

RECEIVED

Feb 23 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM EDGEFIELD COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Marth M. Rivers, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No.: 2025-002089

Barry Lanham and Obvia Gamble-Lanham,..... Appellants,

v.

Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG f/k/a Kelzenberg + Co.:
GmbH & Co. KG and Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG,..... Defendants,

of which Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG f/k/a
Kelzenberg + Co.: GmbH & Co. KG is the Respondent.

APPELLANTS' MOTION TO HOLD APPEAL DEADLINES IN ABEYANCE

Pursuant to Rule 240(b), SCACR, Appellants Barry Lanham and Obvia Gamble-Lanham move the Court to stay the appellate deadlines in this matter pending a ruling on Appellants' motion to certify this matter to the Supreme Court.

The grounds for this motion are as follows:

1. On September 19, 2025, the circuit court granted a motion for summary judgment filed by Respondent Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG f/k/a Kelzenberg + Co.: GmbH & Co. KG;

2. On September 25, 2025, the circuit court denied Appellants' motion for reconsideration, and on October 9, 2025, the circuit court certified the summary judgment order as final pursuant to Rule 54(b), SCRCP;
3. On October 10, 2025, Appellants filed and served a notice of appeal from the circuit court's orders;
4. On February 23, 2026, Appellants filed a motion pursuant to Rule 204(b), SCACR, in the Supreme Court for an order certifying the case to the Supreme Court, asserting the appeal raises novel issues of significant public interest that have not been addressed in a majority opinion;
5. Respondent's response to the motion to certify is currently due on March 5, 2026;
6. If the Supreme Court grants the motion to certify, then that Court will set briefing deadlines and the parties will proceed with the appeal in that Court;
7. If the Supreme Court denies the motion, then this Court can set new deadlines and the parties will proceed before this Court;
8. Proceeding with an appeal in this Court while the motion to certify is pending in the Supreme Court would undermine the interests of judicial efficiency and run the risk of inconsistent decisions. Therefore, good cause exists for this Court to hold the appeal in abeyance while the Supreme Court considers Appellants' motion to certify.

9. All matters below are stayed pending a disposition of this appeal, so that granting this motion will not result in prejudice to either party or the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

PARKER LAW GROUP, LLP

By: _____



John E. Parker
John E. Parker, Jr.
Post Office Box 487
Hampton, South Carolina 29924
Phone: (803) 903-1781
Fax: (803) 903-1793
jparker@parkerlawgroupsc.com
jayparker@parkerlawgroupsc.com

AND

Neil E. Alger
Post Office Box 2530
Ridgeland, South Carolina 29936
Phone: (843) 547-8011
Fax: (843) 547-8028
nalger@parkerlawgroupsc.com

AND

FLOYD & SPANGLER, ATTORNEYS
AT LAW
Colin T.L. Spangler
1611 Augusta Road West
Columbia SC 29169

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANTS

RECEIVED
Feb 23 2026
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM EDGEFIELD COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Marth M. Rivers, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No.: 2025-002089

Barry Lanham and Obvia Gamble-Lanham, Appellants,

v.

Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG f/k/a Kelzenberg + Co.:
GmbH & Co. KG and Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG, Defendants,

Of which Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG f/k/a
Kelzenberg + Co.: GmbH & Co. KG is the Respondent.

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing Appellant's Motion to Hold Appeal Deadlines in Abeyance has been served upon the following counsel of record by emailing a copy of the same this February 23, 2026.

Robert Hope Jordan
Robert Crum Osborne III
Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein LLP
P.O. Box 160
Charleston, SC 29402
robertjordan@parkerpoe.com
robertosborne@parkerpoe.com

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

Catherine F. Wrenn
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
850 Morrison Drive, Suite 775
Charleston, SC 29403
cwrenn@bakerdonelson.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WUMAG TEXROLL GmbH & CO. KG

Respectfully submitted,

PARKER LAW GROUP, LLP

By: *Claudia Cartier*
Claudia Cartier