

RECEIVED

Jan 27 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Attachment

Appeal is Taken From:

Orders of
November 6, 2025
and
December 30, 2025
And, if valid,
Orders of December 5, 2025

The orders are attached in chronological order

John Doe 305 et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Lawrence E Richter, Jr et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Defendants Lawrence E. Richter, David K. Haller, and Richter & Haller, LLC's Motion to Dismiss came before this Court on October 7, 2025. Gregg Meyers was present on behalf of the Plaintiff. Benjamin Bruner was present on behalf of Defendants Lawrence E. Richter and Richter & Haller, LLC. John Cuttino was present on behalf of Defendant David K. Haller. After careful consideration of the materials filed and the arguments of the parties, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED for lack of service pursuant to Rule 3 and Rule 4, SCRPC. Defense counsel is to prepare a formal Order within 10 days.

It is so Ordered.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 11/05/2025 .

RECEIVED
Jan 27 2026
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Charleston Common Pleas

Case Caption: John Doe 305 , plaintiff, et al VS Lawrence E Richter Jr , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2022CP1004031
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

William C. McMaster, III