

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Appellate Case No. 2024-000576 (cons)

Appellate Case No. 2023-000392 (cons)

The State, Respondent

v.

Richard Alexander Murdaugh, Appellant

RECEIVED

Feb 18 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

NOTICES

COMES NOW Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S, B.A. and Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.,
Movants (hereinafter “Kennedy” and “Fink” or “Movants”) filing jointly and pro se, and
submit these notices to the South Carolina Supreme Court judges and to Patricia A.
Howard, Clerk of the South Carolina Supreme Court and states the following:

**1. The Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge needs to be listed #1 on the Court
record of filings and was not filed until 2/13/26 when it was received and confirmed
received on 2/11/26 with plenty of time to file, but refused to be filed.**

**2. Fraud on the Court by the Court is the #2 Motion to list AFTER the Subject
Matter Jurisdiction challenge is listed on the record.**

3 and 4, the ADA Accommodation Request and the Amicus Curie request that was
only made in the ALTERNATIVE and KENNEDY AND FINK WITHDRAW THESE MOTIONS AS
MOOT SINCE THE COURT IGNORED THE mandatory cease/desist due to lack of SMJ

JURISDICTION AND HEARD THE CASE ANYWAY, WHICH HEARING AND OPINION IS VOID and self-evident of why Movants are Pleading in the Murdaugh case to begin with.

Please withdraw 3 and 4 only. And put #1 and #2 listed on the Record in that order.

Please be aware that this is not proofed due to Disabilities perpetrated against us by your judges and that it continues to be weaponized.

To Clerk of Courts and Court: The purpose of this notice/letter is to avoid further fraud on the court by the court. Please list motions as written, and do not select the least harmful to the court as the motion listed on the record, even though the one listed was only in the alternative. The listing should be for Subject Matter Jurisdiction Challenge that was ignored which is Unconstitutional, and Fraud on the Court by the Court. Those should be listed in that order.

On 2/11/26, in the early hours of the morning, Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink (Movants) filed a Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge/Fraud on the Court Motions in the Murdaugh case that had to be filed upon receipt.

It was confirmed by phone call, that the Motions were received and being "reviewed" well before the Murdaugh case was to begin. Movants plainly and repeatedly said that the Motions must be filed first. . .then read, as the Court cannot decide if they want to hear it or stonewall it.

Of course the Court chose the latter, to ignored the grand-daddy of all Motions. . . and the Motion did not get filed on 2/11 or 2/12/26, allowing the court to fraudulent go forward knowing there was a Subject Matter Justicidtion challenge and Fraud on the Court by the Court Motion, with the SMJ absolutely required to stop the proceedings and if

nothing else, have a hearing with Judges not a part of the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise hear it, if there are any.

This act was not only Unconstitutional, but it was an attempt to hide these matters from the Public, which is more fraud on the court by the court, without Subject Matter Jurisdiction as described over the adjudication, as the entire legal system is on trial in this matter, with much proof beyond that short summation given, as the Court knows.

Further, since the Court and its inferior Murdaugh Courts and appointments, were the issue of the SMJ Challenge with the Supreme Court creating a feigned legal process for TV viewers while obstructing a real investigation and receivership as well as creating fake appealable issues by Becky Hill, a kin of Murdaugh, Justice Toal who made sure to not rule by law on the matter, and Judge Newman giving a speech that was clearly appealable at the end of the Murdaugh trail. . .when all of these State Actors knew better than to obstruct in such a ridiculous way that any law school student could see was ludicrous and feigned.

Where the Supreme Court allows for family members to take part in the process like the Laffitte, who also infiltrated in Movants case, and where Aristocrats Kin and friends of Kin, were helping fellow Aristocrats Murdaugh get out of his sentences and convictions through this feigning of legal process, which is not a part of any adversarial process, the the Court has divested itself of SMJ in the adjudication and created many frauds on the Court by the Court that must be heard independently and investigations to begin again by independent specialists with none being picked by the Courts, or a part of the LMCE.

Since the filing did not occur when it was Constitutionally required to be filed, before the Murdaugh case began, and was even reviewed by the Judges who didn't want it

filed. . .which is totally Unconstitutional, Movants are withdrawing the Amicus Curiae and ADA Reasonable Accommodations that went with the Amicus Curiae Motions.

Further, part of the reason for withdrawing these two latter Motions is Movants noticed that even though the Amicus Curiae was listed in the alternative as the #4 option, and the ADA Requests went with the Amicus Curiae as the #3 option that went with the Amicus Curiae if granted, the Clerks' office has still only listed the motions filed as an "Amicus Curiae Motion," which is improper and another way your courts have been extremely deceptive in this process of claiming what is being ruled upon and what the target said and didn't say, by miscataloging, misstating, or ignoring and not mentioning the motions, leaving out the portions that actually will hurt the Courts who are purposely creating predetermined outcomes and can't allow for such opposition to be noted or ruled upon fairly and properly without such trickery and deceit.

A Judge(s) ignoring their duty to the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Challenge and Fraud on the Court by the Court motions, that strongly compliment each other, is Unconstitutional and is unlawfully affecting movants own Murdaugh-Style Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud case by this feigned and isolated Murdaugh hearing to keep the Courts secrets a secret from the Public, which violates also Movants right to file based on Public Concern. . .when the open Court is actually closed and just feigned open by a illusory statement at the beginning of the hearing along with threats to whomever were being made to protect these government secrets.

Therefore, Movants again are forced to withdraw #3/#4 filings on ADA/Amicus Curie because the Court's continued Unconstitutional Fraud on the Court by the Court, and did

not allow the timely filing of the entire motion that included as #1: A SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CHALLENGE, AND #2 Fraud on the Court by the Court.

Movants demand that the filings in the record be properly labeled as a Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge Motion And Fraud on the Court by the Court Motion with the #1 issue: Subject Matter Jurisdiction Challenge being list first as it is #1 on the list for a reason and could not be ignored as it has been, and the #2 Issue being Fraud on the Court by the Court as that is the #2 issue listed.

Furthermore, federal questions have been raised and because of the judges' actions in this matter, recusal of said judges is mandatory. See Attached exhibits 1 and 2.

Encl.

Handwritten signature of Dr. Linda Kennedy in cursive, followed by the date 2/17/26.

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S, B.A.

Handwritten signature of Dr. Marsha Fink in cursive.

Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.