

**FORM 13
BRIEF OF APPELLANT***

RECEIVED

Feb 17 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals
[In The Supreme Court]

APPEAL FROM CALHOUN COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Heath Taylor, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. **2025-002564**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Shaneeeka Stroman,

Appellant.

[INITIAL] BRIEF OF APPELLANT

s/Shaneeeka Stroman
Shaneeeka Stroman
335 Horse Neck Rd
Swansea SC 29160
(803) 596-5784
Pro-se for Appellant
Shaneekastroman8@gmail.com

* Under Rule 267(e), SCACR, the cover of the final briefs should be the following colors: brief of appellant - blue; brief of respondent - red; reply brief - gray; and amicus curiae or intervenor - green.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities ii

Statement of Issues on Appeal
1

Statement of the Case 1

Standard of Review
1

Facts 1

Arguments

1. BECAUSE APPELLANT’S ARGUMENT WASN’T ACKNOWLEDGED DURING
TRIAL, THE COURT ERRED WHEN IT FAILED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE
APPELLANT’S ARGUMENT CONTRIBUTING TO A BIASED DECISION
.....2

2. BECAUSE THE JURY RAISED QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THE
APPELLATES CONVICTION, THE COURT ERRED WHEN IT
DISREGARDED THE JURORS QUESTIONING
.....2

Conclusion2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES*

CASES

Mapp v. Ohio (1961).....2
Hassell v. City of Columbia.....2
Gibson v. Brown (1965).....2

STATUTES

S.C. Code Ann. § 16-5-102
S.C. Code Ann. § 16-5-302
S.C. Code Ann. § 16-5-602

OTHER AUTHORITIES

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF CONTRACTS Section 100 (1981)2
RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF PROPERTY Section 200 (1981)2
RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS Section 300 (1981)2

*The authorities cited are fictitious and intended to show the form of citation only.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR IN FAILING TO ACKNOWLEDGE APPELLANT 'S ARGUMENT?
2. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR BY DISREGARDING QUESTIONS FROM THE JURY?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On September 22,2025 Stroman was tried and arrested for trespassing and harassment by The State of South Carolina. Stroman was given 60 days. The jury had doubts in reference of trespassing and insisted on asking the judge several questions. The judge said that the jury should've been listening. Because of the denial from the judge to the jury, I was found guilty on trespassing. I feel that if the jury's questions were responded too fairly,and the judge acknowledged my argument, I would have not done one day!

Carol Fischer died before the trial of this case.. Emily Bunker claimed to be her personal representative, and was substituted as plaintiff.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

[Set forth appropriate standard of review with citation(s) to authority if all issues are governed by the same standard of review; otherwise include a separate section with a heading of "Standard of Review" at the start of the argument on each issue.]

FACTS

[Counsel may wish to set out the facts relevant to the arguments at this point in the brief. This, however, is optional, and the relevant facts may be included in the discussion of each argument. In either case, the brief must contain references to where the salient facts can be found in the Record on Appeal. In Initial Briefs, these references shall be made in the manner specified by Rule 208(b)(4), SCACR. In the Final Briefs, these references shall be to the page and line number of the Record on Appeal (i.e., R.p. 37, lines 7-8). Rules 211(b)(1), SCACR.]

1

ARGUMENTS

I. BECAUSE RESPONDENT INTERVENED INTO STROMANS STATUTORY RIGHTS THEY SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE

II.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

III. BECAUSE FRAUD MUST BE PROVED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE, THE TRIAL COURT ERRED WHEN IT CHARGED THE JURY THAT THE RESPONDENT MUST PROVE FRAUD BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should reverse the judgment of the circuit court.

Respectfully submitted,

FEBRUARY 16,2026

/s/ Shaneeka Stroman
Shaneeka Stroman
(803)596-5784

335 Horse Neck Rd
Swansea SC, 29160
Shaneekastroman8@gmail.com
Pro-se for Appellant

