

RECEIVED

Feb 09 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

From: [Djuan Holland](#)
To: [Supreme Court Filings](#)
Subject: AMENDED WRIT OF CERTIORARI FEBRUARY 9, 2026
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 7:59:20 AM
Attachments: [ORDER DISMISSING MY REINSTATE APPEAL CHAPTER 7.pdf](#)
[ANSWER TO MORGAN R DUNN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING DISMISSAL.pdf](#)
[LETTER TO US DISTRICT COURT FLORENCE SC ON FILING FEE APPEAL.pdf](#)
[Gmail - \(2\)DIFFERENT PROPOSAL.pdf](#)
[2ND ANSWER TO MORGAN R DUNN OPPOSING MY REINSTATE.pdf](#)
[MY APPEAL RESPONSE NOV 3 2025.pdf](#)
[ORDER DISMISSING MY REINSTATE APPEAL CHAPTER 7 1.pdf](#)

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

AMENDED WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DJUAN HOLLAND VS MOORLAND RESERVE BTR

APPELLATE CASE# 2026-000056

I Djuan Holland is asking the Supreme Court to look over my Appeal Case from the Court of Appeals. My case has been in the Court of Appeals for a year. My case was dismissed at one time by the court but I filed to have it reinstated and it was at that time. It has been a lot of back and forth and a lot of paper has been filed pertaining to this case because of my Chapter 7 that I had filed. The biggest issue for filing with the Court of Appeals was because it has always been a discrepancy in my rent ledger since Nov 2024 when the eviction was filed, I tried to get Judge Arakas in the Magistrate Court to address the issue and he wouldn't, I tried to get I believe it was Judge Hyman in Court of Common Plea to address the ledger issue he wouldn't, when I had my Bankruptcy hearing with Judge Gasparini and she wouldn't address the issue either, I had also emailed copies of the ledger and circled the issue at hand and still no response. Then the issue came up about me not paying the 50.00 filing. It seems like after I paid the 50.00 then my Appeal Case gets dismissed after a year being on appeal, but while everything was on hold and no filing fee was paid my case was fine and being held in abeyance. I'm just not understanding what is the issue for not wanting to address the rent ledger at hand. Morgan R Dunn then filed a Adversary Proceeding against because she alleged that none of my filing fees were paid, and that because I hadn't paid any rent it put her client in hardship this has been her whole argument throughout my whole bankruptcy and she even objected to me getting a discharge , then she turns around and sends me a email that her client is voluntary dismissing their Adversary Proceeding against me and will not object to me getting my Chapter 7 discharged. I made an answer to it , stating that I didn't trust Morgan Dunn because of all the lies that she has told and I always had the documentation to prove Morgan R Dunn wasn't telling the truth and because of how strongly she felt about me not getting my discharge then she ask for her case to be dismissed without prejudice which means that she can file it again, I was looking for Judge Gasparini to schedule a hearing but she didn't she granted Morgan R Dunn and her client the Dismissal of their case against me but in her court order Judge Gasparini said I was attacking Morgan R Dunn no I wasn't attacking Morgan R Dunn I was stating facts so I feel that's why she didn't set a hearing also I feel that they have a friendship outside the courtroom which wade in on the outcome.

I'm asking for this court to reinstate my case in the Court of Appeal to have the rent ledger

addressed like it should've been over a year ago.

Respectfully
Djuan Y Holland

cc Morgan R Dunn
Court of Appeals