

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF ANDERSON

Carl T. Rudicill,

Plaintiff,

v.

Home Shield Roofing, and Jeff
Richardson,

Defendants.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C.A. NO.: 2024-CP-04- 02646

**ORDER
RULE TO SHOW CAUSE**

RECEIVED

Jan 20 2026

SC Court of Appeals

This matter came before the Court on October 8, 2025, pursuant to a Rule to Show Cause hearing requested by Plaintiff to determine Defendants' assets and value from which to satisfy the judgment previously entered in favor of Plaintiff.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs obtained a judgment against the Defendants in the amount of \$36,000.00 as appears by the judgment roll filed with the Court;

WHEREAS counsel for Plaintiff, Adrienne Tauscheck, and Defendants personally appeared before the Court for said hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, upon consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, the Court makes the following findings:

The Court finds that Defendants presently hold no assets subject to execution or otherwise available to satisfy judgment.

The Court further finds that any funds located in Defendants' financial accounts represent wages, which this Court deems exempt from collection as this would constitute garnishment of

wages. As such, the Court finds that such funds are not subject to attachment or applicable in a payment plan in satisfaction of the judgment.

The Court declined to accept Plaintiff's counsel's attorney fee affidavit. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have already obtained a substantial judgment and that pursuing attorney's fees in this matter is not appropriate since Plaintiff's attorney does not represent Defendant, that Defendant should not be held responsible for Plaintiff's attorney's legal fees. The Court finds that Plaintiff would be fortunate to collect the \$36,000.00 in judgment as previously ordered.

The Court notes that any settlement discussions or payment arrangements remain within the Defendants' discretion. However, as the Defendant currently possesses no physical assets that the Court can order to be liquidated or applied toward the judgment, the Court is without authority to compel payment at this time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that no further collection efforts shall be compelled by this Court against the Defendant at this time, and this matter shall remain dormant unless and until further assets become available or are disclosed.

Entered this ___ day of ___ 2025.

Honorable Steven C. Kirven Master In Equity



Anderson Common Pleas

Case Caption: Carl T Rudicill VS Home Shield Roofing , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP0402646

Type: Order/Rule To Show Cause

And it is so ordered

s/ Steven C. Kirven, Master in Equity, #3081