

MRW, Corporate Choice, Inc.

Drug Screening Specialists

13th Judicial Circuit Court

PATIENT NAME Cedric Perkins DATE 9-13-02

Authorizing Counselor Bobbi Godwin

TYPE OF TEST REQUESTED

☐ **5 Panel Instacheck Kit Test ***
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, BENZO)

☒ **2 Panel Instacheck Kit Test ***
(THC, COC)

☐ **5 Panel Laboratory Test**
(THC, COC, OPI, METH, PCP)

☐ **6 Panel Instacheck Kit Test**
(THC, COC, OPI, AMPH, Meth-amph, BENZO)

special instructions _____

.....
LAB USE ONLY
.....

THC	<u>POS</u> / NEG	OPIATE	POS / NEG
COC	<u>POS</u> / NEG	AMPH	POS / NEG
METH	POS / NEG	BENZO	POS / NEG

confirming with lab for _____

CLIENT AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE RESULTS

My signature below indicates that I understand and agree with the screen results. Also, my signature below authorizes the release of the drug screen results to authorized 13th Judicial Circuit Court and Corporate Choice personnel.

Cedric Perkins Date _____
Erin J. Owens Date 9-13-02
MRW Corporate Authorized Staff Member

Cedric Perkins

11/20/02

ALCOHOL AND DRUG EDUCATION GROUP QUESTIONNAIRE
TRUE OR FALSE

- 1 Tolerance means it takes more of the substance to produce the desired effect (T)
- 2 Addiction is a chronic progressive disease defined as a "brain disease" (T)
- 3 One out of four American families experience problems related to alcohol (T)
- 4 Alcohol plays a part in at least one out of three failed marriages (T)
- 5 Alcohol kills five times as many people as all illegal drugs combined (T)
- 6 Marijuana use produces old age symptoms/changes in the brains of chronic users (T)
- 7 Drugs available by prescription only are government regulated because of their high addiction properties (T)
- 8 The development of addiction is influenced by both genetic and environmental factors (T)
- 9 The primary neurotransmitter in the brain known to be involved in the addiction process is dopamine (T)
- 10 Serotonin is a chemical in the brain controlling mood and anxiety and is not affected by alcohol and other drug use (T)
- 11 Nicotine kills 400,000 people each year – over a thousand people a day (T)
- 12 Continued abuse of a drug can lead to addiction to that substance (T)
- 13 Tolerance is not a symptom of addiction (F)
- 14 Opiates and sedative drugs anesthetize the brain and central nervous system (T)
- 15 During use, hallucinatory drugs alter the sensory areas of the brain (T)
- 16 Cocaine is considered a narcotic drug (F)
- 17 Nicotine is not as addictive as heroin and cocaine (F)
- 18 Half of the people in prison for violent crimes were drinking alcohol at the time of their arrest (T)

Cedric Perkins 1083

11/20/02


- ✓ 19 Marijuana use impairs the immune and reproductive systems (✓)
- ✓ 20 From a health standpoint, marijuana is a safe drug to use (F)
- ✓ 21 An alcoholic can safely use another drug without risking addiction to it (F)
- ✓ 22 Smoking 1 joint of marijuana per day is the same health risk as smoking a pack of cigarettes per day (✓)
- ✓ 23 Prescription drugs are safe to use with little risk for addiction (F)
- ✓ 24 The use of the drug ecstasy has no long-term consequences (✓)
- ✓ 25 Crystal methamphetamine (crank) is a stimulant with dangerous long-term effects, which can induce schizophrenia (✓)

TRIGGER WHEEL

Name

Cedric Perkins

Using a scale of 1 to 10 with 10 being the greatest rate of relapse potential of each section of the wheel as it applies to your alcohol and or drug use



I intend to resolve my relapse potential of Job and Money, by looking for a better job and upgrading my professional skills And in the future I would like to complete my College education.

109

289 - Al.

Time: Work 2 or 3
minutes, then 1 min. rest

11. 10/11/1971 10/11/1971 10/11/1971

1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040

3 4 2 0 2 1 1 7 2 2 2

Someone I like T.V. M. N.

6/17/2014

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{11}$ $\frac{1}{12}$ $\frac{1}{13}$ $\frac{1}{14}$ $\frac{1}{15}$ $\frac{1}{16}$ $\frac{1}{17}$ $\frac{1}{18}$ $\frac{1}{19}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{21}$ $\frac{1}{22}$ $\frac{1}{23}$ $\frac{1}{24}$ $\frac{1}{25}$ $\frac{1}{26}$ $\frac{1}{27}$ $\frac{1}{28}$ $\frac{1}{29}$ $\frac{1}{30}$ $\frac{1}{31}$ $\frac{1}{32}$ $\frac{1}{33}$ $\frac{1}{34}$ $\frac{1}{35}$ $\frac{1}{36}$ $\frac{1}{37}$ $\frac{1}{38}$ $\frac{1}{39}$ $\frac{1}{40}$ $\frac{1}{41}$ $\frac{1}{42}$ $\frac{1}{43}$ $\frac{1}{44}$ $\frac{1}{45}$ $\frac{1}{46}$ $\frac{1}{47}$ $\frac{1}{48}$ $\frac{1}{49}$ $\frac{1}{50}$ $\frac{1}{51}$ $\frac{1}{52}$ $\frac{1}{53}$ $\frac{1}{54}$ $\frac{1}{55}$ $\frac{1}{56}$ $\frac{1}{57}$ $\frac{1}{58}$ $\frac{1}{59}$ $\frac{1}{60}$ $\frac{1}{61}$ $\frac{1}{62}$ $\frac{1}{63}$ $\frac{1}{64}$ $\frac{1}{65}$ $\frac{1}{66}$ $\frac{1}{67}$ $\frac{1}{68}$ $\frac{1}{69}$ $\frac{1}{70}$ $\frac{1}{71}$ $\frac{1}{72}$ $\frac{1}{73}$ $\frac{1}{74}$ $\frac{1}{75}$ $\frac{1}{76}$ $\frac{1}{77}$ $\frac{1}{78}$ $\frac{1}{79}$ $\frac{1}{80}$ $\frac{1}{81}$ $\frac{1}{82}$ $\frac{1}{83}$ $\frac{1}{84}$ $\frac{1}{85}$ $\frac{1}{86}$ $\frac{1}{87}$ $\frac{1}{88}$ $\frac{1}{89}$ $\frac{1}{90}$ $\frac{1}{91}$ $\frac{1}{92}$ $\frac{1}{93}$ $\frac{1}{94}$ $\frac{1}{95}$ $\frac{1}{96}$ $\frac{1}{97}$ $\frac{1}{98}$ $\frac{1}{99}$ $\frac{1}{100}$

How wide is it?

1

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -1 & i \end{pmatrix}$

[illegible]

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Life expectancy at birth (years)	74.7	75.0	75.3	75.6	75.9	76.2	76.5	76.8	77.1	77.4	77.7	78.0	78.3	78.6	78.9	79.2	79.5	79.8	80.1	80.4	80.7	81.0	81.3	81.6	81.9	82.2	82.5	82.8	83.1	83.4	83.7	84.0	84.3	84.6	84.9	85.2	85.5	85.8	86.1	86.4	86.7	87.0	87.3	87.6	87.9	88.2	88.5	88.8	89.1	89.4	89.7	90.0	90.3	90.6	90.9	91.2	91.5	91.8	92.1	92.4	92.7	93.0	93.3	93.6	93.9	94.2	94.5	94.8	95.1	95.4	95.7	96.0	96.3	96.6	96.9	97.2	97.5	97.8	98.1	98.4	98.7	99.0	99.3	99.6	99.9	100.2	100.5	100.8	101.1	101.4	101.7	102.0	102.3	102.6	102.9	103.2	103.5	103.8	104.1	104.4	104.7	105.0	105.3	105.6	105.9	106.2	106.5	106.8	107.1	107.4	107.7	108.0	108.3	108.6	108.9	109.2	109.5	109.8	110.1	110.4	110.7	111.0	111.3	111.6	111.9	112.2	112.5	112.8	113.1	113.4	113.7	114.0	114.3	114.6	114.9	115.2	115.5	115.8	116.1	116.4	116.7	117.0	117.3	117.6	117.9	118.2	118.5	118.8	119.1	119.4	119.7	120.0	120.3	120.6	120.9	121.2	121.5	121.8	122.1	122.4	122.7	123.0	123.3	123.6	123.9	124.2	124.5	124.8	125.1	125.4	125.7	126.0	126.3	126.6	126.9	127.2	127.5	127.8	128.1	128.4	128.7	129.0	129.3	129.6	129.9	130.2	130.5	130.8	131.1	131.4	131.7	132.0	132.3	132.6	132.9	133.2	133.5	133.8	134.1	134.4	134.7	135.0	135.3	135.6	135.9	136.2	136.5	136.8	137.1	137.4	137.7	138.0	138.3	138.6	138.9	139.2	139.5	139.8	140.1	140.4	140.7	141.0	141.3	141.6	141.9	142.2	142.5	142.8	143.1	143.4	143.7	144.0	144.3	144.6	144.9	145.2	145.5	145.8	146.1	146.4	146.7	147.0	147.3	147.6	147.9	148.2	148.5	148.8	149.1	149.4	149.7	150.0	150.3	150.6	150.9	151.2	151.5	151.8	152.1	152.4	152.7	153.0	153.3	153.6	153.9	154.2	154.5	154.8	155.1	155.4	155.7	156.0	156.3	156.6	156.9	157.2	157.5	157.8	158.1	158.4	158.7	159.0	159.3	159.6	159.9	160.2	160.5	160.8	161.1	161.4	161.7	162.0	162.3	1

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

MMPI-2*

The Minnesota Report *

Adult Clinical System--Revised

Interpretive Report

James N Butcher, PhD

ID Number^{SSN}

Male

Age 35

Never Married

15 Years of Education


3/15/2002

Copyright © 1989 1993 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA All rights reserved
Portions reproduced from the MMPI-2 test Copyright © 1942 1943 (renewed 1970) 1989 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF MINNESOTA All rights reserved Distributed exclusively by National Computer Systems Inc

* Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 MMPI 2 and
The Minnesota Report are trademarks of the University of Minnesota

[55 / 10 / 10]

MMPI-2 VALIDITY PATTERN



Raw Score	4	9	9	2	23	2	33
T Score	46	50	74	42	66	51	59
Response %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Cannot Say (Raw) 0

Percent True 33

Percent False 67

*Experimental

PROFILE VALIDITY

This clinical profile has marginal validity because the client attempted to place himself in an overly positive light by minimizing faults and denying psychological problems. This defensive stance is characteristic of individuals who are trying to maintain the appearance of adequacy and self-control. This client tends to deny problems and is not very introspective or insightful about his own behavior.

The clinical profile may be an underestimate of the individual's psychological problems. The individual is likely to have little awareness of his difficulties. He is likely to be rigid and inflexible in his approach to problems and may not be open to psychological self-evaluation. He is likely to project an excessively positive self-image and to be somewhat arrogant and intolerant of others' failings. He is unlikely to seek psychological treatment or to cooperate fully with treatment if it is implemented.

SYMPTOMATIC PATTERNS

Scales Pd and Pt were used as the prototype to develop this report. The client's MMPI-2 clinical profile suggests that he is experiencing chronic psychological maladjustment characterized by personality traits of impulsivity combined with compulsive behavior. The client's symptom pattern is somewhat contradictory, reflecting a tendency toward acting-out behavior along with feelings of guilt and concern about his actions.

Some individuals with this profile have episodes of excessive, self-indulgent behavior followed by feelings of self-blame and concern about these actions. Complicating the symptom pattern for some of these individuals are vague physical complaints and somatic distress. The client is probably somewhat anxious and has doubts about himself at this time, but this does not seem to control his impulsive behavior.

The client's low Mf score indicates that he lacks the cultural interests characteristic of individuals with his educational level. He has a rather limited range of interests and prefers stereotyped masculine activities to artistic or literary pursuits or introspective experiences. He tends to be quite competitive and may feel the need to be or to appear macho. He may overemphasize the masculine role and feel the need to dominate women. Interpersonally, he is likely to be somewhat intolerant and insensitive, and others may find him rather crude, coarse, and narrow-minded.

In addition, the following description is suggested by the content of the client's item responses. He feels somewhat self-alienated and expresses some personal misgivings or a vague sense of remorse about past acts. He feels that life is unrewarding and dull, and he finds it hard to settle down.

PROFILE FREQUENCY

Profile interpretation can be greatly facilitated by examining the relative frequency of clinical scale patterns in various settings. The client's high point clinical scale score (Pd) occurs in 9.1% of the MMPI-2 normative sample of men. However, only 3.3% of the normative men have Pd as the peak score equal to or greater than a T score of 65, and only 1.9% have well-defined Pd spikes. This elevated MMPI-2 profile type (4-7/7-4) is very rare in samples of normals, occurring in less than 1% of the MMPI-2 normative sample of men. A high-point Pd scale score occurs in 10.3% of the sample of military men (Butcher, Jeffrey, et al., 1990). However, only 3% of the sample have Pd equal to or greater than a T score of 65. Only 2% of these high-point peaks are well defined at that level of elevation.

He scored relatively high on AAS, suggesting the possibility of a drug- or alcohol-abuse problem. The base rate data on his profile type among residents in alcohol and drug programs should also be evaluated. This MMPI-2 profile configuration contains

the most frequent high point, the Pd score, among alcohol- and drug-abusing populations. Over 24% of the men in substance-abuse treatment programs have this pattern (McKenna & Butcher, 1987).

PROFILE STABILITY

The relative scale elevation of his highest clinical scale scores suggests some lack of clarity in profile definition. Although his most elevated clinical scales are likely to be present in his profile pattern if he is retested at a later date, there could be some shifting of the most prominent scale elevations in the profile code. The difference between the profile type used to develop the present report and the next highest scale in the profile code was 4 points. So, for example, if the client is tested at a later date, his profile might involve more behavioral elements related to elevations on Hs. If so, then on retesting, extensive expression of physical complaints might become more prominent.

INTERPERSONAL RELATIONS

He seems to be somewhat dependent, tending to manipulate others, perhaps in a passive-aggressive way. He may also disregard others' feelings, although he may express superficial remorse over his actions.

Quite outgoing and sociable, he has a strong need to be around others. He is gregarious and enjoys attention. Personality characteristics related to social introversion-extraversion tend to be stable over time. The client is typically outgoing, and his sociable behavior is not likely to change if he is retested at a later time. His personal relationships are likely to be somewhat superficial. He appears to be rather spontaneous and expressive and may seek attention from others, especially to gain social recognition.

DIAGNOSTIC CONSIDERATIONS

He has reported several personal problems and possible maladaptive attitudes. The possibility of a personality disorder and repetitive maladaptive behavior should be evaluated.


TREATMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Individuals with this profile often appear anxious, guilty, and self-critical, and they tend to seek help for situational problems that they have frequently caused by their own intemperate actions. When situational stress diminishes, they tend to leave treatment without significantly changing their behavior. As a result, their problems are likely to recur.

His acknowledged problems with alcohol or drug use should be addressed in therapy.

NOTE: This MMPI-2 interpretation can serve as a useful source of hypotheses about clients. This report is based on objectively derived scale indices and scale interpretations that have been developed in diverse groups of patients. The personality descriptions, inferences, and recommendations contained herein need to be verified by other sources of clinical information because individual clients may not fully match the prototype. The information in this report should most appropriately be used by a trained, qualified test interpreter. The information contained in this report should be considered confidential.

MMPI-2 BASIC AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCALES PROFILE



Raw Score	5	24	24	28	20	12	11	10	17	19	25	20	6	10	15	*
K Correction	12			9			23	23	5							
T Score	62	62	57	84	38	57	66	62	53	44	60	41	65	53	59	*
Response %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	*


Welsh Code (new) 4^{'''}7+128-369/0 5# L'K+-/F

Welsh Code (old) 4* '728'1396-5/0 K L-F/?

Profile Elevation 62 90

*MDS scores are reported only for clients who indicate that they are married or separated

MMPI-2 CONTENT SCALES PROFILE

[illegible]

SUPPLEMENTARY SCORE REPORT

	Raw Score	T Score	Resp %
Anxiety (A)	6	44	100
Repression (R)	18	56	100
Ego Strength (Es)	41	58	100
Dominance (Do)	15	45	100
Social Responsibility (Re)	25	63	100
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder - Schlenger (PS)	9	48	100
Depression Subscales (Harris-Lingoes)			
Subjective Depression (D1)	11	61	100
Psychomotor Retardation (D2)	10	76	100
Physical Malfunctioning (D3)	4	59	100
Mental Dullness (D4)	5	62	100
Brooding (D5)	3	57	100
Hysteria Subscales (Harris-Lingoes)			
Denial of Social Anxiety (Hy1)	5	56	100
Need for Affection (Hy2)	9	59	100
Lassitude Malaise (Hy3)	3	52	100
Somatic Complaints (Hy4)	1	43	100
Inhibition of Aggression (Hy5)	4	55	100
Psychopathic Deviate Subscales (Harris-Lingoes)			
Familial Discord (Pd1)	3	58	100
Authority Problems (Pd2)	6	67	100
Social Imperturbability (Pd3)	6	63	100
Social Alienation (Pd4)	7	66	100
Self Alienation (Pd5)	8	72	100
Paranoia Subscales (Harris-Lingoes)			
Persecutory Ideas (Pa1)	3	58	100
Poignancy (Pa2)	1	41	100
Naivete (Pa3)	6	56	100
Schizophrenia Subscales (Harris-Lingoes)			
Social Alienation (Sc1)	2	47	100
Emotional Alienation (Sc2)	2	59	100
Lack of Ego Mastery, Cognitive (Sc3)	1	48	100
Lack of Ego Mastery, Conative (Sc4)	4	60	100
Lack of Ego Mastery, Defective Inhibition (Sc5)	1	47	100
Bizarre Sensory Experiences (Sc6)	2	51	100
Hypomania Subscales (Harris-Lingoes)			
Amorality (Ma1)	2	50	100
Psychomotor Acceleration (Ma2)	4	44	100
Imperturbability (Ma3)	6	65	100
Ego Inflation (Ma4)	2	43	100

Social Introversion Subscales (Ben-Porath, Hostetler, Butcher, & Graham)

Shyness / Self-Consciousness (Si1)	2	42	100
Social Avoidance (Si2)	4	54	100
Alienation--Self and Others (Si3)	1	38	100

Uniform T scores are used for Hs, D, Hy, Pd, Pa, Pt, Sc, Ma, and the Content Scales, all other MMPI-2 scales use linear T scores

EXPERIMENTAL CONTENT COMPONENT SCALES (Ben-Porath & Sherwood)

	Raw Score	T Score	Resp %
Fears Subscales			
Generalized Fearfulness (FRS1)	0	44	100
Multiple Fears (FRS2)	3	47	100
Depression Subscales			
Lack of Drive (DEP1)	5	68	100
Dysphoria (DEP2)	2	59	100
Self Depreciation (DEP3)	4	69	100
Suicidal Ideation (DEP4)	0	45	100
Health Concerns Subscales			
Gastrointestinal Symptoms (HEA1)	1	57	100
Neurological Symptoms (HEA2)	2	54	100
General Health Concerns (HEA3)	0	40	100
Bizarre Mentation Subscales			
Psychotic Symptomatology (BIZ1)	0	44	100
Schizotypal Characteristics (BIZ2)	1	47	100
Anger Subscales			
Explosive Behavior (ANG1)	1	45	100
Irritability (ANG2)	0	35	100
Cynicism Subscales			
Misanthropic Beliefs (CYN1)	4	44	100
Interpersonal Suspiciousness (CYN2)	4	53	100
Antisocial Practices Subscales			
Antisocial Attitudes (ASP1)	3	40	100
Antisocial Behavior (ASP2)	2	52	100
Type A Subscales			
Impatience (TPA1)	0	34	100
Competitive Drive (TPA2)	1	35	100
Low Self-Esteem Subscales			
Self Doubt (LSE1)	4	59	100
Submissiveness (LSE2)	0	41	100
Social Discomfort Subscales			
Introversion (SOD1)	6	53	100
Shyness (SOD2)	0	36	100

Family Problems Subscales

Family Discord (FAM1)	1	40	100
Familial Alienation (FAM2)	2	58	100

Negative Treatment Indicators Subscales

Low Motivation (TRT1)	0	42	100
Inability to Disclose (TRT2)	2	52	100

CRITICAL ITEMS

The following critical items have been found to have possible significance in analyzing a client's problem situation. Although these items may serve as a source of hypotheses for further investigation, caution should be used in interpreting individual items because they may have been checked inadvertently.

The percentages of endorsement for each critical item by various reference groups are presented in brackets following the listing of the item. The first endorsement percentage in the brackets "N" is the percentage of the MMPI-2 normative sample of 1,138 men who endorsed the item in the scored direction. Depending on the setting selected for the report, the endorsement percentage for the normative sample will be followed by the endorsement percentage of various clinical or research samples.

Acute Anxiety State (Koss-Butcher Critical Items)

Of the 17 possible items in this section, 3 were endorsed in the scored direction.

- 3 I wake up fresh and rested most mornings (False)
[N = 31.5]
- 140 Most nights I go to sleep without thoughts or ideas bothering me (False)
[N = 22.6]
- 444 I am a high-strung person (True)
[N = 21.9]

Depressed Suicidal Ideation (Koss-Butcher Critical Items)

Of the 22 possible items in this section, 7 were endorsed in the scored direction.

- 9 My daily life is full of things that keep me interested (False)
[N = 14.4]
- 38 I have had periods of days, weeks or months when I couldn't take care of things because I couldn't "get going" (True)
[N = 25.0]
- 71 These days I find it hard not to give up hope of amounting to something (True)
[N = 30.7]
- 130 I certainly feel useless at times (True)
[N = 34.3]
- 233 I have difficulty in starting to do things (True)
[N = 35.2]
- 388 I very seldom have spells of the blues (False)
[N = 25.0]
- 518 I have made lots of bad mistakes in my life (True)
[N = 27.3]

Situational Stress Due to Alcoholism (Koss-Butcher Critical Items)

Of the 7 possible items in this section, 5 were endorsed in the scored direction.

- 487 I have enjoyed using marijuana. (True)
[N = 34.2]
- 489 I have a drug or alcohol problem. (True)
[N = 6.7]
- 502 I have some habits that are really harmful (True)
[N = 27.8]

- 511 Once a week or more I get high or drunk (True)
[N = 19 6]
518 I have made lots of bad mistakes in my life (True)
[N = 27 3]

Mental Confusion (Koss-Butcher Critical Items)

Of the 11 possible items in this section, 1 was endorsed in the scored direction

- 32 I have had very peculiar and strange experiences (True)
[N = 23 8]

Persecutory Ideas (Koss-Butcher Critical Items)

Of the 16 possible items in this section, 2 were endorsed in the scored direction

- 17 I am sure I get a raw deal from life (True)
[N = 5 2]
241 It is safer to trust nobody (True)
[N = 19 7]

Antisocial Attitude (Lachar-Wrobel Critical Items)

Of the 9 possible items in this section, 3 were endorsed in the scored direction

- 105 In school I was sometimes sent to the principal for bad behavior (True)
[N = 30 9]
227 I don't blame people for trying to grab everything they can get in this world (True)
[N = 39 9]
266 I have never been in trouble with the law (False)
[N = 40 9]

Family Conflict (Lachar-Wrobel Critical Items)

Of the 4 possible items in this section, 1 was endorsed in the scored direction

- 288 My parents and family find more fault with me than they should (True)
[N = 10 6]

Somatic Symptoms (Lachar-Wrobel Critical Items)

Of the 23 possible items in this section, 3 were endorsed in the scored direction

- 57 I hardly ever feel pain in the back of my neck (False)
[N = 26 6]
164 I seldom or never have dizzy spells (False)
[N = 9 2]
255 I do not often notice my ears ringing or buzzing (False)
[N = 21 7]

Sexual Concern and Deviation (Lachar-Wrobel Critical Items)

Of the 6 possible items in this section, 3 were endorsed in the scored direction

- 34 I have never been in trouble because of my sex behavior (False)
[N = 19 3]
- 121 I have never indulged in any unusual sex practices (False)
[N = 36 9]
- 268 I wish I were not bothered by thoughts about sex (True)
[N = 21 0]

End of Report

MMPI-2™*Minnesota Multiphasic
Personality Inventory-2™***MICROTEST Q™**
Assessment System

Clinical Reports

NAME (Optional)

Colin Perkins

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9

BIRTH DATE

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
0	0	0
1	1	1
2	2	2
3	3	3
4	4	4
5	5	5
6	6	6
7	7	7
8	8	8
9	9	9

GENDER

- ☒ Male
☐ Female

YRS
OF
EDUC

0	0
1	1
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9

MARITAL STATUS

- ☒ Never Married
☐ Married
☐ Widowed
☐ Divorced
☐ Separated

TEST DATE

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
0	0	0
1	1	1
2	2	2
3	3	3
4	4	4
5	5	5
6	6	6
7	7	7
8	8	8
9	9	9

SETTING

- ☐ Outpatient Mental Health Center
☐ Inpatient Mental Health Center
☐ General Medical
☐ Chronic Pain Program
☐ Correctional
☐ College Counseling
☒ Other

DIRECTIONS

- 1 When you record your responses on this answer sheet use a No. 2 pencil only, and fill in the circles with a heavy dark mark
- 2 Print your identification number in the box to the left. Then find the circle below each space that has the same number and blacken it. In a similar way, complete the Birth Date, Years of Education, and Test Date boxes.
- 3 Blacken the circle for either male or female. Blacken the circles for your marital status and setting.
- 4 If you want to change a response, erase it carefully and then fill in your new choice.
- 5 Do not make any marks outside the circles.



National Computer Systems P O Box 1416 Minneapolis
 MN 55440 800-627 7271 www.ncs.com

Copyright © 1989 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA. All rights reserved. Copyright © 1942 1943 (renewed 1970) 1989 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA. All rights reserved. Distributed exclusively by National Computer Systems, Inc.
 Printed in the United States of America

Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory 2 and MMPI 2 are trademarks of the University of Minnesota. MICROTEST Q is a trademark and the NCS logo is a registered trademark of National Computer Systems, Inc.

Product Number
 51414

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

404303


Do not make any marks outside the circles

1	F	41	F	96	T	151	T	206	F	261	F	316	T	371	T	426	P	481	P	536	T
2	F	42	T	97	T	152	E	207	T	262	F	317	T	372	F	427	F	482	T	537	T
3	T	43	T	98	T	153	T	208	F	263	T	318	F	373	F	428	F	483	T	538	F
4	F	44	T	99	T	154	T	209	T	264	T	319	T	374	T	429	T	484	T	539	T
5	T	45	E	100	T	155	T	210	F	265	F	320	F	375	T	430	T	485	T	540	T
6	F	46	T	101	T	156	T	211	T	266	T	321	F	376	T	431	T	486	T	541	F
7	T	47	F	102	F	157	F	212	T	267	F	322	T	377	F	432	T	487	F	542	T
8	F	48	T	103	T	158	T	213	T	268	F	323	T	378	T	433	T	488	T	543	T
9	T	49	F	104	T	159	F	214	F	269	T	324	T	379	F	434	F	489	F	544	T
10	F	50	F	105	F	160	F	215	T	270	T	325	T	380	T	435	T	490	T	545	T
11	T	51	F	106	F	161	T	216	T	271	T	326	T	381	T	436	T	491	T	546	T
12	F	52	F	107	F	162	T	217	T	272	F	327	T	382	T	437	F	492	F	547	T
13	F	53	F	108	F	163	T	218	T	273	T	328	T	383	F	438	F	493	F	548	T
14	F	54	T	109	F	164	T	219	P	274	T	329	T	384	F	439	T	494	P	549	T
15	T	55	T	110	T	165	F	220	T	275	T	330	F	385	F	440	F	495	F	550	T
16	T	56	F	111	T	166	T	221	T	276	F	331	T	386	F	441	T	496	T	551	T
17	F	57	T	112	T	167	T	222	T	277	T	332	T	387	T	442	T	497	T	552	F
18	T	58	T	113	F	168	T	223	F	278	F	333	T	388	T	443	T	498	T	553	F
19	F	59	T	114	T	169	T	224	F	279	T	334	T	389	T	444	F	499	T	554	T
20	T	60	T	115	F	170	T	225	F	280	F	335	F	390	T	445	F	500	T	555	T
21	T	61	T	116	T	171	T	226	T	281	T	336	T	391	T	446	T	501	F	556	T
22	T	62	T	117	F	172	T	227	F	282	T	337	T	392	F	447	T	502	F	557	F
23	T	63	E	118	F	173	F	228	T	283	T	338	T	393	T	448	T	503	T	558	T
24	T	64	T	119	T	174	F	229	T	284	T	339	T	394	T	449	F	504	F	559	T
25	T	65	T	120	P	175	T	230	F	285	T	340	T	395	T	450	T	505	T	560	T
26	F	66	T	121	T	176	F	231	T	286	T	341	T	396	T	451	T	506	T	561	F
27	T	67	T	122	F	177	F	232	T	287	T	342	F	397	T	452	T	507	T	562	T
28	T	68	T	123	T	178	T	233	P	288	T	343	F	398	T	453	F	508	T	563	T
29	T</																				

TRIGGER WHEEL

Name Cedric Perkins

Using a scale of 1 to 10 with 10 being the greatest rate of relapse potential of each section of the wheel as it applies to your alcohol and or drug use



Edward Perkins
Phase I

In the story Acceptance was the answer I see a lot of thoughts that I have. I realize now that a lot of my behavior was a lot like the physician's behavior Not wanting to believe or realize that I am an addict was biggest part of my problem. I could not believe that it could happen to me. When in actuality I am an addict. There were times when I would tell myself that I was not going to use and it would be less than an hour later and I would be using. Telling myself that I could be around people that I thought was my friend that used that I did not have to use just because they were using was the powerless thought that would have me using again and again. Today I know that I am an addict and that my thought must stay away from drug users, drugs, and drug areas.

9-17-02

Cedric Perkin

9-23-02

Why I am powerless over drug and alcohol?

Why I want to quit?

step 1 honest

step 2 faith

Why I am powerless over drug and alcohol?

Drugs and Alcohol are not for recreational use. I used these drugs for that reason and I was not able to control them. They are very controlling.

Why I want to quit?

I am going to quit so I would like to quit so that I can get control of my life. I am going to quit so that I can go back to school and become a better father, son, brother.

The Law Office of Kenneth Gibson

The Office Center at the Point • 33 Market Point Drive • Greenville, SC 29607
Post Office Box 5536 • Greenville, SC 29606

Phone (864) 241-6699 • E-Mail kenneth.gibson@scbar.org • Fax (864) 250-4449

Facsimile Transmission Sheet

TO BETTY STROM and KRISTEN
WINN, 13TH CIRCUIT SOLICITOR'S
OFFICE

FROM KENNETH GIBSON

FAX NO 467-8610

DATE February 16, 2004

RE DRUG COURT TERMINATION
HEARINGS

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER)
PAGE 19

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OF THIS FACSIMILE, PLEASE NOTIFY US AT
(864) 241-6699 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE**

Dear Betty,

Please find attached a copy of the CV/Resume for our expert. Should you have any questions regarding this facsimile, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Ken Gibson

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AT (864) 241-6699 AND THEN DESTROY THIS MESSAGE. THANK YOU.

PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE AND DEVELOPMENT RECORD

1 NAME David A. Kidwell POSITION TITLE Research Chemist

BRANCH Surface Chemistry

2 NRL EMPLOYMENT RECORD

GRADE	DATE OF ENTRY INTO GRADE
GS-12	September 1984
GS-13	April 13 1986
GS-14	July, 1997

3 EDUCATION

1982-1984 NRC-NRL Post Doctoral Associateship at the Naval Research Laboratory under Richard Colton Primary studies SIMS of organic and biomolecules

1977-1982 Ph D Organic Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology under Klaus Biemann Primary studies EI-GC/MS HPLC, FTNMR

1973-1977 BS Chemistry, *Magna cum laude*, University of North Carolina at Greensboro Primary studies Organic chemistry

Continuing Education

Situational Leadership, November 1996

American Chemical Society Short Course on Dispersion of Fine Particles in Liquids August 1992

NRL on Negotiate to Win by The Cooper Management Institute June 1992

Bethesda Research Laboratories on Recombinant DNA Techniques Workshop, June 1989

NRL on Management Skills for New Supervisors, October 1988

American Chemical Society Short Course on Chemical Challenges on Immunology August 1987

American Chemical Society Short Course on Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry April 1985

4 SOCIETY MEMBERSHIPS

American Chemical Society

Association for the Advancement of Science

5 PRESENT DUTIES

I am responsible for directing and managing a research program to: 1) create new immunological based detection systems for drugs of abuse, 2) develop methods for analysis of drugs in alternative matrices such as saliva and hair, 3) create novel chemical amplifiers for molecular recognition events, 4) develop analytical procedures for the confirmation of various drugs in body fluids, 5) develop sensors for drugs and biological toxins in the environment and 6) develop new structural tools for biological materials. I am presently the key member at NRL in planning for R & D in the Navy's War on Drugs. I interact with the drug deterrent community and have close contacts at several universities and commercial companies. I am also responsible for transferring this technology to other Navy facilities and the commercial sector.

6 PRODUCTIVITY

See attached

6 PRODUCTIVITY

A Papers Published in Refereed Journals

- 1 "Mass Spectrometric Differentiation of Leucine and Isoleucine in Proteins Derived from Bacteria or Cell Culture" W C Herlihy David A Kidwell B Meeusen and K Biemann, *Biochem Biophys Res Comm* 102 335 (1981)
- 2 "Determination of Double-Bond Position and Geometry of Olefins by Mass Spectrometry of Their Diels Alder Adducts", David A Kidwell and K Biemann *Anal Chem* , 54 2462 (1982)
- 3 Characterization of Polyacetylene by Ozonolysis , G C Li, David A Kidwell, D W Brown E E Hall, G E Wnek, *J Poly Sci-Poly Chem* 21 301 (1983)
- 4 "Sequencing of Peptides by Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry' David A Kidwell M M Ross R J Colton *Journal American Chemical Society* 106 2219 (1984)
- 5 "Analysis of Impregnated Charcoals by Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry' , M M Ross, David A Kidwell J E Campana *Anal Chem* 56 2142 (1984)
- 6 'Sequencing of Peptides by SIMS from the C or N Terminus", David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton in *Springer Ser Chem Phys* 36 412 (1984)
- 7 Selective Detection of Aldehydes and Ketones by Derivatization/Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry M M Ross David A Kidwell, R J Colton, *Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Proc* , 63 141 (1985)
- 8 Molecular Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry New Dimensions in Chemical Characterization , R J Colton, J E Campana David A Kidwell M M Ross and J R Wyatt *Appl Surf Sci* , 21 168 (1985)
- 9 "Enhanced Detection of Drugs in Complex Mixtures by Derivatization/Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry" David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton *Biomed Mass Spectrom* 12 254 (1985)
- 10 'Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry Polyatomic and Molecular Ion Emission", R J Colton M M Ross and David A Kidwell, *Nucl Instrum Meth Phys Res* , B13 259-277 (1986)
- 11 "The Mechanism of Ion Production in Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry", David A Kidwell, M M Ross and R J Colton *Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Proc* 78 315-328(1987)
- 12 "Superabsorbent Polymers - Media for the Enzymatic Detection of Ethyl Alcohol in Urine David A Kidwell *Anal Biochem* 182 257-261(1989)
- 13 'Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Anatoxin-a , M M Ross, David A Kidwell and J Callahan, *Anal Toxicology*, 13 317-321(1989)
- 14 Chromogenic Substrates for Horseradish Peroxidase S M Conyers and David A

- Kidwell *Anal Biochem*, 192 207-211(1991)
- 15 "Isomeric Amphetamines - A Problem for Urinalysis?", F P Smith and David A Kidwell, *Forensic Science International*, 50 153-165(1991)
 - 16 'Drugs of Abuse in Saliva - A Review' W Schramm R H Smith P A Craig and David A Kidwell, *Journal of Analytical Toxicology*, 16 1-9(1992)
 - 17 "Analysis of Phencyclidine and Cocaine in Human Hair by Tandem Mass Spectrometry", David A Kidwell *Journal of Forensic Science* 38 272-284(1993)
 - 18 "External Contamination of Hair by Drugs of Abuse, An Issue in Forensic Interpretation" D L Blank and David A Kidwell, *Forensic Science International* 63 145-156(1993)
 - 19 "Comments on Sample Preparation Techniques", David A Kidwell and D L Blank, *Forensic Science International* 63 137-143(1993) (invited commentary)
 - 20 "Testing for Drug Use via Hair Analysis", David A Kidwell, *European Scientific Notes Information Bulletin* 93-08 514-520 (October 1993)
 - 21 Sensing Discrete Streptavidin Biotin Interactions with Atomic Force Microscopy, G U Lee David A Kidwell and R J Colton, *Langmuir* 10 354-357(1994)
 - 22 'A discussion of opiate concentrations in hair (Kintz P and Mangin, P, "Opiate concentrations in human head, axillary, and pubic hair," *Journal of Forensic Sciences* Vol 38 No 3, May 1993 pp 657-662) F P Smith and David A Kidwell, *J Forensic Sci*, Mar, 39(2) 301-3 (1994)
 - 23 "Mechanisms of Incorporation of Drugs into Hair and the Interpretation of Hair Analysis Data, David A Kidwell and D L Blank In E J Cone, M J Welch, and M B Grigson Babecki eds *Hair Testing for Drugs of Abuse International Workshop on Standards and Technology* National Institutes of Health Publ #95-3727 Superintendent of Documents U S Government Printing Office Washington, D C 1995, pp 19-90 (refereed book chapter)
 - 24 "Decontamination Procedures for Drugs of Abuse in Hair - Are They Sufficient?", D L Blank and David A Kidwell *Forensic Science International*, 70 13-38(1995)
 - 25 Drugs in Hair - How Do They Get There?", David A Kidwell and D L Blank, In Cone E J ed *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Hair Testing for Drugs of Abuse* National Institute on Drug Abuse Research DHHS Pub No Washington, DC Supt Docs, U S Govt Print Off, in press (refereed book chapter)
 - 26 "Cocaine in hair, saliva, skin swabs, and urine of cocaine users' children' F P Smith and D A Kidwell *Forensic Science International* 83 179-189(1996)
 - 27 'Cocaine Detection in a University Population by Hair Analysis and Skin Swab Testing' David A Kidwell M A Blanco and F P Smith, *Forensic Science International*, 84 75-86(1997)

1110

- 28 "Testing for Drugs of Abuse in Saliva and Sweat" David A Kidwell J C Holland and S Athanaselis, *Journal of Chromatography B Biomedical sciences and applications*, 713 111-135(1998) (Invited Review)
- 29 "Evidence for Bias in Hair Testing and Procedures to Correct Bias" D A Kidwell E H Lee and S F DeLauder *Forensic Science International* 107 93-104(2000)
- 30 "The Incorporation of Dyes into Hair as a Model for Drug Binding" S F DeLauder and D A Kidwell *Forensic Science International* 107 39-61(2000)
- 31 "Accreditation of Forensic Laboratories", F P Smith and David A Kidwell, *Encyclopedia of Forensic Sciences* Academic Press Ltd , London UK August 2000 pp 58-64, (Refereed Book Chapter)
- 32 "Minimal standards for the performance and interpretation of toxicology test in legal proceedings", D A Kidwell and F P Smith, *J Forensic Sciences*, 45(1) 237-9 (2000) (non-refereed letter)
- 33 "Susceptibility of PharmChek™ Drugs of Abuse Patch to Environmental Contamination", D A Kidwell and F P Smith *Forensic Science International*, 116 89-106 (2001)
- 34 F P Smith, D A Kidwell, J D Kidwell F Shinohara, C Harper, K Roarty K Bernadt R A McCaulley 'Comparison of daily saliva urine sweat and skin wipes among cocaine users , Published abstract *Annales de Toxicologie Analytique* 14(3) 196 (2002)
- 35 "Comparison of daily urine, sweat, and skin wipes among cocaine users', D A Kidwell J D Kidwell F Shinohara C Harper K Roarty K Bernadt R A McCaulley and F P Smith *Forensic Science International* 133(1 2) 63-78 2003
- 36 "Comparing Two Analytical Methods Minimal Standards in Forensic Toxicology Derived from Information Theory" David A Kidwell and Lesley A Riggs Submitted *Forensic Science International*
- 37 "An Enzyme Electrode for Amperometric Measurement of D-Amino Acid', Xiaoxi Wu Bernard J Van Wie, and David A Kidwell Submitted *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*
- 38 "Coated-Wire Ion Selective Electrodes for Long Alkyl Chain Cationic Surfactants Containing a Benzyl Subgroup" Michael A Plesha, Bernard J Van Wie David A Kidwell Submitted *Analytical Chemistry*

C Patents and Inventions

- 1 "Selective detection of species in complex matrices by chemical derivatization and secondary ion mass spectrometry', U S Patent #4 902 627 issued February 20 1990
- 2 "Enzymatic assays using superabsorbent materials" U S Patent #5 196 302 issued on March 23 1993
- 3 "Replacements for hydrogen peroxide for use with horseradish peroxidase in immunoassays", Navy case #71 079 on 29 February 1988

- 4 'Microassay on a Card" U S Patent #5 200 321 issued on April 6 1993
- 5 'Light emission or absorbance-based binding assays, U S Patent #5,314,802 issued on May 24, 1994
- 6 'PORSCHA - A novel homogeneous assay for antigens and DNA", U S Patent #5 332,659 issued on July 26, 1994
- 7 'Microassay on a Card , U S Patent #5,369,007 issued on November 29 1994 a continuation in part of U S Patent #5,200 321
- 8 'Sensor for single molecule recognition', R J Colton and David A Kidwell, U S Patent #5 372,930 issued December 12, 1994
- 9 "Catalytic particles as replacements for enzymes in immunoassays and DNA Assays" David A Kidwell and S M Conyers U S Patent #5,384 265 issued January 24 1995
- 10 "Surfactant-enhanced light emission or absorbance-based binding assays for polynucleic acids, U S Patent #5,466 578 issued November 14, 1995
- 11 'Biomolecules bound to polymer or copolymer coated catalytic inorganic particles immunoassays using the same and kits containing the same" David A Kidwell and S M Conyers U S Patent #5 637 508 issued June_10 1997
- 12 'Chemical and biological sensor using an ultra-sensitive force transducer", G U Lee David A Kidwell and R J Colton U S Patent #5 807 758 issued September 15 1998
- 13 "Real-time Biochemical Assay Telemetry System", David A Kidwell, R Kern G Richards, and F Mintz U S Patent #5,891,649 issued April 6, 1999
- 14 'Sweat collecting device and methods for use and detection of tampering' David A Kidwell U S Patent #6 443,892 issued September 3, 2002
- 15 'Use of ion selective electrodes for illicit drug analysis in saliva and surface wipes", David A Kidwell disclosed August, 2001 Navy case #83 326 filed Sept 2002
- 16 'Non-Invasive Method For Monitoring Drug Use" David A Kidwell disclosed February 4 2003 Navy case #xxx
- 17 'Live/Dead BioAssays for Ultra-Sensitive Continuous Detection David A Kidwell, disclosed April 8 2003, Navy case #xxx
- 18 "Multiparameter System for Environmental Monitoring' David A Kidwell disclosed May 1 2003

D Papers Presented at Professional Society Meetings

- 1 'Sequencing of Peptides by SIMS from the C- or N-Terminus' David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton Fourth International Meeting on Secondary Ion Mass

Spectrometry, Osaka, Japan November 1983

- 2 "Sequencing of Biological Molecules by SIMS", David A Kidwell, M M Ross and R J Colton, Texas Symposium on Particle-Induced Desorption, May 1984
- 3 "Selective Detection of Mixture Components by SIMS" M M Ross David A Kidwell and R J Colton, 32nd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Applied Topics, San Antonio, TX, May 1984
- 4 "Sequencing of Biological Molecules by SIMS" David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton 32nd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics San Antonio, TX, May 1984
- 5 "Trends in Secondary Ion Emission from Quaternary Salts" David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton, 32nd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, San Antonio TX, May 1984
- 6 "Sequencing of Biological Molecules by Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry" David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton International Symposium on Mass Spectrometry in the Health and Life Sciences San Francisco CA, September 1984
- 7 "Analysis of Impregnated Charcoals by Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry" M M Ross David A Kidwell and J E Campana 27th ORNL-DOE Conference on Analytical Chemistry in Energy Technology, Knoxville, TN October 1984
- 8 "Use of Ion Formation Mechanisms in Optimization of Ion Production in SIMS", David A Kidwell, M M Ross and R J Colton 33rd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, San Diego CA, May 1984
- 9 "Characteristics of Ion Emission in Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry" M M Ross, David A Kidwell and R J Colton 33rd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, San Diego CA May 1984
- 10 "Use of Ion Formation Mechanisms to Optimize Ion Production in SIMS", David A Kidwell, M M Ross and R J Colton, Tenth International Mass Spectrometry Conference Swansea U K 9-14 September 1985
- 11 "Increasing Secondary Ion Yields - Derivatization/SIMS", David A Kidwell, M M Ross and R J Colton, Ion Formation from Organic Solids III Munster, Germany, 9-14 September 1985
- 12 "Detection of Biomolecules by Derivatization/SIMS" David A Kidwell M M Ross and R J Colton, Fifth International Conference on Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry, Washington DC 29 Sept -4 Oct 1985
- 13 "Analysis of Drugs in Human Urine by Derivatization/SIMS", David A. Kidwell, M M Ross and R J Colton American Society for Mass Spectrometry Conference, San Diego, CA 27-31 May 1985
- 14 "Characteristics of Ion Emission in Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry" M M

Ross, David A Kidwell R J Colton and J E Campana, 33rd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, San Diego CA, 26-31 May 1985, 5th International Conference on Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry, Wash DC, 29 Sept -4 Oct 1985

- 15 "Aspects and Applications of Derivatization/SIMS", M M Ross David A Kidwell R J Colton and J E Campana, 3rd Workshop on Ion Formation from Organic Solids, Munster, Germany, 17-19 September 1985
- 16 "Analysis by SIMS and ESCA of Biomolecules Attached to Surfaces", David A Kidwell, and R J Colton American Society for Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics Cincinnati OH, 13 June 1986
- 17 "The Formation of Ions in Thermospray Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry" D Kidwell, J H Callahan and M M Ross, American Society for Mass Spectrometry Conference, Denver CO, 24-29 May 1987
- 18 "Analysis of Drugs of Abuse in Hair by Tandem Mass Spectrometry", David A Kidwell, American Society for Mass Spectrometry Conference, San Francisco CA 6-10 June 1988
- 19 Technical Aspects of a Drug Screening Program David A Kidwell, Compressed Gas Association, Inc , Cherry Hill, NJ, 13-October-1988
- 20 "Implementing an Effective Drug testing Program", David A Kidwell, Compressed Gas Association, Inc , Cherry Hill, NJ, 13-October-1988
- 21 "Matching the Choice of Drug Test to Different Issues and Operational Situations David A Kidwell American Association of Forensic Scientists, Chicago IL
- 22 'Screening of Hair for Drugs of Abuse - Is Passive Exposure a Complication , David L Blank and David A Kidwell, 41st Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Las Vegas, NV, February 1989
- 23 Matching the Choice of Drug Test to Different Issues and Operational Situations", David A Kidwell Whatworks An International Perspective on Drug Abuse Treatment and Prevention Research New York NY 22-25 October 1989
- 24 'Detectability of Aminorex and 4-Methylaminorex in Urine by Immunoassay and Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)" Frederick P Smith and David A Kidwell American Academy of Forensic Sciences Cincinnati, OH February 1990
- 25 Isomeric Amphetamines A Problem for Urinalysis , Fredrick P Smith and David A Kidwell, American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Cincinnati, OH February 1990
- 26 "Chromogenic Substrates for Horseradish Peroxidase" S M Conyers and David A Kidwell, 24th Middle Atlantic Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society, Florham Park/Madison, NJ, May 23-25 1990
- 27 'Deposition of Drugs of Abuse into Human Hair", David A Kidwell and D L Blank Society of Forensic Toxicology Conference on Hair Analysis, May 26 1990

1114

- 28 "Caveats in Testing for Drugs of Abuse" David A Kidwell, National Institute on Drug Abuse conference on Methodological Issues in Epidemiological, Prevention and Treatment Research on the Effects of Prenatal Drug Exposure in Women and Children, July 25-26, 1990
- 29 "Hair Analysis Techniques and Potential Problems", David A Kidwell and D L Blank in Recent Developments in Therapeutic Drug Monitoring and Clinical Toxicology Barcelona, Spain, 1991
- 30 "4-Methylaminorex Stereoisomers Synthesis, Immunological Detectability and GC/MS Identification in Urine", S J Sudmeier, F P Smith, David A Kidwell, D R Phillips, J P Bowen and S A Reuschel Twenty-First Annual Symposium on Advances in Applied Analytical Chemistry, Louisiana Section American Chemical Society, New Orleans, May 22-23 1991
- 31 "Analysis for Drugs of Abuse", David A Kidwell, invited talk at University of Alabama at Birmingham, November 7 1991
- 32 'The Microassay on a Card - A Rugged Portable Immunoassay', David A Kidwell Technology 2001 San Jose, CA, December 3-5 1991
- 33 "Four Isomers of 4-Methylaminorex in Urine Examined by Immunoassay and GC/MS" S J Sudmeier F P Smith, S A Reuschel and David A Kidwell American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Inc 1992 Annual Meeting
- 34 "External Contamination of Hair by Drugs of Abuse A Problem Looming for Forensic Analysis" D L Blank and David A Kidwell 1st International Meeting "Hair Analysis as A Diagnostic Tool for Drugs of Abuse Investigation" Genoa Italy, December 10-11, 1992 (invited talk)
- 35 "Analysis of Drugs of Abuse in Hair by Tandem Mass Spectrometry" David A Kidwell 1st International Meeting on Hair Analysis as A Diagnostic Tool for Drugs of Abuse Investigation Genoa, Italy December 10-11, 1992 (invited talk)
- 36 'PORSCHA - A Novel Homogeneous Assay for Antigens', David A Kidwell SPIE Biomedical Optics '93 Paper 1885-06, Los Angeles CA, January 16-22, 1993
- 37 'Hair Analysis Issues and Data Interpretation" D L Blank and David A Kidwell, Tri-Services Meeting, Salt Lake City, UT, June 15-18 1993 (invited talk)
- 38 "Incorporation of Drugs into Hair and Analysis of Drugs by Tandem Mass Spectrometry" David A Kidwell, Tri Services Meeting, Salt Lake City, UT, June 15-18, 1993 (invited talk)
- 39 'Hair Analysis for Drugs of Abuse Decontamination Procedures Revisited', D L Blank and David A Kidwell, Paper K26, 46th Annual meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Feb 14 19 1994 San Antonio TX
- 40 "Mechanisms for Incorporation of Drugs of Abuse in Hair" David A Kidwell, S F

DeLauder, and D L Blank, Paper K27, 46th Annual meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences Feb 14-19 1994 San Antonio TX

- 41 'Typing of DNA from Human Urine', David A Kidwell and F P Smith, Paper K35, 46th Annual meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Feb 14-19, 1994, San Antonio TX
- 42 "Detection of DNA using P1 Overlapping Ring Systems Contained in a Homogeneous Assay S F DeLauder and David A Kidwell 28th Middle Atlantic Regional Meeting, May 25-27 1994 Baltimore MD
- 43 "Decontamination Procedures Are They Sufficient?", D L Blank and David A Kidwell XVI Congress of the International Academy of Legal Medicine and Social Medicine Strasbourg, France, May 31-June 2, 1994
- 44 "Drugs in Hair How Do They Get There?", David A Kidwell, S F DeLauder, and D L Blank, 2nd International Meeting on Clinical and Forensic Aspects of Hair Analysis, June 6-8 1994 Genoa Italy
- 45 "Children of Cocaine Users Show Passive Drug Incorporation in Their Hair", F P Smith, David A Kidwell, and L F Cook 2nd International Meeting on Clinical and Forensic Aspects of Hair Analysis June 6-8, 1994, Genoa Italy
- 46 Lucy in the Sky with Diamonds How can we see her?", T Colley and David A Kidwell California Association of Toxicologists August 6 1994 Monterey CA
- 47 "Incorporation of Drugs of Abuse Into and Their Removal from Hair", David A Kidwell and D L Blank Joint TIAF and Society of Forensic Toxicologists Meeting, Tampa, FL October 29-30, 1994 (invited talk)
- 48 Incorporation of Drugs of Abuse Into and Their Removal from Hair", David A Kidwell NIDA workshop Washington DC September 8 1994 (invited talk)
- 49 "PORSCHA - A Novel, Homogeneous Assay for the Detection of DNA/RNA" David A Kidwell, Advances in Fluorescence Sensing technology II San Jose CA February 6-8, 1995
- 50 "Sensor Development at the Naval Research Laboratory , David A Kidwell, Pacific Northwest Laboratory February 11, 1995
- 51 'Incorporation of Drugs of Abuse Into and Their Removal from Hair", David A Kidwell, American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Seattle, WA February 13-18 1995 (invited talk)
- 52 PORSCHA - A Novel Homogeneous Assay for the Detection of DNA/RNA', David A Kidwell Nucleic Acid-Based Technologies Current Challenges, Future Strategies and End User perspectives, San Francisco, CA, May 31-June 2 1995
- 53 "The Alternative Matrix Program for Drug Abuse Detection and Deterrence' David A Kidwell International Workshop on Drug Abuse Treatment Technology, Baltimore MD

Aug 16-17 1995

- 54 "What Does Hair Analysis for Drugs of Abuse Measure?", David A. Kidwell and F P Smith Midwestern Association of Forensic Scientists and Southern Association of Forensic Scientists Paducah KY Oct 15-20 1995 (PAPER AWARD WINNER)
- 55 Hair Analysis for Cocaine Family Studies Comparing Children and Adults", F P. Smith and David A. Kidwell Midwestern Association of Forensic Scientists and Southern Association of Forensic Scientists Paducah KY, Oct 15-20 1995
- 56 Non-Invasive Testings Methods Hair Sweat and Saliva as Alternatives to Urinalysis for Detering Drug Use David A. Kidwell and D L Blank, International Symposium for Counterdrug Law Enforcement Applied Technology for Improved Operational Effectiveness, Nashua NH Oct 24-27 1995
- 57 What does hair analysis for drugs of abuse measure?" David A. Kidwell J C Holland and T Colley 1st European Meeting on Hair Analysis Genoa, Italy, June 17-19 1996
- 58 "Hair sweat and saliva analysis as a measure of cocaine use" David A. Kidwell and M A Blanco 1st European Meeting on Hair Analysis Genoa Italy, June 17-19 1996
- 59 'What does hair analysis for drugs of abuse measure? Comparing hair and sweat for detection of cocaine use" David A. Kidwell M A Blanco and F P Smith, 14th Meeting of the International Association of Forensic Sciences, August 26-30, 1996, Tokyo, Japan
- 60 Hair analysis for the detection of cocaine the pitfalls of DNA typing of urine, and the use of sweat as an alternative detection media" David A. Kidwell Annual Trnservices Meeting Honolulu HI November 4-8, 1996
- 61 Novel Assays Being Developed at NRL , David A. Kidwell Abbott Laboratories Abbott Park, IL March 4, 1997
- 62 "PORSCHA - A Novel Homogeneous Assay for DNA/RNA" David A. Kidwell IBC 3rd Annual Conference on Biochip Arrays San Diego CA March 5 6 1997
- 63 Environmental contamination and cocaine hair test" F Smith and David A. Kidwell 2nd Joint Meeting of the Forensic Science Society and California Association of Criminalists N Yorkshire England 9-12 July 1997
- 64 "Monitoring drug use" David A. Kidwell J C Holland and M A Blanco ONDCP meeting Chicago IL, August 19-21 1997
- 65 "Monitoring drug use", David A. Kidwell J C Holland and M A Blanco XXXV Annual TIAFT meeting, Padova Italy August 24-28 1997
- 66 Environmental contamination causes positive hair analysis results", F P Smith and David A. Kidwell, XXXV Annual TIAFT meeting, Padova Italy, August 24-28 1997
- 67 Monitoring and detering drug use David A. Kidwell Symposium on Analytical Chemistry Now and Into the New Millennium Interfacing with Molecular Biology" at the

University of North Carolina Greensboro Greensboro, NC, May 1 1998 (invited talk)

- 68 "Monitoring and deterring drug use", David A Kidwell National Workshop on Controlling Illegal Drugs in Correctional Institutions Quantico, VA, May 27-29 1998 (invited talk)
- 69 "Testing for illicit drugs in sweat and saliva", David A Kidwell, Marsha A Blanco, and Janel C Holland, Joint SOFT/TIAFT, Albuquerque, NM, October 4-10, 1998
- 70 "Testing for illicit drugs in sweat and saliva" David A Kidwell Marsha A Blanco and Janel C Holland Annual Triservices Meeting Columbia, MD November 3-5 1998
- 71 "Is hair testing culturally biased and why is this a concern in the U S ?", D A Kidwell, 2nd European Conference on Hair Testing, Martigny, Switzerland, June 14-16 1999
- 72 "Detecting drugs of abuse in diverse matrices", David A Kidwell, Madison-Chicago-Milwaukee Mass Spectrometry Discussion Group, Great Lakes IL May 18, 1999 (invited talk)
- 73 "Testing the best technology to detect and deter drug use", DoD Counter Drug Technology Review Arlington VA June 1-2, 1999 (invited talk)
- 74 "Detecting drugs of abuse in diverse matrices" David A Kidwell Worldwide Air Force Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment/Demand Reduction Conference June 21-25, 1999, Reno, NV (invited talk)
- 75 "Testing for illicit drugs via sweat and saliva analysis application to the detection of body packers" David A Kidwell and William P Gardner 1999 ONDCP International Technology Symposium March 8-10 1999 Washington DC
- 76 "Diffusion studies of charged dyes into human hair as a model for drug incorporation" Marcus Moye, Sandra F DeLauder and David A Kidwell Association for the Professional Advancement of Black Chemists and Chemical Engineers Conference April, 1999 San Diego CA
- 77 "Testing for illicit drugs via sweat and saliva analysis application to the detection of body packers" David A Kidwell and William P Gardner 1999 Gordon Conference on Illicit Substance Detection, Salva Regina University August 8-12 1999 New Port, RI (invited talk)
- 78 "Testing for illicit drugs in sweat and saliva" David A Kidwell, Marsha A Blanco, and Janel C Holland, 1999 Gordon Conference on Illicit Substance Detection Salva Regina University, August 8-12, 1999, New Port, RI (invited poster)
- 79 "Testing for Illicit Drugs in Sweat and Saliva" David A Kidwell Marsha A Blanco and Janel C Holland Annual Triservices Meeting Jacksonville FL, October 25 1999
- 80 "Testing for Illicit Drugs via Sweat and Saliva Analysis Application to the Detection of Body Packers" David A Kidwell and William P Gardner Border Research Council November 10 1999 Albuquerque NM (invited talk)

- 81 "Minimal standards in forensic toxicology derived from information theory" Frederick P. Smith and David A. Kidwell American Academy of Forensic Sciences Reno NV February 21-25 2000
- 82 "Evaluating the PharmChek™ Sweat Patch", Frederick P. Smith and David A. Kidwell American Academy of Forensic Sciences Reno NV February 21 25 2000
- 83 "Alternative Matrices for Drug Testing" David A. Kidwell DoD Counter Drug Technology Review Arlington VA June 26 2000 (invited talk)
- 84 "The Effects of Contamination on Sweat Patch Results" Frederick P. Smith and David A. Kidwell National Law Enforcement Conference Dallas TX May 21-22 2001
- 85 "A Fieldable Saliva-Testing System for Drugs Of Abuse" David A. Kidwell and Bernard J. Van Wie National Law Enforcement Conference, Dallas, TX, May 21-22, 2001
- 86 "A Fieldable Saliva-Testing System for Drugs Of Abuse" David A. Kidwell and Bernard J. Van Wie ONDCP 2001 San Diego CA June 27 2001
- 87 "Susceptibility of PharmChek™ Drugs of Abuse Patch to False Positives from Environmental Contamination" David A. Kidwell, Melissa J. Long and Frederick P. Smith, ONDCP 2001, San Diego, CA, June 27, 2001
- 88 "A Rapid Reusable Saliva-Based System for Drugs of Abuse Testing", Bernard J. Van Wie and David A. Kidwell 7th International Congress of Therapeutic Drug monitoring and Clinical Toxicology Washington DC September 4 2001
- 89 "Susceptibility of PharmChek™ Drugs of Abuse Patch to False Positives from Environmental Contamination" Melissa J. Long and David A. Kidwell 7th International Congress of Therapeutic Drug monitoring and Clinical Toxicology Washington DC September 4, 2001
- 90 "Detecting Drug Use via Hair and Sweat Testing" David A. Kidwell Worldwide Air Force Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment/Demand Reduction Conference Orlando FL July 16 2001 (Invited Talk)
- 91 "Workplace Drug Testing" David A. Kidwell Workshop on Application and Acceptance of Hair Testing on Drugs held at the 7th International Congress of Therapeutic Drug monitoring and Clinical Toxicology Washington DC September 24 2001 (Invited Talk)
- 92 D.A. Kidwell, J.D. Kidwell, F.H. Shinohara, F.P. Smith, C. Harper, K. Roarty, K. Bernadt and R.A. McCaulley, "Determining the Best Matrix (Hair, Sweat, Saliva, or Urine) to Monitor Drug Use" DoD CounterDrug Technical Agent Symposium, May 30, 2002
- 93 "Hand-held Ion-Selective Electrode Array for Drugs of Abuse: Application to Cocaine Detection in Saliva and on Surfaces" David A. Kidwell, Lesley A. Riggs and Matthew C. Kinney Gordon research Conference on Illicit Substance Detection, Queens College, UK July 10-13 2002
- 94 Reducing false positives from environmental contamination and increasing drug

detection in the PharmChek™ Sweat Patch", David A. Kidwell and Melissa J Long, 40th Annual TIAFT meeting, Paris, France, August 26-30, 2002

95 'Comparison of daily saliva, urine, sweat, and skin wipes, among cocaine users' Smith EP, Kidwell DA, Kidwell JD, Shinohara F, Harper C, Roarty K, Bernadt K, McCaulley RA, 40th Annual TIAFT meeting, Paris, France, August 26-30, 2002

96 "A Miniature Multi-Analyte Sensing System for Continuous Water Quality Monitoring" David A. Kidwell, Jim M. Mullin, Xiaoxi Wu, Bernard J. Van Wie, and Mike A. Plesha, SERDP Partners in Environmental Technology Technical Symposium and Workshop, December 3-5, 2002, Washington, DC

97 'Detection of Drug Use through Hair and Sweat Testing: Practices and Pitfalls' David A. Kidwell, Northeast Technology Product and Assessment Conference, Sturbridge, MA, February 21, 2003 (Invited talk)

98 "Testing for Driving Under the Influence of Drugs: Setting Impairment Levels" David A. Kidwell and Lesley A. Riggs, ONDCP 2003 International Technology Symposium, San Diego, CA, July 9, 2003

99 'Detection of Drug Use Through Hair and Sweat Testing: Advantages and Pitfalls' David A. Kidwell, University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, PR, September 29, 2003 (Invited talk)

100 "Minimal Standards for Instrumental Analysis Derived from Information Theory" David A. Kidwell, 3rd European Conference on Hair Analysis, Crete, October 6-8, 2003

E Memorandum Reports

- 1 David A. Kidwell, "Options to Distinguish Heroin and Poppy Seed Use"
- 2 David A. Kidwell, "Analysis of Cocaine, Heroin and Their Metabolites in Saliva", NRL Memorandum Report 6678
- 3 David A. Kidwell and F. P. Smith, "Susceptibility of PharmChek™ Drugs of Abuse Patch to Environmental Contamination", NRL Memorandum Report 6170-99-8414, November 3, 1999
- 4 Melissa Long and David A. Kidwell, "Improving the PharmChek™ Sweat Patch: reducing false positive from environmental contamination and increasing drug detection", NRL Memorandum Report 6170-01-8597, December 19, 2001
- 5 David A. Kidwell, "Selecting the Best Drug-Testing Procedures", NRL Memorandum Report 6170-03-465, November 28, 2003
- 6 David A. Kidwell, "Measuring Copper in Seawater – An Automated Detection of Copper Binding Capacity Final Report of SERDP SEED 1266", NRL Memorandum Report 6170-03-8729, December 19, 2003

F Letter Reports

- 1 "Interim Report on the Analysis of LSD and Marijuana" David A Kidwell and R J Colton NRL Letter Report 6170-1230a DK ab 13 Nov 1984
- 2 "Final Report on the Analysis of LSD" David A Kidwell and R J Colton NRL Letter Report 6170-76 DK al 4 March 1986
- 3 "Failure Analysis of Magnesium Flare Powders", S Hues and D Kidwell, 6170-37 SH a1, 15 January 1987
- 4 "The Analysis of an Acid Metabolite of PCP", David A Kidwell, 6170-172 DK a1, 26 March 1987
- 5 Toxi Lab - Final Report" L Cullen and David A Kidwell 6170-467a 23 October 1987
- 6 "Microassay on A Card (MAC) - Progress Report" David A Kidwell
- 7 Indium Slide Immunoassay - Interim Report , C A Ladouceur and David A Kidwell
- 8 'Potential Problems with Fluorescent Polarization Immunoassays' David A Kidwell 4 August 1989
- 9 "The Analysis of Marijuana and Its Metabolites in Saliva", David A Kidwell, 10 April 1990
- 10 'Comments on Hair Analysis for Drugs of Abuse No Evidence for Racial Bias', David A Kidwell and D L Blank, 29 July 1991
- 11 "Derivatizing LSD to Improve Chromatographic Performance", David A Kidwell and M S Vincenz, October 26 1992

G Other Technical Papers and Oral Presentations

Oral and Written

- 1 "Selective Detection of Biologically Active Molecules by Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry R J Colton, David A Kidwell and M M Ross 1984 Scientific Conference on Chemical Defense Research, Aberdeen Proving Grounds MD, November 1984 and Proceedings
- 2 Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry High Mass Molecular and Cluster Ions", R J Colton, David A Kidwell and M M Ross Texas Symposium on Mass Spectrometry and Proceedings, John Wiley & Sons April 1986
- 3 "Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry of Toxins", David A Kidwell and M Ross Proceedings of 1986 Scientific Conference on Chemical Defense Research, CRDEC, Aberdeen Proving Grounds MD 18-21 November 1986

- 4 'A Comparison of MS/MS Methods for the Detection of Selected Toxins M M Ross David A Kidwell, and J H Callahan, Proceedings of 1987 Scientific Conference on Chemical Defense Research CRDEC, Aberdeen Proving Grounds MD 17-20 Nov 1987
- 5 "Indium-Coated Membranes versus Nitrocellulose Membranes for Use in A Spot Immunoassay", C A Ladouceur and David A Kidwell, Proceeding of 1987 Scientific Conference on Chemical Defense Research, CRDEC, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD 17-20 Nov 1987
- 6 'Non-Invasive Testings Methods Hair, Sweat, and Saliva as Alternatives to Urinalysis for Determing Drug Use", David A Kidwell and D L Blank, Proceedings of Counterdrug Law Enforcement Applied Technology for Improved Operational Effectiveness, Nashua, NH Oct 24-27, 1995, pp 16-1 to 16-21
- 7 "What Does Hair Analysis for Drugs of Abuse Measure?" David A Kidwell, Southern Association of Forensic Scientists Newsletter, March 1996 (PAPER AWARD WINNER)
- 8 "What Does Hair Analysis for Drugs of Abuse Measure?", David A Kidwell, Midwestern Association of Forensic Scientists Newsletter, April 1996 (PAPER AWARD WINNER)
- 9 "What Does Hair Analysis for Drugs of Abuse Measure? Comparing Hair and Sweat for Detection of Cocaine Use", David A Kidwell, M.A Blanco, and F P Smith Proceedings of the 14th Meeting of the International Association of Forensic Sciences, August 26-30, 1996 Tokyo, Japan

H Book Chapters

- 1 'Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) A Multi-Dimensional Technique" R J Colton David A Kidwell G O Ramseyer and M M Ross *ACS Symposium Series* 291 p 160
- 2 "Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry High-Mass Molecular and Cluster Ions" R J Colton David A Kidwell and M M Ross in *Mass Spectrometry in the Analysis of Large Molecules*, Edited by C J McNeal, John Wiley & Sons, Inc 1986
- 3 "Hair Analysis Techniques and Potential Problems" David A Kidwell and D L Blank, in *Recent Developments in Therapeutic Drug Monitoring and Clinical Toxicology*, Edited by Irving Sunshine, Marcel Dekker Inc , New York 1992 pp 555-563
- 4 "Caveats in Testing for Drugs of Abuse , David A Kidwell in *Methodological Issues in Epidemiological, Prevention and Treatment Research on Drug Exposed Women and Their Children*, National Institute on Drug Abuse Monograph #117, Edited by M M Kilbey and K Asghar, U S Government Printing Office 1992
- 5 "The Microassay on a Card - A Rugged Portable Immunoassay" David A Kidwell, Proceedings of Technology 2001 NASA Conference Publication 3136 Vol 1 San Jose CA December 3-5 1991, pp 183-190

- 6 "Pi Overlapping Ring Systems Contained in a Homogeneous Assay A novel homogeneous assay for antigens" David A Kidwell *Proceedings of Advances in Fluorescent Sensing Technology* SPIE Vol 1885 Los Angeles, CA 19-20 January 1993
- 7 "PORSCHA - A Novel Homogeneous Assay for the Detection of DNA/RNA" David A Kidwell *Proceedings of Advances in Fluorescent Sensing Technology* SPIE, Vol 2388 San Jose CA 6-8 February 1995
- 8 "Environmental Exposure - The Stumbling Block of Hair Testing", D L Blank and David A Kidwell, in *Drug Testing in Hair*, Pascal Kintz Ed CRC Press Boca Raton FL 1996 pp 17-68
- 9 "Monitoring and Detering Drug Use" David A Kidwell J C Holland and M A Blanco, ONDCP/CTAC Proceedings, 17 35-44 (August 1997)
- 10 "Testing for illicit drugs via sweat and saliva analysis application to the detection of body packers" David A Kidwell and William P Gardner 1999 ONDCP International Technology Symposium March 8-10 1999 Washington, DC pp 21-1 to 21-15
- 11 'A Fieldable Saliva-Testing System for Drugs Of Abuse" David A Kidwell and Bernard J Van Wie, Proceedings of ONDCP 2001 International Technology Symposium, San Diego, CA, June 27 2001
- 12 "Susceptibility of PharmChek™ Drugs of Abuse Patch to False Positives from Environmental Contamination" David A Kidwell, Melissa J Long, and Frederick P Smith Proceedings of ONDCP 2001 International Technology Symposium San Diego CA June 27 2001
- 13 "Forensic Cocaine Analysis" David A Kidwell and Sotiris Athanaselis Elsevier Publishers Fall 2003
- 14 "Testing for Driving Under the Influence of Drugs Setting Impairment Levels" David A Kidwell and Lesley A Riggs Proceedings of ONDCP 2003 International Technology Symposium San Diego CA July 9 2003

I Formal Recognition

a Honors, Awards and Fellowships

- National Research Council/Naval Research Laboratory Research Associate (1982-1984)
- 1994 NRL Chemistry Division best applied paper award
- 1995 NRL Edison Patent Award
- Midwestern Association of Forensic Scientists and Southern Association of Forensic Scientists Paducah KY Oct 15-20 1995 (Paper Award Winner)
- 1998 NRL Edison Patent Award with Richard Colton and Gill Lee
- 2001 Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technology Transfer Award with Richard Colton
- 2002 NRL Chemistry Division best applied paper award for "Susceptibility of PharmChek™ Drugs of Abuse Patch to Environmental Contamination"
- Certificate of Recognition and award from NASA for the "Real-time biochemical assay telemetering system" on July 5, 2002
- NRL Technology Transfer Award on September 2, 2003 for efforts that have contributed materially to the Department of the Navy

b Professional Committees

- Advisory board for International Journal of Legal Medicine (1998 to current)
- National Institute of Drug Abuse grant evaluation committee for Human Development Research, (member 1994-1997)
- Local Organizing Committee Fifth International Conference on Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry, September 29-October 4, 1985

DRUG COURT PARTICIPATION RECORD

Name Cedric Perkins

Date January 15, 2004

- 9/13/02 Client entered Drug Court with drug charges reportedly related to his addiction
- 9/16/02 Positive cocaine drug screen Client admitted to using cocaine Sanctioned with two weekends in jail
- 10/24/02 Client missed drug screen on October 18 and was late in completing home work assignment Sanctioned with two hours community service work for home work and weekend in jail for missed screen
- 12/12/02 Client late in providing AA/NA 12 step group attendance Sanctioned with eight hours community service
- 12/19/02 Client late for court Sanctioned with eight hours community service work
- 1/09/03 Client falsely documented 12 step verification – given ten hours community service as sanction
- 1/16/03 Patch worn over the holidays resulted in positive for cocaine Client also missed a drug screen was late for court, and late for group this week He denied using drugs Sanctioned with 30 days incarceration
- 2/20/03 First week back from sanction, client was late for court – five hours community service given as sanction
- 2/24/03 Missed case management appointment, no fee payments made, and failure to complete community service work Sanctioned with weekend in jail
- 3/07/03 Client had third positive screen for cocaine Client admitted to using cocaine and informed Bobbie Godwin that the next patch would also be positive
- 3/12/03 Staff decision to refer client to inpatient treatment was made
- 4/15/03 After only one week inpatient at Faith Home, client was discharged for multiple rule violations After review of the case, staff did not recommend termination from Drug Court which would normally be considered as failure

to comply with referral. Client was given the option to receive inpatient treatment at Holmesview. Client felt he did not need any further inpatient (with five weeks abstinence) and needed to return to the work force with child support payments. He was aware that his Faith Home experience was his treatment option. Staff agreed to client's job concerns and ability to stay in outpatient status and he returned to Phase I.

- 5/22/03 Client failed to attend 12 step meeting and missed case management appointment. Received 25 hours community service work.
- 6/06/03 Client's patch worn May 16 – June 3, 2003 was positive for cocaine. He was sanctioned with incarceration. After review of client's case, staff felt inpatient treatment was the only option left for client's case to remain active. Referral was made to Holmesview.
- 8/18/03 Client entered Holmesview.
- 9/15/03 Client was released from Holmesview on 9/12/03 and returned to Phase I.
- 9/16/03 Client met with his case manager and discussed his need to pay indictment fees and find a job. A letter verifying client's participation and sanctions was written to Family Court per client request due to his late child support payments and possible incarceration for this. Client was told that any rule violation could jeopardize his active Drug Court status.
- 9/22/03 Client missed Saturday drug screen on September 20, 2003 despite being reminded to call the drug screen line.
- 10/4/03 Client was given an alcohol swab test on Saturday by weekend case manager. The test given was for alcohol only and was negative.
- 10/6/03 Client called Bobbie Godwin and reported his patch was rolling up. He was instructed to report to MRW on October 7, 2003 in the morning to have patch examined by MRW staff.
- 10/7/03 MRW reported client's patch was tampered with. There was a precise cut along the patch and the sweat pad had completely fallen out and was taped to client's upper arm. A copy of MRW's statement is attached. Client was sanctioned with incarceration until case status determined.
- 10/12/03 Client's patch results for patch worn September 17 through September 24 was positive for cocaine. This was the patch worn before the "tampered" patch was applied. The positive patch was the patch client was wearing when he missed the drug screen on September 20, 2003.

SUMMARY

Cedric has had 18 sanctions throughout his 13 months in the program. He has had six positive screens, two missed screens (also considered positive by Drug Court policy) a tampered screen, and has received 65 hours community service work for multiple violations. He has never paid any amount on his indictment fee of \$125. He has been referred to inpatient twice and was given a second chance after being dismissed from Faith Home for rule violations. Despite several lengthy incarceration sanctions, inpatient treatment, and months of group counseling sanctions, he has continued to violate Drug Court rules. His record of participation consistently demonstrates a personal disregard of program rules and treatment interventions. It is for this overall lack of participation and non-adherence to the program rules, that staff recommends his termination from the program. Client was in the Detention Center from October 9, 2003 until December 23, 2003 awaiting termination hearing and is eligible for credit for time served.

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Telephone 864 467 8647
Telefax 864 467 8610



Greenville County Courthouse
305 E North Street Suite 325
Greenville SC 29601 2185

Solicitor
Robert M Ariail
December 24, 2003

HAND DELIVERED

Judge Charles B Simmons
Greenville County Courthouse
Greenville, SC 29601

Re State v Cedric Perkins
State v Angela Hurks
State v Cary Dane Jannelli

Dr Judge Simmons

I have reviewed the Order which you signed in the above matter as well as the proposed Supplemental Order. While I have no problems with either Order, I did want to write and propose the following terms under which we would direct the random drug testing.

We expect to be testing each of the parties two (2) to three (3) times a week and the procedure shall be as follows:

- (1) Each party shall telephone 467-8631 on a daily basis to receive instructions as to where and when to report for testing,
- (2) Failure to call daily, to report for or submit to testing for any reason including financial hardship, shall be construed as a violation of the Court's Order,
- (3) A positive test for any substance for any drug listed in the drug court protocol would be considered a violation of the Order,
- (4) The testing process would begin on Friday, December 26, 2003

I feel that the above procedure should be included in the order to avoid any misunderstanding by all parties.

If you have any questions please contact Betty Strom at 423-3185

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeff Weston", is written over a horizontal line.

Jeff Weston
Assistant Solicitor

WJW dlj
cc David Armstrong, Esquire
Kenneth Gibson Esquire

864 467 5905
JAN-23-2004 13 18 FROM GUL CO SOLJ JLY CRT 864-467-5905
JAN-23-2004 11 39 FROM LAW OFFICE OF KENNET 8642416699

TO 44678610
TO 4675905

PAGE 01129
P 1

The Law Office of Kenneth Gibson

The Office Center at the Point • 33 Market Point Drive • Greenville, SC 29607
Post Office Box 5536 • Greenville, SC 29606

Phone (864) 241 6699 • E-Mail kenneth.gibson@scbar.org • Fax (864) 250-4449

Facsimile Transmission Sheet

TO MR JEFF WESTON

FROM KENNETH GIBSON

FAX NO 467-5905

DATE January 23, 2004

RE Proposed Amendment to Bail Order

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER)
PAGE 3

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OF THIS FACSIMILE, PLEASE NOTIFY US AT
(864) 241-6699 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE**

Hey Jeff,

Please take a look at this and let me know if this is okay with you

Ken

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER
OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION
DISTRIBUTION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED
THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AT (864) 241-6699 AND
THEN DESTROY THIS MESSAGE. THANK YOU.

ACCURATE DIAGNOSTICS
1635 EAST NORTH STREET
GREENVILLE, SC 29607

PHYSICAL EXAMS DOT CERTIFICATIONS
DRUG SCREENS, DNA ANALYSIS AND HAIR TESTING

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO	Teresa Moore	FROM	Nina Raddatz
COMPANY	13th Judicial Circuit Drug Court	DATE	12-26-03
FAX NUMBER	467-8699	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER	3
PHONE NUMBER		SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER	
RE	Drug Screen Results	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER	CONFIDENTIAL
☐ URGENT ☒ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE			

NOTES/COMMENTS

Dear Teresa,

Please call me w/ any
questions you may have.

Sincerely,
Nina Raddatz

CONFIDENTIAL

Notice This cover sheet and the materials enclosed with this transmission are the private, confidential property of the sender and the materials are privileged communications intended solely for the use, benefit and information of the intended recipient indicated above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking of any other action in reliance on the contents of this transmission is strictly prohibited and may result in legal liability on your part.

If you have received this transmission in error please notify us immediately at the telephone number below and arrange for the return of this transmission to us.

PHONE 864 271 3306
TOLL FREE 888 271 3306
FAX 864 271 3307

toxicology in Missouri. It was given 10 days to disclose further information to the Defendants which it did not.

The Court observes that Defendants languish in the jail with no procedural headway being made by the State to address the issue of the validity or the accuracy of the drug "patch" vis-à-vis the hair strand test results especially in light of Defendants' negative results, and challenges that the "patch" is flawed.

Given all the circumstances I find that each Defendant shall be granted reasonable bail. Bail is hereby set for each Defendant in the sum of \$17,000.00 with surety. Each Defendant shall remain under curfew, reside with a parent or grandparent, and shall not be out after 10:00 p.m. nor before 7:00 a.m.

All Defendants are subject to random drug testing. Testing will be done by urinalysis. The Defendants shall not use drugs or consume alcohol. A positive drug test or any re-arrest on any charge of any nature whatsoever shall result in the bail being immediately revoked. Thus,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that each Defendant is hereby granted bail in the amount of \$17,000.00, with one or more sufficient sureties, to be approved by either the Clerk of Court, Magistrate, or City Recorder, all subject to the special conditions herein enunciated.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED

CHARLES B. SIMMONS, JUDGE
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville, South Carolina

Dated _____

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that

1 The conditions of bail as they relate to Mr Perkins shall be modified in order to allow him to attend and participate in the afore-mentioned classes and employment,

2 Pursuant to this modification Mr Perkins shall be allowed to remain outside of his residence until 30 minutes after the time that any class that he attends ends and/or 30 minutes after the time that he is released from work,

3 To the extent that Mr Perkins does not have a drivers' license, Mr Perkins must be picked-up and transported to his residence from class or work by a family member, and

4 On nights that Mr Perkins does not attend class or work he is to be in his residence no later than 10 00 p m , as previously ordered

AND IT IS SO ORDERED

CHARLES SIMMONS
Judge
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville, South Carolina

Dated _____

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

THE STATE OF SOUTH)
CAROLINA,)
vs) **Amendment to Order for Bail**
CEDRIC PERKINS,)
Defendant)
_____)

On December 23, 2003, this Court issued a consolidated Order for Bail granting bail to Defendants Cedric Perkins, Cary Danc Jannelli and Angela Hurks Pursuant to that Order the Defendants were ordered to remain under curfew and not be outside of their respective residences after 10 00 p m or before 7 00 a m

Mr Perkins now requests that the provision regarding curfew be modified so as to allow him to attend and participate in certain classes in which he is enrolled at Greenville Technical College and to accept an offer of employment at a local restaurant, "Sticky Fingers " Both of these activities would require Mr Perkins to be outside of his home beyond the 10 00 p m time set in the curfew

On Friday, January 23, 2004 the Court conducted a telephone conference and at that time heard argument and representations regarding the proposed modification from Mr Jeff Weston of the Solicitor's Office and from Mr Perkins' attorney Mr Kenneth Gibson After considering the comments and representation of counsel, the Court will modify the curfew provision of the Order for Bail to allow Mr Perkins to attend and participate in the classes and work subject to certain conditions Thus,

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
) COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
 COUNTY OF GREENVILLE)

State of South Carolina,) 02-GS-23-2380
) 01-GS-23-2909
) 01-GS-23-5664
 vs) 02-GS-23-1872
) 01-GS-23-4128
 Angela Hurks,)
)
 Defendant)

State of South Carolina,) 00-GS-23-8696
) 00-GS-23-8697
 vs) 00-GS-23-8698
) 02-GS-23-10164
 Cary Dane Janelli,) 02-GS-23-10165
)
 Defendant)

State of South Carolina,) 02-GS-23-2685
)
 vs)
)
 Cedric Emmanuel Perkins,)
)
 Defendant)

O R D E R

This is a termination hearing arising out of the Defendants' participation in the Greenville County Adult Drug Court Program operated by the Thirteenth Circuit Solicitor's Office in Greenville County, South Carolina. By agreement, the three cases were consolidated for trial purposes.

The Greenville County Adult Drug Court Program is a post-plea program that serves as an alternative to active prison sentences for certain individuals who have applied for and been accepted into the

Program The Program typically involves defendants with lengthy criminal records that are either directly or indirectly related to some type of drug abuse problem by the particular defendant. Once accepted by the Program, the defendant appears in open court, pleads guilty to the charge or charges, and receives an active prison sentence. The sentence is then suspended upon coming into Drug Court. As part of the guilty plea and sentencing, the defendant is questioned relative to his or her signing an agreement entitled "Waivers and Agreements" whereby the defendant requests to come into the voluntary program. Defendants are advised that if they complete the Program, which lasts a minimum of eighteen months, a court Order will be entered reflecting that the defendant's sentence has been satisfied in full. The defendants are also questioned relative to their understanding that in the event they violate terms and conditions of the Program, they will be sanctioned. Sanctions may range from additional counseling assignments, to public service work, to in-patient treatment, to jail time, to being terminated from the Program. In the event they are recommended for termination from the Program by the Drug Court Team¹, a termination hearing is held in front of the Drug Court Judge for the Court to determine if the State has sufficient evidence to warrant termination. In the event of termination, the Defendant immediately goes to the Department of Corrections for service of their sentence.

¹ The Drug Court Team consists of the Program Coordinator and all the treatment counselors

The Greenville County Drug Court Program has been operational since November of 1998 and has, on average, forty to forty-five participants in the Program at any particular time. The Program is divided into four phases of approximate equal length. Phases I and II require intensive involvement with the Drug Court Team, attending group sessions, N A or A A meetings, and reporting in front of the Judge in open court every Thursday at 5 30 p m. Phases III and IV, while still having extensive interaction and involvement with the Drug Court Team, start the transition of the Program member to being more self sufficient in anticipation of their graduation from the Program. They continue, even in these phases, to regularly appear before the Court.

At each phase, the members are required to undergo frequent random drug tests. These particular three Defendants, as will be noted hereinafter, have also been, as have many other members in the Program, monitored by a Pharm-Chem "sweat patch." The patch is a drug detection device applied in much the same manner as a Band-Aid and is worn by participants for a certain number of days, typically, at least seven days. The patch allows moisture to evaporate and pass through the outer membrane of the sweat patch much like a "breathable" Band-Aid. The actual "patch," which is a thin, rectangular cloth swab imbedded into the patch, is removed by technicians at the appropriate time and sent to the Pharm-Chem lab for analysis to determine if there is any content of certain illegal drugs. During the course of the patch being used in the Program, testimony established that as of March 10, 2004, nine hundred

ten (910) patches had been administered with fifty-seven (57) results showing some type drug use. Of these fifty-seven (57), the participants in all but four (4) incidences have admitted drug use following the patch test results.

The three Defendants involved herein have been unanimously recommended for termination by the Drug Court Team. The recommendations for each are based upon multiple reasons, ~~including positive patch results~~. Each Defendant has maintained the patch test result was wrong, that the patch is susceptible to outside contamination, and that the patch is unreliable as a drug-testing device.

The termination hearing lasted for almost three full days, with attorneys for the State and Defense presenting well-prepared, thoughtful, and zealous arguments on behalf of their respective positions. Counsel for all parties submitted pre-trial and post-trial memoranda in support of their positions. In that there have been numerous substantive issues raised by the parties relative to this action, the Court addresses them as follows.

I APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS

The initial threshold issues are what standard of proof applies and who has the burden of proof.

Critical to note is that these are General Sessions matters, and are obviously criminal in nature. As noted earlier, the Drug Court Program is a voluntary program, and each participant has signed a

Waivers and Agreements form prior to their coming into the Program, acknowledging that, among other things, they may be terminated from the Program for rules violations. Also important to understand is the fact that these Defendants have previously pled guilty and have had the full protection and safeguards of all applicable legal standards and requirements. However, this does not change the fact that the Court is of the opinion that the State still must prove its case in seeking termination of these particular Defendants from the Program. To hold otherwise would be to present an impermissible shifting of the burden to the Defendants.

Having concluded that the State has the burden of proving a violation of the Program, the question then becomes what is the burden. Beyond a reasonable doubt? Clear and convincing? Or a preponderance of the evidence?

105
#5
The Defendants have already pled guilty to the crimes for which they have been charged and have received an active prison sentence. The Drug Court Program is simply a voluntary alternative program designed at rehabilitation. Thus, a termination hearing is closely akin to a probation revocation hearing. Admission into the Drug Court Program, much like probation, is a matter of grace and not an exercise of a legal right. Notwithstanding this, the Court should, as it does here, carefully review the record to insure evidence sufficient to establish a violation of the terms and conditions of the Program. In that there is no law in this State relative to termination proceedings in Drug Court

matters, it appears that the law applicable to probation revocation should reasonably be looked to in addressing the termination issues here. In other words, if there is evidence of a willful violation, then termination is proper. See, State v Lee, 564 S E 2d 372 (S C Ct App 2002)

WKS
#6

In the matters before the Court, the Court is satisfied that all substantive and procedural requirements of due process have been fully complied with and that the Defendants have been provided all rights relative thereto in the present action, both upon their initially pleading guilty and entering into Drug Court, up to the present termination hearing. The Court is further of the opinion that the proper standard to be applied to this matter is "clear and convincing evidence." Since the Drug Court Program is not a matter of right and since this is a post-plea matter, it may very well be that the proper standard in this matter is a "preponderance of the evidence" in establishing a willful violation. However, and out of an abundance of caution for the rights and safeguards of these Defendants, the Court adopts the higher "clear and convincing" standard when reviewing the evidence presented. Regardless of which of these two standards apply, the Court's conclusion would remain the same.

The "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard is simply inapplicable to these proceedings since the Defendants are not charged with any new criminal offenses or violations. Rather, the question before the Court is whether the State has presented clear and convincing evidence that

these Defendants have violated the rules and conditions of their enrollment in the Drug Court Program

II USE OF HEARSAY EVIDENCE

The State offered the Pharm-Chem laboratory test results of the positive patch results of all three defendants. Defendants objected to this introduction, maintaining that such reports were hearsay under SCRE 801. The State countered that, even if it were hearsay, such is admissible since these proceedings are post-plea and in the nature of a probation revocation hearing so hearsay should be admissible. Later, during the Defendants' presentation of their respective cases, their counsel also sought to introduce certain laboratory test results favorable to their clients' positions. The State objected to introduction of these reports, maintaining that, if the State's reports were hearsay and inadmissible, then so were Defendants'. The Court allowed all reports in the form of a proffer until it had an opportunity to research the issues. Upon review of the applicable law, the Court finds, as set forth below, that all reports are properly admitted into evidence.

First, and while not being a probation revocation matter, SCRE 1101(d)(3) specifically excludes probation cases from the South Carolina Rules of Evidence. It seems the same should apply to these termination hearings. Rule 1101(d)(3) certainly indicates our State's inclination to

allow such generally accepted reports into evidence during a hearing such as the one before the Court. See, also U S v Pierre, 47 F 3rd 241 (7th Cir 1995) (holding that the rules of evidence do not apply to probation revocation proceedings, so hearsay is unobjectionable), U S v Wilson, 1995 WL 324541 (N D Ill 1995) (holding that lab analysis reports of Pharm-Chem were properly admissible), U S v McCormick, 54 F 3rd 214 (5th Cir Tex 1995)

Notwithstanding the above, the Court would further note that the numerous laboratory reports were used by the respective expert witnesses in their testimony and in rendering their opinions. Both experts stated such reports were routinely and reasonably relied upon by experts in their field. Such is admissible under State v Hutto, 481 S E 2d 432 (S C 1997)

Even were the Court to hold that the respective laboratory results proffered by the State and the Defendants were inadmissible, and as more fully set forth below, there is still more than a sufficient basis to support the State's request

III EXPERT TESTIMONY

The State presented the testimony of Mr Paul Cary. Mr Cary was duly qualified as an expert in the field of toxicology and drug testing methods and is currently serving as the Scientific Director of the Toxicology and Drug Monitoring Laboratory at the University of Missouri Health Care in Columbia, Missouri.

The Defendants presented and had qualified as an expert, David Kidwell, Ph D Dr Kidwell is a researcher with the Naval Research Laboratory in Washington, D C and has extensive familiarity and expertise in drug testing methods, specifically including the Pharm-Chem sweat patch

From the outset, the Court notes that the testimony given by the respective experts was properly admitted under Rule 702, SCRCE, and came in without objection under the factors set forth in State v Council, 515 S E 2d 508 (S C 1999) Both experts are well known nationally and have credentials, both educational and practical, that qualify them as experts in the field of toxicology and drug testing processes and procedure

Both Mr Cary and Dr Kidwell testified that they had reviewed the relevant materials, including the "litigation packets" provided by Pharm-Chem, prior to their testimony Both stated that the Pharm-Chem sweat patch had been cleared by the F D A , has been accepted and approved by the United States Administration of Courts, and is certified by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) The Pharm-Chem sweat patch has also been included in the proposed drug testing guidelines in SAMHSA's proposed drug testing guidelines, "Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Program " Both experts further testified that the sweat patch was, at least to some degree, of value in the drug testing arena The extent of the value of the patch was an issue in controversy for the two experts

Mr Cary testified that the sweat patch is accepted in the scientific, toxicology and drug-testing community as reasonably reliable and that the Pharm-Chem standards, procedures and testing results are widely accepted in these communities as reliable. As relates to the patch results in question, Mr Cary testified that because of the levels above the generally accepted cutoff level of certain drugs that were found in the Defendants' respective patches, the results were indicative of drug usage as opposed to any other exposure. He also testified that the presence of benzoylecgonine (hereinafter "BZE") indicated that cocaine present in these sweat patches had been ingested. According to Cary, the presence of BZE, which is the metabolite, or the chemical breakdown of cocaine which occurs in the body, is supportive of the allegation that the cocaine was ingested and not from some external source. According to Mr Cary, BZE would not be present from a casual exposure to drugs.

It is uncontroverted that on the patches at issue here each Defendant tested as follows

Angela Hurks tested positive on the patch applied 5/30/03 and removed 6/20/03 with the levels of 92 ng/mL of cocaine and 12 ng/mL of BZE (all above the cutoff levels of 10 ng/mL)

Dane Janella tested positive on the patch applied 6/17/03 and removed 6/25/03 with the levels of 56 ng/mL of cocaine and 31 ng/mL of BZE (all above the cutoff levels of 10 ng/mL)

Cedric Perkins tested positive on the patch applied 9/17/03 and

removed 9/26/03 with the levels of 197 ng/mL of cocaine and 21 ng/mL of BZE (all above the cutoff levels of 10 ng/mL)

The significant difference of opinion in Mr Cary and Dr Kidwell's testimony and opinions comes relative to possibilities of external contamination

Dr Kidwell, while recognizing some value of the patch, opined that cocaine could possibly leach through the outside of the patch and contaminate the collection swab if both the swab and the outside of the patch were wet at the same time. In other words, that an external source of the tested drug could be the cause of positive patch results. Dr Kidwell testified as to several studies he has been involved with that purported to show that relatively small amounts of cocaine could leach through from the outer membrane of the sweat patch and later, during testing, show as a positive patch. Dr Kidwell also opined that a combination of cocaine and water could itself produce BZE and that the presence of BZE would not necessarily establish ingestion of cocaine. Dr Kidwell further explained that environmental contamination, such as improper handling by the technicians at the local lab in applying/removing the patch or coming in contact with cocaine (other than ingestion), could also possibly contaminate the swab externally.

Dr Kidwell was also questioned relative to another form of drug testing, a hair strand sample. In a hair strand sample, multiple hair strands are removed and sent to a lab for analysis of any drug content. He testified that environmental contamination was also possible in hair

strand testing in that the follicle inside the hair strand could take and hold external contaminants that it comes in contact with. In other words, that there is some likelihood that small amounts of contamination combined with the mere presence of cocaine, as opposed to ingestion of cocaine, could produce a false positive on hair strand testing. As will be developed, this was relevant to a positive hair strand sample of Angela Hurks.

It is clear that both experts are well qualified and have extensive experience in the drug testing area. Likewise, it is also clear to the Court that Dr. Kidwell has more direct experience in testing and analysis of the Pharm-Chem sweat patch and the possibilities of contaminants. However, that fact is not dispositive. Both experts agreed that urine testing is the "gold standard" of all drug testing and produces, when properly collected, the most accurate results.

Based upon a careful review of the expert testimony, the Court is of the opinion that the Pharm-Chem drug patch test is sufficiently reliable to be accepted by the Court as an indication of illegal drug use. The patch's acceptance in the scientific and legal communities is simply beyond serious attack. See, U S v Alfonso, 284 F Supp 2d 193 (D Mass 2003), U S v Snyder, 187 F Supp 2d 52 (N D N Y 2002), U S v Zubeck, 248 F Supp 2d 895 (W D Mo 2002), U S v Stumpf, 54 F 2d Supp 972 (D Nev 1999). However, and based upon the possible external contamination issues raised by Dr. Kidwell, the Court is further of the opinion that the Pharm-Chem drug testing patch should not

be the sole or exclusive method of determining whether an individual has or has not used an illegal drug. However, the State has never contended that the patch should be the exclusive method of determining drug use. Rather, the State has, which is consistent with the recommendations of Dr. Kidwell, used the patch in combination with and in conjunction with other methods such as urine testing, hair strand testing, behavioral changes of the participant, and other information relevant to drug use and addiction. Also important to understand is that a Program member's use of illegal drugs, as well as being around such illegal drugs or persons using such drugs, are all violations of the Program's rules and regulations.

IV CONTRACTUAL ISSUES

When the Defendants entered into the Program, each signed, as noted above, a Waivers and Agreements document which generally set forth the expectations and rules and requirements of entry into and remaining a participant in the Program. Paragraph 1 states, "I expressly agree to accept and abide by all the terms and conditions of the Drug Court Treatment Program as established by the Court and treatment providers." Then, paragraph 5 states, "I understand that the State may at any time, request my termination from the Drug Court Treatment Program for non-compliance. Non-compliance means failure to follow the rules of the program. I understand that all final decisions on termination will be determined by the Court." Then, paragraph 7 states, "I understand and

agree to submit to random drug testing at the request of the treatment provider, Drug Court Case Manager, the Judge, or any staff involved in the process of my treatment program Drug tests include urine, blood, hair analysis, and breathalyzer "

The Defendants contend that since the above document did not specifically provide for sweat patch testing, and even if one were to assume that the patch results were accurate, they should not be terminated from the Program based upon a testing device not specifically called for in the Waivers and Agreements

107
#14
It is uncontroverted that at the time the Defendants signed the Waivers and Agreements, the Program was not using the sweat patch testing method Further, even after the Program began using the sweat patch testing method, the State contends it was not and has not been the exclusive means of testing The State contends that random urine tests were and still are required of participants, as well as the Drug Court Team's constant monitoring of behavioral indications of use or non-use of drugs

While not specifically provided for in the initial Waivers and Agreements, I find, nonetheless, that the broad parameters of the Program necessarily allow the Drug Court Program to use drug testing methods that are reasonably reliable, scientifically acceptable, and generally accepted in the drug test community As such, I find that the failure of the Waivers and Agreements to specifically list the Pharm-Chem patch is not fatal to the State's case

Even were the Court to find that such testing methods as the sweat patch were not proper under the Waivers and Agreements, the Court would find that, despite Defendants' arguments to the contrary, the Defendants have waived their rights to now question or contest this as a testing method. As was testified to by technicians from Corporate Choice, the local independent drug-testing facility, each time a patch was administered, the Defendants signed an authorization that, in part, specifically authorized and gave the Defendants' consent to have a patch applied as a testing device. Such would certainly establish a waiver of this issue by these Defendants.

The waiver analysis is even stronger relative to Angela Hurk's in that, prior to her wearing a patch, she signed a document entitled "Client Responsibilities Form for Sweat Patch Testing" that described the processes to be followed in wearing a sweat patch.

It is simply too late, after certain patch results have come back positive, for these defendants to now claim that their use was improper.

V INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

1 Cedric Perkins

Mr. Perkins pled guilty on September 13, 2002 to Possession with Intent to Distribute Crack, second offense, receiving a non-suspendable sentence of ten (10) years. As noted on the sentencing sheet, the non-suspendable portion was explained to Mr. Perkins at the time of his plea. He was admitted into the Drug Court Program on the same day. He

was recommended for termination by the Drug Court Team on October 12, 2003

During his thirteen months in the Program, Mr Perkins received a total of eighteen (18) sanctions. As noted earlier, a sanction is deemed by the Drug Court Team as a violation of the Program's rules and regulations. Mr Perkins' violations include six (6) positive drug screens, most of which he has admitted, two (2) missed drug screens, and failure to pay required indictment fees. Mr Perkins has been sanctioned with community service, jail time, and, prior to the State's recommendation of termination, in-patient drug abuse treatment on two (2) separate occasions while in the Program. At the second in-patient program, Mr Perkins was discharged after only seven (7) days for multiple rule violations.

BS
#16
The matter that ultimately led the Team to recommend termination revolves around the drug patch. Mr Perkins testified that, shortly after being released from the second Drug Court mandated in-patient drug treatment facility, a drug patch was placed on him. Some days later, he testified the patch began becoming loose and he was concerned that it was in the process of peeling off. At that point, he telephoned Ms Bobbie Godwin, the Program Director for the Drug Court Program, and advised her of his concern. Ms Godwin advised Mr Perkins to have the patch removed by the technicians of Corporate Choice the next day. Mr Perkins further testified that later that day, when he was helping his mother move boxes in and out of her attic, and based upon his rubbing up

against the narrow stair wells of his mother's house, he tore the patch, causing the cotton swab area to come loose from the adhesive patch itself. Based upon his concern, that night when he went to work he said he had a co-worker use box tape to tape his shirt sleeve to his skin so the patch would not fall out.

The next day, Mr. Perkins went to Corporate Choice for removal of the patch. While at Corporate Choice, the technician, Chanda Garner, who testified at trial, was concerned that Mr. Perkins' patch had been altered with and called her supervisor, Angela Dillard, to come assist her in removal of the patch. Ms. Dillard, the office manager of Corporate Choice and the technician at Corporate Choice who had applied this patch to Mr. Perkins on September 17, 2003, testified that she finished removing the box tape from Mr. Perkins' sleeve and, upon raising his sleeve, found that the adhesive patch was still affixed to his arm, but that the cotton swab portion itself was missing. She testified that when Mr. Perkins rolled his sleeve on up, she found the cotton swab on the top of his shoulder.

Both Ms. Garner and Ms. Dillard testified they observed the patch, and it appeared to them that the swab area had been intentionally cut out of the patch. This was based upon the patch area having a fairly clean cut or slit in it at the edge of the cotton swab, and the swab not being affixed to the adhesive patch. Ms. Dillard further testified that in her experience, it was difficult, even when they removed the patch, to remove the swab from the adhesive patch, and it required some amount

of physical effort to separate the swab from the adhesive patch. The patch was introduced into evidence.

Having heard the testimony and observed the patch, it is simply not reasonable for the Court to accept that the swab area was accidentally torn away from the rest of the adhesive area, especially when there was no testimony that the shirt sleeve itself was torn. Rather, based upon the condition of the patch itself, it does not bear the marks of what one would reasonably expect it to look like if it had been accidentally torn or cut out.

The lab results on this swab, as noted above, were positive for cocaine. There is simply no evidence of external contamination of the patch. Even were the result not positive for illegal drugs, the State has established by clear and convincing evidence that the patch was tampered with. Under the Program rules, a tampered with screen is the same as a positive screen.

I find, based upon the totality of the evidence and circumstances, the State has presented clear and convincing evidence of its basis to seek to justify Mr. Perkins being terminated from the Program. The evidence includes the condition of the patch, as well as numerous other violations outlined above.

2 Dane Janelli

Mr. Janelli pled guilty on November 1, 2002 to the following two (2) counts of Burglary, second degree, receiving a sentence of ten (10)

years on each charge, one (1) count of Possession of a Controlled Substance, receiving a sentence of one (1) year, two (2) counts of Petty Larceny, receiving a sentence of thirty (30) days on each. He came into Drug Court the same day. During his eleven months in the Program, he had eight (8) violations, including missed drug screens, a tampered-with drug screen, delinquent payments on required fees and costs, and association with known illegal drug users. He had five (5) positive drug screens, four of which he admits to. The State acknowledges that after somewhat of a difficult start, with the exception of fifth screen result, Mr. Janelli had made significant progress in Drug Court.

BS
#19
The fifth screen, the one in controversy, was a sweat patch. Mr. Janelli states that the patch result was an error since he had not used drugs on that occasion. In support of this, and while incarcerated in Greenville County Detention Center, there were several hair strand samples taken. Each of these test results came back negative for drug use.

Mr. Cary, the State's expert, testified that a drug patch result and hair strand result are "stand alone" testing methods that aren't necessarily related. He stated the inconsistent results could mean no drug use or that the level of the drug was below the cut-off standard or level used on the hair strand test. However, both Cary and Dr. Kidwell testified they would have expected the August 14, 2003 hair strand result to have been positive for drug use if Janelli had used in light of the positive June 25, 2003 patch result. This is certainly troubling.

to the Court

At the termination hearing, the State presented the testimony of two Phase IV members of the Program, both with admitted past criminal records. Both of these witnesses testified that in early July of 2003, before the group session for that evening, Mr. Janelli started talking with them about his concern of having a positive patch result. Both witnesses testified that Mr. Janelli stated he had been having problems with his girlfriend, that he had recently used cocaine, and was concerned about his upcoming patch result. The patch in question was worn by Janelli from June 17, 2003 and removed on June 25, 2003 and sent for testing. When tested by Pharm-Chem, the patch proved positive for use of cocaine. The results were not known to Janelli until later that night, after his comments to other Program members, at which time the results were disclosed to him and he was arrested for a positive patch. In other words, Janelli admitted use of drugs before the patch results were known to him.

There is insufficient proof of any external contamination of the patch in question. Rather, based upon a review of the evidence, the Court is of the opinion that the State has presented clear and convincing evidence to justify its seeking to have Mr. Janelli terminated from the Program. While concerned with the hair strand results, the evidence proven includes the episode of his admission of cocaine use to other members described above, as well as the numerous other violations.

3 Angela Hurks

Ms Hurks pled guilty on August 8, 2002 to the following four (4) counts of Shoplifting, third offense or greater, receiving a sentence of ten (10) years on each, one (1) count of Manufacturing Crack, receiving a sentence of five (5) years. She came into the Drug Court Program on that same day. During her time in the Program, she has received seven (7) sanctions, including a missed drug screen and failure to make required fee payments. Ms Hurks did not have any positive drug screens until Phase III of the Program.

JS
#21
The first positive screen came as a result of a sweat patch. Ms Hurks adamantly denied any use of cocaine and speculated that someone at her place of employment had somehow put drugs into something she ingested or perhaps manipulated her patch in such a way to place cocaine on it. Based upon her positive record in Phase I and II, and her continued adamant denial of use of any type of illegal drugs, the Drug Court Team had a hair strand analysis performed shortly after the patch results were received. This hair strand screen likewise showed use of cocaine. Despite these two positive test results using different testing methods administered at different times, Ms Hurks continued to deny use of illegal drugs.

After receiving a sanction of jail time, and upon her release back into the Program, the Drug Court Team stated that her attitude changed and she became very negative and confrontational with the counselors and

with other Program members. The Team also testified that she would frequently complain to others and that she began complaining in other treatment groups, such as A A and N A , about the patch results and also disclosed confidential information in these outside meetings relative to Drug Court members. Based upon the confidential nature of treatment, such comments outside the group violate the Program rules. According to the Drug Court Team, Ms Hurks was confronted on this on several occasions. However, her attitude and disruptive actions continued and began having a significant negative effect on other Drug Court members.

Ms Hurks later had another sweat patch applied that also tested positive for cocaine use. Ms Hurks once again adamantly denied the use of any type of drugs and has consistently maintained that the sweat patch is unreliable. Her attitude and comments continued on a downward and disruptive spiral according to the State.

Based upon a review of the evidence, I find that the State has presented clear and convincing evidence in seeking to have Ms Hurks terminated from the Program. While Ms Hurks did introduce some evidence indicating the possibility of external contamination of the patch and hair strand, such does not overcome the two test results. Further, the State has established other violations as well.

VI CONCLUSIONS

In light of the above, the Court finds that each of the Defendants

shall be, and hereby are, terminated from the Drug Court Program. The sentences are as follows:


- 1 As to Cedric Emmanuel Perkins, the Court finds that on 02-GS-23-2685, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections for a determinate period of ten (10) years.²

Mr. Perkins is to be given credit for 85 days jail time.

- 2 As to Cary Dane Janelli, the Court directs:

a That on 00-GS-23-8696 and 02-GS-23-10164, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections for a determinate term of three (3) years, provided that upon the service of twelve (12) months, the balance is suspended for twenty-four (24) months, subject to the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services' standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated herein by reference.

b That on 00-GS-23-8697, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections for a determinate term of twelve (12) months, said sentence being concurrent with the above.


² Over the objection of the State, the Court set Bond for all three defendants in December, 2003 while awaiting retention of the respective experts and the trial of this case. As a condition of this bond, each defendant had to undergo random drug tests at their own expense. While in no way affecting the Court's consideration of the issues presented, Mr. Perkins tested positive for cocaine less than one (1) month after this trial.

c That on 00-GS-23-8698 and 02-GS-23-10165, the Defendant is committed to the Greenville County Detention Center for a period of thirty (30) days. In that the Defendant has already served this time, the time satisfies the sentence.

Mr. Janelli is given credit for 169 days jail time on all charges.

3 As to Angela Cecelia Hurks, the Court directs


a That on 01-GS-23-2909, 01-GS-23-5664, 02-GS-23-1872, and 01-GS-23-4128, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections for a determinate term of three (3) years, provided upon the service of twelve (12) months, the balance is suspended with probation for twenty-four (24) months, subject to the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services' standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated herein by reference.

b That on 02-GS-23-2380, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections for a determinate term of twelve (12) months, said sentence to be concurrent with the above.

The Defendant is to be given credit for 90 days
jail time on all charges

AND IT IS SO ORDERED

6/11, 2004
Greenville, South Carolina



Charles B. Simmons, Jr
Judge

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Adrie Perkins

Week November 6, 2003

Week (status) ~~0~~

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

Cl. 15 in Attention

Plan continue in Attention unit 1 terminal hearing

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended ~~0~~ required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bonnie Bohman, LPC Date 11/6/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Pukers

Week October 30, 2003

Week (status) ~~0~~

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

Cl. is in Detention

Plan

Hold until termination hearing

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended ~~0~~ required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Bobbie Bohman, LPC Date 10/30/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 23, 2003

Week (status) A

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

cl. in Detention CTR.

Plan

Hold until termination hearing

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (X) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbee Bokuniewicz, LPC Date 10/23/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 16, 2003

Week (status) A

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

cl is in detention CTR.

Plan Hold until staff decision on case

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (A) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, LPC Date 10/16/03

**PHASE 2
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE**

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 9, 2003

(for sessions Oct. 7+8)

Week (status) 1

Client Behavior attitude good Participation level good

Treatment Focus Focus was on honesty in recovery and how the disease mind will always try to get you to be deceitful. Cedric was asked to share how honesty and working the program would keep him from using

Client response Cedric was very talkative this first session He stayed focus on an issue with Patricia and would not let it go He briefly shared how he came into drug court and his desire to maintain a clean lifestyle

Cedric expressed his concern over his patch and the fact that it was stated that it was exposed We discussed program policy and the possible outcomes

Plan Continue to work on issue dealing with honesty. — sanction for tampered patch


Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type Panel

Date

10/1 - 10/7 patch tampered with

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings — Recovery in the park, Recovery in the park, Big Book.

Clinician Signature



Date 10/9/03

PHASE COMPLETION SUMMARY

DATE 10/2/03

CLIENT Cedric Perkins

SUMMARY Client has completed both program goals and personal goals assigned for Phase 1

Program goals for completion of Phase 1 are

- 1 Obtain an understanding of addiction as a chronic progressive disease tracing personal addiction story
- 2 Have a working knowledge of the 12 steps of AA/NA and the recovering principles of 12 step programs
- 3 Recognize and work to change unhealthy behaviors, thinking, and triggers
- 4 Develop a spiritual foundation of recovery
- 5 Obtain a sponsor from the 12 step program (within the first 8 weeks)
- 6 Complete steps of 1-3 of the AA/NA program.

Personal goals completed 1) much improved environment
2) better relationships 3) reduced care-taking role
4) child support stress addressed 5) past recovery effort examined

CLINICIAN Bernice Godwin, LPC 10/2/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 2, 2003 (for sessions Sept. 29, 30, and Oct 1)

Week (status) 3

Client Behavior attitude good participation level OK

Treatment Focus Progression of disease + different effects of types of drugs was addressed. Counselor explained progression stages with frequency + amount focus
Client Response Client was asked to comment on personal progression

Cedric still focuses too much on others - rather than self but handled confrontation well
he has good understanding of the basics + has completed all-work required for Phase I

Plan

Recommend move to Phase 2

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending 5p Type

Date

10/1 patch

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bonnie Godwin, PC Date 10/2/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week September 25, 2003 (for sessions Sept 22, 23, and 24)

Week (status) 2

Client Behavior attitude OK participation level OK

Treatment Focus Focus was on understanding addiction as a chronic disease. DSM-IV symptoms explained + cl was asked to self-diagnose w/ DSM IV criteria + share feelings about having disease.

Client Response

Good self-diagnosis - 5 symptoms experienced. Feels he has reached acceptance - but acceptance may be about N Court rather than the disease itself. He shares w/ good comments to reflect his acceptance level. behavior will tell.

Re-wash steps - sanction for missed screen

Drug Screen Results	<u>Positive</u>	<u>Negative</u>	Pending Sp Type
Date <u>Sat 9/20</u>	<u>9/17</u>	<u>patch on</u>	
Attended () required AA/NA meetings			

Clinician Signature Bonnie Gordon PC Date 9/25/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week September 18, 2003 (for sessions Sept 15, 16, and 17)

Week (status) 1

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus: Coping skill development was the focus.
Counselor provided information on healthy responses
to probs. He was asked to share + discuss current problems

Client Response

Cedric has returned w/ good attitude + apparent
renewed focus. He shared goal of wanted to return
to school - get his life back on track. He is more
willing now to take directions on recovery needs -
Plan rather than self-control.

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date 9/15 9/17 patch on

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings Sermplicity x 2 + 1 Stop

Clinician Signature Bonnie Godwin, PC Date 9/18/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Pickens

Week September 11, 2003 (for sessions Sept 8, 9, and 10)

Week (status) ☒

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

Cl. is on Holmesview TX Ctr.

Plan

continue w/ tx after release 9/12/03 ^{10 Court}

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type Phase I

Date

Attended ☒ required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Brooke Gordon, LPC Date 9/11/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week September 4, 2003 (for sessions Sept 8, 9, and 10)

Week (status) ~~0~~

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

Cl is on Holmesview

Plan

continue w/ tx

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (0) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Bobbie Godwin, CPC

Date

9/4/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week August 28, 2003

Week (status) A

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

cl. is in Holmesview TX Ctr.

Plan

continue inpatient tx

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended () required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Golumin, LPC Date 8/27/03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Behera

Week August 14, 2003

Week (status)

Client Behavior **attitude** **participation level**

Treatment Focus

Client Response *cl is in Attention Ctr.*

Plan continue w/ sanction

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Pending	Type

Date _____

Attended ☒ required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Gudwin, CPC Date 8-14-03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Becker

Week August 7, 2003

Week (status) C

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response client is serving sanction in Detention

Plan Continue with sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (C) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Daphne Godwin, CPC Date 8-7-03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week July 31, 2003

Week (status) ~~0~~

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

cl is in retention center

Plan

continue w/ sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended ~~0~~ required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, LPC Date 7-31-03

Phase I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week July 24, 2003

Week (status) S

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response cl is in Detention CTR.

Plan continue w/ sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (S) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bohrie Godwin, CPC Date 7-24-03

PHASE I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week July 17, 2003

Week (status) 0

Client Behavior: attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response: client is in Detention Center serving sanction

Plan continue with sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended 0 required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Goldman, CPC Date 7/17-03

PHASE I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week July 10, 2003

Week (status) D

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response client is in Detention Center serving sanction

Plan continue with sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (D) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, CPC Date 7-10-03

PHASE I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Pickers

Week July 3, 2003

Week (status) 2

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response client is in Detention Center serving sanction

Plan continue with sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (S) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Barbara Godwin, PC Date 7-3-03

**PHASE I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE**

Name Celvia Rukhina

Week June 26, 2003

Week (status) G

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response Client is in Detention center serving sanction

Plan continue with sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (G) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Bodman, LPC Date 6/26/03

PHASE I
DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week June 12, 2003

Week (status) 0

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus

Client Response

U is on Detention CTR.

Plan continue w/ sanction cont. / case decision
determined

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended 0) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Bodwin, LPC Date 6/12/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins Phase I
 Week June 5, 2003 (for sessions 6/2, 6/3, + 6/4)
 Week (status) 8

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Goals of understanding the process of addiction + working knowledge of 12 steps (particularly steps 1-3) was the focus. Cl completed a step 3 exercise. Video The 12 Steps was shown + processed DSM-IV symptoms of dependence was explained + cl asked to identify personal symptoms + progress. Cedric's need to share feelings addressed.

Client Response Cedric does seem to understand information on disease. He also has good understanding of step principles. His difficulty is in trusting order + life power to help him in times of need. He recognized + shared how his anger + not sharing got him into trouble last time he used. Continue to share feelings.

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type Sp

Date 5/27 patch on

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, LPC Date 6/5/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PerkinsWeek May 29, 2003 (for sessions 5/27 + 5/28)Week (status) 7

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus focus was on understanding progressiveness of addiction + also of recovery Importance of "practicing" recovery skills on a daily basis was emphasized Cl was asked to identify + share most stressful/poisoning problem + was given tools to deal w/ the prob. effectively Cedric's need to focus on recovery again stressed

Client Response

Cedric is stressed over no permanent job + pending family court session when child support \$ will be required. He understands concepts of step work + "turning it over" but has difficulty putting into action

Plan

more focus on step work guidelines

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

5/27 patch

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings + holiday L House, W Horizons, B Lm + Grove Rd

Clinician Signature

Bonnie Badner, LPC Date 5/29/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PeckersWeek May 22, 2003Week (status) 6

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Goals concerning abstinence + changing unhealthy behavior were addressed. C was asked to identify + discuss factors that led to last relapse. Cedric confronted w/ missing C mgmt appt + 12 step mtg. as irresponsible behavior.

Client Response

Cedric handled confrontation well + admitted he used poor judgement. He does listen but has difficulty acknowledging or rather accepting that the rules also apply to him. This is an area of deep concern to his recovery.

Plan

continue to discuss his self-centered behavior. sanction for missed mtgs. then he can be back on track.

Drug Screen Results

Positive

Negative

Pending

Type

Date

patch on 5/16

* Attended (2) required AA/NA meetings - L House + N. Horizons

missed mtg - a valid reason

Clinician Signature Bobbie Bodwin, CPC Date 5/21/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PichensWeek May 15, 2003Week (status) 5

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Developing trust - importance of trust to completing step work goal was the focus. Client was asked to participate in trust building exercises & share feelings about what it takes to earn trust. He completed letter to Higher Power concerning trust & participated in step 2 & step 3 exercise. Cedric's need to

Client Response control & inability to trust was emphasized. Cedric just can't let go like talks trust in Higher Power but doesn't walk it. He is proud of his faith but doesn't apply it to trusting others or trusting recovery process. He was willing to self-examine & w/ good attitude.

Plan

continue examining control issues

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending 5p Type

Date

patch off 5/12

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings L Horse, Singing, & b. Times

Clinician Signature Bobbie Braden, PC Date 5/14/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week May 8, 2003 (for sessions May 5, 6, and 7)

Week (status) 4

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus addressing abstinence goal, focus was on developing a positive self-image despite negative past or present influences. Past memories of C were explored & C was asked to identify a personal problem which continues to negatively impact his personal life.

Client Response Cedric identified his continuing problem as difficulty trusting others & being a caretaker — taking care of others before himself. He shared that his faith & step work is helping him w/ the trust issue.

Plan Work on reducing caretaker role & outside focus

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Sp Type

Date wearing patch applied 4/28

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings L House, N. Horizon, B. Line

Clinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, CPC Date 5/7/03

RUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PerkinsWeek May 1, 2003 (for sessions April 28, 29, and 30)Week (status) 3

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Changing unhealthy behavior + using step 3 philosophy was focus Client was asked to identify a current problem + ways to deal w/ prob were explored. Client was encouraged to rely on step philosophy + personal strengths to increase coping abilities Cedric's probs. w/ ex-wife r job addressed.

Client Response

Cedric is a good father + cares for his children but his love of ex-wife is dangerous as she is unfaithful + uses a.o.d. He finally recognizes he cannot fix anyone but himself This is a major improvement

Plan

continue w/ self-care work

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending 5p Type

Date patch applied 4/30

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

L House, Pacts, + B. Love

Clinician Signature Bobbe Bodwin, CPC Date 5/1/03

RUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week April 24, 2003 (for sessions 4/21, 4/22, and 4/23)

Week (status) 2

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Work centered on goal of identifying + changing unhealthy (risky) life areas + behavior. Client completed personal life + mood assessment to identify problem areas + was given information on ways to reduce these risks. Cedric's relapse issues noted from most recent slip.

Client Response Cedric recognizes 3 dangerous areas: ① his job or rather lack of one ② \$ - lack of it + ③ ex-girlfriend who still uses ROD + was primary influence in his last slip. More importantly he was able to keep the focus on him + how he needs to better control anger + depression.

Plan

Continue w/ self-examination + understanding 5/15/03

Drug Screen Results

Positive

Negative

Pending

Type

Date

patch 4/16 - 4/23

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings L House, N. Horizons, B Line

Clinician Signature

Bonnie Badami, CPC Date 4/24/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week April 17, 2003 (for sessions 4/14, 4/15, and 4/16)

Week (status) 1

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Client understanding of how addiction changes the person as it progresses was the focus. Information was provided using lecture, film, + questionnaire to illustrate negative consequences of use + power of cravings. Ways to combat cravings discussed. Cedric's return to group address.

Client Response Cedric returned w/ a good attitude. He seemed ready to commit to recovery + readily admitted how drug use adversely affected all his life areas. He feels he needs help in identifying all of his triggers but has acknowledged he cannot do this alone.

Plan stay focused on sharing feelings especially around stressors.

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Sp Type around

Date 4/16

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings Faith Home go to - child support

Clinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, LPC Date 4/16/03

RUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week April 10, 2003 (for sessions 4/7, 4/8, and 4/9)

Week (status) 0

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus

Client Response in tx - Faith HomePlan continue w/ tx

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (0) required AA/NA meetingsClinician Signature Bobbie Bobman, LPC Date 4/10/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PerkinsWeek April 3, 2003 (for sessions 3/31, 4/1, and 4/2)Week (status) 0Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response cl. is in Detention Ctr.Plan Enter Faith Home 4/6/03Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended 0) required AA/NA meetingsClinician Signature Bobbi Gordon, LPC Date 4/2/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week March 20

Week (status) OK

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus

Client Response

cl. is in detention CTR. awaiting
inpatient tx admission

Plan

Faith Home

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended 0) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Bonnie Godwin, LPC

Date

3/20/23

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PerkinsWeek March 13, 2003Week (status) D

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus

Client Response

Cl. in LEC seeking sanctions

Plan

admit Faith Home when bed available

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Davidson, CPC Date 3/13/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PerkinsWeek March 6 (for sessions March 3, 4, and 5)Week (status) 0Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response client is in the detention center serving sanctionPlan Refer to inpatient programDrug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type

Date

Attended (0) required AA/NA meetingsClinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, LPC Date 3/6/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week. February 27, 2003 (for sessions February 24, 25, and 26)

Week (status) 2

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus 3 goal areas addressed in sessions this week were process of addiction, knowledge of steps 1-3, and changing behavior + life areas Cedric's irresponsible behavior addressed.

Client Response

Cedric's "test score" indicate healthy coping skills or reaction to stress + ability to trust + bond w/ others. He has, however, demonstrated lack of motivation to complete assigned tasks or program requirements.

Plan

further address irresponsible behavior

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Pending	Type

Date

wearing patch

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Roadback, N Horizon,
+ B Line

Clinician Signature

Bobbie Baldwin, LPC

Date

2/27/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name: Cedric Perkins

Date: February 20, 2003 (for sessions Feb 17, 18, and 19)

Week (status) 1

Client Behavior: attitude good , participation level good

Treatment Focus Understanding personal risk factors in the development of and progression of addiction was the focus Information was given via lecture, film, and exercises Client was asked to assess personal biological and biographical risk factors and share current risk area with the group Cedric's need for honesty noted

Client Response Cedric returned group following 1 month incarceration sanction with a good attitude He was honest about using but wanted to minimize and resisted giving details He did share about problems with being behind in child support due to incarceration but was willing to examine options for relieving this stress

Plan continue to share current stressors but more work needed on understanding disease in his own life

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type 5 panel

Date

wearing patch

Attended (~~0~~) required AA/NA meetings *in jail*

Clinician Signature

Bobbie Godwin, LPC

Date

2/20/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Pickers

Week February 13, 2003 (for sessions February 10, 11, and 12)

Week (status) 0

Client Behavior attitude participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response

Cl is in Detention Center
serving sanction

Plan Return to Phase I following sanction

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Pending Type
Date

Attended ~~(0)~~) required AA/NA meetingsClinician Signature Bonnie Godwin, LPC Date 2/12/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week February 6, 2003 (for sessions February 3, 4, and 5)

Week (status) C

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus

Client Response C is in Detention Center
serving sanction

Plan

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type panel

Date

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Gordon, LPC Date 2/5/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE**Name** Cedric Perkins**Week** January 30, 2003 (for sessions Jan 27, 28, and 29)**Week (status)** OK**Client Behavior** attitude participation level**Treatment Focus****Client Response** client is in detention serving sanction**Plan** continue with sanction**Drug Screen Results** Positive Negative Type panel**Date****Attended** OK required AA/NA meetings**Clinician Signature** Bonnie Gordon, LPC **Date** 1/30/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Date. January 23, 2003 (for sessions Jan 20, 21, and 22)

Week (status)

Client Behavior attitude ; participation level

Treatment Focus

Client Response client is serving sanction in Detention Center

Plan continue with sanction

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type	panel
Date				

Attended () required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Betha Baldwin, LPC Date 1/23/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week January 16, 2003 (for sessions Jan 13, 14, and 15)

Week (status) *G*

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Program goal addressing understanding of steps 1-3 was focus of treatment. Client was given information via lecture and films and was asked to complete exercises to facilitate understanding. Importance of acceptance of disease in one's life was emphasized with explanation of this acceptance as a grief process. Cedric was confronted with his lack of commitment.

Client Response Cedric shared that he has reached acceptance but did not really disagree with counselor's remarks that his behavior shows total opposite. Client works at looking like he is doing recovery and could not get honest about recent drug use despite counselor encouragement.

Plan sanction for missed screen, and positive patch screen

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type 2 panel + patch
 Date 1/13 missed patch applied 01/13/03
 Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings T, Point N. Horizon, B Line
 Clinician Signature *Debbie Bohmer* LPC Date 1/16/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week January ⁹~~13~~, 2003 (for sessions Jan ⁶~~13~~, ⁷~~14~~, and ⁸~~15~~)

Week (status) *[initials]*

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Program goal addressing understanding of steps 1-3 was focus of treatment Client was given information via lecture and films and was asked to complete exercises to facilitate understanding Importance of acceptance of disease in one's life was emphasized with explanation of this acceptance as a grief process Cedric confronted about lack of effort and sincerity applied to the program

Client Response When confronted, Cedric did not disagree but he would not admit known drug use and would not get real concerning what is really going on in his head and in his world Intellectually understands material presented but is not applying

Plan sanction for positive drug screen and missed group as well as falsely documented 12 step meeting

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type panel

Date

missed 1/10 - late for group

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

T Point, New Horizons, B Line

Clinician Signature

Barbara Goddard, LPC

Date *1/16/03*

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE**Name** Cedric Perkins**Week** Dec 30, 2002 — JAN. 2, 03**Week (status)** 14**Client Behavior** attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus All phases of drug court combined for a special holiday group session The focus was on "directions" for staying drug free over the holidays Each client was asked to evaluate personal negative consequences of addiction

Client Response Cedric was able to identify many areas of damage due to his drug use but continues to struggle with control issues He at least acknowledges now that it is a problem for recovery

Plan work on daily inventory of control areas**Drug Screen Results** Positive Negative **Type** 2 panel**Date** 1/2**Attended** ☒ required AA/NA meetings**Clinician Signature**Bobbie Adam, LPC**Date**1/2/03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric PerkinsWeek Dec 26, 2002Week (status) 13Client Behavior attitude participation levelTreatment Focus No sessions due to holidays

Client Response

Plan

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type panel

Date

wearing patchAttended (☒) required AA/NA meetingsClinician Signature Bobbie Godwin, LPC Date 12/26/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week Dec 19, 2002 _ (for sessions Dec 16,17, and 18)

Week (status) 12

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Primary focus was understanding the disease of addiction with emphasis on progression, tolerance, and DSM-IV symptoms Client was asked to apply information to his own life For the holidays, client was also asked to reflect upon current life and assess gratitude and shortcomings list with completion and presentation of "gifts exercise Cedric's persistent need to control addressed as negative to recovery

Client Response Cedric is open to the information on disease and shows good progress with this goal He still continues to operate with self-willed and excessive control as his biggest obstacles to growth

Plan work to understand importance of surrender to recovery

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type	panel
Date	12/13	12/16		12/18

patch pending

Attended 3) required AA/NA meetings

Seriously. Last Stop, + B Line

Clinician Signature

Bahlie Perkins *UPK*

Date

12/19/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week Dec 12, 2002 _ (for sessions Dec 9, 10, and 11)

Week (status) ff

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Goal of having a working knowledge of step 3 of 12 step program addressed with emphasis on building a spiritual foundation for recovery. Also worked on goal of changing unhealthy behavior by identifying personal strengths and weaknesses and utilizing recovery tools to make necessary changes Cedric's judgmental thinking addressed

Client Response Cedric has a lot of codependent thinking and behavior particularly noted with "black and white" thinking and being judgmental He responds well to criticism of this factor and is willing to change even though still reluctant He participated in step 3 exercise and seems to have a strong spiritual foundation at present

Plan continue to follow rather than lead

12 step mtg

sanction for missed

Drug Screen Results

Positive

Negative

Type

panel

patch

Date

12/6

12/9

12/11

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

12/12/02

Simplified x2
Roadback
12/12/02

Clinician Signature

Bobbie Badwin, LRC

Date

12/12/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Date Dec 5, 2002 (for sessions Dec 2,3, and 4)

Week (status) 10

Client Behavior attitude good , participation level good

Treatment Focus Goal concerning behavioral changes needed was addressed
 Client was asked to assess his personal feelings and share which areas are giving him the most difficulty Cedric's progress with new job noted

Client Response Cedric does well not holding anger in or keeping it as resentment.
 He does admit to having a great deal of guilt about his life and shame related to his current situation He shared how his new job relieves financial stress and helps him regain stability

Plan complete assignment to help understand addiction as a disease and decrease personal shame

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type	panel
Date	Nov 28	Dec 2	Dec 4	patch

Attended (4) required AA/NA meetings
 Holiday requirement

Last Stop B. Live Engle^{Y2}

Clinician Signature

Bonnie Bodman CPC

Date

12/5/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week November 28, 2002 _ (for session Nov 25 – no other sessions due to Holidays)

Week (status) 7

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Addressed mental aspects of disease of addiction and emphasized importance of daily vigilance and maintenance of recovery Counselor stressed “chronic” condition of addiction and progressiveness of disease Client was asked to complete an exercise which indicated degree of motivation for recovery and level of coping skills overall despite highs and lows of everyday living

Client Response Cedric’s response to questionnaire indicate he has strong motivation but weak coping skills in some areas He lacks awareness of how motivation is easily weakened when feelings or situation is overwhelming

Plan work to express feelings and go beyond surface thinking and feeling

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type	panel
Date	11/22/02	11/25/02		11/27/02

(wearing patch)

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings *Chen + Green, N. Horizon, B Line*

Clinician Signature *Bobbie Badger, LPC* Date *11/28/02*

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week November 21, 2002 _ (for sessions Nov 18, 19, and 20)

Week (status) 3

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Addressing goal of disease of addiction -- its' progression and definition as a "brain disease" was treatment focus Film, exercises, and lectures were given client to facilitate understanding Client was asked to evaluate personal negative consequences of drug use and trace progression through the years Client also completed a "test" on material presented to determine comprehension of information

Client Response Cedric reported that in his 10- years of using alcohol, cocaine, and marijuana he has spent \$188,710 in procuring, and consequences of use He was surprised by this figure and wants to concentrate the next 10 years on improving his life without alcohol and drug use He scored 100 on the exam Good progress on disease goal

Plan continue to examine negative effects of drug use on personal life

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type
Date	<u>11/15/02</u>	11/18/02	2 panel 11/20/02

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings *B. Line, N. Haring, & Cleon + 1 more*

Clinician Signature *Bahcie Bodman, LPC* Date *11/21/02*

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week November 14, 2002 _ (for sessions Nov 11, 12, and 13)

Week (status) 7

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Understanding disease of addiction as a chronic disease and how brain chemistry is altered by abuse of alcohol and drugs Basic recovery skills were noted and explained with emphasis on self-responsibility for recovery and making necessary changes to support recovery Client was asked to explain changes needed in personal life that will promote recovery progress

Client Response Cedric has too much caretaking and not enough self-focus to sustain long term recovery He fails to get the point in this and continues to rally behind the cause of others rather than work on self He is open to the disease information but often seems to be tuning out

Plan examine progression of addiction in personal life

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type 2 panel

Date 11/8/02 11/11/02 11/13/02

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Roadback, B Line, N
Harizon

Clinician Signature

Barker Badman, CPC Date 11/14/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week November 7, 2002 _ (for sessions Nov 4, 5, and 6)

Week (status) L

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Identifying personal relapse risks was the focus of treatment as client was asked to evaluate current lifestyle and assess life areas as high or low risk. Cedric's new job and stressors with it noted

Client Response Cedric stated that his friends, his job, and leisure are all hot spots of danger for his recovery He openly shared his fears with the group and was open to suggestions to help with abstinence. Good progress with behavioral goal

Plan Understand addiction problem as a chronic disease

Drug Screen Results	Positive	<u>Negative</u>	Type <u>2</u> panel
Date	<u>11/1/02</u>	11/4/02	<u>11/6/02</u>

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings clean & sober, Roadback, B. Line

Clinician Signature Bobbie Bodman, CPC Date 11/7/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 31, 2002 _ (for sessions October ~~28~~, ~~29~~, and ~~30~~)

Week (status) 5

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Spiritual foundation of recovery explained and emphasized as tool for recovery Client was asked to share current stressors/ problems and participated in step 3 exercise. Cedric's understanding of religion vs spirituality addressed

Client Response Cedric has strong religious faith and is often intolerant of others who do not believe as he does He has trouble with spirituality of 12 step for this reason He has no trouble with step work 1-3 due to his strong faith Still has difficulty getting out of self and opinions

Plan continue work on self-examination for behavior changes needed

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type 2 panel

Date 10/25/02 10/28/02 10/30/02

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Cedric Perkins, LPC Date 10/31/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 24, 2002 _ (for sessions October 21, 22, and 23)

Week (status) 4

Client Behavior attitude good participation level ~~good~~ fair *10/24/02*

Treatment Focus Counselor presented information on spiritual disease of addiction and client was asked to complete a spiritual evaluation of personal life history tracing highs and lows (spiritually). Cedric's missed drug screen also a focus

Client Response Cedric is making some progress on all goal areas but remains slower than group pace with this He still has issue of wanting things his way and non-compliance

Plan sanction for non-compliance and identify roots of behavioral problems

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type 2 panel

Date 10/18/02 10/21/02 10/23/02

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Date

10/24/02 *Line X2 + T Point*
10/24/02 *N. Haring + Church*
LPC
10/24/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name. Cedric Perkins

Week October 17, 2002 _ (for sessions October 14, 15, and 16th)

Week (status) 3

Client Behavior attitude. good participation level good

Treatment Focus Goal concerning behavioral changes addressed with emphasis on explaining codependency and identifying abusive relationships Client completed exercises, and shared personal relationship history Counselor also used film and lecture to facilitate client understanding Step 3 work also done using importance of trust as tool for step 3 completion

Client Response Cedric's remarks indicate he has unhealthy attitudes concerning relationships and stereotyped roles He admits to being abusive and unfortunately sees no problem with this as being part of the rules of the relationship He continues to show reluctance about ideas that are outside his belief system

Plan Increase understanding of abuse and how it is never justified or normal To healthy relationships

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type	panel
Date	10/11/02	10/14/02		10/15/02

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature

Bobbie Godwin, LPC

Date

10/17/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 10, 2002 _ (for sessions Oct. 7, 8, and 9th)Week (status) 2

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Understanding the spiritual foundation necessary for recovery in 12 step philosophy Client completed exercise to help him identify both negative and positive character traits Work was done to help him accentuate the positive and eliminate the negative. Client's need for humility addressed

Client Response Cedric was attentive in group He struggles with not being in control and the powerlessness concept in 12 step philosophy is difficult for him He denies that this is a problem for recovery or that this is an acceptance issue.

Plan: understand principal behind step 3

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type
Date	10/4/02	10/7/02	2 panel

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings Simplicity x 3Clinician Signature [Signature] Date 10/10/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week October 3, 2002 _ (for sessions Sept 30, Oct. 1, and Oct.2)

Week (status) 1

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Focus was understanding addiction as a mental illness and the self-responsibility required to recover from the disease Film , lecture, and group discussion were used to help client understand behavioral changes needed to support recovery HIV training was also provided in helping client to identify risk factors of addiction as well Cedric's commitment to recovery was addressed

Client Response Cedric is showing more maturity about his recovery He does seem to understand addiction as a disease He is applying more effort to make the changes needed and to accomplish goals concerning family and independence

Plan share feelings about disease with group

Drug Screen Results	Positive	<u>Negative</u>	Type	panel
Date	9/27/02	9/30/02		<u>10/02/02</u>

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Gordon, LPC Date 10/3/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week. Sept. 26, 2002 _ (for sessions Sept. 23, 24, and 25)

Week (status) 0

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Counselor provided information on the mental aspects of the disease of addiction and how the addiction process becomes habitual and then dominates behavior Client completed exercise to illustrate how behavior can be influenced by subconscious thinking Cedric's need to pay attention more in group and to information was addressed

Client Response Cedric did better in group this week after sanctioning He does not seem to be as serious and committed to recovery efforts as he needs to be He shares in group but often is talking during lectures etc and his sharing is not personal as much as advice given

Plan continue with learning about the disease and applying to self *Also waiting on confirmation of pos. screen for level of THC*

Drug Screen Results Positive Negative Type 2 panel

Date

9/23/02

9/24/02

9/25/02 *THC*

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bethelie Anderson, CPC Date 9/26/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL SERVICE NOTE

Name Cedric Perkins

Week. Sept 19, 2002 _ (for sessions Sept 16, 17, and 18)

Week (status) Q

Client Behavior attitude good participation level good

Treatment Focus Understanding disease of addiction goal was the focus as counselor provided information on DSM-IV symptoms and explained progression and tolerance Steps 1 and 2 of 12 step program also explained and related to disease progression Cedric's positive drug screens addressed

Client Response Cedric admitted to using Friday Sept 13 after entering drug court He was honest but not appropriately concerned Has difficulty grasping the reality of Drug Court and what is required of him to successfully participate in the program

Plan Sanction for positive screen and continue with addressing his denial issues

Drug Screen Results	Positive	Negative	Type <u>2</u> panel
Date	<u>9/13/02</u>	<u>9/16/02</u>	<u>9/18/02</u>

Attended (3) required AA/NA meetings

Clinician Signature Bobbie Goddard ^{LPC} Date 9/19/02

NAME	
<i>Service</i>	<i>Cedric Riches</i>
Date / <i>10/23/03</i>	<i>continued</i>
<i>10 23-03</i>	<i>Staff feels all treatment & intervention efforts have been exhausted & recommends termination from the program.</i>
<i>CA</i>	<i>Barbara Bodden, CPC</i>

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	
<i>Severice</i>	
Date /	
10-14-03	pad visible upon removal as it adheres to the cellophane covering
CM	Cl's sweat pad covering was kept by MRW & sent to Hl. Court.
10-16-03	Cl's patch results for patch worn 9/17-9/24 positive for cocaine This was the patch Cl was wearing when he missed the Sat. 9/20/03 drug screen.
CM	
10-23-03	Cl's participation record was reviewed by staff ^{Since} He's admission into the program on 9/13/02, he has not demonstrated any significant progress in treatment. He had just returned from tx (inpatient) and ^{again} failed to follow instructions. Then there is the additional tampering & positive screens.
CM	

He had been told "one" rule violation could result in termination.

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME:	Cedric Perkins
Date/Session	
9/16/03	Cl. understands he may be able to move to Phase 2 (next wk)
CM	as soon as he provides proof of job, involvement in school, & payment of indictment fees. B Godwin wrote letter of support for cl. to aid in this F. Court & D 55 relationship. He is behind on child support. Cedric understands he must stay drug free or he terminated unsuccessfully. N. Appt. 10/26/03. B Godwin CP
9/22/03	Cl. missed Sat. d. screen - 9:00 Unbelievable since he just completed week 1 & was reminded to call. B Godwin CP

NAME.	Cedric Perkins
Date/Service	
9/16/03	Cl. in today. Showed progress last night. We worked on Phase I re-work goals & discussed what he needs to do to quickly move on. Cl. wants to live w/ his mother & attend Brville Tech part-time & work part-time. He plans to work w/ Dr. Rehab w/ both of these. Cl. also needs to pay the indict. fee \$103. He understands he must pay this before he can advance in weeks / Phases. Cl. had 14 weeks in Phase I when 1ST slip occurred. Gained 2 weeks after sanction & then used again. After 1wk. TX Faith Home he began Phase I again in April, 2003. Made it 2 wks. then re-used after sanction & TX he has returned to Phase I. He has spent 22 wks in Phase I + 4 wks TX = 26 wks w/ 12 of that.

then re-used after sanction & TX he has returned to Phase I. He has spent 22 wks in Phase I + 4 wks TX = 26 wks w/ 12 of that.

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	Cedric Perkins
Date / ~~Service~~	
6/6/03	Cl's patch worn 5-16 - 6/3
CM	results are positive for cocaine. Cl will be held in Detention pending stop decision on his case
	Bobbie Bodman, LPC
6/13/03	Cl will be given another opportunity for inpatient tx.
CM	Referral made to Holmesview. He will be held in Detention Ctr. until admission.
	Bobbie Bodman LPC
8/18/03	Cl entered tx @ Holmesview
CM	Bobbie Bodman LPC
9/15/03	Cl completed tx @ Holmesview on 9/12/03 & is to return to group on 9/15/03 Phase I week 1 status
CM	Bobbie Bodman, LPC

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	Cedric Byham
Date / Time	4/15/03
CM	Cedric said he was told that silverware & H&O incidents were ^{only} not counted against him. In consideration of all of the above, counselor feels that Cedric's dismissal ^{from both home} does not merit his dismissal from Drug Court. Cedric realizes that he has "used" his tx option & must now continue in outpatient & remain drug free. — Bobbie Bodman, PC
CM	PS Cl was given an option of inpatient tx @ Holmesview but refused stating he felt he did NOT need inpatient. His last use was over 5 weeks ago & he was w/ griefing. He feels he knows about cause of relapse & will avoid old friends & places which trigger use. Cl. was again reminded that refusal of tx was using his tx option. He acknowledged understanding. — Bobbie Bodman PC

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	Cedric Perkins
Date / ~~Time~~	
4/15/03	Cl in today. Reports he was dismissed from Faith Home last night. Cedric reported that he was dismissed because he + his roommate were discussing their past drug use. His roommate was a ~~Cocaine~~ ^{Cocaine} ~~crack~~ ^{BB} user + mfg meth - he + Cedric discussed this as Cedric states he was just making conversation trying to get to know his roommate. Faith Home has a rule that clients cannot discuss their past - Cedric states he was not told this. Faith Home believes that Cedric was plotting to leave Faith Home + mfg. crack. This was primary reason for his dismissal per Buddy + mfg of Faith Home. Buddy also stated that Cedric's roommate was not dismissed + was not willing to discuss this discrepancy. <u>continued</u>

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	Cedric Perkins
Date / ~~XXXX~~	
4/15/03	When counselor pursued this, Buddy stated Cedric also had insubordination incidences. When asked to be specific he stated that Cedric had failed to change the dishwasher as instructed. There was an incident concerning the silverware ^{CD} he was told to put it on the table which he did. Cedric states he told staff person (Nancy) that he had put the silverware on the table & tried 3x to inform Nancy of this. Nancy was busy & talking to someone. Cedric feels he got aggravated @ Cedric for the interruption & snapped at him - putting him in his place. Mike (kitchen staff) reported that Nancy told staff that Cedric had physically
Bx 4/15/03	assaulted him & pinned his arm against the wall. Why did he not get an immediate dismission then?

continued

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	Cedric Perkins
Service	
Date / ~~Time~~	
1/16/03	Cl given 30 days incarceration for several offenses as sanctions CN He continued to deny cocaine use.
3/7/03	Counselor met w/ Cl. at LEC. Cl. admits to using cocaine States he had rehired romance w/ girlfriend (his child's mother) but girlfriend uses drugs He informed counselor that pending patch will be positive result! ————— Bobbie Gordon, CP
3/12/03	Cl's patch results returned positive. Cl. ^{as 3/17/03} ~~will~~ ^{was} ~~was~~ CN referred for inpatient (only) treat 1 time (approximate) Bobbie Gordon, CP

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

NAME	Cedric Perkins
Date / ~~XXXX~~	1/14/03
CM	Cl.'s patch drug screen reading (worn over Christmas) returned w/ positive for cocaine. Cl. also was late for group last night & missed his drug screen. He was late for court as well last Thursday. CC suspects drug use is responsible for Cl.'s irresponsible behavior & will recommend jail sanction. CC called Phorn Chen for screen confirmation & to obtain level of drug — Bahie Bolein
1/14/03	CC spoke w/ Phorn-Chen Tech who stated level of 39ng
CM	had been confirmed & merit that the person had to have used either while wearing patch or 2-3 days prior. Will recommend jail sanction at this time to hopefully help Cl. refocus w/ reality therapy. Bahie Bolein 1/14

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

Name	Cedric Perkins
Date/service	
12/12/07	Ch in today. Address progress of goals especially ones relating to relationship w/ his daughters & self improvement. He is making plans to start continuing education center once he obtains transportation. He is very motivated to do this. He also has good relationship w/ 2 go daughters & her mother but acknowledges 16yo daughter is not a close one. He was never really involved w/ her mother. He pays child support & has contact but is trying to establish a true relationship. Good progress on this goal - satisfied completion for Phase I. Other goals to be addressed in group week.
	Barbara Cook PC

DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES

1229

Name	Cedric Loken
Date/service	
11/13/02	Cl. in today - appearance & attitude good. Addressed goal concerning improved relationships w/ daughter & parents. His father has helped him a lot in early recovery. Cl. is struggling w/ finding & trying to get a better paying job. We discussed his problems in group w/ independent behavior: caretaking & putting focus on others rather than himself. This goal added to tx plan. Cl. understands his need to drop this role & is trying. Work to continue in group.

Bobbie Bodden, LRC

**DRUG COURT
PROGRESS NOTES**

Name	Cedric Pichens
Date/service	
9/16/02 CM	Cl. tested positive for cocaine + THC on Friday 9/13/02 + 9/16/02 tests. He states he used both on Friday 9/13/02 after entry into Court. Sanction recommended as cl. willfully used after entering W. Court — Bobbie Bodwin, C.
10/15/02 LC	cl's appearance & attitude good. Is having job difficulties laid off of temp. job — is actively seeking employment worries about paying d court & his child support. Cl lives w/ his mother. He is working on goals to improve his relat w/ kids & parents. He had visited w/ his daughter once the w/ end. Cl. feels he has communication prob w/ his mother but at least is identifying areas of conflict good progress. Work to continue Bobbie Bodwin, C.

TREATMENT PLAN

Phase 1

NAME	DIAGNOSIS	REASON FOR TREATMENT	
DATE	GOAL	SERVICE	COMPLETION TARGET DATE
Cedric Parker	303.90 Alcohol dep w/ phys dep. ifc 304.20 Cocaine dep. w/ phys dep. ifc 304.30 Cannabis dep w/ phys. dep. ifc	Drug Court	
9/15/03	Re-focus on stepwork steps 1-3 and share progress and feelings about this work Continue to share feelings about stressors and ways to deal with it Demonstrate behavior aligned with recovery principles Demonstrate commitment to recovery by Paying all legal fees due and obtaining employment Talk about child support stress & issues around this Discuss 1st effort in court & feelings about this & continued drug use	GC GC bc & IC bc bc bc & IC	12/15/03
Date 9/15/03	Counselor Bobbie Goodman, LPC	Client Cedric Parker	

TREATMENT PLAN
Phase 1

NAME	DIAGNOSIS	REASON FOR TREATMENT	
Cedric Perkins	303 50 Alcohol Dependence w/ phys dep 304 20 Cocaine Dep - w/ phys dep 304 30 Marijuana Dep w/ phys dep	Drug Court	
DATE	GOAL	SERVICE	COMPLETION TARGET DATE
7/18/02	Understand process of addiction And present personal addiction story	GC	7/18/03
	Demonstrate a working knowledge of steps 1-3 of 12 step program	GC	
* 1/15/03	Identify and change unhealthy patterns of behavior and life areas	GC	
* 1-15-03	Develop strong connection with 12 step program i.e. home group and sponsor	GC	
* 1-6-03	Maintain abstinence from alcohol and drugs and discuss progress and feelings around this	GC	
* 1/15/03	Obtain better environment for independent living	GC	
9/18/02	Improve relationship for raise w/ 2 yo + 16 yo daughters		7/18/03
9/18/02	Work to be a better "son" to parents	GC + outpt	
* 01/15/03	Work to reduce co-occurring + understand negative aspects of this		
Date 7/18/02	Counselor Debbie Jordan, LHC	Client Cedric Perkins	

* updated 1-15-03

DRUG COURT CLINICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Referral Cedric Perkins

Date of MMPI - 2 3/15/02

Comments Client's test evaluation does not report any significant personality disorder diagnosis It is stated, however, that he did try and present himself in an overly positive light and therefore the actual validity of personality profile is questionable Recommend staffing and decision per staff decision

Approved for admission

yes

no

pending

per
staffing 3/22/02

Clinician Title and date

Boobie Godwin, 2PC 3/21/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT**Referral Cedric Perkins****Date of Assessment 3/13/02**

Diagnosis 303 90 Alcohol Dependence w/ phys dependence
304 30 Cannabis Dependence w/ phys dependence
304 20 cocaine Dependence w/ phys dependence

Comments Client does present with symptoms of dependence which qualifies for admission for Drug court and the need for treatment His dependence is a daily affair and he may need Detox before he enters Drug court outpatient There is a problem, however, in his eligibility as he has two convictions of CDV He will need to complete MMPI-2 before final clinical report can be made concerning his admission

Approved for admission yes no pending

Clinician Title and date

Debbie Bradman, LPC 3/13/02

Clinical Assessment

Client Name (Last, First, MI) <u>Parkins, Cedrick</u>	ID# <u>DLT-02-00195</u>
Date <u>3/13/02</u>	Medical Status <u>None</u>

Employment/Support Status employed w/ temp service Prior to
temp service + uncle's garage longest employment
1996-1999 DWI

Legal Status Current
Paid Moring Paid C Coc. Open Container N Parag.
Prior: Paid Coc x 2, Spm, COV x 2, Poss Coc.

Family/Social Relationship (current) currently lives w/ his mother

she is retired from AT&T + moved back here from
Jacksonville in 2001. He has girlfriend 1996-present
child age 2 y.o. she + cl also have children by prior
Psychiatric Status
NO mental illness in family relationship
no alcohol or drug probs reported, father drank
every w/ in his household. cl. reports no suicide thoughts
Abilities, Strengths,
or attempts

cl. unable to state any gifts/talents
Current problems
legal - only working through
temp service - probs. w/ girlfriend
just plead guilty last week to
COV charge + pd fine.

Family History

Cl lives in Greenville, SC - has 2
 sisters. Parents separated when Cl was pre-
 school age. He knows his father who died
 May, 2001. He died from complications of
 heart by-pass surgery - age 60. Cl reports
 he was a good father & he had a good
 relationship w/ him. Cl grew up w/ mother
 & sisters - has a good relationship w/ them
 today. Has 1 younger sister & 1 older.
 Went to Brooklyer Elementary, Southside
 High School, or 3/13/02 Morris finished @ Pontiac
 Northern High (mother's family there). Cl also
 attended Morris College in Sumter, SC 2 yrs
 & USC for a year. He says "drugs"
 messed up his college years. He
 has lived in Greenville all his life.
 1st serious relationship in High School -
 lasted a yr. 2nd serious relationship
 first yr. in college lasted 2 1/2 yrs.
 3rd relationship lasted 2 yrs 1994-96.
 4th 3/13/02 Cl's. Now Cl is in relationship
 ongoing since 1996. They have 1 child
 a 2yo G. Cl has a daughter age 16
 from "not serious" relationship. He does
 pay child support. Girlfriend drinks
 alcohol. Cl has had prior 4x 1995-96. BSG/2001
 "n" "n" in treatment - went to Prob. & parole.

Type of Drug	Age of first use	Frequency	Amount	Date of last used
Alcohol	16	daily	6-7 pints	3/12/02
Cannabis	14	3-4x week	dime bag	3/12/02
Cocaine	20's	3-4x wk	w/ money	3/12/02
Opiates				
Sedatives				
Hallucinogens				
Amphetamines				
Other				

Above reported use indicative of last 6 months

Have you ever tried to cut down or stop using? yes

Have you ever tried to control your use by switching to another drug? NO

Do you often wish you could control your use? yes

Have you ever used at school/job? NO

Have you ever gone to school or work high? NO

Have you ever done anything risky, while using, which could have resulted in harm to yourself or others? DUI

Have you continued to use while pregnant? NA

Do you spend a lot of time getting or buying your drug (s)? yes - constantly

How much time do you spend using your drug (s)? daily approx

Do you spend a great deal of time recovering from heavy use? yes sleeps over
Does sleep

Have you gone without important things that you wanted or needed in order to pay for drugs?

yes

Have you stopped doing anything that used to be important to you because it interfered with using your drug (s)? yes

Have there been occasions when you used in larger amounts than you planned to use? yes

Have you used even though you planned not to? yes

Have you continued to use despite being told that you have a disability or medical problem that may be made worse by using drugs? no

Have you been told by a doctor that your use has caused a disability or medical problem? no

Have you been told that your use has caused you to suffer a mental or psychological disorder? yes

smokes weed (aced w/ cocaine)
In the beginning of your use how much and how often did you use to get high? at realizing it takes more of cocaine alcohol & pot
used to be 10g - now 3g's
How much do you need now to get high? 3-4g's weed to get high

Have you experienced withdrawal symptoms when you stopped using or cut down?

Depression

Nervousness Fits

Chills Trembling

Aches

Vomiting

Sleep problems

Runny Nose

Yawning

Hallucinations

Weight Loss/Gain

Other

Have you used drugs in order to relieve withdrawal symptoms? no

Have you used drugs to prevent withdrawal symptoms? no

Has your drug use caused you to be absent from school or work more than once? no

Have you been suspended or fired as a result of your drug use? no but can't get a good job due to not being able to pass drug screen
Have problems with your family/friends become worse because you use drugs? yes

Have family/friends told you to cut down/stop using drugs? yes

mother & girlfriend want him to stop

Dependence Criteria (evidence of)

Tolerance cl. reports increase in 3 drugs needed for same effect
alcohol — cocaine — marijuana

Withdrawal Symptoms

no — some sleep problems
but no other symptoms

Past efforts to control or stop

Threat to stop several times in the
past but always started back w/
alcohol first — then the marijuana

Using more than intended

yes — using 3-4x week or when he
has the money

Great deal of time spent procuring, using, or recovering from drug

yes — cl. feels he is constantly
either using — procuring —
or recovering — 1 or the other

Social, occupational, or recreational life areas reduced due to drug use

yes — has served time in prison
related to drug use. Currently first PWID
he was dealer driver to support habit.
Jobs lost due to drug use

Continued use despite knowledge of physical or psychological problem caused or worsened by drug use

NO

Clinical Comments

Pl. presents w/ alcohol — marijuana
& cocaine dependence w/ phys.
dependence

Clinician Signature and Title Barbara Bodum, LPC Date 3/13/02

ALCOHOL AND OTHER DRUGS QUESTIONNAIRENAME *Cedric Perkins*

Because of your alcohol and drug use have you ever

- ☒ 1 Been Arrested?
- 2 Wrecked a car?
- 3 Had a DUI charge? Driven under the influence?
- ☒ 4 Experienced a change in your personality?
- ☒ 5 Had major arguments with your spouse/partner?
- ☒ 6 Jeopardized you family's welfare?
- ☒ 7 Jeopardized you own personal welfare? (Putting yourself in danger)
- 8 Adversely affected your physical health?
- ☒ 9 Jeopardized your job/career?
- 10 Caused harm to another person?
- 11 Experienced loss of memory due to alcohol or drug use?
- ☒ 12 Noticed that it takes more of the substance to get "high"?
- ☒ 13 Had past attempts to control- cut down or stop use?
- ☒ 14 Spent a great deal of your time using and/or obtaining drugs?

DRUG COURT TREATMENT INTAKE

DATE 3/13/02

NAME

Cedric Perkins

SSN

247-51-9829

DOB

9/19/66

ADDRESS

7 June Lane Buenvilla, SE 29605

PHONE

1) 299-0155 2) 422-1544

CONTACT PERSON

Carolyn Perkins

PHONE

422-1544

CURRENT CHARGES

PWID Marijuana PWID Coc. Coc. Open Container Drug Par

CRIMINAL CHARGES

1st CDV 1999 1998 - 1st CDV non-cov

PWID Coc 3PM Poss Coc PWID Coc 2nd CDV

CURRENT SOCIAL

Cl lives w/ his motherage 54-55. He has been livingthere since a yr ago. Cl livedw/ girlfriend prior to that. Theylived together since 1996.1st CDV 1999 1st CDV 1998 - 1st CDV non-covwork. They have been together since 1996.

EMPLOYMENT

Temp service since 6 mos ago -

VALID DRIVER'S LICENSE

yes

EDUCATION

High school graduate + 3 yrs college

HAVE YOU EVER TRIED TO CONTROL OR STOP YOUR ALCOHOL/DRUG USE?

yes

DOES IT ANNOY YOU OR MAKE YOU ANGRY WHEN OTHERS CRITICIZE YOUR ALCOHOL/DRUG USE?

yes

DO YOU EVER FEEL GUILTY AFTER USING ALCOHOL/DRUGS?

yes

DO YOU SOMETIMES USE ALCOHOL/DRUGS IN THE A M TO RELIEVE HANGOVER OR PREVENT WITHDRAWAL?

yes

HAVE YOU EVER EXPERIENCED LOSS OF MEMORY DUE TO ALCOHOL/DRUG USE?

No

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court
Waivers and Agreements

Defendant's Name Cedric Emmanuel Perkins
First Middle Last
 Address 7 June Lane Brunswick Se 29605
Street Apt# City State Zip
 Telephone 299-0155 DOB 9/19/66

I understand that before I can be accepted into the Drug Court Treatment Program, I must give up certain statutory and/or constitutional rights I have pertaining to my present criminal charge(s). I hereby voluntarily agree and consent to give up the following statutory and/or constitutional rights upon my acceptance into the Drug Court Treatment Program. I also understand that I must plead guilty to all charges prior to acceptance in the Drug Court Program.

- 1 I expressly agree to accept and abide by all the terms and conditions of the Drug Court Treatment Program as established by the Court and treatment providers (CP)
- 2 I waive any and all rights to appeal I may have in the event I am dismissed from the Drug Court Treatment Program and understand and consent to the Court being the sole authority for determining such dismissal (CP)
- 3 I do hereby release and forever discharge the complaining witnesses, victim(s), the Drug Court Judge, the Solicitor's Office, Police Department, The Drug Court Staff and their respective heirs, successors, executors, administrators and assigns from any and all claims of any kind or nature whatsoever, either in law or in equity, arising out of my arrest participation in, or termination from, the Drug Court Program and do expressly release and forever hold them harmless from any criminal or civil action which I may have a right to bring as a result of my arrest or participation in the Drug Court Program (CP)
- 4 I agree to complete a diagnostic evaluation for the development of my drug treatment program as ordered by the Court or Drug Court Case Manager. I hereby authorize release of all treatment information by the provider to the Court and the Drug Court staff. Any information may be considered by the Court in deciding whether I remain in the Drug Court Treatment Program (CP)
- 5 I understand that the State may at any time, request my termination from the Drug Court Treatment Program for noncompliance. Noncompliance means failure to follow the rules of the program. I understand that all final decisions on termination will be determined by the Court. (CP)
- 6 Failure to appear for Drug Court will result in the order of bench warrant. Failure to turn yourself in within ten (10) days of the bench warrant being issued will result in automatic termination (CP)
- 7 I understand and agree to submit to random drug testing at the request of the treatment provider, Drug Court Case Manager, the Judge or any other staff involved the process of my treatment program. Drug tests include urine, blood, hair analysis and breathalyzer (CP)
- 8 I understand that convictions of certain crimes will result in the suspension of my driver's license. I agree, if convicted of one of these charges to surrender my license to the Clerk of Court on the day of my guilty plea (CP)
- 9 No psychoactive prescription drugs allowed (Benzodiazepines, Amphetamines, Sedative Hypnotics, Opiates, Barbiturates or psychiatric medications). All prescribed medication use must be cleared by Drug Court staff before use (CP)
- 10 I understand and agree to pay a participation fee of \$25.00 each week for Phase I – Phase III, and \$100 a month in Phase IV (CP)
- 11 I understand that I will be billed \$100.00 surcharge per conviction by the Clerk of Court. I will be given a total amount and a payment schedule will be arranged for the payment of this fee. I understand that these fees must be paid in full prior to completion of phase IV and failure to do so could result in sanctions and/or termination (CP)

- 12 I understand and agree to attend once a month case management sessions and understand that additional sessions may be required as determined by the Drug Court Case Manager (CP)
- 13 I understand that Drug Court may last a minimum of 18 months I also understand and agree that if I have not reached my treatment goals within that time, the Drug Court staff may recommend extending my time in the program (CP)
- 14 Drug Court will consist of four phases, Phases I, Phase II and Phase III are each a minimum of 16 weeks, Phase IV is a minimum of six months Phase IV participants will appear in court the 1st Thursday of every month, meet with the Drug Court Case Manager once a month, meet for follow up support group with Drug Court Case Manager once a month, attend NA or AA meetings Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and be routinely drug screened at random (CP)
- 15 I understand that I will pay restitution (if applicable) to the Clerk of Court as ordered by the Drug Court Judge The Drug Court Case Manager will set payments and all restitution will be paid in full, to the Clerk of Court, before completion of phase 3 unless alternate arrangements have been made with drug court case manager All money owed to Drug Court must be paid prior to completion and successful termination from the program (CP)
- 16 I understand that I must be employed prior to acceptance into drug court. If in the detention center at application, I agree to have employment within ¹⁴thirty days of entry into Drug Court. (CP)
- 17 I understand that NO time off will be given from Drug Court in Phase I and in Phase II unless it is an immediate medical emergency or death of a close family member (spouse, father, mother, grandmother, grandfather, brother, sister or children) (CP)
- 18 I understand that participants in Phase III may have two (2) excused long weekends with two weeks prior written request. (The weekend starts after Drug Court on Thursday and you must report for a drug screen the following Monday I also understand that attendance of community support meetings must be made while out of town and that documentation of such must be provided (CP)
- 19 I understand that I may be required to obtain a G E D , prior to completion of drug court, if I am not a high school graduate (CP)
- 20 I understand that I will be required to attend treatment group sessions on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday according to each phase requirement. I also understand that I will be required to attend AA or NA meetings Friday, Saturday and Sunday of every week and that I must provide verification of my attendance to my treatment group counselor on Monday (CP)
- 21 I understand that I am responsible for all transportation required to participate in the Drug Court Program (CP)
- 22 I understand that I will be required to mentor or speak to either phase I, II, III or juvenile drug court on two occasions prior to completion of phase IV (CP)
- 23 I understand that should I fail to comply with Drug Court Program requirements the Judge can issue sanctions Sanctions will include community service work, jail time or other penalties as prescribed by the Drug Court Judge (CP)
- 24 I understand that if I am rearrested on new charges after my plea, I can be terminated from drug court (CP)

Cedric Perkins
Signature of Defendant

08/29/02
Date

Barbara Budman
Signature of Drug Court Representative

08/29/02
Date

Attorney (if applicable)

Date

Drug Court Cover Sheet

Name Cedric PerkinsAddress 7 June Lane
Sherwood, SC 29605Telephone 299-0155Warrant Number(s) 12688000 R12687999 MCurrent Charge(s) PWID, mfg of cocainePrior Criminal History Open container Drug Paragpd. fines through magistrateSolicit:
Tom ChurchDefense Attorney Dorothy ManigaultRestitution Amount 0Other Comments C has addiction problem w/ alcohol,
cocaine & marijuana & crimes related to addiction
He has CDV charges cleared & completed MMPI evaluation
which also did not show personality disorder diagnosisReviewed By JUT Date 8/29/02

Drug Court Cover Sheet

Name Cedric E Perkins
Address 7 June Lane, Greenville SC 29605

Telephone 299-0155

Warrant Number(s) G-688000
G-688999

Current Charge(s) PL-110 Cracks - man cracks

Prior Criminal History Dis Conduct, Poss Cracks, DUS, DWI,
Poss with intent - open containers - CDU

Defense Attorney None indicated

Restitution Amount \$

Stalled 3-21-02

Other Comments Recommend acceptance - Provided two magistrate
lines are Paid - Meets Dependency requirements - has
transport - appears able to benefit from Program.

Reviewed By JULIAN Date 3-22-02

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO	FROM
<i>Dorothy Mangault</i>	<i>Drug Court</i>
COMPANY	DATE
	<i>9-9-02</i>
FAX NUMBER	TOTAL NO OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER
<i>235-9630</i>	<i>2</i>
PHONE NUMBER	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER
RE	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER
<i>Plea Date - Cedric Perkins</i>	

☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the receiver of this message is not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U S Postal Service.

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DRUG COURT
GREENVILLE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
305 E NORTH STREET SUITE 320
GREENVILLE SC 29601
864 467 8277

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

1249

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville, South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

August 30, 2002

Mr Cedric Perkins
7 June Lane
Greenville, SC 29605

Dear Mr Perkins

You have been approved for participation in the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court Program Your plea date is scheduled for Friday, September 13, 2002 at 8 30 a m in Courtroom 5 on the third floor of the Courthouse Judge Simmons will be the presiding judge Your attorney will need to be present Should you have any questions please contact me at 467-8134

It is important that you meet with me after court proceedings for a few minutes to receive information concerning weekend requirements

Sincerely,

Bobbie Godwin
Drug Court Program Manager

BG/tdm

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

August 30, 2002

Ms Dorothy Manigault, Esq
P O Box 392
Greenville, SC 29602

Dear Ms Manigault

This is to confirm that your client, Cedric Perkins, is scheduled to enter a plea in Drug Court on Friday, September 13, at 8 30 a m in Courtroom 5 Judge Simmons will be the presiding judge

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 467-8277

Sincerely,

Bobbie S Godwin
Drug Court Program Manager

BG/tdm

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601-2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

December 15, 2003

Mr Kenneth Gibson
305 Webster Road
Greenville, SC 29607

RE State vs Cedric Perkins

Dear Mr Gibson

This is to inform you that a bond hearing has been set for your client, Cedric Perkins. The hearing is scheduled for Tuesday, December 23, 2003 at 9 00 a m in Courtroom 5 with Judge Simmons presiding.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call at 467-8277.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Godwin
Program Director

1252

The Gibson Law Firm

November 14, 2003

VIA FACSIMILE @ (864) 467-8610

Kristin Winn
13th Circuit Solicitor's Office
Greenville County Courthouse
305 E. North St.
Greenville, SC 29601


RE Cedric Perkins – Drug Court Termination Proceeding

Dear Kristin:

This letter confirms my earlier voice mail left with you that I have been retained to represent Mr. Perkins in proceedings relating to attempts to terminate him from Drug Court. By this letter I am also confirming my request and need to schedule a hair strand test to be performed by our expert on Mr. Perkins. Of course, for obvious reasons, time is of the essence on this request. In that regard, I would greatly appreciate it if you could contact me as quickly as possible regarding same.

Thank you for your assistance, and I look forward to speaking with you soon.

Sincerely,



Kenneth C. Gibson

Kenneth C Gibson
Attorney at Law

Tel (864) 241-6699

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

To Greenville County Solicitor's Office

Fax # 4678610

Company Greenville County Solicitor's

From Kenneth C Gibson

Fax #

Tel # (864) 241-6699

Subject

Sent 11/14/2003 at 12 46 44 PM

Pages 2 (including cover)

MESSAGE

ATTN: Kristin Winn

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN 247-51-9829

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program

Release of this information is made to Kenneth C Gibson

for the following purpose Legal Representation

I authorize release of the following information

Any and all documents and/or information regarding Cedric Perkins, including but not limited to any documents and/or information relating or referring to Mr. Perkins' participation in the Drug Court Program, any drug tests performed upon Mr. Perkins or contemplated to be so performed, and/or the grounds for which termination from the Drug Court Program is based

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law

Cedric Perkins
Signature of client

December 19, 2003
Date

James B. Bowers
Witness

December 19, 2003
Date

REVOCACTION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601-2185



Telephone 864-467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

Section 16-13-470 of the South Carolina Code of Laws provides

" It is a crime to (1) sell, give away, distribute, or market urine in this State or transport urine into this State with the intent of using the urine to defraud a drug or alcohol screening test, (2) attempt to tamper with a drug or alcohol screening test by substituting or spiking a sample or the advertisement of a sample substitution or other spiking device or measure, (3) adulterate a urine or other bodily fluid sample with the intent to defraud a drug or alcohol screening test, (4) possess adulterants for the purpose of defrauding a drug or alcohol screening test, or (5) sell adulterants " The penalty for a first offense violation of this law is a fine of not more than \$5000 or not more than three years in prison, or both. The penalty for a second or subsequent offense is a fine of not more than \$10, 000 or not more than five years in prison , or both

I, the undersigned, do understand that I will be required to take drug and alcohol screening tests and violation of Section 16-13-470 of the South Carolina Code of Laws will result in punishment as the law provides in this section

X Cedric Perkins
Signature
8/12/02
Date

Bobbie Godwin
Witness
8/12/02
Date

July 24, 2002

To All Drug Court Participants

From Bobbie Godwin
Program Manager

RE Time off from Drug Court

Reminder Only Phase 3 participants are allowed to request a weekend off from Drug Court All requests must be submitted in writing 2 weeks in advance for approval You will be required to report to MRW for a drug screen on the Monday you return You are also required to attend 3 AA/NA meetings while out of town and must provide verification to your counselor upon your return to group

No one will be allowed more than 2 excused weekends

My signature below indicates I have read and received a copy of this memo

Client *Cedric Perkins* Date *8/12/02*
Witness *Bobbie Godwin* Date *8/12/02*

**State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
Drug Court**

I Cedric Perkins fully understand that the use of certain prescription medication is prohibited during my participation in the Drug Court program. This type of medication includes but is not limited to benzodiazepines, sedative-hypnotics, narcotics, stimulants, or opiates. I acknowledge that it is my responsibility to inform the Drug Court staff of any prescription I am given while I am in the Drug Court program. I also give my permission for the Drug Court staff to contact my physician to discuss my medical problem, medication needs, and the requirements of my participation in the Drug Court program.

I understand that this consent will remain in effect and cannot be revoked by me until there has been a formal and effective termination of my release from the Drug Court program.

Signed	<u>X Cedric Perkins</u>	Date	<u>8/12/02</u>
Witness	<u>Bobbie Perkins</u>	Date	<u>8/12/02</u>

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

Fax

To Dorothy Manigault From Danise Garrison
Fax. 235-9630 Pages 2
Phone _____ Date 4-18-02
Re _____ CC. _____

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

This information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the receiver of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U S Postal Service.

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville, South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

April 18, 2002

Dorothy Manigault
P O Box 392
Greenville, SC 29602

Dear Ms Manigault

This letter is to inform you that your client, Cedric Perkins, is scheduled to enter Drug Court on Friday, June 7, 2002 at 9 a.m Judge Simmons will be the presiding judge at the pleading Please notify Mr Washington of his scheduled plea date Should you have any questions, please contact me at 467-8715 Thank you

Sincerely,

Perkins

Denise Garrison
Drug Court Case Manager

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

04/18/2002 10 08
ID=PRE-TPIAL/DPUG COURT

DATE	S,R-TIME	DISTANT STATION ID	MODE	PAGES	RESULT	
04/18	00'38	92359630	CALLING	02	OK	0000

3-22-02

CEDRIC E PERKINS
7 JUNE LANE
GREENVILLE, SC 29605

MR PERKINS

YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR DRUG COURT UNDER THE FOLLOWING
CONDITIONS PROOF THAT YOU HAVE PAID YOUR PENDING FINES ON THE
MAGISTRATE CHARGES MUST BE PROVIDED TO DRUG COURT PRIOR TO
PLEA DATE YOUR PLEA DATE IS SCHEDULED FOR 4-5-02, 9 00 AM, JUDGE
SIMMONS, USUALLY COURT ROOM 5

I HAVE INCLUDED SOME INFORMATION ON YOUR PLEA DATE AND HAVE
SCHEDULED YOU FOR AN APPOINTMENT AT MY OFFICE ON 3-27-02 AT 8 30
AM PLEASE MAKE SURE YOU KEEP THIS APPOINTMENT AND BRING THE
RECEIPT OF YOUR PAYMENT ON YOUR MAGISTRATE CHARGES

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS , CALL ME AT 467-8134

YOURS TRULY

CURTIS PITTS
GREENVILLE DRUG COURT

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

1263

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

3-22-02

CEDRIC E PERKINS
7 JUNE LANE
GREENVILLE, SC 29605

MR PERKINS

YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR DRUG COURT UNDER THE FOLLOWING
CONDITIONS PROOF THAT YOU HAVE PAID YOUR PENDING FINES ON THE
MAGISTRATE CHARGES MUST BE PROVIDED TO DRUG COURT PRIOR TO
PLEA DATE YOUR PLEA DATE IS SCHEDULED FOR 4-5-02, 9 00 AM, JUDGE
SIMMONS, USUALLY COURT ROOM 5

I HAVE INCLUDED SOME INFORMATION ON YOUR PLEA DATE AND HAVE
SCHEDULED YOU FOR AN APPOINTMENT AT MY OFFICE ON 3-27-02 AT 8 30
AM PLEASE MAKE SURE YOU KEEP THIS APPOINTMENT AND BRING THE
RECEIPT OF YOUR PAYMENT ON YOUR MAGISTRATE CHARGES

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS , CALL ME AT 467-8134

YOURS TRULY

CURTIS PITTS
GREENVILLE DRUG COURT

DRUG COURT CLINICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Referral Cedric Perkins

Date of MMPI - 2 3/15/02

Comments Chent's test evaluation does not report any significant personality disorder diagnosis. It is stated, however, that he did try and present himself in an overly positive light and therefore the actual validity of personality profile is questionable. Recommend staffing and decision per staff decision.

Approved for admission:

yes

no

pending

3/22/02 Per staffing

Clinician Title and date

Barbara Bodwin, LPC 3/22/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Referral Cedric Perkins

Date of MMPI – 2 3/15/02

Comments Client's test evaluation does not report any significant personality disorder diagnosis. It is stated, however, that he did try and present himself in an overly positive light and therefore the actual validity of personality profile is questionable. Recommend staffing and decision per staff decision.

Approved for admission yes no pending

Clinician Title and date Bobbie Godwin^{2PC} 3/21/02

Stykel 3-22-02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Referral Cedric Perkins

Date of MMPI - 2 3/15/02

Comments Client's test evaluation does not report any significant personality disorder diagnosis It is stated, however, that he did try and present himself in an overly positive light and therefore the actual validity of personality profile is questionable Recommend staffing and decision per staff decision

Approved for admission

yes

no

pending

per staffing

3/20/02

Clinician Title and date

Babai Bodwin LPC 3/20/02

DRUG COURT CLINICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT**Referral** Cedric Perkins**Date of Assessment** 3/13/02

Diagnosis 303 90 Alcohol Dependence w/ phys dependence
304 30 Cannabis Dependence w/ phys dependence
304 20 cocaine Dependence w/ phys dependence

Comments Client does present with symptoms of dependence which qualifies for admission for Drug court and the need for treatment His dependence is a daily affair and he may need Detox before he enters Drug court outpatient There is a problem, however, in his eligibility as he has two convictions of CDV He will need to complete MMPI-2 before final clinical report can be made concerning his admission

Approved for admission yes

no

pending

Clinician Title and dateBebbie Bradman, LPC 3/13/02

Clinical Assessment

Client Name (Last, First, MI) <u>Parkins, Cedrick</u>	ID# <u>Probs'</u>
Date <u>3/13/02</u>	Medical Status <u>None</u>

Employment/Support Status employed w/ temp service Parkins
temp service + uncle's garage longest employment
1996-1999 DNR

Legal Status Current
Paid Moring Paid C Coc Open Container N Parag
Paid Pw Coc x 2, Sporn, COV x 2, Poss Coc.

Family/Social Relationship (current) currently lives w/ his mother

she is retired from AT&T + moved back here from
Jacksonville in 2001. He has girlfriend 1996-present
child age 2 y.o. She + CD also have children by prev

Psychiatric Status NO mental illness in family
NO alcohol or drug probs reported, father drank
every night however. CD reports NO suicide thought
or attempt

Abilities, Strengths, CD unable to state any gifts/talents

Current problems legal - only working through
temp service - probs. w/ girlfriend
just plead guilty last week to
COV charge + pd fine.

Family History

Cl lives in Greenville, SC - has 2
 sisters. Parents separated when Cl was pre-
 school age. He knows his father who died
 May, 2001. He died from complications of
 heart by-pass surgery - age 60. Cl regrets
 he was a good father & he had a good
 relationship w/ him. Cl - grew up w/ mother
 & sisters - has a good relationship w/ them
 today. Has 1 younger sister & 1 older.
 Went to Brooklyn Elementary, Southside
 High School, ~~or 3/13/02~~ Morris finished @ Pontiac
 Northern High (mother's family there). Cl. also
 attended Morris College in Sumter, SC 2 yrs
 & USC for a year. He says "drugs"
 messed up his college years. He
 has lived in Greenville all his life.
 1st serious relationship in High School -
 lasted a yr. 2nd serious relationship
 first yr. in college lasted 2 1/2 yrs.
 3rd relationship lasted 2 yrs 1994-96.
 4th 3/13/02 Cl's. Now Cl is in relationship
 ongoing since 1996. They have 1 child
 a 2yo G. Cl. has a daughter age 16
 from "not serious" relationship. He does
 pay child support. Girlfriend drinks
 alcohol. Cl has had prior 4x 1995-96. BSG2001
 N. high outpatient - sent by Prob. & parole.

Type of Drug	Age of first use	Frequency	Amount	Date of last used
Alcohol	16	daily	6-7 pints	3/12/02
Cannabis	14	3-4x week	dime bag	3/12/02
Cocaine	20's	3-4x week	w/ money	3/12/02
Opiates				
Sedatives				
Hallucinogens				
Amphetamines				
Other				

Above reported use indicative of last 6 months

Have you ever tried to cut down or stop using? yes

Have you ever tried to control your use by switching to another drug? NO

Do you often wish you could control your use? yes

Have you ever used at school/job? NO

Have you ever gone to school or work high? NO

Have you ever done anything risky, while using, which could have resulted in harm to yourself or others? DUI

Have you continued to use while pregnant? NA

Do you spend a lot of time getting or buying your drug (s)? yes - constantly

How much time do you spend using your drug (s)? daily approx

Do you spend a great deal of time recovering from heavy use? yes sleeps over 12 hrs sleep

Have you gone without important things that you wanted or needed in order to pay for drugs?

yes

Have you stopped doing anything that used to be important to you because it interfered with using your drug (s)? yes

Have there been occasions when you used in larger amounts than you planned to use? yes

Have you used even though you planned not to? yes

Have you continued to use despite being told that you have a disability or medical problem that may be made worse by using drugs? no

Have you been told by a doctor that your use has caused a disability or medical problem? no

Have you been told that your use has caused you to suffer a mental or psychological disorder? no

smokes weed (aced w/ cocaine)

In the beginning of your use how much and how often did you use to get high?

at realizing it takes more of cocaine alcohol & pot used to be 10x - now 3-4x week to get high

Have you experienced withdrawal symptoms when you stopped using or cut down?

Depression

Nervousness Fits

Chills Trembling

Aches

Vomiting

Sleep problems

Runny Nose

Yawning

Hallucinations

Weight Loss/Gain

Other

Have you used drugs in order to relieve withdrawal symptoms? no

Have you used drugs to prevent withdrawal symptoms? no

Has your drug use caused you to be absent from school or work more than once? no

Have you been suspended or fired as a result of your drug use? no but can't get

a good job due to not being able to pass drug screen

Have problems with your family/friends become worse because you use drugs? yes

Have family/friends told you to cut down/stop using drugs? yes

mother & girlfriend want him to stop

Dependence Criteria (evidence of)

Tolerance cl. reports increase in 3 drugs needed for same effect
alcohol — cocaine — marijuana
 Withdrawal Symptoms NO — some sleep problems
but NO other symptoms

Past efforts to control or stop

Thru to stop several times in the
past but always started back w/
alcohol first — then the marijuana

Using more than intended

yes — using 3-4x week or when he
has the money

Great deal of time spent procuring, using, or recovering from drug

yes — cl. feels he is constantly
either using — procuring —
or recovering — for the other

Social, occupational, or recreational life areas reduced due to drug use

yes — has served time in prison
related to drug use — admits first PWID
he was dealer drugs to support habit.
Jobs lost due to drug use

Continued use despite knowledge of physical or psychological problem caused or worsened by drug use

NO

Clinical Comments

cl. presents w/ alcohol — marijuana
& cocaine dependence w/ phys.
dependence

Clinician Signature and Title Robee Bodum, LPC Date 3/13/02

Assessment Red Flags

_____ Poor level of accountability
_____ Lack of remorse concerning past wrongs
_____ History of possession of weapons
2 CDV _____ History of Domestic violence or stalking
_____ History of depression
_____ History of violent offenses
_____ Hostage-taking offenses

Comments

cl has had 2 CDV's w/ current
guilt and although they are
not living together they are
still together. cl admits to "hitting her"

Clinician Title and Date

Bobbie Bolmer, LPC 3/13/02

Drug Court Intake Information

Name Cedric E Perkins
First Middle Last

Street Address _____

Mailing Address 1 E. Greenville SC 29605

Date of Birth 1/19/66 State where you were born SC

Social Security Number - - , Race B Sex M

Telephone numbers - Day _____ Evening _____

Cell-phone _____ beeper _____

Do you own rent live with someone else?

Who else lives at that address? Carolyn Perkins

What is their relationship to you? Mother

Give At Least Three Contact Persons other than where or whom you live with

1 Name Terry HARRIS phone#
Address - - 9 Grove Station Gville, SC
Relationship girl friend

2 Name Stephanie Perkins phone# _____
Address _____
Relationship Sister

3 Name Maureen Perkins-Saxon phonetic _____
Address: _____
Relationship Sister

Do you have a valid Drivers License ✓ yes no

Drivers License number _____ state SC

If not explain why _____

Do you own or have access to a car? yes

If you do not have a Drivers Licenses and/or access to a car how will you get back and forth in Drug Court? _____

Do you understand that transportation problems are not valid excuses for non compliance? yes

Do you have an attorney? no

Attorney s name & telephone # _____

Employment _____ Full time _____ Part time ☒ Unemployed

_____ Disabled _____ Retired _____ DSS

Individual yearly income _____ 0-5 000 _____ 5,000-10,000 _____ 10-20,000
 _____ 20-30,000 _____ 30-40,000 _____ 40-50,000
 _____ 50,000 +

Are you receiving any of the following? Please state how much

Disability \$ _____ Food stamps \$ _____ AFDC \$ _____

If you are receiving disability, you must provide a copy of your last check stub

Unemployment \$ _____

Employer's name _____

Employer's address _____

Employer's phone number _____

If you are unemployed, how have you supported yourself in the last year?

List the last three places of employment

1 Employer Power Personnel Dates worked-From 6/01 to 3/02

Reason for leaving I work when they have work available

Military Service _____ Yes ☒ No If yes, what branch? _____

Dates of service _____ to _____ Type of discharge _____

Do you have any prior court ordered fines and/or restitution that you have not paid? no If so explain _____

Do you have any other outstanding charges other than the incident for which you are applying to Drug Court? no

If yes, please explain _____

Are you currently under investigation regarding any crimes, other than the charges that you are applying to Drug Court on? _____ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please explain _____

Are you currently on probation or parole? _____ Yes ☒ No

If yes Explain _____

Name of Probation/Parole Officer _____ County _____

Are you currently in Pre-Trial Intervention? _____ Yes ☒ No

Have ever been charged with a violent crime? ~~YES~~ NO

If so explain _____

Have you ever been charged with Criminal Domestic Violence? yes

If So explain I had a misunderstanding with my girlfriend

Have you ever dealt drugs? ~~YES~~ NO If so Explain _____

For how long? _____ Why? _____

What were the benefits from selling drugs? _____

Were there any negative results from selling drugs? _____

Explain _____

2 Employer Sawyer Temp Dates worked-From 5/01 to 12/01

Reason for leaving not enough hours

3 Employer Reynolds Company Dates worked-From 2/00 to 8/00

Reason for leaving went to jail

Do you have financial obligations that would hinder your paying drug court fees, indictment, and/or restitution payments? NO If yes how do you plan to handle the situation? _____

Marital status _____ Married _____ Widowed _____ Divorced
 _____ Separated _____ Never Married ☒ Other

Name of spouse/live-in _____

Where is spouse/live-in employed? _____

How many children do you have? 2 Do you have custody? NO

If not, where do they live? With their mother

Do you pay child support? ☒ Yes _____ No

Is it Court-ordered? YES Voluntary? _____ Amount to be paid? 141 41

Are you behind in Child-support payments? yes If so Explain I have not been able to pay on time I got behind when I went to jail

Name of child _____ Age 16

Ceterria Harris Age 2

_____ Age _____

_____ Age _____

Are you currently a student? _____ Yes _____ Full time _____ Part time ☒ No

Name of last school you attended University of South Carolina

Number of years completed 3 Do you have yes diploma ~~no~~ GED?

Failure to report pending charges, probation or parole status, or other charges under investigation could result in automatic termination from the drug court program and sentence will be imposed

Why should you be allowed to participate in the Drug Court Program?

I need some help to get me off these drugs so that
I can be apart of my Childrens life and get me a job
so that I can take care of my children

What do you hope to achieve by participating in the Drug Court Program?

I hope to get clean and stay clean
I want some discipline in my life
I want to raise my family
I want steady employment
I want to start to going to church again

My signature below indicates that I completed this form honestly and accurately, understood all questions and was given ample explanation to any questions concerning my application to the Drug Court program

Signature

Cedric Perkins

Date

3/6/02

Simple Screening Instrument for AOD Abuse Self-Administered Form

Directions The questions that follow are about your use of alcohol and other drugs. Your answers will be kept private. Mark the response that best fits for you. Answer the questions in terms of your experiences in the past 6 months.

During the last 6 months

- 1 Have you used alcohol or other drugs? (Such as wine, beer, hard liquor, pot, coke, heroin or other opiates, uppers, downers, hallucinogens, or inhalants)
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 2 Have you felt that you use too much alcohol or other drugs?
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 3 Have you tried to cut down or quit drinking or using alcohol or other drugs?
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 4 Have you gone to anyone for help because of your drinking or drug use? (Such as Alcoholics Anonymous, Narcotics Anonymous, Cocaine Anonymous, counselors, or a treatment program.)
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 5 Have you had any health problems? For example, have you
☐ Had blackouts or other periods of memory loss?
☐ Injured your head after drinking or using drugs?
☐ Had convulsions, delirium tremens ("DTs")?
☒ Had hepatitis or other liver problems?
☒ Felt sick, shaky, or depressed when you stopped?
☐ Felt "coke bugs" or a crawling feeling under the skin after you stopped using drugs?
☐ Been injured after drinking or using?
☐ Used needles to shoot drugs?
- 6 Has drinking or other drug use caused problems between you and your family or friends?
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 7 Has your drinking or other drug use caused problems at school or at work?
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 8 Have you been arrested or had other legal problems? (Such as bouncing bad checks, driving while intoxicated, theft, or drug possession.)
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 9 Have you lost your temper or gotten into arguments or fights while drinking or using other drugs?
☒ Yes ☐ No
- 10 Are you needing to drink or use drugs more and more to get the effect you want?
☒ Yes ☐ No

(Continued on next page)

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court Waivers and Agreements

Defendant's Name Edenic 3 Lebanis
First Middle Last

Address 3 Greenville SC 29605
Street Apt. City / State Zip

Telephone 700-222 3 DOB 9-19-66

I understand that before I can be accepted into the Drug Court Treatment Program I must give up certain statutory and/or constitutional rights I have pertaining to my present criminal charge(s) I hereby voluntarily agree and consent to give up the following statutory and/or constitutional rights upon my acceptance into the Drug Court Treatment Program I also understand that I must plead guilty to all charges prior to acceptance in the Drug Court Program

- 1 I expressly agree to accept and abide by all the terms and conditions of the Drug Court Treatment Program as established by the Court and treatment providers (CP)
- 2 I waive any and all rights to appeal I may have in the event I am dismissed from the Drug Court Treatment Program and understand and consent to the Court being the sole authority for determining such dismissal (CP)
- 3 I do hereby release and forever discharge the complaining witnesses victim(s) the Drug Court Judge the Solicitor's Office Police Department, The Drug Court Staff and their respective heirs, successors executors administrators and assigns from any and all claims of any kind or nature whatsoever, either in law or in equity arising out of my arrest, participation in, or termination from the Drug Court Program and do expressly release and forever hold them harmless from any criminal or civil action which I may have a right to bring as a result of my arrest or participation in the Drug Court Program (CP)
- 4 I agree to complete a diagnostic evaluation for the development of my drug treatment program as ordered by the Court or Drug Court Case Manager I hereby authorize release of all treatment information by the provider to the Court and the Drug Court staff Any information may be considered by the Court in deciding whether I remain in the Drug-Court Treatment Program (CP)
- 5 I understand that the State may at any time request my termination from the Drug Court Treatment Program for noncompliance Noncompliance means failure to follow the rules of the program I understand that all final decisions on termination will be determined by the Court (CP)
- 6 Failure to appear for Drug Court will result in the order of bench warrant Failure to turn yourself in within ten (10) days of the bench warrant being issued will result in automatic termination (CP)
- 7 I understand and agree to submit to random drug testing at the request of the treatment provider Drug Court Case Manager the Judge or any other staff involved the process of my treatment program Drug tests include urine, blood, hair analysis and breathalyzer (CP)
- 8 I understand that convictions of certain crimes will result in the suspension of my driver's license I agree if convicted of one of these charges to surrender my license to the Clerk of Court on the day of my guilty plea (CP)
- 9 No psychoactive prescription drugs allowed (Benzodiazepines Amphetamines Sedative Hypnotics Opiates, Barbiturates or psychiatric medications) All prescribed medication use must be cleared by Drug Court staff before use (CP)
- 10 I understand and agree to pay a participation fee of \$25.00 each week for Phase I – Phase III, and \$100 a month in Phase IV (CP)
- 11 I understand that I will be billed \$100.00 surcharge per conviction by the Clerk of Court I will be given a total amount and a payment schedule will be arranged for the payment of this fee I understand that these fees must be paid in full prior to completion of phase II and failure to do so could result in sanctions and/or termination (CP)

- 12 I understand and agree to attend once a month case management sessions and understand that additional sessions may be required as determined by the Drug Court Case Manager (CP)
- 13 I understand that Drug Court may last a minimum of 18 months I also understand and agree that if I have not reached my treatment goals within that time, the Drug Court staff may recommend extending my time in the program (CP)
- 14 Drug Court will consist of four phases, Phases I Phase II and Phase III are each a minimum of 16 weeks, Phase IV is a minimum of six months Phase IV participants will appear in court the 1st Thursday of every month, meet with the Drug Court Case Manager once a month, meet for follow up support group with Drug Court Case Manager once a month attend NA or AA meetings Friday Saturday and Sunday and be routinely drug screened at random (CP)
- 15 I understand that I will pay restitution (if applicable) to the Clerk of Court as ordered by the Drug Court Judge The Drug Court Case Manager will set payments and all restitution will be paid in full, to the Clerk of Court, before completion of phase 2 unless alternate arrangements have been made with drug court case manager All money owed to Drug Court must be paid prior to completion and successful termination from the program (CP)
- 16 I understand that I must be employed prior to acceptance into drug court. If in the detention center at application I agree to have employment within thirty days of entry into Drug Court (CP)
- 17 I understand that NO time off will be given from Drug Court in Phase I and in Phase II unless it is an immediate medical emergency or death of a close family member (spouse, father mother grandmother, grandfather, brother sister or children) (CP)
- 18 I understand that participants in Phase III may have two (2) excused long weekends with two weeks prior written request (The weekend starts after Drug Court on Thursday and you must report for a drug screen the following Monday I also understand that attendance of community support meetings must be made while out of town and that documentation of such must be provided (CP)
- 19 I understand that I may be required to obtain a G E D , prior to completion of drug court if I am not a high school graduate (CP)
- 20 I understand that I will be required to attend treatment group sessions on Monday Tuesday and Wednesday according to each phase requirement I also understand that I will be required to attend AA or NA meetings Friday, Saturday and Sunday of every week and that I must provide verification of my attendance to my treatment group counselor on Monday (CP)
- 21 I understand that I am responsible for all transportation required to participate in the Drug Court Program (CP)
- 22 I understand that I will be required to mentor or speak to either phase I II III or juvenile drug court on two occasions prior to completion of phase IV (CP)
- 23 I understand that should I fail to comply with Drug Court Program requirements the Judge can issue sanctions Sanctions will include community service work jail time or other penalties as prescribed by the Drug Court Judge (CP)
- 24 I understand that if I am rearrested on new charges after my plea I can be terminated from drug court. (CP)

Adrian Perkins
Signature of Defendant

3-6-02
Date

Paul H
Signature of Drug Court Representative

3-6-02
Date

Attorney (if applicable)

Date

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
Drug Court

Noncompliance of the Drug Court program is defined as any of the following behaviors

Failing or altering a drug test
Failure to attend Drug Court session
Failure to attend Treatment session
Failure to attend weekend 12 step support group meetings or provide documentation of attendance
Failure to provide drug screen sample
Being rearrested
Physical violence
Threats or intimidation of Drug Court staff or other clients
Failure to pay participation fee on time
Failure to perform any sanction ordered by the Drug Court judge
Any action or behavior deemed inappropriate by the Drug Court team
Using prescription medication that has not been approved for use by the Drug Court staff

Sanctions for the offenses will include

Community service work
Written assignments
Incarceration
Inpatient treatment
Detox
Other appropriate sanctions

Violation of any of the above could result in immediate termination from Drug Court

I understand the Drug Court rules and regulations and that any of the above violations could result in immediate termination from the Drug Court program with full sentence to be imposed upon my termination

Signed X Cedric Perkins Date 3-6-02

Witness Curtis H Date 3-6-02

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
Drug Court

I Cedric Perkins fully understand that the use of certain prescription medication is prohibited during my participation in the Drug Court program. This type of medication includes but is not limited to benzodiazepines sedative-hypnotics, narcotics stimulants, or opiates. I acknowledge that it is my responsibility to inform the Drug Court staff of any prescription I am given while I am in the Drug Court program. I also give my permission for the Drug Court staff to contact my physician to discuss my medical problem, medication needs and the requirements of my participation in the Drug Court program.

I understand that this consent will remain in effect and cannot be revoked by me until there has been a formal and effective termination of my release from the Drug Court program.

Signed Cedric Perkins Date 3-6-02
Witness [Signature] Date 3-6-02

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 8277
Telefax 864-467 8695

Drug Court

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

Section 16-13-470 of the South Carolina Code of Laws provides

" It is a crime to (1) sell, give away, distribute, or market urine in this State or transport urine into this State with the intent of using the urine to defraud a drug or alcohol screening test, (2) attempt to tamper with a drug or alcohol screening test by substituting or spiking a sample or the advertisement of a sample substitution or other spiking device or measure, (3) adulterate a urine or other bodily fluid sample with the intent to defraud a drug or alcohol screening test, (4) possess adulterants for the purpose of defrauding a drug or alcohol screening test, or (5) sell adulterants " The penalty for a first offense violation of this law is a fine of not more than \$5000 or not more than three years in prison, or both The penalty for a second or subsequent offense is a fine of not more than \$10, 000 or not more than five years in prison , or both.

I, the undersigned, do understand that I will be required to take drug and alcohol screening tests and violation of Section 16-13-470 of the South Carolina Code of Laws will result in punishment as the law provides in this section

x Cedric Perkins
Signature

3-6-02
Date

Curtis H
Witness

3-6-02
Date

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program

Release of this information is made to Greenville Drug Court

for the following purpose Enter J Complete Drug Court

I authorize release of the following information

All info requested By Drug Court including Test Scores, Medical - Psychological info, legal - treatment and all other info requested

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Cedric Perkins
Signature of client

3.6.02
Date

[Signature]
Witness

3.6.02
Date

REVOCATION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____

State Of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
Drug Court

Drug Court coordinates the resources of the Judicial System and the Solicitor's Office to provide an intense, treatment-based program. The goal of the program is to help the defendant succeed in establishing recovery from drug or alcohol addiction. **Defendants who are selected to participate in the program are required to plead guilty in court and will be sentenced.** The Judge will then suspend the sentence under the condition that the defendant enter into and complete the Drug Court Program. Successful completion of the program will result in the satisfaction of the sentence. Referrals for Drug Court must come from the solicitor assigned to the case.

Program Requirements

Participants will be involved in the program for a minimum of 18 months. Phases I- III of the program are a minimum of 16 weeks each. Phase IV is a minimum of 6 months. Drug Court cost \$25.00 per week for Phases I- III and \$100.00 a month in Phase IV. **Persons in all phases are subject to random drug screens weekly and sanctions for noncompliance.** The 18 months program is structured as follows:

Phase I

Group counseling 3 times per week. Each group session last a minimum of 2 hours and meets Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays.

Court 1 time week- every Thursday at 5:30

Case management session a minimum of once per month as scheduled by case manager.

If client does not have High School education they will be required to obtain a GED.

12 Step Support Group attendance - 3 times a week-Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays.

Set up Restitution and indictment payment schedule with case manager and begin payments.

Must be employed full time on job that can be verified.

Phase II

Group counseling 2 times per week. Each group session last a minimum of 2 hours and meets Mondays and TUESDAYS.

Court 2 time a month- the 1st and 3rd Thursday of every month at 5:30.

Case management session a minimum of once per month as scheduled by case manager.

12 Step Support Group attendance - 3 times a week-Fridays, Saturdays and Sundays.

Complete Restitution and indictment payments in full before moving to Phase III.

Phase III

Group counseling 1 time per week. Each group session last a minimum of 2 hours and meets every Wednesday.

Court 2 time a month- the 2nd and 4th Thursday of every month at 5:30.

Case management session a minimum of once per month as scheduled by case manager.

12 Step Support Group attendance - 3 times a week-Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays.

Phase IV

Court 1 time a month- the first Thursday of every month.

Case management session a minimum of once per month as scheduled by case manager.

Attend follow-up support group with Case Manager once per month.

Mentor or speak to Phase I, II, III or Juvenile Drug Court on two (2) occasions prior to completion.

12 Step Support Group attendance - 3 times a week-Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays.

Cedric Perkins
Drug Court Participant

3-6-02
Date

[Signature]
Drug Court Case Manager/ Witness

NAME Cedric E Perkins

VIOLENT HISTORY CDW - says argument with Girlfriend

TRANSPORT reports DL & Auto

WORK HISTORY Recent Temp Service - Sporadic

DRUG DEALER Denies

PRIOR TREATMENT Voc Rehab

PROBATION No

RESTITUTION \$

OTHER Prior v.a. Client - average intelligence - articulate - can benefit, must pay \$450 m/mo prior to plea if accepted - uses, cracks, pot - alcohol.

APPOINTMENT with Godwin 3-13-02 - 11:00 AM

PRELIMINARY CLIENT RECORD FORM

Last		First		M	Spouse's name	
Client Name					Pukins Edric E	
Address					Brunnville, SC 29605	
Telephone			Social Security Number		Employer	
Contact Person			Mother, Carolyn Pukins		Temp	
Date of Admission Program			Month		Day	
9			13		02	
2 Client's Date of Birth			Month		Day	
9			19		66	
3 Client's Sex			Male		Female	
4 Education			8 Previous Drug Use			
a) How many years of formal education (including high school and college) did the client complete?			30350 Alcohol Dep. w/phys			
NUMBER OF YEARS 15			30400 Cocaine Dep. w/phys			
b) Is the client currently enrolled in an educational or skill development program?			30430 Marijuana Dep. w/phys			
1 YES			Final Diagnosis			
2 NO			SAME			
5 Marital Status			9 Criminal History			
a) What is the client's marital status?			Prior			
1 Married			Dis Conduct			
2 Divorced			Poss C Coc open Container			
3 Never Married			PLUS - - Drug Parag.			
			DUI			
			COVX2			
			Current PWID Cocaine			
			PWID Marijuana			
6 Employment			10 Agency Disposition			
a) What is the client's present employment status?			a) Indicate the legal circumstances of the client's entry into the agency program			
1 Unemployed			Solicitor's referral			
2 Part time			b) Is the client being referred for services outside the agency program?			
3 Full time			YES			
Temp			NO			
7 Occupation			c) If so, where is the client being referred?			
a) Enter type of the client's current or most recent occupation within the past six months.			AA/NA			
Laborer			12 step program			
b) What is the client's present military service?			11 Salary			
1 Never Served			FOUR			
2 Veteran						
3 On Active Duty						
4 Reserve						
5 Active Duty Dependent						

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
Drug Court

I Cedric Perkins fully understand that the use of certain prescription medication is prohibited during my participation in the Drug Court program. This type of medication includes but is not limited to benzodiazepines, sedative-hypnotics, narcotics, stimulants, or opiates. I acknowledge that it is my responsibility to inform the Drug Court staff of any prescription I am given while I am in the Drug Court program. I also give my permission for the Drug Court staff to contact my physician to discuss my medical problem, medication needs, and the requirements of my participation in the Drug Court program.

I understand that this consent will remain in effect and cannot be revoked by me until there has been a formal and effective termination of my release from the Drug Court program.

Signed	<u>X Cedric Perkins</u>	Date	<u>8/12/02</u>
Witness	<u>Barbara Perkins</u>	Date	<u>8/12/02</u>

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville, South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 82
Telefax 864 467 869

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN C

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program.

Release of this information is made to inpatient facility

for the following purpose referral

I authorize release of the following information:

C's participation
in program

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Cedric Perkins 3/7/03
Signature of client Date

Bonnie Badami 3/7/03
Witness Date

REVOCACTION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____

Telephone 864 467 82
Telefax 864 467 869'

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

Name Cedric Perkins SSN

Release of this information is made to Family Unit

for the following purpose aid in U.S. - per d request

I authorize release of the following information: cl's participation in program heard 1/1/9

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S.C. Code Ann. § 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Signature of client

Date _____

Witness

Date _____

REVOCATION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness. _____ Date _____

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville, South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 821
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN C

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program

Release of this information is made to Carolyn Perkins

for the following purpose and on d. tx

I authorize release of the following information.

cl's participation
in program
program

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law

Signature of client

Date

Barbie Gordon

Witness

Date

REVOCAION OF CONSENT

Signed

Date

Witness

Date

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

1293

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program.

Release of this information is made to Sponsor

for the following purpose verify participant in 12 step

I authorize release of the following information

all participant in program

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S.C. Code Ann. § 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

X Cedric Perkins
Signature of client

8/12/02
Date

Barbara Graham
Witness

8/12/02
Date

REVOCATION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

1295

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville, South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 827
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN:

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program.

Release of this information is made to N.S.S.

for the following purpose aid in d. tx

I authorize release of the following information.

cl's past record + present
in n.c. court + drug
screen results.

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S.C. Code Ann. § 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Cedric Perkins 9-16-03
Signature of client Date

Bobbie Godwin 9-16-03
Witness Date

REVOCATION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____



Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN 2 1 1 0 0 0 1

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program

Release of this information is made to Voc. Rehab

for the following purpose admission inpatient to facility

I authorize release of the following information:

cl's participation record, drug
screen results, diagnosis, assessment
info 1st & 2nd recommendations.

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. § 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Cedric Perkins 6-13-03
Signature of client Date

Bakhtin Godwin 6-13-03
Witness Date

REVOCACTION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864-467 82
Telefax 864-467-869

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN 7

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program.

Release of this information is made to family court

for the following purpose aid in cl. tx

I authorize release of the following information:

cl's participation + progress
in the program.

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Cedric Perkins
Signature of client

6-13-03
Date

Bobbie Badwin
Witness

6-13-03
Date

REVOCATION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____



Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedric Perkins SSN _____

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program

Release of this information is made to sister - Maureen Perkins
for the following purpose and in d. A

I authorize release of the following information.

cl's participation in
program

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S C code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

Cedric Perkins
Signature of client

4/15/03
Date

Bobbie Boduin
Witness

4/15/03
Date

REVOCACTION OF CONSENT

Signed _____ Date _____

Witness _____ Date _____

State of South Carolina
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

1299

Greenville County Courthouse
305 East North Street, Suite 320
Greenville, South Carolina 29601 2185



Telephone 864 467 8277
Telefax 864 467 8695

Drug Court

Consent to Release Information

I, the undersigned, do hereby consent to the disclosure and release of information concerning the admission and treatment of

Name Cedrick Perkins SSN

in The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Court program

Release of this information is made to mother - Carolyn Perkins
for the following purpose aid in d. tx

I authorize release of the following information

cl's participation in drug
court

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time, except to the extent that the program or person that is to make this disclosure has already acted on it. If not revoked by me sooner, this consent will automatically expire upon my formal release from the Drug Court program. I understand that my records are governed by S.C. Code Ann. S 17-22-70, as amended, and the Federal regulations governing Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Client Records, 42 CFR, and cannot be disclosed without my written consent unless otherwise provided for by law.

X Cedrick Perkins
Signature of client

8/12/02
Date

Bobbie Gordon
Witness

8/12/02
Date

REVOCAION OF CONSENT

Signed - _____ Date - _____

Witness _____ Date _____

DRUG COURT
CONSENT FOR TREATMENT
AND
RECEIPT OF RIGHTS & GUIDELINES

I, Cedric Perkins

Upon being admitted as a client of Drug Court understand and agree to the following

- 1 I have been given a copy of my rights as a client of Drug Court
- 2 I have read and understand the Program Guidelines and agree to abide by these Guidelines
- 3 I am entering this program at my own request and agree not to hold Drug Court liable for any loss and/or injuries to person or property suffered by me while I am in or about the premises of same
- 4 It is understood that I will not bring any alcoholic beverages or unauthorized drugs on the property. When on the property in a drug-altered state, I understand that I will be asked to leave the premises and/or be discharged, whichever applies.
- 5 I understand that Drug Court operates under Federal and State Confidentiality Regulations, which prohibits unauthorized disclosure of information.

DATE 9-16-02

Cedric Perkins
(Signature of Client)

Barbrie Godwin
(Staff Member)

STATEMENT OF CLIENT RIGHTS

As a client of Drug Court seeking care for the treatment of alcoholism/chemical dependency, you are entitled to the following rights

- To receive services regardless of race, sex, or creed based on your personal ability to pay
- To considerate and respectful care
- To individual evaluation and treatment based upon your needs and goals
- To know the rationale of all services provided to you.
- To refuse treatment to the extent permitted by law
- To review your records upon reasonable request.
- To know that your records are protected under Federal and State Confidentiality Regulations which prohibit unauthorized disclosure of information

CONFIDENTIALITY OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE CLIENT RECORDS

The confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse client records maintained by this program is protected by Federal law and regulations. Generally, the program may not say to a person outside of Drug Court that a client attends the program, or disclose any information identifying a client unless

- The patient consents in writing
- the disclosure is allowed by a court order, or the disclosure is made to medical personnel in a medical emergency or to qualified personnel for research, audit or program evaluation


Violation of the Federal law and regulations by a program is a crime. Suspected violations may be reported to appropriate authorities in accordance with Federal guidelines. Federal laws and regulations do not protect any information about a crime committed by a client either at the program or against any person who works for the program or about any threat to commit such crimes. Federal laws and regulations do not protect any information about suspected child abuse or neglect from being reported under State law to appropriate state or local authorities.


AGREEMENT FOR SERVICE

I certify that my rights have been fully explained, I agree to each of them, and I am desirous of treatment services in accordance with them and that I understand the, in all cases strict standards of confidentiality and professional ethics will be maintained.

DATE

8/12/02


Signature of Client


Staff Member

INDICTMENT INFORMATION

DEFENDANT'S NAME Cedric Perkins**(LEADING) Indictment # 2002-2685for Warrant # 6687999 Charge PWITD Crack 2ndSentenced in Drug Court To 10 years for this charge

Indictment # _____

for Warrant # _____ Charge _____

Sentenced in Drug Court To _____ for this charge

Indictment # _____

for Warrant # _____ Charge _____

Sentenced in Drug Court To _____ for this charge

Indictment # _____

for Warrant # _____ Charge _____

Sentenced in Drug Court To _____ for this charge

Indictment # _____

for Warrant # _____ Charge _____

Sentenced in Drug Court To _____ for this charge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

CEDRIC EMMANUEL PERKINS,	)	C/A No 4 08-3208-DCN-TER
	)	
PETITIONER,	)	
	)	
vs	)	
	)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
ROBERT P BOLLINGER, WARDEN	)	
	)	
RESPONDENT,	)	
	)	

Petitioner, Cedric Perkins ("petitioner/Perkins"), is an inmate in the custody of the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) Petitioner, appearing *pro se*, filed his petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U S C § 2254¹ on September 23, 2008 Respondent filed a motion for summary judgment on February 19, 2009, along with supporting memorandum The undersigned issued an order filed February 20, 2009, pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison 528 F 2d 309 (4th Cir 1975), advising petitioner of the motion for summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately On March 23, 2009, petitioner filed a motion for extension of time to respond to the motion for summary judgment This motion was granted on March 24, 2009 and petitioner was given until April 27, 2009, to file a response to the motion for summary judgment pursuant to the Roseboro Order or his case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41b of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure On April 24, 2009,

¹ This habeas corpus case was automatically referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to the provisions of 28 U S C § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73 02, DSC Because this is a dispositive motion, this report and recommendation is entered for review by the court

petitioner filed a second motion for an extension of time to respond to the respondent's motion for summary judgment. This motion was also granted by Order entered April 28, 2009, giving petitioner until May 27, 2009, to file a response, and again advising petitioner that if he did not respond to the respondent's motion for summary judgment, his case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41b of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Instead of a response, petitioner filed a motion for summary judgment on May 28, 2009.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The procedural history as set forth by the respondent in his memorandum has not been seriously disputed by the petitioner. Therefore, the undersigned will set out the procedural history as set forth by the respondent.

Petitioner is presently confined by South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC), as the result of his Greenville County conviction and sentence for second offense possession with intent to distribute crack cocaine. The Greenville County Grand Jury indicted Petitioner in 2002 for possession with intent to distribute (PWID) crack cocaine (02-GS-23-02685).

On September 13, 2002, petitioner pleaded guilty to possession with intent to distribute (PWID) crack cocaine, second offense. He received a sentence of ten years imprisonment, suspended upon his successful completion of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit's Drug Court Program.² He was

² The program typically involves defendants with lengthy criminal records that are either directly or indirectly related to some type of drug abuse problem by the particular defendant. The defendant voluntarily agrees with the Solicitor to enter the Program.

Once accepted by the Program, the defendant appears in open court, pleads guilty to the charge or charges and the trial court imposes a sentence on the defendant, but suspends the sentence, conditioned upon the successful completion of the Program. The defendant agrees to abide by the terms and conditions of participation in the Program and he may be sanctioned or

admitted to the Drug Court Program that same day The Drug Court Team recommended terminating petitioner from the program on October 12, 2003

The Honorable Charles B Simmons, Jr , held a hearing into the matter on April 26-28, 2004 Petitioner was present at the hearing and Kenneth C Gibson, Esquire, represented him Deputy Solicitor Betty C Strom, of the Thirteenth Circuit Solicitor's Office, represented the State On June 11, 2004, Judge Simmons terminated petitioner from the Drug Court Program finding that petitioner had numerous violations of the program's requirements, including that he tested positive for narcotics and that he had worn a tampered patch (See June 11, 2004 Order, pp 1-17, 22-23)

Petitioner timely served and filed a notice of appeal Mr Gibson represented him on appeal On August 23, 2007, petitioner filed Appellant's Final Brief, in which he presented two issues for review

- I The lower court erred when it considered any violations relating to the use of the pharmchem sweat patch as the use of this device was not authorized by the agreement that appellant and the 13th Circuit Solicitor executed upon Appellant's entry into the drug court program
- II The lower court erred to the extent that it did not properly limit its consideration of an[y] violations that allegedly occurred prior to September 25, 2003

Final Brief of Appellant at p 1 3 The State filed respondent's Final Brief on October 23 2007

Deputy Solicitor Strom represented the State on appeal

On May 12, 2008, the South Carolina Supreme Court filed a published Opinion that affirmed the lower court's decision terminating petitioner from participation in the Drug Court Program and

ultimately terminated for failure to comply with the terms of the Program If terminated, he immediately goes to SCDC The Thirteenth Judicial Circuit's Drug Court Program has been operating since November 1998 See Judge Simmons, Jr's order of June 11, 2004, pp 1-3

reinstating his original sentence State v Cedric Emanuel Perkins, Op No 26490 (S C S Ct , May 12, 2008) See State v Perkins, 378 S C 57, 661 S E 2d 366 (2008) Petitioner filed a pro se Motion to Alter or Amend a Judgment, which is dated May 23, 2008 The South Carolina Supreme Court summarily denied this motion in a May 30, 2008, letter Order The Court sent the Remittitur to the Greenville County Clerk of Court on May 30, 2008

From the evidence presented, petitioner has not filed a Post-Conviction Relief (PCR) Application

II HABEAS ALLEGATIONS

Petitioner raises the following allegations in his *pro se* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

GROUND ONE

Lower court erred when it considered any violations relating to the use of the Pharm-chem sweat patch as the use of this device was not authorized by the Agreement

SUPPORTING FACTS

Petitioner signed an Agreement that stated the specific devices that would be used for drug testing and the Greenville County Court allowed the Drug Court Program to use the Pharm-chem sweat patch to terminate petitioner from the program, as the sweat patch was not on the Agreement that Petitioner signed which was unconstitutional (see copies Waiver and the Agreement line 7, Transcript of Record-Drug Court Termination Hearing pg 428, Appellant's Final Brief, pg 6)

GROUND TWO

Lower court erred to the extent that it did not properly limit its consideration of any violations that allegedly occurred prior to September 25 2003

SUPPORTING FACTS

On September 25, 2003 Petitioner had a meeting with the Drug Court Director (Bobbie Godwin) and she stated as long as I did not have any more violations I could stay in the

program (see copies Transcript of Record-Drug Court Termination Hearing pg 429-433, Appellant's Final Brief, pg 8) Petitioner was terminated from Drug Court based on the sweat patch which was not on the Agreement

GROUND THREE

The Supreme Court decision to decline to review the findings of facts as well as law

SUPPORTING FACTS

The Supreme Court declined to review the abuse of discretion as to whether the lower court abused it's discretion in allowing the Pharm-chem sweat patch which is unconstitutional South Carolina Constitution allows the Supreme Court to review the findings of fact, as well as law (see copies Waiver and Agreement line 7, Transcript of Record-Drug Court Termination Hearing, pg 428, Appellant's Final Brief pg 6) This the Supreme Court did not do

GROUND FOUR

The Supreme Court denied Petitioner equal protection of the law

SUPPORTING FACTS

The Supreme Court's denial to review the err of the lower court's decision to allow the sweat patch was unconstitutional and denied Petitioner equal protection of the law as the sweat patch was not authorized

GROUND FIVE

The Supreme Court's denial of the appeal impaired the obligation of the Drug Court's Agreement with Petitioner

SUPPORTING FACTS

The Supreme Court's denial to review the err of the lower court's decision to allow the sweat patch was unconstitutional and impaired the obligation of the Drug Court's Agreement with the Pctitioner

GROUND SIX

The Supreme Court's denial to review the appeal denied petitioner due process of law

SUPPORTING FACTS

The Supreme Court's denial to review the err of the lower court's decision to allow the sweat patch was unconstitutional and denied Petitioner due process of law

(Petition)

III SUMMARY JUDGMENT

The federal court is charged with liberally construing the complaints filed by pro se litigants, to allow them to fully develop potentially meritorious cases. See Cruz v Beto, 405 U S 319 (1972), and Haines v Kerner, 404 U S 519 (1972). The court's function, however, is not to decide issues of fact, but to decide whether there is an issue of fact to be tried. The requirement of liberal construction does not mean that the court can ignore a clear failure in the pleadings to allege facts which set forth a federal claim, Weller v Department of Social Services 901 F 2d 387 (4th Cir 1990), nor can the court assume the existence of a genuine issue of material fact where none exists. If none can be shown, the motion should be granted. Fed R Civ P 56(c).

The movant has the burden of proving that a judgment on the pleadings is appropriate. Once the moving party makes this showing, however, the opposing party must respond to the motion with "specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial." The opposing party may not rest on the mere assertions contained in the pleadings. Fed R Civ P 56(e) and Celotex v Catrett, 477 U S 317 (1986).

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure encourage the entry of summary judgment where both parties have had ample opportunity to explore the merits of their cases and examination of the case makes it clear that one party has failed to establish the existence of an essential element in the case, on which that party will bear the burden of proof at trial. See Fed R Civ P 56(c). Where the movant can show a complete failure of proof concerning an essential element of the non-moving party's case, all other facts become immaterial because there can be "no genuine issue of material

fact " In the Celotex case, the defendants are "entitled to judgment as a matter of law" under Rule 56(c) because the petitioner has failed to make a sufficient showing on essential elements of his case with respect to which he has the burden of proof Celotex, 477 U S at 322-323

IV STANDARD OF REVIEW

Since Perkins filed his petition after the effective date of the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA"), review of his claims is governed by 28 U S C § 2254(d), as amended Lindh v Murphy, 117 S Ct 2059 (1997), Breard v Pruett, 134 F 3d 615 (4th Cir 1998), Green v French, 143 F 3d 865 (4th Cir 1998) That statute now reads

An application for a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court shall not be granted with respect to any claim that was adjudicated on the merits in State court proceedings unless the adjudication of the claim--(1) resulted in a decision that was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States, or (2) resulted in a decision that was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented in the State court proceeding

To a large extent, the amendment of § 2254 shifts the focus of habeas review to the state court application of Supreme Court law See O'Brien v DuBois, 145 F 3d 16 (1st Cir 1998) (the AEDPA amendments to section 2254 exalt the role that a state court's decision plays in a habeas proceeding by specifically directing the habeas court to make the state court decision the cynosure of federal review ") Further, the facts determined by the state court to which this standard is applied are presumed to be correct unless rebutted by the petitioner by clear and convincing evidence 28 U S C § 2254(e)(1)

The United States Supreme Court has addressed procedure under § 2254(d) See Williams

v Taylor, 529 U S 362, 120 S Ct 1495 (2000) In considering a state court's interpretation of federal law, this court must separately analyze the "contrary to" and "unreasonable application" phrases of § 2254(d)(1)

A state-court decision will certainly be contrary to [the Supreme Court's] clearly established precedent if the state court applies a rule that contradicts the governing law set forth in [Supreme Court] cases A state- court decision will also be contrary to this Court's clearly established precedent if the state court confronts a set of facts that are materially indistinguishable from a decision of [the Supreme] Court and nevertheless arrives at a result different from [the Court's] precedent

[A] state-court decision involves an unreasonable application of [the Supreme] Court's precedent if the state court identifies the correct governing legal rule from this Court's cases but unreasonably applies it to the facts of the particular state prisoner's case Second, a state-court decision also involves an unreasonable application of [the] Court's precedent if the state court either unreasonably extends a legal principle from [Supreme Court] precedent to a new context where it should not apply or unreasonably refuses to extend that principle to a new context where it should apply

Id at 1519-20 Ultimately, a federal habeas court must determine whether 'the state court's application of clearly established federal law was objectively unreasonable' Id at 1521

The AEDPA became effective on April 24, 1996 The AEDPA substantially modified procedures for consideration of habeas corpus petitions of state inmates in the federal courts One of those changes was the amendment of 28 U S C § 2244 to establish a one-year statute of limitations for filing habeas petitions Subsection (d) of the statute now reads

(d)(1) A 1-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court

(2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection

V MERITS

GROUND ONE

As set out above, in Ground One, petitioner asserts that the lower court erred when it considered any violations relating to the use of the Pharm-chem sweat patch as the use of this device was not authorized by the Agreement

Respondent asserts that the allegation fails to state a claim upon which federal habeas corpus relief may be granted because petitioner is complaining about a violation of state law and federal habeas corpus relief is not available to correct errors of state law Respondent submits that petitioner argued before Judge Simmons and the state supreme court that the lower court erred when it considered any violations relating to the use of the Pharm-chem sweat patch because this violated basic contract principles since the Agreement entered by him did not specifically authorize sweat patch testing

The termination from the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Drug Program ("Program") was a decision made by Judge Simmons pursuant to the terms of that Program which was an agreement entered into between the parties to that agreement The determination of petitioner's non-compliance with the Program and resulting termination from the Program is a matter of state law not appropriate for federal habeas review, except possibly to review whether he received procedural due process which is not raised in this habeas claim The decision to reinstate his original sentence based on his termination from the Program is subject to habeas review to determine if the state court decision involved a decision that "was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States, or resulted in a

decision that was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented in the state court proceeding ” § 2254(d)(1), (2)

Plaintiff fails to show that the state court decision was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal law. Petitioner challenges the underlying reasons for the termination of his participation in the Drug Program. The South Carolina Supreme Court held that the contract matter was not appropriate for judicial review except for compliance with procedural due process. Petitioner does not claim he was not afforded procedural due process but that he disagrees with the termination itself. That is an issue of state law not appropriate for habeas review. A state court's decision on a question of state law is binding in federal court. See Estelle v. McGuire, 502 U.S. 62, 67-68 (1991), Thomas v. Davis, 192 F.3d 445, 449 n. 1 (4th Cir. 1999). The decision to terminate petitioner from the Drug Program, of course, is separate from the decision to reinstate his original sentence. Petitioner does not appear to specifically challenge that decision. Nonetheless, petitioner fails to show that the decision was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal law. Thus, it is recommended that this issue be dismissed and respondent's motion for summary judgment granted with respect to Ground One.

GROUND TWO

In Ground Two, petitioner asserts that the lower court erred to the extent that it did not properly limit its consideration of any violations that allegedly occurred prior to September 25, 2003. Petitioner asserts in his supporting facts that he was terminated from Drug Court based on the sweat patch which was not on the Agreement.

Respondent submits that this issue is also barred from federal habeas corpus relief as it is

based on state law Respondent further asserts that with respect a claim of a double jeopardy violation arising from consideration of violations of the Drug Court Program rules and regulations that occurred prior to September 25, 2003, because he had already been sanctioned for those violations, the claims are procedurally defaulted Respondent notes that the state supreme court only addressed the very narrow federal constitutional issue that the trial court's imposition of his original sentence after being terminated for the Drug Court Program deprived Appellant of a constitutional liberty interest, and thereby entitled him to due process rights However, respondent asserts that petitioner did not raise an issue asserting double jeopardy and it is procedurally defaulted

Petitioner's Ground Two as it relates to the evidence considered by the trial court should be dismissed This is an issue of evidence and the court will not grant relief based on the state's own standards for sufficiency of the evidence See Wilson v Greene, supra

As to claims related to a double jeopardy issue, the claims are procedurally defaulted Petitioner did not raise an issue of double jeopardy in the state court To avoid a procedural default, an issue must be presented directly and squarely to the South Carolina Supreme Court See Joseph v Angelone, 184 F 3d 320, 328 (4th Cir 1999), *cert denied*, 528 U S 959 (1999)[In order to avoid procedural default [of a claim], the 'substance' of [the] claim must have been 'fairly presented in state court That requires 'the ground relied upon [to] be presented face-up and squarely Oblique references which hint that a theory may be lurking in the woodwork will not turn the trick ''](quoting Townes v Murray, 68 F 3d 840, 846 (4th Cir 1995)(quoting Mallory v Smith, 27 F 3d 991, 995 (4th Cir 1994))

In this case, the South Carolina Supreme Court addressed the federal constitutional issue that the trial court's imposition of his original sentence after being terminated from the Drug Court

Program deprived him of a constitutional liberty interest, and, thereby, entitled him to due process rights. An issue of double jeopardy was not raised.³ Therefore, any issue related to a double jeopardy claim that petitioner is attempting to raise in this petition would be procedurally barred from review.

Since this issue was not raised as a double jeopardy violation at trial or on appeal, federal habeas review of this claim is now precluded absent a showing of cause and prejudice, or actual innocence. Wainwright v. Sykes, 433 U.S. 72 (1977), Waye v. Murray, 884 F.2d 765, 766 (4th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 936 (1989). In all cases in which a State prisoner has defaulted his Federal claims in State court pursuant to an independent and adequate State procedural rule, Federal Habeas review of the claim is barred unless the prisoner can demonstrate cause for the default and actual prejudice as a result of the alleged violation of Federal law, or demonstrate that failure to consider the claims will result in a fundamental miscarriage of justice. Petitioner has failed to meet this burden. Thus, to the extent that this claim is based upon a double jeopardy violation, it is procedurally barred from consideration by this Court and should be dismissed. Id., see 28 U.S.C. 2254, Rodriguez v. Young, 906 F.2d 1153, 1159 (7th Cir. 1990), *cert. denied* 498 U.S. 1035 (1991) ["Neither cause without prejudice nor prejudice without cause gets a defaulted claim into Federal Court"], Mazzell v. Evatt, 88 F.3d 263, 269 (4th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 519 U.S. 1016 (1996) [In order to show prejudice a petitioner must show that there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, the result of the proceeding would have been different], Wainwright v. Sykes, *supra*, Murray v. Carrier, 477 U.S. 478 (1986), Rodriguez, 906 F.2d at 1159 [a fundamental miscarriage of justice].

³ A party cannot argue one theory at trial and present an other theory on appeal. See State v. Bailey, 377 S.E.2d 581, 584 (S.C. 1989), see also State v. Sullivan, 282 S.E.2d 838 (S.C. 1981) [to be preserved for appellate review, an issue must be presented to and passed upon by the trial judge].

occurs only in extraordinary cases, "where a constitutional violation has probably resulted in the conviction of one who is actually innocent"] (citing *Murray v. Carrier* 477 U.S. at 496), *Sawyer v. Whitley*, 505 U.S. 333, 348 (1992), *Bolender v. Singletary*, 898 F.Supp. 876, 881 (S.D. Fla. 1995)

GROUND THREE

In Ground Three, petitioner asserts an alleged violation of the South Carolina Constitution. Specifically, petitioner argues that the South Carolina Supreme Court failed to review the findings of fact of the lower court as the South Carolina Constitution allows. Respondent argues that this ground fails to state a cognizable claim in federal habeas.

The undersigned recommends that this issue be dismissed because claims arising from state law are not cognizable. See *Estelle v. McGuire*, 502 U.S. 62, 67-68 (1991). Jurisdiction is a non-cognizable state law issue. See *Wright v. Angelone*, 151 F.3d 151, 156-158 (4th Cir. 1998). Therefore, the undersigned recommends that this issue be dismissed.

GROUNDS FOUR, FIVE AND SIX

In Ground Four, petitioner states that the South Carolina Supreme Court denied him equal protection of the law when it denied review of the lower court's decision to allow the sweat patch as it was not authorized in the Drug Program agreement he entered into. In Grounds Five and Six, petitioner asserts that the South Carolina Supreme Court violated his constitutional rights and his due process rights when it declined to review his appeal of the lower court's decision to allow the sweat patch.

Respondent asserts that these allegations are procedurally barred. Further, respondent argues

to the extent petitioner is claiming a due process violation, it lacks merit in that all of the Gagnon's⁴ requirements were satisfied in this case and the state supreme court simply refused to allow the appellate courts in this state to become mired in matters which are wholly internal and specific to each Program and to each participant " (Memorandum, p 18) Respondent further asserts that the failure to provide this type of appellate review does not offend the United States Constitution because a state is not required by the Federal Constitution to provide appellate courts or a right to appellate review *Citing Griffin v Illinois*, 351 U S 12, 18 (1956)

A review of the South Carolina Supreme Court decision in State v Perkins, 378 S C 57, 661 S E 2d 366, reveals the Court held the following

The Thirteenth Circuit Drug Court Program is a voluntary therapeutic program which may be offered to a defendant that is charged with a drug abuse offense within the thirteenth circuit jurisdiction. The defendant pleads guilty to the charge and agrees with the solicitor to enter the Program. As a result, the trial court imposes a sentence on the defendant, but suspends the sentence, conditioned upon the successful completion [of] the Program. The participant agrees to abide by certain terms and conditions of participation and may be sanctioned or ultimately terminated for failure to comply with the terms of the Program.

In the instant case, Appellant plead guilty to possession with intent to distribute crack cocaine. The trial court sentenced him to ten years imprisonment, suspended upon his successful completion of the Drug Court Program. Appellant entered the Program on September 13, 2002. On September 25, 2003, after numerous violations, appellant met with the Program's chief administrator where she told Appellant that he could

⁴ Gagnon v Scarpelli, 411 U S 778, 785-86 (1993) (A probationer is entitled to due process when a state attempts to remove his probationary status and have him incarcerated) Gagnon indicated that a probationer's due process rights include (1) written notice of the claimed violations of probation, (2) disclosure to the probationer of evidence against him or her, (3) opportunity to be heard in person and to present witnesses and documentary evidence, (4) the right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses unless the hearing officer specifically finds good cause for not allowing confrontation, (5) a neutral and detached hearing body such as a traditional parole board, members of which need not be judicial officers or lawyers, and (6) a written statement by the fact finders as to the evidence relied on and reasons for revoking probation.

remain in the Program so long as he had no more violations. However, Appellant subsequently tested positive for drugs and on October 12, 2003, program administrators recommended his termination. Following a hearing, the trial court issued an order terminating Appellant from the Program and imposing his original sentence.

Appellant appealed the trial court's order. This Court certified the appeal pursuant to Rule 204(b), SCACR, and Appellant presents the following issues for review:

Did the lower court wrongfully terminate Appellant from the Drug Court Program?⁵

Appellant argues that he was wrongfully terminated from the Drug Court Program. Because undertaking such a review would require that this Court evaluate and assess the manner in which the Program's administrators execute the rules and regulations of the Program—an inquiry over which this Court has no authority—we decline to answer this question.

We decline to review whether Appellant's positive sweat patch results or violations occurring after the meeting with the chief administrator were properly considered in the decision to terminate Appellant from the Drug Court Program. On our view, it would be improper for the judiciary to interject itself into such matters which are wholly internal and specific to each Program and to each participant. To do so would transform the Drug Court Programs into a judicially-supervised institution.

In the instant case, it is undisputed that a condition of Appellant's suspended sentence was the successful completion of the Drug Court Program and that Appellant was terminated from the Program. Thus, the trial court correctly determined that Appellant violated a condition of his suspended sentence, and therefore, properly imposed Appellant's original sentence. Accordingly, we must uphold the imposition of Appellant's sentence.

Id.

⁵ The court noted that Appellant specifically "argues that the lower court wrongfully terminated him from the Program because it erred in considering positive drug test results from "sweat patches" when the Drug Court Program's contract did not provide for such testing methods and erred in considering violations that occurred prior to the September 25, 2003, meeting with the Program's chief administrator."

It is recommended that Grounds Four, Five and Six be denied as claims arising from state law are not cognizable in federal habeas corpus Estelle v McGuire, 502 U S 62, 67-68, 112 S Ct 475, 116 L Ed 2d 385 (1991)(holding "it is not the province of a federal habeas corpus to reexamine state court determinations on state-law questions") A state court's determination that one of its courts had jurisdiction over a purely state law criminal charge is not a matter which is cognizable in federal habeas corpus Wright v Angelone, 151 F 3d 151 (4th Cir 1998), Wells v Egeler, 532 F 2d 1058, 1059 (6th Cir 1976) (the "[d]etermination of whether a state court is vested with jurisdiction under state law is a function of the state courts, not the federal judiciary")⁶

VI CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that respondent's motion for summary judgment (document #19) be GRANTED and petitioner's petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus should be denied, and this Petition dismissed

For the reasons stated above, it is also recommended that petitioner's motion for summary judgment (document #42) be denied and all other outstanding motions be deemed moot

Respectfully submitted,

s/Thomas E Rogers, III

Thomas E Rogers, III

United States Magistrate Judge

July 31, 2009

Florence, South Carolina

The parties' attention is directed to the important notice on the next page

⁶ Additionally, as petitioner was subject to the imposition of a suspended sentence, he was entitled to notice and a hearing to determine whether he violated the conditions of his suspended sentence before the sentence was imposed. A hearing was held before judge Simmons who had the authority to terminate petitioner from the program and had subject matter jurisdiction to impose the suspended sentence. See State v Perkins, supra

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

Cedric Emmanuel Perkins,	)	C/A No 4 08-3208 DCN
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
vs	)	<u>ORDER</u>
	)	
Robert P Bollinger, Warden,	)	
	)	
Respondent	)	

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that respondent's motion for summary judgment be granted, petitioner's motion for summary judgment and petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be denied, and all other outstanding motions be deemed moot

This court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report 28 U S C § 636(b)(1) However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge Thomas v Arn, 474 U S 140 (1985) Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U S C § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level United States v Schronce, 727 F 2d 91 (4th Cir 1984), cert denied, 467 U S

1208 (1984)¹ Objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation were timely filed on August 17, 2009

A de novo review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is **affirmed**, respondent's motion for summary judgment is **granted**, petitioner's motion for summary judgment and petition for writ of habeas corpus are **denied**, and all other outstanding motions are deemed **moot**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED



David C. Norton
Chief United States District Judge

Charleston, South Carolina
August 18, 2009

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

¹In Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a pro se litigant must receive fair notification of the consequences of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required.'" Id. at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the consequences at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report.