

Jane Doe 304 et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Lawrence E Richter, Jr et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Defendants Lawrence E. Richter, David K. Haller, and Richter & Haller, LLC's Motion to Dismiss came before this Court on October 7, 2025. Gregg Meyers was present on behalf of the Plaintiff. Benjamin Bruner was present on behalf of Defendants Lawrence E. Richter and Richter & Haller, LLC. John Cuttino was present on behalf of Defendant David K. Haller. After careful consideration of the materials filed and the arguments of the parties, Defendants' Motions to Dismiss are GRANTED. This Court agrees with the reasoning contained in Judge J. C. Nicholson's Order filed August 11, 2017 in connection to Case No. 2010-CP-10-04175, which discusses and disposes of the same causes of action asserted in this case. Defense counsel is to prepare a formal Order within 10 days.

It is so Ordered.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 11/05/2025 .

Bishop of Charleston

**RECEIVED**  
**Jan 27 2026**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---



Charleston Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Jane Doe 304 , plaintiff, et al VS Lawrence E Richter Jr , defendant, et al  
**Case Number:** 2019CP1005892  
**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

William C. McMaster, III