

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

Appeal Case No: 2025-002095

COURT OF APPEALS)

Alexis Carberry et al.)

Request for Clarification

Plaintiff)

vs.)

RECEIVED RECEIVED

Feb 09 2026

Jan 13 2026

Amy Maziarz)

SC Court of Appeals SC Court of Appeals

Defendant)

On Tuesday January 6th I received an "ORDER" with a signature that cannot be verified to be any living person or entity as it does not include a typed version of the person's name, only the word "COURT", underneath

If the person who signed the document that I was given on Tuesday is not a judge, they would be participating in the following:

1. Unauthorized practice of law
2. Forgery, and/or impersonation of a Judge.
3. Collusion and Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice

Judges, legally speaking, are the only persons within the judicial system who have the authority to sign an order. This includes decisions in regard to IFP status.

Kindly Provide clarification OR and Amended ORDER that follows the proper Civil Law of Procedure, which include but are not limited to the typed name of the judge who is signing along with the date and wet signature. The "order" as it stands now is null and void.

I pray that someone in COLUMBIA would consider what Jesus would do? Are you doing the next right thing? Are you acting in good faith? Are you abiding by your oath to the constitution? How do we change the world? One act at a time. What kind of world/karma are you leaving for your children?

Signed this day January 13th, 2026

X

Alexis Carberry

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Case NO:2025-002095

COURT OF APPEALS

Original Case no: 2025-CP-46-02210

York County

Alexis Carberry

Plaintiff

vs.

Certificate of Service


Amy Maziarz

Defendant

I hereby certify that a copy of, Request for clarification, was sent to Counsel, Meredith Seibert Esq. mseibert@dfi-lawfirm.com, on January 13th, 2026.

Signed this day January 13th, 2026

X _____
 Alexis Carberry



RECEIVED

Jan 13 2026

SC Court of Appeals