



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

February 18, 2026

Mr. Matthew C. Buchanan, Esquire
PO Box 207
Columbia SC 29202

Mrs. Wanda H. Carter, Esquire
Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia SC 29211

Re: The State v. Jarius M. Sanders
Appellate Case No. 2023-000897

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy
CLERK

cc: The Honorable Brian M. Gibbons

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Jarius Marquil Sanders, Appellant

Appellate Case No. 2023-000897

Appeal From Lancaster County
Brian M. Gibbons, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2026-UP-068
Submitted January 2, 2026 – Filed February 18, 2026

AFFIRMED

Chief Appellate Defender Wanda H. Carter, of
Columbia, for Appellant.

General Counsel Matthew C. Buchanan, of the South
Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon
Services, of Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Jarius Marquil Sanders appeals the revocation of his community supervision program (CSP) for a period of one year. On appeal, Sanders argues the circuit court erred in revoking his CSP for a period of one year because it

improperly extended his time in prison beyond his sentence. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR.

We hold the circuit court did not err in sentencing Sanders to one year in prison for violating the terms of his CSP. On February 23, 2016, Sanders pled guilty to assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature, and the circuit court sentenced him to ten years' imprisonment suspended upon the service of three years' probation. On September 19, 2016, the circuit court revoked Sanders's probation and ordered him to serve eight years' imprisonment. On November 29, 2022, after serving 85% of his eight-year sentence, he was released from the South Carolina Department of Corrections into a CSP. On May 24, 2023, the circuit court held a hearing in which Sanders admitted to violating the terms of his CSP, and the circuit court revoked the CSP for a period of one year. The sentence did not extend Sanders's time in prison beyond his original sentence of ten years' imprisonment. Thus, the circuit court did not err. *See State v. Baccus*, 367 S.C. 41, 48, 625 S.E.2d 216, 220 (2006) ("In criminal cases, the appellate court sits to review errors of law only."); S.C. Code Ann. § 24-21-560(D) (2025) ("The prisoner must not be incarcerated for a period longer than the original sentence. The original term of incarceration does not include any portion of a suspended sentence."); *State v. Picklesimer*, 388 S.C. 264, 268, 695 S.E.2d 845, 848 (2010) ("[T]he 'original sentence,' as referenced in section 24-21-560(D), . . . is, in fact, the total sentence handed down by the court."); S.C. Code Ann. § 24-21-560(C) (2025) (stating a court may revoke a defendant's CSP and impose a sentence of up to one year's incarceration); *State v. Blakney*, 410 S.C. 244, 251, 763 S.E.2d 622, 626 (Ct. App. 2014) (holding "*Picklesimer's* interpretation of section 24-21-560(D) [applied] to all CSP revocations").

AFFIRMED.¹

MCDONALD, HEWITT, and TURNER, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.