

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF OCONEE)
)
 Roger B. Thomas and Diane M. Thomas,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 vs.)
)
 Camala Hinkle f/k/a Camala H. Houck,)
 Individually and as Trustee of the R. Larry)
 Hinkle Revocable Trust dated July 10,)
 2014 and as Trustee of the Mary Hinkle)
 Revocable Trust dated July 10, 2014,)
 Benjamin E. Ferguson, Cheryl Ann Ward,)
 Morgan Greer, Brady G. Ward, Daniel C.)
 Polstra, and Karen L. Polstra,)
)
 Defendants.)
)
 _____)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
 C.A. No. 2019-CP-37-00143

ORDER

RECEIVED
Feb 03 2026
SC Court of Appeals

The above-captioned matter was before the Court on December 17, 2025 for a hearing on Plaintiffs’ Motion to Alter or Amend the Final Order and Judgment, pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCP. The Final Order and Judgment was filed on November 5, 2025, and Plaintiff’s Motion was timely filed on November 17, 2025.

“[O]ur rules contemplate two basic situations in which a party should consider filing a Rule 59(e) motion. A party may wish to file such a motion when she believes the court has misunderstood, failed to fully consider, or perhaps failed to rule on an argument or issue, and the party wishes for the court to reconsider or rule on it. A party must file such a motion when an issue or argument has been raised, but not ruled on, in order to preserve it for appellate review.” Elam v. S.C. DOT, 361 S.C. 9, 24, 602 S.E.2d 772, 780 (2004).

After careful consideration of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Alter or Amend, Plaintiffs’ Motion is respectfully denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

This ____ day of _____, 2025

The Hon. Steven C. Kirven
Master-In-Equity for Oconee County



Oconee Common Pleas

Case Caption: Roger B. Thomas , plaintiff, et al VS Camala Hinkle , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2019CP3700143

Type: Master/Order/Other

And it is so ordered

s/ Steven C. Kirven, Master in Equity, #3081