

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

In the Matter of Jason Michael Boyle, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2024-001241

ORDER

On December 19, 2025, Appellant filed a “motion for clarification regarding status of counsel and procedural posture” asking this court to clarify “[w]hether this appeal is presently being treated as a criminal matter, a civil matter, or some hybrid of the two, and a timeline of when the court posture changed”; “[w]hether Jim Logan remains counsel of record in this appeal, and if so, in what capacity”; and “[w]hether the continued inclusion of both the Attorney General and private counsel reflects an intentional allocation of roles or requires further clarification by order.” No return was filed. After careful consideration, we deny Appellant’s motion because this court’s May 30, 2025 order requires no clarification. The State was substituted as the respondent because the order on appeal involves criminal contempt. Attorney Logan is therefore not counsel for a party to the appeal.

Also, on December 19, 2025, Appellant filed a “motion to request correction,” asking this court to direct Respondent “to correct or supplement their proof of service to reflect service on all counsel of record.” No return was filed. After careful consideration, we deny Appellant’s motion.



FOR THE COURT

J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Jason Michael Boyle

James W. Logan, Jr., Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

FILED
Jan 28 2026
